Feedback for Project Number 3

## Instructions

Use the program below (beginning line 13) to figure out who you will be critiquing. You will critique two of your colleagues’ work and provide feedback for them to produce their best work. Make sure you use *your number as it aligns with the Google Document’s Position Number column* in the program below (line 16). Remember this is to help them out so do not be mean, but also do not hold back. Be sure to highlight areas of success as equally as areas of failure. Use the template below the code to provide them this feedback. You can find the [project links here](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1Z42jxyeUAFtKT4EJ9R3uNlhOddUYBNlRj9eVhquv68M/edit?usp=sharing)

**Be sure to change the name of the project in the title above and in the document title.** This is the project number you are critiquing and should be included in the output file so that the document name reads ‘Critique\_of\_Project##.docx’. Once you are finished, e-mail me both documents (one document per critique) and I will upload them to the ‘Peer\_Reviewed\_Work’ folder so that your peers can view them. Do not e-mail me the .Rmd file. These reviews are due by **Sunday, May 8th at 11:59pm CST**. For ease you can use this markdown file to fill out your responses and knit which will produce a word document for you.

## Feedback Below

**What did you first notice about this project?**

Organized extremely well, and the explanations and motivations really make this a professional presentation.

**What was this project’s main story?**

The author wanted to investigate serious NFL injuries overtime (ACL, MCL, Concussion) and compare the number of occurrences between the 3 injuries.

**What were some areas of improvement?**

I think the interactivity of the 4 graphs does not add much to the analysis. The data is static in nature and does not translate well to the interactive plots.

**What elements would you add to this project?**

To make the graph more interactive, it would be cool to see an option to change by position instead of just total injuries. The author also mentions this in the Things to Improve section

**What were some successful elements of this project?**

Well organized and professional output. I could see this report being used in a professional setting with availability to more data.

**Any other thoughts you would like to convey to your peer?**