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# **Summary**

Serotonin (5-HT) plays a pivotal role in regulating a wide range of brain functions, including mood, sleep, and cognition. This study presents a comprehensive transcriptomic analysis of 5-HT receptors (Htrs) covering ≈4 million cells across the whole adult mouse brain, utilizing single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) data from the Allen Institute. We report on the differential transcription patterns of all 14 known Htr subtypes, revealing a wide diversity in their prevalence and distribution across cell classes. Notably, we found that 65.84% of cells transcribe RNA of at least one Htr and often Htrs were found to colocalize. The transcription patterns of Htrs can accurately inform a random forest classifier to identify specific classes and types of neurotransmitter-releasing cells with surprising success. Leveraging a multiplexed error-robust fluorescence in situ hybridization (MERFISH) dataset provided by Harvard University of ≈10 million cells found in a mouse brain, we analyzed the spatial distribution of each Htr confirming previous findings and uncovering novel patterns of transcription at an unprecedented level of detail. We show that the majority of Htr-transcribing cells 7.4% contain RNA of at least one other Htr, underscoring the complexity of the 5-HT system even at the single-cell dimension. To aid the exploration of Htrs transcription in the datasets we provide an interactive visualizer available online (https://rdef654875678597657-5-ht-transcriptomics.hf.space). This tool enables in-depth analysis at various levels of granularity.

# Introduction

5-HT stands as a pivotal neuromodulator within the brain, orchestrating a diverse array of behaviors and physiological processes that include mood regulation {Dayan, 2009 #1401}, memory {Teixeira, 2018 #924}, sleep {Oikonomou, 2019 #347}, feeding {Lee, 2010 #1406} and decision-making {Homberg, 2012 #1407}. This broad spectrum of influence is remarkably orchestrated by a limited number of 5-HT releasing neurons located in the raphe nuclei, which extend their projections across the entire brain {Descarries, 2010 #937}. The intricacy of the 5-HT system is amplified by the extensive repertoire of Htrs, most of them metabotropic receptors linked to different intracellular pathways, resulting in varied and sometimes opposing effects on neuronal excitability {Marin, 2020 #2938}. These receptors are categorized into 14 types spread across 7 families, presenting a complex landscape of 5-HT signaling. To better grasp the impact of 5-HT release, it is crucial to accurately map the expression of each receptor throughout the brain. Historically, techniques such as immunohistochemistry, autoradiography, in situ hybridization, and microarray analysis have been instrumental in shedding light on this question {Vilaró, 2020 #2939; Hawrylycz, 2012 #2940}. These methodologies have provided foundational insights into the brain-wide effects of 5-HT, contributing significantly to our current understanding {Salvan, 2023 #2941}. However, the introduction of single-cell scRNA-seq and MERFISH has revolutionized our ability to dissect gene transcription. Unlike their predecessors, these techniques offer an unparalleled resolution, scalability and depth of profiling, enabling detailed mapping of each Htr's transcription patterns. Such precision enhances our understanding of 5-HT´s functions, setting the stage for more accurate and nuanced insights into its impact on neural circuits. To elucidate the complexities of the distribution of each Htr subtype, we utilized an scRNA-seq dataset provided by the Allen Institute {Yao, 2023 #2886}, incorporating the associated hierarchical clustering of cells at four nested levels. Spatial distribution data was obtained from a MERFISH dataset by Harvard University {Zhang, 2023 #2887}. This approach revealed new patterns of Htrs transcription and set a new benchmark for examining their distribution against the backdrop of existing literature, contributing to our understanding of the complex roles of 5-HT in brain function. To facilitate broader custom exploration of the Htr landscape throughout the brain, we have developed an interactive visualization tool that enables the seamless exploration of both the scRNA-seq and MERFISH dataset at different levels of granularity, providing a comprehensive view of the 5-HT receptor distribution. An online version available here (https://rdef654875678597657-5-ht-transcriptomics.hf.space).

# Results

**Htrs transcription overview**

We analyzed the single-cell scRNA-seq dataset provided by the Allen Institute {Yao, 2023 #2886} focusing on the transcription of Htrs genes across approximately 4 million brain cells passing quality control. The scRNA-seq dataset comprehensively encompassed all known 14 Htr subtypes. 65.84% of cells transcribed RNA of at least one Htr. To evaluate transcription we used the same stringent threshold (log(CPM)>3.5) used by the original authors to determine neurotransmitter release {Yao, 2023 #2886}. Prevalence of Htrs, the percentage of cells transcribing a receptor, across the entire dataset was considerably different ranging from 0.09% of Htr3b to 34.26% of Htr1f (Figure 1A). RNA of 6 Htr was found in less than 2.5% of the cells (Htr1d, Htr2b, Htr3a, Htr3b, Htr5b, Htr6). On the other hand, RNA of Htr1f, Htr2a and Htr2c was present in at least 1 every 5 cells. Average amount of RNA transcription also varied across receptors (Figure S1A). Interestingly, the variation in amount of RNA shared around half (R²=0.55) of the variability with the prevalence, i.e., genes that were more widespread across cells also exhibited higher transcription rates within individual cells. In addition to differences in prevalence and transcription levels, the distribution of genes across the brain also showed notable variation. This variation is highlighted by comparing the distribution patterns of the Htr1 and Htr2 families, as showcased through uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) analysis (Figure 1B). The UMAP visualization was color-coded according to neighborhood classification. Neighborhoods, characterized by cursory anatomical proximity and molecular signatures such as neurotransmitter-release {Yao, 2023 #2886}, offer a condensed categorization of cell types (Figure S1B, Table 1). When looking at the UMAP distributions of individual Htr, considerable differences were also present within each family of receptors (Figure S2). We analyzed these differences grouping cells by neurotransmitter, neighborhood or class (Figure S1B). The cells were subdivided into four nested levels of classification (as defined in {Yao, 2023 #2886}) with 34 classes, 338 subclasses, 1,201 supertypes and 5,322 clusters. These categorizations divided cells in a highly skewed fashion (Figure S1C). For example, when looking at neurotransmitter-release, 3 groups (Glut, Gaba and unassigned) made up almost the totality of cells (98.47%). Expectedly, the vast majority of cells was classified as excitatory (Glut, 50.79%) and around 1 every 5 cells was found to release GABA (20.62%). All the other neurotransmitters were found in less than 1% of the cells, in particular, 5-HT releasing neurons (Sero) were found in only 0.04% of the cells. Pattern of Htrs transcription across different neurotransmitter groups exhibited a relatively high mean Pearson correlation coefficient (r=0.6±0.03). Sero and cholinergic neurons (Chol) showed the most distinct patterns of transcription with respectively mean r=0.32±0.04 and 0.37±0.05 (Figure 1C). To better evaluate the uniqueness of Htrs RNA transcription per group, and account for differences in amplitude, not captured by simple correlation, we employed a Random Forest Classifier aiming at decoding the grouping variable solely from Htrs' transcription. Overall accuracy of the model in decoding neurotransmitter was 38.55% (chance level=10.0%). Reflecting the correlation analysis, the confusion matrix showed that Sero and Chol were among the groups with higher true positive (TP) rate (Sero=74.4%, Chol=46.99%). Cells not transcribing any neurotransmitter, not exhibiting a low r beforehand, were, nonetheless, identified even more successfully (79.44%). Moreover, Noradrenaline (Nora) and glycine (GABA-Glyc) releasing neurons were identified at considerable levels (Nora=42.11% and GABA-Glyc=42.6%). To understand the contribution of each Htr in each prediction we calculated the mean absolute SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) values for each receptor and neurotransmitter {Lundberg, 2017 #2921; Lundberg, 2020 #2922}. The SHAP values in association with the mean prevalence enabled us to easily understand the defining features of each group. We can appreciate, for example, that the identification of Sero neurons is determined mainly by transcription of Htr1a and Chol neurons by Htr4 and Htr5b. Crucially, absence of transcription can also contribute to the classification, e.g., cells not transcribing any neurotransmitter were identified mainly by absence of any Htr, and Nora neurons detection was guided by the unique absence of Htr4. When looking at different neighborhoods the accuracy of the model was 40.81% (chance level=12.5%). The model could differentiate best the NN-IMN-GC, TH-EPI-Glut and Pallium-Glut groups (NN-IMN-GC=72.82%, TH-EPI-Glut=69.15% and Pallium-Glut=57.65%, Figure S3A). NN-IMN-GC includes all the cells not releasing any neurotransmitter, their classification was therefore expectedly influenced by absence of any Htr. On the other hand, TH-EPI-Glut cells were characterized by the unique combination of high transcription of Htr7 and low transcription of Htr2a and Htr4, Pallium-Glut cells, instead, exhibited relatively low levels of Htr2c and Htr7. Notably, Htr7 and Htr1f seemed to follow opposite gradients across neighborhoods. Across classes, differences in Htrs transcription were even more striking (Figure 1D). 5 groups could be identified with a TP rate >40%: 04 DG-IMN Glut, 09 CNU-LGE GABA, 17 MH-LH Glut, 22 MB-HB Sero, and 34 Immune (Figure S3B). 04 DG-IMN Glut were characterized by high transcription of Htr4 and absence of the usually prevalent Htr2c. 09 CNU-LGE GABA cells showed high Htr1b and low Htr7/Htr1a; 17 MH-LH Glut exhibited high levels of Htr5b and Htr4; 22 MB-HB Sero, mirroring the results showed by Sero neurons, were characterized by high levels of Htr1a; at last, 34 Immune cells were identified by absence of any Htr transcription. The exclusive use of Htrs transcription pattern reached an impressive 17.85% accuracy in decoding classes (chance level=2.941176470588235%).   
Correlation between Htrs transcription across the totality of cells ranged from -0.03 (Htr1f-Htr3a) to 0.311 (Htr4-Htr2c). Considerable correlation was also found for the Htr7-Htr2c (r=0.264) and Htr1f-Htr2a (r=0.212) pairs (Figure 1E). Interestingly, correlation patterns were not stable across neighborhoods (Figure S4A). For example, Pallium-Glut exhibited a unique negative correlation between Htr4-Htr2a not visible from the analysis of the entire dataset. Of note, TH-EPI-Glut showed the highest absolute correlation across all neighborhoods with r=0.609 between Htr5b-Htr4 and a unique negative correlation between Htr4-Htr7. To explore the underlying causes of the correlations we analyzed co-localization (co-transcription of RNA in the same cell) between Htrs. Across the entire dataset we observed that the most transcribed genes, Htr1f and Htr2c, were regularly transcribed whenever the RNA of any other Htr was detected (Figure 1F). This was a driving factor for correlation. Looking more in detail across neighborhoods, also here we noticed important differences, mainly explainable by differential prevalence of Htrs in each neighborhood. 86.41±1.69% of Htr-transcribing cells exhibited at least 2 Htrs , therefore, only in a minority of cases a cell was found to transcribe uniquely one Htr (25.78% of the totality of cells, Figure 1G). Surprisingly, 7.4% of Htr-transcribing cells were found to exhibit RNA of at least 5 other Htrs. The extensive transcription of different Htr families within the same cell points at the complexity of the 5-HT system even at the single cell dimension.   
To facilitate an understanding of the downstream cellular effects of 5-HT, we aggregated receptors according to their main intracellular effector. We aggregated Htr1 and Htr5 due to their inhibitory effect (cAMP decrease); Htr4, Htr6 and Htr7 because of the shared downstream effect of increasing cAMP; Htr2 is the only one that causes an Ca2+ increase while Htr3 is the only ionotropic receptor. For each cell we determined the principal pathway activated by 5-HT by analyzing the detected RNA levels for each Htr, grouping them by intracellular effector and selecting the top-ranked. We grouped the results by neighborhood, informed by the differential Htrs' transcription (Figure 1H). Ht3 were present only in a small minority of subpallium inhibitory neurons. In the telencephalon, the absolute majority of both Pallium-Glut and Subpallium-Gaba cells were linked to Htr1/5, and around one quarter of cells featured Htr2 as primary effector. Subcortical cells exhibited a more balanced partition without any absolute majority and a considerable presence of Htr4/6/7. In the following sections we will take a deeper look at Htrs grouped by intracellular effector, We will take advantage of the information provided by the MERFISH dataset of {Zhang, 2023 #2887} to analyze in detail their spatial distribution. The MERFISH dataset contains information about only 9 of the 14 Htr genes present in the scRNA-seq dataset. To overcome this limitation and try to pinpoint the spatial location of all genes, we first identified in the scRNA-seq dataset clusters highly enriched in the selected gene, i.e., to be classified as enriched at least 70% of cells in a given cluster must express the selected Htr gene. Taking advantage of the clustering label integration between the scRNA-seq and MERFISH dataset (see {Zhang, 2023 #2887}), we could identify the spatial distribution in the MERFISH dataset of cells belonging to enriched clusters defined using the scRNA-seq. This approach worked in all cases where enriched clusters could be identified. In some cases only a minority of cells transcribing the selected Htr gene belonged to enriched clusters, for this reason we offer the option to bypass the scRNA-seq enriched cluster calculations and directly view the prevalence of all cells transcribing the selected gene in the MERFISH dataset using the interactive visualizer (see 'Spatial MERFISH' and 'Overview genes by brain structure' dashboards, the latter with 'Data Source selector'='MERFISH only').

**Htr1 & Htr5**

Receptors belonging to these two families have an inhibitory effect on the host cell, they are coupled to Gᵢ and cause a downstream decrease of cAMP and activation of GIRK channels {Sharp, 2020 #2888; McCorvy, 2015 #2889}.   
Some Htr1a agonists are currently used as anxiolytics {Parks, 1998 #2950, Smith, 2023 #2958} and antidepressant {Harmer, 2017 #2953; Yohn, 2017 #2954; Cowen, 2015 #2955}, the link between 5-HT imbalances, 5-HT1A activation and depression is, however, controversial and has been called in question {Moncrieff, 2023 #2951}. Htr1b and Htr1d agonists, like triptans, are effective in treating migraines {Goadsby, 2007 #2957}. Htr1a RNA has a stable prevalence of ≈10% across neighborhoods in the scRNA-seq dataset, with virtual absence in the TH-EPI-Glut group (Figure 2A). This receptor seems to play a role in learning and cognitive flexibility {Conn, 2024 #2959}. Htr1a co-localized most frequently with Htr1f, Htr2c and Htr2a (Figure 2B) and only in a minority of cases was transcribed alone (<10%). Transcription across classes was highly correlated between the scRNA-seq and MERFISH datasets (Figure 2A) and showed a good correspondence in absolute values, this was the case for the majority of others Htrs. Highest transcription per class was found in Sero neurons of the mid- and hindbrain (class 22 MB-HB Sero, Figure 2C), nonetheless, cortical excitatory neurons (01 IT-ET Glut), like in most Htrs, contained the highest absolute number of cells transcribing the receptor. Subclasses located in the hippocampus (HPF, see Table 2 for a list of acronyms) contained most of the cortical cells transcribing Htr1a (see interactive visualizer, 'Overview genes by class/subclass', 'Class selector'='01 IT-ET Glut', 'Group by'='subclass'). Only 6.52% of Htr1a transcribing cells were contained in enriched clusters, pointing at a relatively low importance of this receptor in the clustering algorithm used by {Yao, 2023 #2886}. Looking at the spatial distribution across divisions, e.g., high level regional areas, the highest prevalence was found in the pallidum (PAL) and HPF (Figure 2D). At a more granular level, 5 of the top 10 structures by prevalence belonged to the raphe nuclei: dorsal nucleus raphe (DR), nucleus raphe obscurus (RO), nucleus raphe pallidus (RPA), nucleus raphe magnus (RM) and superior central nucleus raphe (CS). The high levels of Htr1a transcription in the raphe nuclei is reflection of the high prevalence in Sero neurons outlined beforehand, the raphe nuclei, indeed, contains the vast majority of Sero neurons of the brain. The hippocampal structure exhibiting the higher prevalence were the medial entorhinal cortex (ENTm) and the area prostata (APr) while the medial septum nucleus (MS) and the diagonal band nucleus (NDB), two structures linked to generation of theta waves {Winson, 1978 #2908} and containing Chol neurons, contributed substantially to the transcription in PAL. Notably, all these results confirms previous reports of Htr1a expression in the raphe {Haj-Dahmane, 1991 #2924;Sprouse, 1987 #2923}, ENTm {Schmitz, 1995 #2925; de Filippo, 2021 #1086} and MS {Kia, 1996 #2926}. Levels of transcription were stable across the anterior-posterior axis like in most other Htrs (Figure 2E-F). Htr1b is involved in social memory in mouse {Wu, 2021 #2945}. Htr1b exhibited a more diverse pattern of transcription across neighborhoods (Figure 3A) ranging from 10 to 30%. Highest prevalence was observed in the MB-HB-Glut-Sero-Dopa group (glutamatergic, serotonergic and dopaminergic neurons located in midbrain and hindbrain). Co-localization showed a similar pattern compared to Htr1a (Figure 3B), only a minority of cells transcribed Htr1b alone (<10%). Looking at transcription across classes, the 09 CNU-LGE GABA class showed the highest prevalence (58.06%) closely followed by 22 MB-HB Sero (53.73%) (Figure 3C). High transcription in 09 CNU-LGE GABA was in sharp contrast with Htr1a that showed only minimal transcription in this class (1.61%). Also in this case, 01 IT-ET Glut exhibited the highest absolute number of Htr1b transcribing cells, specifically, in a subclass of the nucleus of the lateral olfactory tract (NLOT, see interactive visualizer, 'Overview genes by class', 'Class selector'='01 IT-ET Glut', 'Group by'='subclass'). 17.48% of Htr1b transcribing cells belonged to enriched clusters and the striatum (STR) showed an impressive high prevalence with >30% (Figure 3D), in line with previous reports {Maroteaux, 1992 #2928; Pommer, 2021 #2927}. Caudoputamen (CP), Nucleus accumbens (ACB), olfactory tubercle (OT), lateral septal nucleus (LSc) and the parabigeminal nucleus (PBG) all exhibited a prevalence of >20%. DR and RO of the raphe nuclei also exhibited considerable prevalence. Similarly to Htr1a, Htr1b seems to be specifically linked to Sero neurons, in line with this, they have been reported to mediate self-inhibition in these neurons {Hjorth, 1991 #2932}. Distribution across the antero-posterior axes reflected the high prevalence in STR (Figure 3E-F).   
Htr1d was transcribed at a much lower level, never exceeding 7% prevalence in any neighborhood (Figure S5A). It co-localized at highest levels with Htr2c and Htr1f (Figure S5B) and only rarely was transcribed alone (<5%). Similarly to Htr1b, transcription was highest in 09 CNU-LGE GABA and 22 MB-HB Sero (Figure S5C). Notably, 09 CNU-LGE GABA exhibited the highest absolute number of cells surpassing 01 IT-ET Glut. Only a small minority of Htr1d transcribing cells belonged to enriched clusters (2.08%). The paraventricular nucleus of the thalamus (PT and PVT) showed the highest prevalence at only >4% (Figure S5D-E-F).   
Htr1f, surprisingly, showed the highest levels of transcription of all Htrs in the scRNA-seq dataset. Highest prevalence was found in the Pallium and Subpallium groups (Figure 4A), reaching ≈50%. Other groups showed a prevalence of 30-40% with TH-EPI-Glut at ≈20% (Figure 4A). Htr1f was found to co-localize the most with Htr2a and Htr2c (Figure 4B). In 30% of cases Htr1f was the only Htr transcribed in a cell and co-localization decreased linearly with the number of co-transcribed Htrs (Figure 4B). Notably, the slope of the linear regression between values provided by scRNA-seq and MERFISH was significantly lower pointing at a difference in absolute prevalence per class (Figure 4C). This difference can be imputed to the different technique employed (see https://community.brain-map.org/t/consistent-difference-in-expression-between-zhuang-and-zeng-merfish-datasets/2604/2). The two datasets are, however, still highly correlated, with 66% of shared variability. This was the case also for Htr2a, Htr2c and Htr4. Htr1f was broadly transcribed across almost all classes, including some non-neuronal cells. Pineal gland cells were a notable exception. In absolute numbers, cortical glutamatergic cells showed the highest transcription. Various subclasses located in layer 5, claustrum (CLA) and HPF exhibited prevalence >50% (see interactive visualizer, 'Overview genes by class', 'Class selector'='01 IT-ET Glut', 'Group by'='subclass'). Spatial distribution showed a peculiarly asymmetric pattern with transcription concentrated in the most anterior regions. Highest transcription was observed in STR, olfactory areas (OLF) and the cortical subplate (CTXsp) reaching >20% (Figure 4D). Specifically, the highest transcription was observed in nucleus accumbens (ACB) and olfactory tract (OT), similarly to Htr1b. The accessory olfactory bulb (AOB) was the OLF structure with the highest prevalence. CLA and the endopiriform nucleus (EPd), on the other hand, were the CTXsp structure exhibiting the highest prevalence. Interestingly, in the CTXsp, transcription in Glut and Gaba neurons was anticorrelated. High prevalence in Glut neurons corresponded to lower prevalence in Gaba and vice versa. In CLA and EPd HTR1f was transcribed mainly in Glut neurons, while in the amygdala (LA, BLA, BMA) predominantly in Gaba neurons (see interactive visualizer, 'Overview genes by brain structure', 'Division selector'='CTXsp', 'Neurotransmitter selector'='Glut' vs 'GABA'). Isocortex and HPF also exhibited considerable transcription both in excitatory and inhibitory neurons. The amount of RNA transcription per cell was not linear, with a clear peak in the frontal olfactory areas (Figure 4E-F). High transcription of Htr1f in this region was previously observed using immunohistochemistry {Bruinvels, 1994 #2929}. The broad transcription of Htr1f observed in the scRNA-seq dataset across the entire telencephalon is in line with earlier reports {Vila-Pueyo, 2018 #2933}.   
Both Htr5a and Htr5b were not included in the MERFISH dataset, therefore we do not have any direct spatial visualization of their transcription. Htr5a was transcribed at 8-16% prevalence across all neighborhoods (Figure S6A) and co-localized the most with Htr1f, Htr2c and Htr2a (Figure S6B). Transcription was broadly distributed across many classes, although only at lower levels compared to other Htrs (Figure S6C). Only one cluster was considered enriched with Htr5a in the entire scRNA-seq dataset, 3453 PAG-PPN Pax5 Sox21 Gaba. This cluster was located mainly in the midbrain reticular nucleus (RR, Figure S6D-E). Htr5b was transcribed at a lower level across neighborhoods (Figure S7A), with a maximum of ≈%5 in TH-EPI-Glut. Surprisingly, even if its overall prevalence was much lower than Htr5a, 10 clusters were found to be enriched in Htr5b. This receptor was transcribed at considerable levels only in the 17 MH-LH Glut class (≈50% prevalence). This was reflected by high levels of transcription in the medial habenula (MH, Figure S7D-E), a structure involved in the response to stress and fear {Chou, 2016 #2913; Soria-Gomez, 2015 #2910; Winson, 1978 #2908;Yamaguchi, 2013 #2909}. Some transcription was also evident in the posterior part of the brain, specifically in the inferior olivary complex (IO), driven by a single subclass, 253 IO Fgl2 Glut (see interactive visualizer, 'Overview genes by class/subclass', 'Class selector'='24 MY Glut', 'Group by'='subclass'), and some structures populated by Sero neurons.

**Htr2**

The Htr2 family is mainly linked to Gq/11 and causes depolarization by increasing intracellular Ca2+. Htr2a antagonists, such as atypical antipsychotics (e.g., clozapine and risperidone), are currently used in treating schizophrenia and other psychiatric disorders. Htr2c antagonists are being explored for their potential in treating obesity and metabolic disorders {He, 2022 #2942; Yao, 2021 #2943}. Htr2a, instrumental in mediating the effects of psychedelics {Nichols, 2016 #854} and involved in learning and memory {Morici, 2018 #2960; de Quervain, 2003 #2961}, is found across the brain with highest prevalence in telencephalic neighborhoods, Pallium-Glut and Subpallium-GABA (Figure 5A). Co-localization was highest with Htr1f and Htr2c (Figure 5B). Highest transcription (≈40%) was found in 01 IT-ET Glut, 07 CTX-MGE GABA and 16 HY-MM Glut classes (Figure 5C). Interestingly, somatostatin (Sst) neurons belonging to 07 CTX-MGE GABA, while exhibiting a relatively low prevalence at the subclass level, contained various clusters with >70% prevalence {De Filippo, 2024 #2904} (see interactive visualizer, 'Overview genes by class/subclass', 'Class selector'='07 CTX-MGE GABA', 'Subclass selector'='053 Sst Gaba', 'Group by'='cluster'). Htr2a was also prevalent across many other classes across the whole brain. 01 IT-ET Glut exhibited by far the highest absolute number of neurons transcribing Htr2a, specifically in subclasses of layer 5 and CLA, resembling Htr1f (see interactive visualizer, 'Overview genes by class/subclass', 'Class selector'='01 IT-ET Glut', 'Group by'='subclass'). CTXsp showed the highest prevalence, reaching >12% (Figure 5D). Isocortex and STR exhibited both ≈5% prevalence. At a structure level, two structures belonging to the mammillary complex (dorsal premammillary nucleus, PMd and tuberomammillary nucleus, TMd) were in the top ten by prevalence. The mammillary complex has been linked to Alzheimer´s disease {Huang, 2023 #2915}, and memory {Roy, 2017 #2916}. CLA and the EPd showed the highest absolute prevalence. Interestingly, CLA has been proposed to play an important role in mediating the effects of psychedelic compounds {Doss, 2022 #2917}. Prevalence in the STR was driven by the small bed nucleus (BA), a structure important for the integration of limbic and environmental informations {Lebow, 2016 #2931}. Htr2a transcription in CLA and mammillary complex is in line with a previous report in monkey {López-Giménez, 2001 #2930}. Prevalence of Htr2a was highest in frontal regions of the brain, decaying linearly to virtual absence in the cerebellum (Figure 5E-F).   
Htr2b was found only in a minority of neurons and was not included in the MERFISH dataset. No cluster was found to be enriched with Htr2b. Interestingly, neurons belonging to the Pineal Glut class showed the highest prevalence at 7.34% (Figure S8C).   
Htr2c plays a role in feeding and impulsive behavior {Humby, 2019 #2962}. Htr2c was found at highest prevalence in the MB-HB-Glut-Sero-Dopa and Hy-EA-Glut-Gaba neighborhoods (Figure 6A). Apart from Pallium-Glut, its prevalence was always >40%. Co-localization was highest with Htr1f, Htr4 and Htr7 (Figure 6B). Transcription was broadly distributed across many different classes, especially subcortically (Figure 6C). Many classes exhibited a >60% prevalence. As usual, cortical excitatory neurons exhibited the highest absolute number of cells transcribing Htr2c. Some subclasses in OLF, amygdala and retrosplenial cortex (RSP) exhibited >80% prevalence (see interactive visualizer, 'Overview genes by class/subclass', 'Class selector'='01 IT-ET Glut', 'Group by'='subclass'). The majority of cells expressing Htr2c RNA belonged to enriched clusters. Highest prevalence was found in STR. Similarly to Htr1b, ACB, CP and OT exhibited the highest prevalence (Figure 6D-E-F). Isocortex prevalence derived from the unique transcription in excitatory neurons of the ventral part of the RSP, curiously the area with lowest transcription of Htr1f, otherwise highly prevalent in all other cortical regions (see interactive visualizer, 'Overview genes by brain structure', 'Division selector'='Isocortex', 'Neurotransmitter selector'='Tot'). Htr2a RNA was also minimally expressed in this specific area. High prevalence was observed also in excitatory neurons of the anterior olfactory nucleus (AON), piriform area (PIR and PAA) and amygdala (LA and BLA). Htr2c RNA was found across a variety of structures also in the MB (non in Sero neurons), pons (P), medulla (MY) and cerebellum (CB).

**Htr4, Htr6 and Htr7**

These receptors are all connected to Gs {McCorvy, 2015 #2889}, leading to increasing cellular levels of cAMP. Htr4 modulation in HPF has been found to bidirectionally influence memory formation in mice {Teixeira, 2018 #924}. Htr4, similarly to Htr2C, showed highest prevalence (>40%) in the MB-HB-Glut-Sero-Dopa and Hy-EA-Glut-Gaba groups (Figure 7A). Htr4 has been linked to mood control and anxiety {Karayol, 2021 #2963}. It co-localized the most with Htr2c and Htr1f (Figure 7B). Transcription across classes was broadly distributed, with many subcortical classes showing a prevalence >40% (Figure 7C). Highest prevalence was found in the 17 MH-LH Glut class, specifically in Chol releasing neurons belonging to this class located in TH. In absolute numbers, transcription in excitatory cortical neurons was the highest, but not overwhelmingly so like in other cases, driven specifically by subclasses of CA1, CA2, CA3 and subiculum (see interactive visualizer, 'Overview genes by class/subclass', 'Class selector'='01 IT-ET Glut', 'Group by'='subclass'). Spatial distribution exhibited a peculiar pattern with high prevalence in one specific structure of the STR: OT (Figure 7D-E-F). A subclass of interneurons present in OT (060 OT D3 Folh1 Gaba) showed a >98% prevalence (see interactive visualizer, 'Overview genes by class/subclass', 'Class selector'='09 CNU-LGE GABA', 'Group by'='subclass'). PAL and HPF also exhibited relatively high prevalence (≈10%). Dentate gyrus (DG) granule cells (037 DG Glut) were one of the reasons of the high prevalence in HPF. Excitatory cells of CA2, CA3 and indusium griseum (IG) also transcribed Htr4 RNA (see interactive visualizer, 'Overview genes by brain structure', 'Division selector'='HPF', 'Neurotransmitter selector'='Glut' vs 'GABA').   
We do not have MERFISH information about the rarely transcribed Htr6 and no enriched cluster was present in the scRNA-seq dataset. The 09 NU-LGE GABA class exhibited the highest prevalence with 7.73%, still, the absolute majority of neurons expressing the RNA of this gene were excitatory cortical neurons (Figure S9C).   
Conversely, Htr7 was transcribed in >10% of the totality of cells. It reached ≈60% in the TH-EPI Glut group, and considerable amounts (≈40%) in MB, HB and HY groups (Figure 8A). Co-localization was the highest with Htr2c and Htr1f (Figure 8B). Transcription was broadly distributed across classes present in HY, MB and TH (Figure 8C). It co-localized the most with Htr2c, Htr1f and Htr4. Htr7 was broadly transcribed across classes, especially in subcortical structures. Peak prevalence was found in 10 LSX GABA, 16 MY MM Glut and 18 TH Glut with >60% (Figure 8C). Cortical transcription in excitatory neurons is driven primarily by subclasses in CA2 and L2 ENT (see interactive visualizer, 'Overview genes by class/subclass', 'Class selector'='01 IT-ET Glut', 'Group by'='subclass'). Htr7 enriched clusters were located mainly in HY and TH (Figure 8D). At a structure level, the parafascicular (PF) and paraventricular nucleus (PVT) of TH showed the highest prevalence (>30%).

**Htr3**

The Htr3 family is the only ionotropic Htr and it causes direct excitation by allowing the influx of cations. The Htr3a subunit is required for the formation of a functional channel {Maricq, 1991 #2918} and can form functional homopentameric receptors {Walstab, 2010 #2919}. Heteromeric receptors containing Htr3b have an increased channel conductance and different selectivity {Davies, 1999 #2920}. Htr3a is transcribed almost uniquely in the Subpallium-Gaba neighborhood, with a prevalence of ≈8% (Figure 9A), specifically in the 06 CTX-CGE GABA class (Figure 9C). It is one of the few Htr, together with Htr3b and Htr1d, that is not transcribed the most in absolute numbers in 01 IT-ET glut. It co-localizes mainly with Htr2c and Htr7 (Figure 9B). This Htr was mainly transcribed in OLF, CTXsp, HPF and Isocortex (Figure 9D) and is most prevalent in the anterior part of the brain, although, puzzlingly, with slightly lower amount of RNA per cell (Figure 9E-F). Htr3b was not included in the MERFISH dataset, and no cluster was found to be enriched with this receptor. Htr3b was the least transcribed Htr gene in the entire scRNA-seq dataset. Similarly to Htr3a, its transcription was delimited to the 06 CTX-CGE GABA class (Figure S10C).

# Discussion

In this work we described the main transcriptional features of each Htr across the entire brain, leveraging two datasets provided by {Yao, 2023 #2886} and {Zhang, 2023 #2887}. In the scRNA-seq dataset we found that Htrs RNA is transcribed in around 2 every 3 cells and 6 Htrs were transcribed in >10% of cells, with Htr1f reaching a peak of 34.26%. Htrs patterns of transcription can be used to decode the identity of cells grouped by neurotransmitter, neighborhoods and classes at an above chance level. Surprisingly, it was common to detect multiple Htrs within a single cell. This points at the great complexity of the 5-HT system even at a cellular level. We can recapitulate our results regarding each Htr by summarizing the defining feature of each receptor (Table 3): Htr1a is transcribed in an important fraction of Sero neurons of the raphe and some HPF excitatory neurons; Htr1b is transcribed in many inhibitory striatal neurons and Sero neurons; Htr1d, similarly to Htr1b, is transcribed in the striatum, although at much lower levels; Htr1f is widely transcribed in telencephalic structures, especially the Isocortex, with a peak in frontal olfactory structures; Htr2a is prevalent in glutamatergic cells of the cortical subplate (CLA and EPd) and the mammillary bodies (TMd, PMd), and hippocampal interneurons; Htr2b is rarely transcribed and is present in some neurons of the pineal gland; Htr2c is broadly transcribed, especially in the STR, excitatory neurons of the amygdala (LA, BLA and BMA) and RSPv, OLF neurons and structures in MB, P, MY and CB; Htr3a and Htr3b are uniquely observed in cortical gabaergic neurons of the 06 CTX-CGE GABA class; Htr4 is transcribed at high levels in the OT, excitatory cells of the hippocampus proper and DG, and Chol neurons of the TH (17 MH-LH Glut); Htr5a is transcribed at low levels with only one enriched cluster in the MB; Htr5b is also transcribed only in few cells, specifically in Chol neurons of the TH; Htr6 does not feature any enriched cluster, some cells in CA3 transcribed this Htr; Htr7 is widely transcribed in subcortical structures, especially in some TH nuclei (PF, PVT, IAD and PT), the mammillary complex (MM and PMd), the lateral septal nucleus (LSv) and the fasciola cinerea of the HPF. Our analysis is in no way exhaustive, and it is limited in scope by the constraints of a traditional scientific article. To bypass this limit and, at the same time, provide the ability to explore the 5-HT transcription landscape at different depths, we provide a custom interactive visualizer. The visualizer enables the exploration of: Htrs transcription in the MERFISH dataset; the prevalence of each Htr across neighborhoods, class, subclass, supertype and clusters; an overview of Htrs prevalence across classes and subclasses; and an overview of Htrs prevalence across all brain divisions and structures optionally filtered by neurotransmitter release. Our entire analysis pipeline can be easily modified to enable the exploration of different families of genes. Instructions are available in 'Jupyter notebooks structures' section of the methods. One constraint of our study is the indirect characterization of Htrs through the detection of RNA molecules, rather than direct assessment of their presence. However, this potential limitation is mitigated by the fact that mRNA levels are frequently a reliable indicator of receptor expression {Vilaró, 2020 #2939}. Conversely, while mapping receptors directly allows for precise localization, it fails to differentiate between pre- and postsynaptic expression, an important aspect of understanding receptor function and distribution. This lack of specificity becomes particularly problematic, for example, in the context of Sero neurons, which have extensive projections throughout the brain and exhibit diverse autoreceptors. This complexity is underscored both in our findings and in previous research, highlighting the intricate regulatory mechanisms of serotonin neurotransmission {Hjorth, 1991 #2932; Haj-Dahmane, 1991 #2924}. Our exploration of the Htrs landscape represents a substantial advancement, contributing to our understanding of the 5-HT system's role in brain function and behavior.

# Materials and Methods

**Jupyter notebooks structure**

The entire analysis is contained in 2 jupyter notebooks hosted on Github at https://github.com/RobertoDF/Transcriptomics-5-HT. For data analysis and visualization we employed mainly pandas, numpy, matplotlib, scikit-learn and seaborn python libraries. Within the 'Figures' folder, 'Figure\_1.ipynb' and 'Figure\_2.ipynb' notebooks reproduce all figures contained in the paper. All parameters relative to the analysis are contained in Utils.Settings.py. Data is downloaded following the instructions provided by the Allen Institute (https://alleninstitute.github.io/abc\_atlas\_access/intro.html). Notebooks to download the scRNA-seq and MERFISH datasets are contained in the 'Load\_Data' folder. To explore the transcription of different genes, it is necessary to download the associated transcription matrices by changing the selected genes in the 'Download\_RNAseq\_data.ipynb' notebook, this can be achieved by modifying the cells underneath the headings 'Select genes scRNA-seq' and 'Select genes MERFISH'. It is also necessary to change the 'family\_name' and 'genes\_families' variables in Utils.Settings.py file.

**Data preparation**

We loaded the metadata and the precomputed transcription matrices ('exp' pandas dataframe) for the scRNA-seq dataset relative to all Htr genes (see 'Load\_data/Download\_RNAseq\_data.ipynb'). We also loaded the metadata relative to the 'cluster\_group\_name' (or 'neighborhood' in the text) residing originally in a different .csv file ('Find membership df' in 'Figure\_1.ipynb'). This information is referred to as 'membership'. Additionally we loaded cell metadata information ('cell' dataframe). Each of these data structures are pandas dataframes that can be easily joined together according to the unique cell label index ('joined' dataframe). A different dataframe containing membership information is created ('joined\_with\_membership'), this is necessary because some cells are assigned to multiple 'cluster\_group\_name' and therefore cause the duplication of some dataframe´s rows. We used the dataframe containing 'membership information' only to visualize information relative to 'cluster\_group\_name'.   
The MERFISH dataset was loaded in a similar fashion (see 'Load data MERFISH' in 'Figure\_2.ipynb'). This dataset is split in 4 different dataframes ('Zhuang-ABCA-1', 'Zhuang-ABCA-2', 'Zhuang-ABCA-3' and 'Zhuang-ABCA-4') stored in a dictionary ('cell\_expression'). We concatenated the 4 dataframe in one data structure called 'data\_merfish' using the '.concat()' pandas method. Additionally, we used the spatial information of each cell belonging to the MERFISH dataset for the registration to the Allen Mouse Brain Common Coordinate Framework (CCF) and, subsequently, we assigned parcellations labels ('CCF registration and parcellation annotation' in 'Figure\_2.ipynb'). The dataframes loaded by both datasets already included all the clustering labels (class, subclass, supertype and cluster). For details about the clustering see 'Clustering scRNA-seq data' section in {Yao, 2023 #2886}.

**Overview figure**

This figure relies uniquely on the scRNA-seq dataset. In panel A we used a heatmap to visualize both the amount of transcription per cell and the number of cells transcribing each Htr contained in the dataset using the 'exp' dataframe.   
In panel B we used the precomputed UMAP coordinates available in the 'joined' dataframe to create a scatterplot and plotted on the color axis information about the most transcribed gene per selected family (either Ht1 or Ht2).   
In panel C we plotted the percentage of cells transcribing each Htr grouped by neurotransmitter release. We take advantage of pandas 'Group by' function to concisely perform this computation: after grouping by the selected variable (in this case 'neurotransmitter') we apply a function called 'percentage\_above\_threshold' to compute the percentage of cells within a group transcribing a gene above a threshold. The 'percentage\_above\_threshold' function is defined within the 'Utils.Utils.py' file. The threshold is stored in the 'Utils.Settings.py' file ('threshold\_expression'). The confusion matrix is computed within the 'decoddddddd' function defined in Utils.Utils.py. This function uses a boolean version of the 'joined' dataframe created using the same threshold ('threshold\_expression'). The dataset containing boolean values for gene transcription ('joined\_boolean') was filtered to include columns of interest, specifically a selector column ('sel') and a list of selected genes ('selected\_genes'). The resulting dataframe was indexed by the selector column, which represented the target variable, while the remaining columns contained features corresponding to the transcription levels of various serotonin receptor genes (Htr). In this particular case, the features for classification were defined as the boolean transcription of the various 5-HT receptor genes, and the target variable was the neurotransmitter type. A Random Forest classifier ('RandomForestClassifier' from scokit-learn) was initialized with 200 estimators, a maximum depth of 10, balanced class weights, and parallel processing across 20 jobs. Linear models such as 'LogisticRegression' and 'LinearDiscriminantAnalysis' were found to underperfom the Random Forest classifier (respectevely, 0.3768 and 0.249 accuracy vs 0.385 for the Random Forest classifier, see 'Test linear models' in Figure\_1.ipynb). Using Stratified K-Fold cross-validation with 5 ('n\_splits' set in Utils.Settings.py) folds, balanced accuracy scores were computed, and the mean accuracy was reported. Predictions were generated with cross-validation ('cross\_val\_predict' function in scikit-learn). The performance of the model was evaluated by comparing the predicted labels with the actual labels. Additionally, a comprehensive classification report was generated, providing metrics such as precision, recall, and F1-score for each class. A confusion matrix, normalized by the true labels, was also produced to visualize the model's classification performance across different neurotransmitter types. The evaluation of the model's performance was performed using scikit-learn's 'balanced\_accuracy\_score', 'classification\_report', and 'confusion\_matrix' functions. SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) values were calculated to interpret the feature importance of the Random Forest classifier. An explainer object was created using SHAP's 'TreeExplainer', which was specifically designed for tree-based models. The explainer was initialized with the trained Random Forest classifier, and the number of parallel jobs was set to 40 to leverage computational resources effectively. The SHAP values were computed for a sample of the feature set of 10,000 observations based on class weights ('X\_sample'). These values indicate the contribution of each feature to the model's predictions.   
In panel D we plotted the percentage of cells transcribing each Htr grouped by class label, additional plots related to classification accuracy were computed following the instructions of the previous panel and are available as supplementary figure. In panel E we plotted the correlation between transcription of different Htr genes by using the pandas 'corr()' method.   
To plot the co-localization data of panel F a dictionary named 'coexp' was initialized to store the co-localization results. This dictionary would eventually hold the percentage of co-localization for each pair of genes. A nested loop was employed to iterate through each pair of selected genes, excluding a placeholder category labeled 'Any Htr'. For each target gene and gene to check, the following computations were performed: Co-localization Calculation: For each gene pair, the boolean dataframe 'joined\_boolean' was used to check whether both genes were transcribed (True) in each sample. This was done using the '.all(axis=1)' method, which returned True for rows where both genes were transcribed. The sum of these True values indicated the total number of samples where both genes were co-transcribed. Normalization: This sum was then normalized by dividing it by the total number of samples where the target gene was transcribed. This provided the percentage of samples where the gene pair was co-transcribed relative to the transcription of the target gene. Storing Results: The computed co-localization percentage for each gene pair was stored in the coexp dictionary with the gene pair as the key. After computing the co-localization percentages for all gene pairs, the results were converted into a pandas dataframe for further analysis and visualization. The same co-localization was used in the barplots of panel G.   
For panel H we aggregated Htr transcription by family. These genes were grouped into four primary families: Htr1/5: Summing the transcription levels of genes Htr1a, Htr1b, Htr1d, Htr1f, Htr5a, and Htr5b. Htr2: Summing the transcription levels of genes Htr2a, Htr2b, and Htr2c. Htr4/6/7: Summing the transcription levels of genes Htr4, Htr6, and Htr7. Htr3: Summing the transcription levels of genes Htr3a and Htr3b. These aggregated values were combined with additional columns representing neuronal classifications (class, subclass, supertype, and cluster\_group\_name). The columns of the resulting dataframe were labeled accordingly, and a new column ('Primary Htr family') was added. This column identified the primary serotonin receptor family for each entry by determining the family with the highest aggregated transcription.

**Receptor figure**

This figure relies on both the scRNA-seq and MERFISH datasets. In panel A we plot both the prevalence and the average amount of transcription of the selected gene in the two datasets. We excluded from the analysis the 'NN-IMN-GC' neighborhood because of consistently low transcription across all Htr genes. For the visualization of gene transcription patterns across different 'neighborhoods', we used the seaborn 'pointplot' function to illustrate the transcription levels of a given gene across various groups. The 'violinplot' function was used to create violin plots of amount of transcription per group.   
In panel B we used the same co-localization data used in Figure 1 panel F (scRNA-seq dataset), This barplot is a 'sliced' version of that panel focusing on one receptor at the time. To visualize the number of colocalized genes (barplot on the right), we utilized a boolean dataframe ('joined\_boolean') to filter for selected genes and focus on the transcription status of a particular gene. We then calculated the sum of true values (indicating gene transcription) across each row where the specific gene was transcribed. The distribution of these sums was normalized to obtain the percentage of samples exhibiting co-transcription of the genes.   
In panel C on the left we repeat the same computation of panel A but using 'class' as grouping variable. On the right, we plotted the raw number of cells transcribing the selected gene across different classes. We first filtered the 'joined' dataframe to include only rows where the transcription level of a specific gene exceeded a defined threshold ('threshold\_expression'). We then counted the occurrences of each class in this filtered dataset. The top 10 classes with the highest counts were selected for visualization. Using Seaborn's barplot function, we created a bar plot to display the distribution of these classes. The y-axis represented the count of occurrences, while the x-axis denoted the different classes.   
In panel D we plotted the prevalence of the selected gene in brain regions at two different hierarchical levels, 'division' and 'structure'. Here we take advantage of the high-confidence label integration between the scRNA-seq and MERFISH dataset {Zhang, 2023 #2887}. Each cell of the MERFISH dataset is assigned a cell-type label ('class', 'subclass', 'supertype' and 'cluster') from the clustering of the scRNA-seq {Yao, 2023 #2886}.To analyze the transcription of specific genes across different brain regions and neuronal clusters, we utilized a multi-step data processing approach. First, we calculated in the scRNA-seq the percentage of cells within each cluster transcribing the target gene above a defined threshold ('threshold\_expression'), grouping the data by cluster. This allowed us to identify clusters with high gene transcription levels (>70%, 'threshold\_enriched\_clusters' in Utils.Settings.py) in the scRNA-seq. Next, we focused on clusters with significant gene transcription, filtering the MERFISH dataset to include only cells belonging to these enriched clusters. We then computed the prevalence of cells transcribing the selected gene across different parcellation divisions and structures. This was done by normalizing the number of cells transcribing the gene in each division or structure by the total number of cells in that division or structure, expressed as a percentage. The results were visualized using bar plots to illustrate the top 10 parcellation divisions and structures with the highest gene transcription prevalence. Additionally, we included an inset pie chart to show the proportion of gene transcription attributable to the enriched clusters relative to the total gene transcription. This pie chart highlighted the contribution of these enriched clusters to the overall transcription of the target gene. This pie chart showed that in some cases only a minority of cells transcribing a selected gene belongs to enriched clusters, in this cases, consequetially, the majority of cells will be ignored. To address this problem we include in the interactive visualizer ('Overview genes by brain structure' dashboard) a data source selector that can switch the algorithm used by the dashboard from 'scRNA-seq + MERFISH'' (the one described above) to 'MERFISH only'. This latter option computes the prevalence using solely the MERFISH dataset by simply calculating the proportion of cells transcibing the selected genes across spatial groups.   
Panel E: We calculated the percentage of cells within each cluster that expressed the target gene above a defined threshold ('threshold\_expression'), allowing us to identify clusters with enriched gene transcription. Next, we focused on cells within these enriched clusters and calculated the prevalence of the target gene's transcription across different brain sections. This was done by normalizing the number of cells transcribing the gene in each section by the total number of cells in that section, expressed as a percentage. The results were plotted using a line plot to illustrate the gene's prevalence across brain sections.   
Panel F: To visualize the transcription of a specific gene in the top four brain sections, we implemented a function called 'plot\_4\_best\_sections' (in 'Figures/Figure\_2.ipynb'). This function aimed to identify and plot the sections with the highest gene transcription levels. Data preparation: We first prepared the dataset by selecting the relevant brain sections and ensuring that unassigned parcellation divisions were excluded. We merged this dataset with cluster membership information to provide context for the gene transcription data.Gene transcription calculation: The percentage of cells within each cluster transcribing the target gene above a defined threshold was calculated. This allowed us to identify clusters with enriched gene transcription. Section identification: We calculated the prevalence of the target gene's transcription in each brain section. Using these prevalence values, we identified the top four sections with the highest gene transcription. Peaks in the transcription data, spaced adequately apart, were determined using the 'find\_peaks' function from scipy. The top four peaks were selected for visualization. Plotting: For each of the top four sections, the gene transcription data was plotted. The plot\_slice function was used to generate the plots for each section, and the border color of each subplot was set to match the assigned color for the respective section. The final figure comprised four subplots, each representing one of the top four brain sections with the highest gene transcription levels, providing a clear and comparative visualization of the gene transcription patterns across these key sections.

**Data Visualizer**

The visualizer was built in Python using Matplotlib, Holoviews and Panel libraries. It is available as a jupyter notebook ('Figures/Interactive\_vizs.ipynb') and online (https://rdef654875678597657-5-ht-transcriptomics.hf.space). The jupyter notebook can be used locally by following the installation instructions available in https://github.com/RobertoDF/Transcriptomics-5-HT. The visualizer is deployed and accessible online on the Hugging Face portal. It is organized in four different dashboards: 'Spatial MERFISH', 'Gene by class/subclass/supertype/cluster', 'Overview genes by class' and 'Overview genes by brain structure'. The 'Spatial MERFISH' and 'Overview genes by brain structure' are associated with the MERFISH dataset, remaining tabs are associated with the scRNA-seq dataset. Each dashboard's data source is annotated in the title.. 'Spatial MERFISH': 5 interactive controls enable the selections of different datasets from {Zhang, 2023 #2887}, brain section, gene, class and subclass. The datasets available are 2 coronal (Zhuang-ABCA-1/2) and 2 sagittal (Zhuang-ABCA-3/4). The controls allow visualization of different slices, specific genes, and selected groups. The dashboard includes six panels: 1. Lineplot representing the proportion of cells selected across the spatial axis associated to each dataset, 2. Lineplot representing the amount of transcription across space of the selected gene, 3. Lineplot representing the percentage of cells across space in which RNA of the selected gene was detected (threshold set at 0.3), 4. Barplot representing the percentage of Htr positive cells in the selected slice grouped by brain structure (number in each bar is the absolute number of cells), 5-6. Slice selected with gene transcription (left) and atlas metadata (right).   
'Gene by class/subclass/supertype/cluster': This dashboard has two interactive controls for selecting neighborhood group and gene. For each class of neurons, three levels of visualization are provided: 1. Violinplots: Gene prevalence by subclass, 2.Violinplots: Prevalence by supertype, 3. Barplots: Prevalence by cluster.   
'Overview genes by class': This dashboard includes four interactive controls for selecting class, subclass, type of grouping, and sorting. The plot can be grouped at different clustering depths: classes, subclasses, supertypes and even individual clusters (the number of groups that can visualized at the same time is limited by the maximum recursion depth of Holoviews). The plot can be sorted by the group´s alphabetical name or gene transcription. Gene prevalence is represented with a heatmap in which the colorbar is updated according to the limits of the current selection. Y axis is populated by the name of the groups selected by the "Group by" selector. X axis shows each Htrs.   
'Overview genes by brain structure': This dashboard includes four interactive controls for selecting data source, division, neurotransmitter, and sorting. Gene prevalence is represented with a heatmap in which the colorbar is updated according to the limits of the current selection. Gene prevalence is limited to cluster enriched in the according gene (prevalence within cluster of the gene >70%). The y axis is populated by the brain structures belonging to the currently selected brain division. For each division we can refine our selection by isolating neurons releasing a specific neurotransmitter. X axis shows each Htrs.   
First, enriched clusters in the scRNA-seq dataset are identified, then the proportion of cells belonging to enriched clusters over the total number of cells per region is analyzed. To handle cases where most cells do not belong to enriched clusters and are ignored, a 'Data Source Selector' is used to bypass scRNA-seq data and use MERRFISH data directly.In this case we look directly at the ratio of cells transcribing each gene over the total number of cells per region.
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# Figures

**Figure 1. Overview of Htrs translation.**

(A) Barplot showing absolute number of cells transcribing each Htrs, amount of expression is represented in greyscale, no threshold is applied. Inset shows the prevalence of each Htr using a threshold (log(CPM)>3.5). (B) UMAP representation color-coded by neighborhood metadata (left), Htr1 (middle) and Htr2 (right) transcription. (C) Htr expression prevalence in cells grouped by neurotransmitter release (top). Confusion matrix of the multi-label random forest classifier showing true label on y axis and predicted label on x axis (middle). Matrix of absolute SHAP values for each group and receptor (bottom). Glut = Glutamate, GABA = Gamma-Aminobutyric Acid, Glut-GABA = Glutamate and Gamma-Aminobutyric Acid, Dopa = Dopamine, None = No specific neurotransmitter, GABA-Glyc = Gamma-Aminobutyric Acid and Glycine, Chol = Acetylcholine (Cholinergic), Hist = Histamine, Sero = Serotonin, Nora = Norepinephrine. (D) Htr expression prevalence in cells grouped byclass. (E) Htrs expression correlation matrix. (F) Htrs colocalization matrix. Each dot represents the percentage of colocalization of gene on x axis in cells transcribing gene on y axis. (G) Top: Percentage of cells transcribing the number of Htrs on the x axis. Percentage of cells transcribing the gene on x axis transcribing at least another Htrs gene (middle) or at least other 4 Htrs (bottom). (H) Pie charts representing the main pathway activated by 5-HT in each neighborhood . Principal effector was identified by summing the amount of RNA belonging to recpeptor of the same family in each cell. Each number represents the number of cells in thousands.

**Figure 2. Htr1a transcription**

(A) On the left, dotplot representing Htr1a prevalence across neighborhoods with squared Pearson correlation coefficient (R²) between RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. On the right, violinplots representing the amount of Htr1a RNA detected using RNA-seq (top) and MERFISH (bottom). (B) Amount of colocalization with each Htrs by cells expressing Htr1a RNA in the scRNAseq dataset (left). Number of Htrs RNA detected in cells expressing Htr1a RNA in the scRNAseq dataset (right). (C) Prevalence of Htr1a RNA across all classes of cells in RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. Inset represents the linear regression between the two datasets. On te right, absolute number of cells expressing Htr1a RNA in the scRNAseq by class, ranked in descending order (top ten). (D) Ranked prevalence of Htr1a RNA across divisions (left) and structures of enriched clusters found in the scRNAseq dataset in the MERFISH dataset(right). Inset represents the proportion of cells expressing Htr1a RNA that belongs to enriched clusters. (E) Top: Prevalence of cells from enriched clusters across the antero-posterior axis, identified in the scRNA-seq dataset and cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. Bottom: average amount of RNA expression found in enriched clusters cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. (F) Expression of Htr1a RNA detected by MERFISH in 4 representative slices. Border color represents the position on the antero-posterior axis.

**Figure 3. Htr1b transcription**

(A) On the left, dotplot representing Htr1b prevalence across neighborhoods with squared Pearson correlation coefficient (R²) between RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. On the right, violinplots representing the amount of Htr1b RNA detected using RNA-seq (top) and MERFISH (bottom). (B) Amount of colocalization with each Htrs by cells expressing Htr1b RNA in the scRNAseq dataset (left). Number of Htrs RNA detected in cells expressing Htr1b RNA in the scRNAseq dataset (right). (C) Prevalence of Htr1b RNA across all classes of cells in RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. Inset represents the linear regression between the two datasets. On te right, absolute number of cells expressing Htr1b RNA in the scRNAseq by class, ranked in descending order (top ten). (D) Ranked prevalence of Htr1b RNA across divisions (left) and structures of enriched clusters found in the scRNAseq dataset in the MERFISH dataset(right). Inset represents the proportion of cells expressing Htr1b RNA that belongs to enriched clusters. (E) Top: Prevalence of cells from enriched clusters across the antero-posterior axis, identified in the scRNA-seq dataset and cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. Bottom: average amount of RNA expression found in enriched clusters cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. (F) Expression of Htr1b RNA detected by MERFISH in 4 representative slices. Border color represents the position on the antero-posterior axis.

**Figure 4. Htr1f transcription**

(A) On the left, dotplot representing Htr1f prevalence across neighborhoods with squared Pearson correlation coefficient (R²) between RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. On the right, violinplots representing the amount of Htr1f RNA detected using RNA-seq (top) and MERFISH (bottom). (B) Amount of colocalization with each Htrs by cells expressing Htr1f RNA in the scRNAseq dataset (left). Number of Htrs RNA detected in cells expressing Htr1f RNA in the scRNAseq dataset (right). (C) Prevalence of Htr1f RNA across all classes of cells in RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. Inset represents the linear regression between the two datasets. On te right, absolute number of cells expressing Htr1f RNA in the scRNAseq by class, ranked in descending order (top ten). (D) Ranked prevalence of Htr1f RNA across divisions (left) and structures of enriched clusters found in the scRNAseq dataset in the MERFISH dataset(right). Inset represents the proportion of cells expressing Htr1f RNA that belongs to enriched clusters. (E) Top: Prevalence of cells from enriched clusters across the antero-posterior axis, identified in the scRNA-seq dataset and cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. Bottom: average amount of RNA expression found in enriched clusters cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. (F) Expression of Htr1f RNA detected by MERFISH in 4 representative slices. Border color represents the position on the antero-posterior axis.

**Figure 5. Htr2a transcription**

(A) On the left, dotplot representing Htr2a prevalence across neighborhoods with squared Pearson correlation coefficient (R²) between RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. On the right, violinplots representing the amount of Htr2a RNA detected using RNA-seq (top) and MERFISH (bottom). (B) Amount of colocalization with each Htrs by cells expressing Htr2a RNA in the scRNAseq dataset (left). Number of Htrs RNA detected in cells expressing Htr2a RNA in the scRNAseq dataset (right). (C) Prevalence of Htr2a RNA across all classes of cells in RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. Inset represents the linear regression between the two datasets. On te right, absolute number of cells expressing Htr2a RNA in the scRNAseq by class, ranked in descending order (top ten). (D) Ranked prevalence of Htr2a RNA across divisions (left) and structures of enriched clusters found in the scRNAseq dataset in the MERFISH dataset(right). Inset represents the proportion of cells expressing Htr2a RNA that belongs to enriched clusters. (E) Top: Prevalence of cells from enriched clusters across the antero-posterior axis, identified in the scRNA-seq dataset and cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. Bottom: average amount of RNA expression found in enriched clusters cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. (F) Expression of Htr2a RNA detected by MERFISH in 4 representative slices. Border color represents the position on the antero-posterior axis.

**Figure 6. Htr2c transcription**

(A) On the left, dotplot representing Htr2c prevalence across neighborhoods with squared Pearson correlation coefficient (R²) between RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. On the right, violinplots representing the amount of Htr2c RNA detected using RNA-seq (top) and MERFISH (bottom). (B) Amount of colocalization with each Htrs by cells expressing Htr2c RNA in the scRNAseq dataset (left). Number of Htrs RNA detected in cells expressing Htr2c RNA in the scRNAseq dataset (right). (C) Prevalence of Htr2c RNA across all classes of cells in RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. Inset represents the linear regression between the two datasets. On te right, absolute number of cells expressing Htr2c RNA in the scRNAseq by class, ranked in descending order (top ten). (D) Ranked prevalence of Htr2c RNA across divisions (left) and structures of enriched clusters found in the scRNAseq dataset in the MERFISH dataset(right). Inset represents the proportion of cells expressing Htr2c RNA that belongs to enriched clusters. (E) Top: Prevalence of cells from enriched clusters across the antero-posterior axis, identified in the scRNA-seq dataset and cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. Bottom: average amount of RNA expression found in enriched clusters cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. (F) Expression of Htr2c RNA detected by MERFISH in 4 representative slices. Border color represents the position on the antero-posterior axis.

**Figure 7. Htr4 transcription**

(A) On the left, dotplot representing Htr4 prevalence across neighborhoods with squared Pearson correlation coefficient (R²) between RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. On the right, violinplots representing the amount of Htr4 RNA detected using RNA-seq (top) and MERFISH (bottom). (B) Amount of colocalization with each Htrs by cells expressing Htr4 RNA in the scRNAseq dataset (left). Number of Htrs RNA detected in cells expressing Htr4 RNA in the scRNAseq dataset (right). (C) Prevalence of Htr4 RNA across all classes of cells in RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. Inset represents the linear regression between the two datasets. On te right, absolute number of cells expressing Htr4 RNA in the scRNAseq by class, ranked in descending order (top ten). (D) Ranked prevalence of Htr4 RNA across divisions (left) and structures of enriched clusters found in the scRNAseq dataset in the MERFISH dataset(right). Inset represents the proportion of cells expressing Htr4 RNA that belongs to enriched clusters. (E) Top: Prevalence of cells from enriched clusters across the antero-posterior axis, identified in the scRNA-seq dataset and cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. Bottom: average amount of RNA expression found in enriched clusters cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. (F) Expression of Htr4 RNA detected by MERFISH in 4 representative slices. Border color represents the position on the antero-posterior axis.

**Figure 8. Htr7 transcription**

(A) On the left, dotplot representing Htr7 prevalence across neighborhoods with squared Pearson correlation coefficient (R²) between RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. On the right, violinplots representing the amount of Htr7 RNA detected using RNA-seq (top) and MERFISH (bottom). (B) Amount of colocalization with each Htrs by cells expressing Htr7 RNA in the scRNAseq dataset (left). Number of Htrs RNA detected in cells expressing Htr7 RNA in the scRNAseq dataset (right). (C) Prevalence of Htr7 RNA across all classes of cells in RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. Inset represents the linear regression between the two datasets. On te right, absolute number of cells expressing Htr7 RNA in the scRNAseq by class, ranked in descending order (top ten). (D) Ranked prevalence of Htr7 RNA across divisions (left) and structures of enriched clusters found in the scRNAseq dataset in the MERFISH dataset(right). Inset represents the proportion of cells expressing Htr7 RNA that belongs to enriched clusters. (E) Top: Prevalence of cells from enriched clusters across the antero-posterior axis, identified in the scRNA-seq dataset and cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. Bottom: average amount of RNA expression found in enriched clusters cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. (F) Expression of Htr7 RNA detected by MERFISH in 4 representative slices. Border color represents the position on the antero-posterior axis.

**Figure 9. Htr3a transcription**

(A) On the left, dotplot representing Htr3a prevalence across neighborhoods with squared Pearson correlation coefficient (R²) between RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. On the right, violinplots representing the amount of Htr3a RNA detected using RNA-seq (top) and MERFISH (bottom). (B) Amount of colocalization with each Htrs by cells expressing Htr3a RNA in the scRNAseq dataset (left). Number of Htrs RNA detected in cells expressing Htr3a RNA in the scRNAseq dataset (right). (C) Prevalence of Htr3a RNA across all classes of cells in RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. Inset represents the linear regression between the two datasets. On te right, absolute number of cells expressing Htr3a RNA in the scRNAseq by class, ranked in descending order (top ten). (D) Ranked prevalence of Htr3a RNA across divisions (left) and structures of enriched clusters found in the scRNAseq dataset in the MERFISH dataset(right). Inset represents the proportion of cells expressing Htr3a RNA that belongs to enriched clusters. (E) Top: Prevalence of cells from enriched clusters across the antero-posterior axis, identified in the scRNA-seq dataset and cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. Bottom: average amount of RNA expression found in enriched clusters cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. (F) Expression of Htr3a RNA detected by MERFISH in 4 representative slices. Border color represents the position on the antero-posterior axis.

# Supplementary Figures

**Figure S1. Htrs transcription and hierarchical clustering visualization.**

(A) Top: Linear regression model fit between prevalence (y axis) and amount of intracellular expression (x axis) for each Htrs. Bottom: violinplots showing distribution of intracellular amount of expression for each Htrs. (B) Heatmaps showing relationship between neurotransmitter, class and neighborhoods. (C) Barplots representing the size of each group when cells are categorized by neurotransmitter (top), neighborhood (middle) and class (botttom).

**Figure S2. UMAP distribution of each Htr**

UMAP-associated neurotransmitter, class and dissection region metadata (first row) and UMAP distribution of each Htr.

**Figure S3. Htrs features across neighborhoods and classes**

(A) Htrs prevalence across neighborhoods (left). Confusion matrix related to the decoding of neighboroods classification from Htrs transcription (middle). SHAP values matrix associated to the neighborhood decoding (right). (B) Confusion matrix related to the decoding of classes classification from Htrs transcription (left). SHAP values matrix associated to the class decoding (right).

**Figure S4. Correlation and co-localization patterns across neighborhoods**

(A) Correlation matrices between pairs of Htrs in each neighborhood. (B) co-localization matrices of Htrs transcription in each neighborhood.

**Figure S5. Htr1d transcription**

(A) On the left, Htr1d prevalence across neighborhoods with squared Pearson correlation coefficient (R²) between RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. On the right, amount of Htr1d RNA detected using RNA-seq (top) and MERFISH (bottom). (B) Amount of co-localization with each Htrs by cells expressing Htr1d RNA (left). Number of Htrs RNA detected in cells expressing Htr1d RNA (right). (C) Prevalence of Htr1d RNA across all classes of cells in RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. Inset represents the linear regression between the two datasets. On te right, absolute number of cells expressing Htr1d RNA by class ranked in descending order (top ten). (D) Prevalence of Htr1d RNA across divisions (left) and structures (right). Inset represents the proportion of cells expressing Htr1d RNA that belongs to enriched clusters. (E) Top: Prevalence of cells from enriched clusters across the antero-posterior axis, identified in the scRNA-seq dataset and cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. Bottom: average amount of RNA expression found in enriched clusters cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. (F) Expression of Htr1d RNA detected by MERFISH in 4 representative slices. Border color represents the position on the antero-posterior axis.

**Supplementary Figure S6. Htr5a transcription**

(A) On the left, Htr5a prevalence across neighborhoods with squared Pearson correlation coefficient (R²) between RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. On the right, amount of Htr5a RNA detected using RNA-seq (top) and MERFISH (bottom). (B) Amount of co-localization with each Htrs by cells expressing Htr5a RNA (left). Number of Htrs RNA detected in cells expressing Htr5a RNA (right). (C) Prevalence of Htr5a RNA across all classes of cells in RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. Inset represents the linear regression between the two datasets. On te right, absolute number of cells expressing Htr5a RNA by class ranked in descending order (top ten). (D) Prevalence of Htr5a RNA across divisions (left) and structures (right). Inset represents the proportion of cells expressing Htr5a RNA that belongs to enriched clusters. (E) Prevalence of cells from enriched clusters across the antero-posterior axis, identified in the scRNA-seq dataset and cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset.

**Figure S7. Htr5b transcription**

(A) On the left, Htr5b prevalence across neighborhoods with squared Pearson correlation coefficient (R²) between RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. On the right, amount of Htr5b RNA detected using RNA-seq (top) and MERFISH (bottom). (B) Amount of co-localization with each Htrs by cells expressing Htr5b RNA (left). Number of Htrs RNA detected in cells expressing Htr5b RNA (right). (C) Prevalence of Htr5b RNA across all classes of cells in RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. Inset represents the linear regression between the two datasets. On te right, absolute number of cells expressing Htr5b RNA by class ranked in descending order (top ten). (D) Prevalence of Htr5b RNA across divisions (left) and structures (right). Inset represents the proportion of cells expressing Htr5b RNA that belongs to enriched clusters. (E) Prevalence of cells from enriched clusters across the antero-posterior axis, identified in the scRNA-seq dataset and cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset.

**Supplementary Figure S8. Htr2b transcription**

(A) On the left, Htr2b prevalence across neighborhoods with squared Pearson correlation coefficient (R²) between RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. On the right, amount of Htr2b RNA detected using RNA-seq (top) and MERFISH (bottom). (B) Amount of co-localization with each Htrs by cells expressing Htr2b RNA (left). Number of Htrs RNA detected in cells expressing Htr2b RNA (right). (C) Prevalence of Htr2b RNA across all classes of cells in RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. Inset represents the linear regression between the two datasets. On te right, absolute number of cells expressing Htr2b RNA by class ranked in descending order (top ten).

**Figure S9. Htr6 transcription**

(A) On the left, Htr6 prevalence across neighborhoods with squared Pearson correlation coefficient (R²) between RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. On the right, amount of Htr6 RNA detected using RNA-seq (top) and MERFISH (bottom). (B) Amount of co-localization with each Htrs by cells expressing Htr6 RNA (left). Number of Htrs RNA detected in cells expressing Htr6 RNA (right). (C) Prevalence of Htr6 RNA across all classes of cells in RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. Inset represents the linear regression between the two datasets. On te right, absolute number of cells expressing Htr6 RNA by class ranked in descending order (top ten).

**Figure S10. Htr3a transcription**

(A) On the left, Htr3a prevalence across neighborhoods with squared Pearson correlation coefficient (R²) between RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. On the right, amount of Htr3a RNA detected using RNA-seq (top) and MERFISH (bottom). (B) Amount of co-localization with each Htrs by cells expressing Htr3a RNA (left). Number of Htrs RNA detected in cells expressing Htr3a RNA (right). (C) Prevalence of Htr3a RNA across all classes of cells in RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. Inset represents the linear regression between the two datasets. On te right, absolute number of cells expressing Htr3a RNA by class ranked in descending order (top ten). (D) Prevalence of Htr3a RNA across divisions (left) and structures (right). Inset represents the proportion of cells expressing Htr3a RNA that belongs to enriched clusters. (E) Top: Prevalence of cells from enriched clusters across the antero-posterior axis, identified in the scRNA-seq dataset and cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. Bottom: average amount of RNA expression found in enriched clusters cross-referenced in the MERFISH dataset. (F) Expression of Htr3a RNA detected by MERFISH in 4 representative slices. Border color represents the position on the antero-posterior axis.

**Figure S11. Htr3b transcription**

(A) On the left, Htr3b prevalence across neighborhoods with squared Pearson correlation coefficient (R²) between RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. On the right, amount of Htr3b RNA detected using RNA-seq (top) and MERFISH (bottom). (B) Amount of co-localization with each Htrs by cells expressing Htr3b RNA (left). Number of Htrs RNA detected in cells expressing Htr3b RNA (right). (C) Prevalence of Htr3b RNA across all classes of cells in RNA-seq and MERFISH dataset. Inset represents the linear regression between the two datasets. On te right, absolute number of cells expressing Htr3b RNA by class ranked in descending order (top ten).

**Table 1. Neighborhoods descriptions**

Description of cells belonging to each neighborhood group.

**Table 2. Region acronyms**

Allen Mouse Brain Common Coordinate Framework (CCF) acronyms.

**Table 3. Htrs defining features**

Concise table listing the defining feature of each Htr trascription pattern.