```
# The dataframe Lakes pollution.txt contains data related to the pollution
# levels in 125 different locations of 20 different Italian lakes (italian lakes).
# For each location, the following quantities are registered:
# - depth (measured in meters)
# - mercury conc (allowed concentrations for mercury (Hg): 0.001 - 0.01 mg/L)
# - ph (ideal pH range for freshwater ecosystems: 6.5 - 8.5)
# - turbidity (range for clear water: 1 - 10 Nephelometric Turbidity Units
        (NTU); higher values indicate increased turbidity)
# - wastewater discharge in {Yes, No}
# - DO (Dissolved Oxygen)
# Being able to model DO is important because low levels can be stressful for
# aquatic organisms and can lead to fish kills.
# Consider the following linear model:
# DOij = beta0 + beta1 depth ij + beta2 mercury concij + beta3 ph ij + beta4 turbidityij
+ beta5 wastewater dischargeij + eps_ij
# for i in italian lakes, j in locations and with eps_ij \sim N(0, sigma^2).
# a) Fit the model and provide the estimates of the model unknowns,
    after having eventually reduced it.
     What is the percentage of unexplained variability?
     In your opinion, the homoscedasticity of the residuals can be assumed?
# b) What is the average increase of DO due to increment of 3 NTU in turbidity?
     Is that significant? Report the mean difference of DO between the
    locations with wastewater discharge with respect to the ones that are not
    discharged.
# c) Within the context of homoscedastic and correlated residuals, introduce a
    Compound Symmetry Correlation Structure within each i in italian lakes
     (let rho be the extra diagonal term in the correlation matrix).
     Report the estimated rho and sigma and a 95% confidence interval for both
    of them. Draw your conclusions.
# d) Consider now the variable italian lakes as a random intercept.
   Compute and report the PVRE index and comment on the obtained result.
    [Bonus] Make a comparison between the model at point c)
                and the model at point d).
#
# e) Report the dot plot of the estimated random intercepts.
# Ignoring the effect of fixed effect covariates, which is the lake
    associated with the lowest concentration of DO?
# question a)
# Load the data
setwd("~/HPC/APPSTAT/Exams/16-06-2023/E3")
lakes <- read.table("Lakes_pollution.txt", header = TRUE)</pre>
dim(lakes)
head(lakes)
svg("pairplot.svg", width = 8, height = 8)
plot(lakes)
dev.off()
# By looking at the plot, we can already see that there is a strong positive
# correlation between DO and depth, and also between DO and turbidity
# (smaller wrt depth).
# Fit the model, but first introduce a dummy variable for wastewater discharge
dummy.ww <- ifelse(lakes$wastewater discharge == "Yes", 1, 0)</pre>
length(dummy.ww)
model <- lm(D0 ~ depth + mercury_conc + ph + turbidity + dummy.ww, data = lakes)</pre>
summary(model)
model <- lm(DO \sim depth + ph + turbidity + dummy.ww, data = lakes)
summary(model)
linearHypothesis(model, c(0, 0, 0, 1, 0), 0)
model$coefficients
```

```
beta0 <- model$coefficients[1]</pre>
beta1 <- model$coefficients[2]</pre>
beta3 <- model$coefficients[3]</pre>
beta4 <- model$coefficients[4]</pre>
beta5 <- model$coefficients[5]</pre>
svg("residuals.svg", width = 5, height = 5)
plot(model$residuals)
dev.off()
svg("fitted.svg", width = 5, height = 5)
par(mfrow = c(2, 2))
plot(model)
dev.off()
svg("qqplot.svg", width = 5, height = 5)
qqnorm(model$residuals)
qqline(model$residuals)
dev.off()
shapiro.test(model$residuals)
library(car)
help(ncvTest)
ncvTest(model)
# question b)
# Average increase of D0 due to increment of 3 NTU in turbidity?
3 * beta4
# Mean difference of DO between lakes with and without wastewater discharge:
mean(lakes$D0[lakes$wastewater_discharge == "Yes"]) -
    mean(lakes$D0[lakes$wastewater discharge == "No"])
coefficients(model)[5]
mean(model$fitted.values[lakes$wastewater_discharge == "Yes"]) -
    mean(model$fitted.values[lakes$wastewater_discharge == "No"])
# question c)
library(nlme)
formula <- DO ~ depth + ph + turbidity + dummy.ww
gen.model <- gls(formula, data = lakes, correlation = corCompSymm(form = ~ 1 |</pre>
italian lakes))
summary(gen.model)
intervals(gen.model, which = "var-cov")
# auestion d)
library(lme4)
library(insight)
mix.eff.model2 <- lmer(D0 ~ depth + ph + turbidity + dummy.ww + (1 | italian lakes), data
= lakes)
summary(mix.eff.model)
summary(mix.eff.model2)
sigma.eps <- get_variance_residual(mix.eff.model)</pre>
sigma.b <- get_variance_random(mix.eff.model)</pre>
sigma.eps2 <- get_variance_residual(mix.eff.model2)</pre>
sigma.b2 <- get_variance_random(mix.eff.model2)</pre>
PVRE <- sigma.b / (sigma.b + sigma.eps)
PVRE
```

```
PVRE2 <- sigma.b2 / (sigma.b2 + sigma.eps2)
PVRE2

# question e)
library(lattice)

svg("dotplot.svg", width = 7, height = 7)
dotplot(ranef(mix.eff.model2, condVar = TRUE))
dev.off()</pre>
```