

Research Proposal

Yuanshao Yang

Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Michigan

1 Introduction

- General Area to be studied

Prostheses / Exoskeletons design has become an emerging field in recent years, due to its performance in assisting locomotion for people with disabilities [1].

- Personalization of Prosthesis / Orthoses / Exoskeleton design [1]

- Why this area is important

Due to the fact that many people suffer from locomotor defects, thus significantly modify the biomechanics and muscle activity of joints (e.g. asymmetrical gait patterns) [2], **personalization** of prostheses / exoskeletons design becomes an emphasis of the field. Generally, the ultimate goal of prostheses / exoskeleton design is to personalize the experience in the correction of locomotion defects [3], and reduce the energy cost for long-distance locomotion [4].

- many people suffer from locomotor defects, thus significantly modify the biomechanics and muscle activity of joints (e.g. asymmetrical gait patterns) [2]
 - correct locomotion (e.g. gaits) defect for disabilities [3]
 - reduce energy cost for long-distance locomotion [4]

2 Research Questions

- what is already known in the field (with several critical studies)?

Specifically, recent studies have chosen 2 major approaches in the personalized design of prostheses / exoskeletons: unpowered exoskeleton [5] and powered exoskeleton [6].

- common design goals for prostheses exoskeletons
 - * light-weight [7]
 - * high energy density and efficiency [8]
 - Therefore: 2 approaches to design prostheses / exoskeletons
 - * unpowered exo [5]
 - * powered exo [6]

- Why these studies are not sufficient, thus requiring my further research?

However, trade-offs exist in both unpowered and powered exoskeleton design. While unpowered exoskeleton relieves the added mass penalty in exchange of a less power-density provided by actuation, powered exoskeleton is able to provide a larger power density but restricted by the added weight from Mechatronics parts [9]. Such trade-offs make it difficult to generalize the design for prostheses / exoskeleton devices, which also adds difficulty to personalize the experience.

The ultimate goal of prostheses / exoskeleton design is to be generalized in design and personalized in user experience. Therefore, a balance should be made between the benefits and drawbacks of different prostheses / exoskeleton design, and generalize the design for personalized prostheses / exoskeleton devices.

- both design are trade-offs: unpowered exo has a smaller added mass penalty but harder to provide large power-density, while autonomous exo is easier to provide power but difficult to offer light-weight features.
- The ultimate goal of prostheses / exoskeleton design is to be generalized in design and personalized in user experience.

- **Key Research Questions, and Relevant Rationale**

Therefore, the key research objective is put forward as follows: find a balance between the benefits and drawbacks of different prostheses / exoskeleton design, and generalize the design for personalized prostheses / exoskeleton devices.

- how to weigh the benefits of drawbacks of different prostheses / exoskeleton design, and generalize the design flow for personalized prostheses / exoskeleton devices?

3 Plans and Methods

- Biomechanics: multiple ways to evaluate metabolic cost, by **Sensor Fusion** (respirometry, electromyography (EMG))

The solution of the personalization design is two-folded. Firstly, the biomechanics of the user should be well understood, and the metabolic cost should be evaluated more precisely. This can be done by sensor fusion, which combines respirometry and electromyography (EMG) to evaluate the metabolic cost of the user. Such evaluation of the metabolic cost can provide a better understanding of the mechanics of human locomotion, thus contributing to a more detailed classification of locomotion modes and walking speeds in different situations.

Such analysis on the biomechanics of human locomotion leads to a mixed strategy for prostheses / exoskeleton controls, which combines data-driven and classical control methods. The development of such a mixed control strategy should provide a more adaptable and dynamic movement for the user, thus reducing the energy cost of locomotion.

- Lead to: mixed control strategy (data driven + classical control)
- benefit: better understanding of mechanics (mid-level control side), contributes to the high level control (e.g. locomotion modes, various walking speed), make it more adaptable to dynamic movement.

Secondly, both the method and the flow of Mechatronics system design should be optimized for generalizations of personalized prostheses / exoskeleton devices. On the one hand, variable stiffness and impedance should be applied to provide adjustable parameters, thus reducing the metabolic cost of locomotion. On the other hand, the components of the Mechatronics system should be adjustable [8] [10], while maintaining control bandwidth [11]. Such design should aim to provide net energy gain for the user, thus personalizing user experience in the correction of locomotion defects and reducing the energy cost for long-distance locomotion.

- Mechatronics (wearable robotics) design: variable stiffness and impedance (interchangeable components [7], compact energy storage devices [8], impedance control [10]).
 - Goal: provide net energy gain for the user, thus reducing the metabolic cost of locomotion
 - Components should be adjustable (e.g. series spring), while maintaining control bandwidth [11]

4 Significance

Overall, the research on the personalization of prostheses / exoskeleton design is significant in various aspects. On the one hand, the research can provide a more detailed understanding of the biomechanics of human locomotion, thus contributing to a more detailed classification of locomotion modes and walking speeds in different situations. On the other hand, the research generates a generalized method for personalizing prostheses / exoskeleton devices, while maintaining the function of reducing energy cost [4], and correcting gait patterns [3].

- personalized in **assistance**
 - reduce energy cost [4]
- personalized in **rehabilitation**
 - correct gait patterns [3]

References

- [1] P. Slade, M. J. Kochenderfer, S. L. Delp, and S. H. Collins, “Personalizing exoskeleton assistance while walking in the real world,” *Nature*, vol. 610, no. 7931, pp. 277–282, 2022.
- [2] L. Nolan, A. Wit, K. Dudziński, A. Lees, M. Lake, and M. Wychowański, “Adjustments in gait symmetry with walking speed in trans-femoral and trans-tibial amputees,” *Gait & posture*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 142–151, 2003.
- [3] J. F. Lehmann, S. M. Condon, R. Price, *et al.*, “Gait abnormalities in hemiplegia: their correction by ankle-foot orthoses,” *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, vol. 68, no. 11, pp. 763–771, 1987.
- [4] K. L. Poggensee and S. H. Collins, “How adaptation, training, and customization contribute to benefits from exoskeleton assistance,” *Science Robotics*, vol. 6, no. 58, p. eabf1078, 2021.
- [5] S. H. Collins, M. B. Wiggin, and G. S. Sawicki, “Reducing the energy cost of human walking using an unpowered exoskeleton,” *Nature*, vol. 522, no. 7555, pp. 212–215, 2015.
- [6] A. F. Azocar, L. M. Mooney, J.-F. Duval, A. M. Simon, L. J. Hargrove, and E. J. Rouse, “Design and clinical implementation of an open-source bionic leg,” *Nature biomedical engineering*, vol. 4, no. 10, pp. 941–953, 2020.
- [7] P. G. Kulkarni, N. Paudel, S. Magar, M. F. Santilli, S. Kashyap, A. K. Baranwal, P. Zamboni, P. Vasavada, A. Katiyar, and A. V. Singh, “Overcoming challenges and innovations in orthopedic prosthesis design: an interdisciplinary perspective,” *Biomedical Materials & Devices*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 58–69, 2024.
- [8] Z. Bons, G. C. Thomas, L. M. Mooney, and E. J. Rouse, “A compact, two-part torsion spring architecture,” in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pp. 7461–7467, IEEE, 2023.
- [9] G. S. Sawicki, O. N. Beck, I. Kang, and A. J. Young, “The exoskeleton expansion: improving walking and running economy,” *Journal of neuroengineering and rehabilitation*, vol. 17, pp. 1–9, 2020.
- [10] L. M. Mooney, *The use of series compliance and variable transmission elements in the design of a powered knee prosthesis*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2014.
- [11] F. Sergi, D. Accoto, G. Carpino, N. L. Tagliamonte, and E. Guglielmelli, “Design and characterization of a compact rotary series elastic actuator for knee assistance during overground walking,” in *2012 4th IEEE RAS & EMBS international conference on biomedical robotics and biomechatronics (BioRob)*, pp. 1931–1936, IEEE, 2012.