M2 AIC

TC2: Introduction to Optimization

Black-Box Optimization Benchmarking with the COCO platform

_

Multiobjective Optimizer adaptive IBEA (ϵ -indicator)

Group 1: Martin BAUW, Robin DURAZ, Jiaxin GAO, Hao LIU, Luca VEYRON-FORRER

- Introduction
- 2 The algorithm
 - Overview of IBEA
 - Selection and variation
- Our implementation
 - Code structure
 - Improvements regarding the execution speed
- CPU timing and results
 - CPU timing and results
 - Comparision with NSGA 2 and Random Search
- Conclusion
- 6 Bibliography

Introduction

The algorithm
Our implementation
CPU timing and results
Conclusion
Bibliography

Overview of IBEA Selection and variation

steps description

Binary quality indicators

Recombination

For recombination, we use a Simulated Binary Crossover (SBX) operator. A uniform probability pick in [0,1] written u determines the parameter used in computing the features (decision space coordinates) of the children.

• if the uniform probability pick ≤ 0.5 :

$$\beta_q = (2u)^{\frac{1}{\mu + 1}} \tag{1}$$

else:

$$\beta_q = \left(\frac{1}{2(1-u)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu+1}} \tag{2}$$

Recombination

Thus, we can compute the children's coordinates:

• first child:

$$child0[j] = 0.5((1 + \beta_q)parent0[j] + (1 - \beta_q)parent1[j])$$
 (3)

second child:

$$child1[j] = 0.5((1 - \beta_q)parent0[j] + (1 + \beta_q)parent1[j])$$
 (4)

Mating selection and mutation

Polynomial mutation operator:

The mutation operator modifies individuals by changing small parts in the associated vectors according to a given mutation rate.

• if the uniform probability pick ≤ 0.5 :

$$\sigma_L = (2u)^{\frac{1}{\mu+1}} - 1 \tag{5}$$

$$p_{mut}[j] = ind[j] + \sigma_L(ind[j] - Lo)$$
 (6)

else:

$$\sigma_R = (2(1-u))^{\frac{1}{\mu+1}} \tag{7}$$

$$p_{mut}[j] = ind[j] + \sigma_R(Up - ind[j])$$
 (8)

Code structure

mprovements regarding the execution speed

Code structure Improvements regarding the execution speed

Computer specifications and batch options

- Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-7500U CPU @ 2.70GHz
- Quad core CPU with 16GB RAM

Everything ran with a budget of 100

- Three batchs for dimensions 2, 3, 5, 10, 20
- First batch running alone, and two others together
- One batch for dimensions 40

Options chosen to run the algorithm

Population size : 100

Maximum number of generation : 100

Scaling factor: 0.05

Mutation rate: 0.01

Recombination and mutation mu: 1

Population initialization in range (-5, 5)

Dimension Batch	2	3		5
Batch 1 on 3	6.0e-04	6.3e-04		8.1e-04
Batch 2 and 3 on 3 run	8.6e-04	8.6e-04		9.1e-04
simultaneously	8.3e-04	8.4e-04		8.9e-04
Dimension Batch	10		20	
Batch 1 on 3	8.3e-04		1.1e-03	
Batch 2 and 3 on 3 run	1.1e-03		1.3e-03	
simultaneously	1.0e-03		1.3e-03	
Dimension Batch	40			
Whole test suite	4.2e-03			

CPU timing and results
Comparision with NSGA 2 and Random Search

Results

Results analysis

- Comparatively better in higher dimensions
- Results globally good for an EMOA
- More budget could have given better results
- A better initialization of population could lead to a sharper increase at the beginning

CPU timing and results
Comparision with NSGA 2 and Random Search

NSGA 2

Random Search

In our experiments, we majorly focused on the comparison with NSGA-II and Random search:

IBEA VS Random search: IBEA outperformed Random search, a relatively good Pareto set approximation was given by IBEA. IBEA VS NSGA-II: IBEA performed worse than NSGA-II.

Choosing a representation of the problem addressed, an initial population, a method of selection, a crossover operator, mutation operator, the probabilities of crossover and mutation, and the insertion method creates a variant of MOEAs algorithms.

Non-exhaustive bibliography