

MG7: Configurable and scalable 16S metagenomics data analysis – new methods optimized for massive cloud computing

Alexey Alekhin^{† 1} Evdokim Kovach^{† 1} Marina Manrique ¹ Pablo Pareja-Tobes ¹ Eduardo Pareja ¹ Raquel Tobes ¹ and Eduardo Pareja-Tobes ^{1,*}

¹Oh no sequences! Research Group, Era7 Bioinformatics, Granada, Spain

Correspondence*:

Eduardo Pareja-Tobes

Oh no sequences! Research Group, Era7 Bioinformatics, Plaza Campo Verde 3, Granada, 18001, Spain, eparejatobes@ohnosequences.com

2 ABSTRACT

- The exponential growth of metagenomics is adding a significant plus of complexity to the big 3 data problem in genomics. In this new scenario impacted by the wide scale and scope of the projects and by the explosion of sequence data to be analyzed is especially opportune the use 6 of new possibilities that cloud computing approaches, new functional and dependently typed 7 programming languages and new database paradigms as graph databases offer. To tackle the challenges of big data analysis in this work we have used these new means to design and develop 9 a new open source methodology for analyzing metagenomics data, MG7. It exploits the new possibilities that cloud computing offers to get a system robust, programmatically configurable, 10 modular, distributed, flexible, scalable and traceable in which the biological databases of reference 11 sequences can be easily updated and/or frequently substituted by new ones or by databases 12 specifically designed for focused projects. MG7 uses parallelization and distributed analysis 13 based on Amazon Web Services (AWS), with on-demand infrastructure as the basic paradigm 14 and allow the definition of complex workflows using a composable system for scaling/parallelizing 16 stateless computations designed for AWS that counts with a static reproducible specification of dependencies and behavior of the different components. The modeling of the taxonomy 17 18 tree is done using the new paradigm of graph databases of Bio4j that facilitates the taxonomic assignment tasks and the calculation of the taxa abundance values considering the hierarchic 19 structure of taxonomy tree. MG7 includes the new 16S-DB7 database built with a flexible and 20 sustainable system of updating and project-driven personalization. 21
- [†] The first and second authors contributed equally to this work
- 23 Keywords: Metagenomics, 16S, Bacterial diversity profile, Bio4j, Graph databases, Cloud computing, NGS, Genomic big data,
- 24 Microbiome, Environmental, 16S Database

1 INTRODUCTION

- 25 During the past decade, metagenomics data analysis is growing exponentially. Some of the reasons behind
- 26 this are the increasing throughput of massively parallel sequencing technologies (with the derived decrease

35

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

in sequencing costs), and the wide impact of metagenomics studies (Oulas et al., 2015), especially in human health (diagnostics, treatments, drug response or prevention) (Bikel et al., 2015). We should also 28 mention what could be called the microbiome explosion: all kind of microbiomes (gut, mouth, skin, urinary 29 tract, airway, milk, bladder) are now routinely sequenced in different conditions of health and disease, 30 or after different treatments. The impact of Metagenomics is also being felt in environmental sciences (Ufarté et al., 2015), crop sciences, the agrifood sector (Coughlan et al., 2015) and biotechnology in general 32 (Cowan et al., 2015, Kodzius and Gojobori (2015)). These new possibilities for exploring the diversity of 33 micro-organisms in the most varied environments are opening new research areas, and drastically changing 34 the existing ones.

As a consequence, the challenge is thus moving (as in other fields) from data acquisition to data analysis: 36 the amount of data is expected to be overwhelming in a very short time (Stephens et al., 2015). 37

Genome researchers have raised the alarm over big data in the past (Hayden, 2015), but even a more 38 39 serious challenge might be faced with the metagenomics boom. If we compare metagenomics data with 40 other genomics data used in clinical genotyping we find a differential feature: the key role of time. Thus, for example, in some longitudinal studies, serial sampling from the same patient (Faust et al., 2015) along 41 several weeks (or years) is being used for the follow up of some intestinal pathologies, for studying the 42 evolution of the gut microbiome after antibiotic treatment, or for colon cancer early detection (Zeller et al., 43 2014, Garrett (2015)). This need of sampling across time adds more complexity to metagenomics data 44 storage and demands adapted algorithms to detect state variations across time as well as idiosyncratic 45 commonalities of the microbiome of each individual (Franzosa et al., 2015). In addition to the intra-46 47 individual sampling-time dependence, metagenomic clinical test results vary depending on the specific region of extraction of the clinical specimen. This local variability adds complexity to the analysis since different localizations (different tissues, different anatomical regions, healthy or tumor tissues) are required 49 50 to have a sufficiently complete landscape of the human microbiome. Moreover, re-analysis of old samples using new tools and better reference databases might be also demanded from time to time. 51

Other disciplines such as astronomy or particle physics have faced the big data challenge before. A key difference is the existence of standards for data processing (Stephens et al., 2015); in metagenomics global standards for converting raw sequence data into processed data are not yet well defined, and there are shortcomings derived from the fact that most bioinformatics methodologies used for metagenomics data analysis were designed for scenarios very different from the current one. These are some of the aspects that have suffered crucial changes and advances with a direct impact in metagenomics data analysis:

- 1. **Sequence data:** the reads are larger, the sequencing depth and the number of samples of each project are considerably bigger. The first metagenomics studies were very local projects, while nowadays the most fruitful studies are done at a global level (international, continental, national). This kind of global studies has yielded the discovery of clinical biomarkers for diseases of the importance of cancer, obesity or inflammatory bowel diseases and has allowed exploring the biodiversity of varied earth environments.
- 2. **The genomics explosion:** its effect being felt in this case in the reference sequences. The immense amount of sequences available in public repositories demands new strategies for curation, update and storage of metagenomics reference databases: current models will (already) have problems to face the future avalanche of metagenomic sequence data.
- 3. Cloud computing: the appearance of new models for massive computation and storage such as the cloud-based platforms, or the widespread adoption of programming methodologies like functional

- programming, or, more speculatively, dependently typed programming. The new possibilities that these advances offer must have a direct impact in metagenomics data analysis.
- 4. **Open science:** the new social manner to do science, particularly so in genomics, brings its own set of requirements. Metagenomics evolves in a social and global scenario following a science
- democratization trend in which many small research groups from distant countries share a common
- big metagenomics project; this global cooperation demands systems allowing for reproducible data
- analysis, data interoperability, and tools and practices for asynchronous collaboration between different
- 77 groups.

2 RESULTS

78 **2.1 Overview**

- 79 Considering the current new metagenomics scenario and to tackle the challenges posed by metagenomics
- 80 big data analysis outlined in the Introduction we have designed a new open source methodology for
- analyzing metagenomics data. It exploits the new possibilities that cloud computing offers to get a system
- 82 robust, programmatically configurable, modular, distributed, flexible, scalable and traceable in which the
- 83 biological databases of reference sequences can be easily updated and/or frequently substituted by new
- 84 ones or by databases specifically designed for focused projects.
- These are some of the more innovative MG7 features:
- Static reproducible specification of dependencies and behavior of the different components using Statika and Datasets
- Parallelization and distributed analysis based on AWS, with on-demand infrastructure as the basic paradigm
- Definition of complex workflows using *Loquat*, a composable system for scaling/parallelizing stateless computations especially designed for AWS
- A new approach to data analysis specification, management and specification based on working with it in exactly the same way as for a software project, together with the extensive use of compile-time structures and checks
- Modeling of the taxonomy tree using the new paradigm of graph databases (Bio4j). It facilitates the
 taxonomic assignment tasks and the calculation of the taxa abundance values considering the hierarchic
 structure of taxonomy tree (cumulative values)
- Exhaustive per-read taxonomic assignment using two complementary assignment algorithms Lowest
 Common Ancestor and Best BLAST Hit
- Using a new 16S database of reference sequences (16S-DB7) with a flexible and sustainable system of updating and project-driven customization

102 2.2 Libraries and resources

- In this section we describe the resources and libraries developed by the authors on top of which MG7
- 104 is built. All MG7 code is written in Scala, a hybrid object-functional programming language. Scala was
- 105 chosen based on the possibility of using certain advanced programming styles, and Java interoperability,
- 106 which let us build on the vast number of existing Java libraries; we take advantage of this when using
- 107 Bio4j as an API for the NCBI taxonomy. It has support for type-level programming, type-dependent types
- 108 (through type members) and singleton types, which permits a restricted form of dependent types where

- 109 types can depend essentially on values determined at compile time (through their corresponding singleton
- 110 types). Conversely, through implicits one can retrieve the value corresponding to a singleton type.

111 2.2.1 Statika: machine configuration and behavior

- Statika is a Scala library developed by the first and last authors which serves as a way of defining
- and composing machine behaviors statically. The main component are **bundles**. Each bundle declares a
- 114 sequence of computations (its behavior) which will be executed in an environment. A bundle can depend
- on other bundles, and when being executed by an environment, its DAG (Directed Acyclic Graph) of
- 116 dependencies is linearized and run in sequence. In our use, bundles correspond to what an EC2 instance
- should do and an environment to an AMI (Amazon Machine Image) which prepares the basic configuration,
- 118 downloads the Scala code and runs it.

119 2.2.2 Datasets: a mini-language for data

- Datasets is a Scala library developed by the first and last authors with the goal of being a Scala-embedded
- mini-language for datasets and their locations. **Data** is represented as type-indexed fields: keys are modeled
- 122 as singleton types, and values correspond to what could be called a denotation of the key: a value of
- 123 type Location tagged with the key type. Then a Dataset is essentially a collection of data, which
- 124 are guaranteed statically to be different through type-level predicates, making use of the value-type
- 125 correspondence which can be established through singleton types and implicits. A dataset location is then
- just a list of locations formed by locations of each dataset key. All this is based on what could be described
- as an embedding in Scala of an extensible record system with concatenation on disjoint labels, in the
- spirit of (Harper and Pierce, 1990, Harper and Pierce (1991)). For that *Datasets* uses ohnosequences/cosas
- 129 library.
- Data keys can further have a reference to a **data type**, which, as the name hints at, can help in providing
- information about the type of data we are working with. For example, when declaring Illumina reads as a
- data, a data type containing information about the read length, insert size or end type (single or paired) is
- 133 used.
- 134 A **location** can be, for example, an S3 object or a local file; by leaving the location type used to denote
- 135 particular data free we can work with different "physical" representations, while keeping track of to which
- 136 logical data they are a representation of. Thus, a process can generate locally a .fastq file representing
- the merged reads, while another can put it in S3 with the fact that they all correspond to the "same" merged
- 138 reads is always present, as the data that those "physical" representations denote.

139 2.2.3 Loguat: Parallel data processing with AWS

- Loquat is a library developed by the first, second and last authors designed for the execution of
- 141 embarrassingly parallel tasks using S3, SQS and EC2 Amazon services.
- 142 A *loquat* executes a process with explicit input and output datasets (declared using the *Datasets* library
- 143 described above). Workers (EC2 instances) read from an SQS queue the S3 locations for both input and
- output data; then they download the input to local files, and pass these file locations to the process to be
- executed. The output is then put in the corresponding S3 locations.
- A manager instance is used to monitor workers, provide initial data to be put in the SQS queue and
- optionally release resources depending on a set of configurable conditions.
- Both worker and manager instances are *Statika* bundles. The worker can declare any dependencies needed
- 149 to perform its task: other tools, libraries, or data.

- 150 All configuration such as the number of workers or the instance types is declared statically, the specification of a loquat being ultimately a Scala object. Deploy and resource management methods 151
- make easy to use an existing loquat either as a library or from (for example) a Scala REPL. 152
- The input and output (and their locations) being defined statically has several critical advantages. First, 153
- composing different loquats is easy and safe; just use the output types and locations of the first one as input 154
- for the second one. Second, data and their types help in not mixing different resources when implementing 155
- a process, while serving as a safe and convenient mechanism for writing generic processing tasks. For 156
- example, merging paired-end Illumina reads generically is easy as the data type includes the relevant 157
- information (insert size, read length, etc) to pass to a tool such as FLASh. 158

2.2.4 Type-safe eDSLs for BLAST and FLASh 159

- We developed our own Scala-based type-safe eDSLs (embedded Domain Specific Languages) for FLASh 160
- (Magoč and Salzberg, 2011) and BLAST (Camacho et al., 2009) expressions and their execution. 161
- In the case of BLAST we use a model where we can guarantee for each BLAST command expression at 162
- compile time that 163
- all required arguments are provided 164
- only valid options are provided 165
- 166 • correct types for each option value
- 167 • valid output record specification
- Generic type-safe parsers returning a heterogeneous record of BLAST output fields are also available, 168
- together with output data defined using Datasets which have a reference to the exact BLAST command 169
- options which yielded that output. This lets us provide generic parsers for BLAST output which are 170
- guaranteed to be correct. 171
- In the same spirit as for BLAST, we implemented a type-safe eDSL for FLASh expressions and their 172
- execution, supporting features equivalent to those outlined for the BLAST eDSL. 173

2.2.5 Bio4i and Graph Databases 174

- (Bio4j Pareja-Tobes et al., 2015) is a data platform integrating data from different resources such as 175
- UniProt or GO in a graph data paradigm. In the assignment phase we use a subgraph containing the NCBI 176
- Taxonomy, wrapping in Scala its Java API in a tree algebraic data type. 177

16S Reference Database Construction 178

- 179 Our 16S Reference Database is a curated subset of sequences from NCBI nucleotide database nt.
- 180 The sequences included were selected by similarity with the bacterial and archaeal reference sequences
- downloaded from the RDP database (Cole et al., 2013). RDP unaligned sequences were used to capture 181
- new 16S RNA sequences from nt using BLAST similarity search strategies and then, performing 182
- additional curation steps to remove sequences with poor taxonomic assignments to taxonomic nodes 183
- close to the root of the taxonomy tree. All the nucleotide sequences included in nt database has a 184
- taxonomic assignment provided by the Genbank sequence submitter. NCBI provides a table (available 185
- at ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pub/taxonomy/) to do the mapping of any Genbank Identifier (GI) to its 186
- Taxonomy Identifier (TaxID). Thus, we are based on a crowdsourced submitter-maintained taxonomic 187
- annotation system for reference sequences. It supposes a sustainable system able to face the expected 188
- number of reference sequences that will populate the public global nucleotide databases in the near future. 189

- Another advantageous point is that we are based on NCBI taxonomy, the *de facto* standard taxonomic
- 191 classification for biomolecular data (Cochrane and Galperin, 2010). NCBI taxonomy is, undoubtedly, the
- most used taxonomy all over the world and the most similar to the official taxonomies of each specific field. 192
- This is a crucial point because all the type-culture and tissue databanks follow this official taxonomical 193
- 194 classification and, in addition, all the knowledge accumulated during last decades is referred to this
- taxonomy. In addition NCBI provides a direct connection between taxonomical formal names and the 195
- physical specimens that serve as exemplars for the species (Federhen, 2014). 196
- 197 Certainly, if metagenomics results are easily integrated with the theoretical and experimental knowledge
- 198 of each specific area, the impact of metagenomics will be higher than if it progresses as a disconnected
- research branch. Considering that metagenomics data interoperability, which is especially critical in clinical 199
- environments, requires a stable taxonomy to be used as reference, we decided to rely on the most widely 200
- 201 used taxonomy: the NCBI taxonomy. In addition, the biggest global sequence database GenBank follows
- 202 this taxonomy to register the origin of all their submitted sequences. Our 16S database building strategy
- 203 allows the substitution of the 16S database by any other subset of **nt**, even by the complete **nt** database if it
- 204 would be needed, for example, for analyzing shotgun metagenomics data. This possibility of changing
- the reference database provides flexibility to the system enabling it for easy updating and project-driven 205
- 206 personalization.

207 2.3 Workflow Description

- The MG7 analysis workflow is summarized in Figure 1. The input files for MG7 are the FASTQ files 208
- 209 resulting from a paired-end NGS sequencing experiment.

2.3.1 Joining reads of each pair using FLASh 210

- In the first step the paired-end reads, designed with an insert size that yields pairs of reads with an 211
- 212 overlapping region between them, are assembled using FLASh (Magoč and Salzberg, 2011). FLASh is
- designed to merge pairs of reads when the original DNA fragments are shorter than twice the length of 213
- reads. Thus, the sequence obtained after joining the 2 reads of each pair is larger and has better quality 214
- 215 since the sequence at the ends of the reads is refined merging both ends in the assembly. To have a larger
- and improved sequence is crucial to do more precise the inference of the bacterial origin based on similarity 216
- with reference sequences. 217

Parallelized BLASTN of each read against the 16S-DB7 2.3.2

- The second step is to search for similar 16S sequences in our 16S-DB7 database. The taxonomic 219
- assignment for each read is based on BLASTN of each read against the 16S database. Assignment based on 220 direct similarity of each read one by one compared against a sufficiently wide database is considered in 221
- different reviews of metagenomics analysis methodologies (Segata et al., 2013, Morgan and Huttenhower 222
- (2012)) as a very exhaustive method for assignment. Some methods of assignment compare the sequences 223
- only against the 16S genes from available complete bacterial genomes or avoid computational cost clustering 224
- or binning the sequences first, and then doing the assignments only for the representative sequence of each 225
- cluster. MG7 carries out an exhaustive comparison of all the reads under analysis and it does not applies 226
- any binning strategy. Every read is specifically compared with all the sequences of the 16S database. We 227
- select the best BLAST hits (10 hits by default) obtained for each read to do the taxonomic assignment. 228

257

264

265

266

267268

269

270

229 2.3.3 Taxonomic Assignment Algorithms

All the reads are assigned under two different algorithms of assignment: i. Lowest Common Ancestor based taxonomic assignment (LCA) and ii. Best BLAST Hit based taxonomic assignment (BBH). Figure 2 displays schematically the LCA algorithm applied sensu stricto (left panel) and the called 'in line' exception (right panel) designed in order to gain specificity in the assignments in the cases in which the topology of the taxonomical nodes corresponding to the BLAST hits support sufficiently the assignment to the most specific taxon.

2.3.3.1 Lowest Common Ancestor based Taxonomic Assignment

For each read, first, we select the BEST BLAST HITs (by default 10 Hits) over a threshold of similarity. 237 To evaluate similarity for this first filtering of hits we use the Expect value (by default $evalue \le e^{-15}$) that 238 describes the number of hits one can "expect" to see by chance when searching a database of a particular 239 size. In a second filtering step we filtering those hits that are not sufficiently good comparing them with the 240 best one. We select the best HSP (High Similarity Pair) per reference sequence and then choose the best 241 HSP (that with lowest E-value) between all the selected ones. The bitscore of this best HSP (called S) is 242 used as reference to filter the rest of HSPs. All the HSPs with bitscore below the product pS are filtered, p 243 is a coefficient fixed by the user to define the bitscore required, e.g. if p = 0.9 and S = 700 the required 244 bitscore threshold would be 630. Once we have the definitive HSPs selected, we obtain their corresponding 245 taxonomic nodes using the taxonomic assignments that NCBI provides for all the nt database sequences. 246 Now we have to analyze the topological distribution of these nodes in the taxonomy tree: i. If all the nodes 247 forms a line in the taxonomy tree (are located in a not branched lineage to the tree root) we should choose 248 249 the most specific taxID as the final assignment for that read. We call to this kind of assignment the 'in line' 250 exception (see Figure 2 right panel). ii. If not, we should search for the sensu stricto Lowest Common 251 Ancestor (LCA) of all the selected taxonomic nodes (See Figure 2 left panel). In this approach we decided 252 to use the bitscore for evaluating the similarity because it is a value that increases when similarity is higher 253 and depends a lot on the length of the HSP. Some reads could not find sequences with enough similarity in 254 the database and then they would be classified as reads with no hits. Advanced metagenomics analysis 255 approaches (Huson and Weber, 2012) have adopted LCA assignment algorithms because it provides fine 256 and trusted taxonomical assignment.

2.3.3.2 Best BLAST hit taxonomic assignment

We decided to maintain the simpler method of Best BLAST Hit (BBH) for taxonomic assignment because, in some cases, it can provide information about the sequences that adds information to that obtained using LCA algorithm. Using LCA algorithm, when some reference sequences with BLAST alignments over the required thresholds map to a not sufficiently specific taxID, the read can be assigned to an unspecific taxon near to the root of the taxonomy tree. If the BBH reference sequence maps to more specific taxa, this method, in that case, gives us useful information.

2.3.4 Output for LCA and BBH assignments

MG7 provides independent results for the 2 different approaches, LCA and BBH. The output files include, for each taxonomy node (with some read assigned), abundance values for direct assignment and cumulative assignment. The abundances are provided in counts (absolute values) and in percentage normalized to the number of reads of each sample. Direct assignments are calculated counting reads specifically assigned to a taxonomic node, not including the reads assigned to the descendant nodes in the taxonomy tree. Cumulative assignments are calculated including the direct assignments and also the assignments of the descendant

- 271 nodes. For each sample MG7 provides 8 kinds of abundance values: LCA direct counts, LCA cumu. counts,
- 272 LCA direct %, LCA cumu. %, BBH direct counts, BBH cumu. counts, BBH direct % and BBH cumu. %.

273 2.4 Data analysis as a software project

- The MG7 16S data analysis workflow is indeed a set of tasks, all of them based in *Loquat*. For each task,
- 275 a set of inputs and outputs as well as configuration parameters must be statically defined. The user is also
- 276 free to leave the reasonable defaults for configuration, needing only to define the input and output of the
- 277 whole workflow. The definition of this configuration is Scala code and the way of starting an MG7 analysis
- 278 is compiling the project code and launching it from the Scala interactive console.
- 279 Code compilation prior to launching any analysis assures that no AWS resources are launched if the
- analysis is not well-defined, avoiding expenses not leading to any analysis. Besides compile-time checks,
- 281 runtime checks are made before launch to ensure existence of input data and availability of resources.
- 282 An MG7 analysis is then a Scala project where the user only needs to set certain variables at the code
- 283 level (input, output and parameters), compile the code and run it. To facilitate the process of setting up the
- 284 Scala project, a template with sensible defaults is provided.
- In order to be able to exploit AWS infrastructure for the MG7 analysis, the user needs to set up an AWS
- 286 account with certain IAM (Identity and Access Management) permission policies that will grant access to
- 287 the resources used in the workflow.

288 2.5 Availability

MG7 is open source, available at https://github.com/ohnosequences/mg7 under an AGPLv3 license.

3 DISCUSSION

- 290 We could summarize the most innovative ideas and developments in MG7:
- 291 1. Treating data analysis as a software project. This makes for radical improvements in *reproducibility*, 292 *reuse*, *versioning*, *safety*, *automation* and *expressiveness*
- 293 2. Checking at compile-time: input and output data, their locations and type are expressible and checked at compile-time using *Datasets*
- 295 3. Management of dependencies and machine configurations using *Statika*
- 4. Automation of AWS cloud resources and processes, including distribution and parallelization through the use of *Loquat*
- 5. Taxonomic data and related operations are treated natively as what they are: graphs, through the use of *Bio4j*
- 6. MG7 provides a sustainable model for taxonomic assignment, appropriate to face the challenging amount of data that high throughput sequencing technologies generate
- We will expand on each item in the following sections.

303 3.1 A new approach to data analysis: data analysis as a software project and checking at compile-time

- 305 MG7 proposes to define and work with a particular data analysis task as a software project, using Scala.
- 306 The idea is that *everything*: data description, their location, configuration parameters and the infrastructure
- 307 used should be expressed as Scala code, and treated in the same way as any (well-managed) software

316

322

323

324

- project. This includes, among other things, using version control systems (git in our case), writing tests, making stable releases following semantic versioning or publishing artifacts to a repository.
- What we see as key advantages of this approach (when coupled with compile-time specification and checking), are
- **Reproducibility** the same analysis can be run again with exactly the same configuration in a trivial way.
- **Versioning** as in any software project, there can be different versions, stable releases, etc.
 - **Reuse** we can build standard configurations on top of this and reuse them for subsequent data analysis. A particular data analysis *task* can be used as a *library* in further analysis.
- **Decoupling** We can start working on the analysis specification, without any need for available data in a much easier way.
- **Documentation** We can take advantage of all the effort put into software documentation tools and practices, such as in our case Scaladoc or literate programming. As documentation, analysis processes and data specification live together in the files, it is much easier to keep coherence between them.
 - Expresiveness and safety For example in our case we can choose only from valid illumina read types, and then build a default FLASh command based on that. The output locations, being declared statically, are also available for use in further analysis.

325 3.2 Input and output data declaration

- An important aspect of the MG7 workflow is the way it deals with data resources. All the data that is going to be used in the analysis or produced as an output is described as Scala code using rich types from the *Datasets* language. This allows the user to specify information about types of data, information that can then be utilized by tools analyzing this data. For example, we can specify that, for the first part of the MG7 workflow, running FLASh in parallel requires illumina paired end reads and produces joined reads.
- On one hand, specification of the input data allows us to restrict its type and force users to be conscious 331 332 about what they pass as an input. On the other hand, specification of the output data helps to build a workflow as a *composition* of several parts: we can ensure on the Scala code type level that the output 333 of one component fits as an input for the next component. This is crucial as, obviously, the way a data 334 analysis task works depends a lot on the particular structure of the data. For instance, in the MG7 workflow, 335 using BLAST eDSL, we can precisely describe which format will have the output of the BLAST step, 336 which information it will include, and then in the next step we can reuse this description to parse BLAST 337 output and retrieve the part of the information needed for the taxonomy assignment analysis. Having the 338 data structure described statically as Scala code allows us to be sure that we will not have parsing problems 339 or other issues with incompatible data passed between workflow components. 340
- All this does not compromise flexibility in how the user works with data in MG7: having static data declarations as a part of the configuration allows the user to reuse analysis components, or modify them according to particular needs. Besides that, an important advantage of the type-level control is the added protection from the execution (and deployment) of a wrongly configured analysis task, which may lead to significant costs in both time and money.

346 3.3 Tools, data, dependencies and automated deployment

Bioinformatics software often has a complicated installation process and requires various dependencies with unclear versions. This makes the deployment of the bioinformatics tools an involved task and resolving

it manually is not a solution in the context of cloud computations. To face this problem, one needs an automated system of managing tools and resources, which will allow an expressive way for describing dependencies between parts of a pipeline and provide a reproducible procedure of its deployment. We have developed *Statika* for this purpose and successfully used it in MG7.

Every external tool involved in the workflow is represented as a *Statika* bundle, which is essentially a Scala project describing the installation process of this tool and declaring dependencies on other bundles which will be installed prior to the considered tool itself. Describing relationships between bundles on the code level allows us to track the directed acyclic graph of their dependencies and linearize them to automatically install them sequentially in the right order. Meanwhile, describing the installation process on the code level allows the user to utilize the wide range of available Scala and Java APIs and tools, making installation a well-defined sequence of steps rather than an unreliable script, dependent on a certain environment. *Statika* offers an easy path towards making deployment an automated, reproducible process.

Besides bioinformatics tools like BLAST and FLASh, *Statika* bundles are used for wrapping data dependencies and all inner components of the system that require cloud deployment. In particular, all components of *Loquat* are bundles; the user can then define which components are needed for the parallel processing on each computation unit in an expressive way, declaring them as bundle dependencies of the loquat "worker" bundle. This modularization is also important for the matter of making components of the system reusable for different projects and liberating the user from most of the tasks related to their deployment.

3.4 Parallel computations in the cloud

The MG7 workflow consists of certain steps, each of which performs some work in parallel, using the cloud infrastructure managed by *Loquat*. It is important to notice the horizontal scalability of this approach. Irrespectively of how much data needs to be processed, MG7 will handle it, by splitting data into chunks and performing the analysis on multiple computation units. The Amazon Elastic Compute Cloud (EC2) service provides a transparent way of managing computation infrastructure, called autoscaling groups. The User can set MG7 configuration parameters, adjusting for each task the amount and hardware characteristics of the EC2 instances they want to use for it. But it is important to note that, as each workflow step is not very resource demanding, it is not needed to hire EC2 instances with some advanced hardware. Instead, an average type will work and you can reduce execution time by simply scaling out the number of instances.

379 3.5 Taxonomy and Bio4j

The hierarchic structure of the taxonomy of the living organisms is a tree, and, hence, is also a graph in which each node, with the exception of the root node, has a unique parent node. It led us to model the taxonomy tree as a graph using the graph database paradigm. Previously we developed Bio4j [Pareja-Tobes-2015], a platform for the integration of semantically rich biological data using typed graph models. It integrates most publicly available data linked with sequences into a set of interdependent graphs to be used for bioinformatics analysis and especially for biological data. MG7 works based on the Bio4j taxonomy module. It opens the possibility to connect the taxonomic profiling data obtained with MG7 to all the biological knowledge associated to each taxon. Using the information available in Bio4j for all the proteins assigned to each taxon we are connected to all the functional data available in Uniprot related with it.

390 3.6 Future developments

- 391 3.6.1 Shotgun metagenomics
- 392 It is certainly possible to adapt MG7 to work with shotgun metagenomics data. Simply changing the
- 393 reference database to include whole genome sequence data could yield interesting results. This could also
- 394 be refined by restricting reference sequences according to all sort of criteria, like biological function or
- 395 taxonomy. Bio4j would be an invaluable tool here, thanks to its ability to express complex predicates on
- 396 sequences using all the information linked with them (GO annotations, UniProt data, NCBI taxonomy, etc).
- 397 3.6.2 Comparing groups of samples
- 398 The comparison of the taxonomic profiles between different groups of samples is a need for many
- 399 metagenomics studies. Tasks related with this group-based analysis, such as the extraction of the minimal
- 400 tree with all the taxa with some direct or accumulated assignment, will be part of a new MG7 module,
- 401 already in development.
- 402 3.6.3 Interactive visualizations based on Biographika
- New visualization tools for metagenomics results are undoubtedly needed. Interactivity is a especially
- 404 interesting feature for metagenomics data visualization, since the expert needs to explore the results in a
- 405 knowledge-driven way. The majority of the available metagenomics data visualizations are static. We are
- 406 working in the *Biographika* project (Tobes et al., 2015), to provide interactive rich visualizations on the
- 407 web for Bio4j data. The development of visualizations specific for MG7 is one of Biographika current
- 408 goals. Biographika is based on D3.js, the de-facto standard JavaScript data visualization library, and is
- 409 open source.

4 MATERIALS AND METHODS

410 4.1 Amazon Web Services

- 411 MG7 uses the following Amazon Web Services:
- EC2 (Elastic Compute Cloud) autoscaling groups for launching and managing computation units
- S3 (Simple Storage Service) for storing input and output data
- SQS (Simple Queue Service) for communication between different components of the system
- SNS (Simple Notification Service) for e-mail notifications
- 416 These services are used through a Scala wrapper of the official AWS Java SDK v1.9.25:
- 417 ohnosequences/aws-scala-tools v0.13.2.

418 4.2 Scala

MG7 itself and all the libraries used are written in Scala v2.11.

420 4.3 Statika

421 MG7 uses ohnosequences/statika v2.0.0 for specifying the configuration and behavior of EC2 instances.

422 **4.4 Datasets**

- 423 MG7 uses ohnosequences/datasets v0.2.0 for specifying input and output data, their type and their
- 424 location.

425 **4.5** Loquat

- 426 MG7 uses ohnosequences/loquat v2.0.0 for the specification of data processing tasks and their execution
- 427 using AWS resources.
- 428 **4.6 BLAST eDSL**
- MG7 uses ohnosequences/blast v0.2.0. The BLAST version used is v2.2.31+.
- 430 **4.7 FLASh eDSL**
- 431 MG7 uses ohnosequences/flash v0.1.0. The FLASh version used is v1.2.11.
- 432 **4.8 Bio4j**
- 433 MG7 uses bio4j/bio4j v0.12.0-RC3 and bio4j/bio4j-titan v0.4.0-RC2 as an API for the NCBI taxonomy.

5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

434 Funding: The two first authors are funded by INTERCROSSING (Grant 289974).

REFERENCES

- 435 Bikel, S., Valdez-Lara, A., Cornejo-Granados, F., Rico, K., Canizales-Quinteros, S., Soberón, X., et al.
- 436 (2015). Combining metagenomics, metatranscriptomics and viromics to explore novel microbial
- interactions: towards a systems-level understanding of human microbiome. *Computational and structural*
- 438 biotechnology journal 13, 390–401
- 439 Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., et al. (2009). Blast+:
- architecture and applications. *BMC bioinformatics* 10, 421
- 441 Cochrane, G. R. and Galperin, M. Y. (2010). The 2010 nucleic acids research database issue and online
- database collection: a community of data resources. *Nucleic acids research* 38, D1–D4
- 443 Cole, J. R., Wang, Q., Fish, J. A., Chai, B., McGarrell, D. M., Sun, Y., et al. (2013). Ribosomal database
- project: data and tools for high throughput rrna analysis. *Nucleic acids research*, gkt1244
- 445 Coughlan, L. M., Cotter, P. D., Hill, C., and Alvarez-Ordóñez, A. (2015). Biotechnological applications of
- functional metagenomics in the food and pharmaceutical industries. Frontiers in microbiology 6
- Cowan, D. A., Ramond, J.-B., Makhalanyane, T. P., and De Maayer, P. (2015). Metagenomics of extreme
- environments. Current opinion in microbiology 25, 97–102
- 449 Faust, K., Lahti, L., Gonze, D., de Vos, W. M., and Raes, J. (2015). Metagenomics meets time series
- analysis: unraveling microbial community dynamics. Current opinion in microbiology 25, 56–66
- 451 Federhen, S. (2014). Type material in the ncbi taxonomy database. *Nucleic acids research*, gku1127
- 452 Franzosa, E. A., Huang, K., Meadow, J. F., Gevers, D., Lemon, K. P., Bohannan, B. J., et al. (2015).
- 453 Identifying personal microbiomes using metagenomic codes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
- 454 Sciences, 201423854
- 455 Garrett, W. S. (2015). Cancer and the microbiota. Science 348, 80–86
- 456 Harper, R. and Pierce, B. (1991). A record calculus based on symmetric concatenation. In *Proceedings of*
- 457 the 18th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT symposium on Principles of programming languages (ACM), 131–142
- 458 Harper, R. W. and Pierce, B. C. (1990). Extensible records without subsumption
- 459 Hayden, E. C. (2015). Genome researchers raise alarm over big data. Nature

- Huson, D. H. and Weber, N. (2012). Microbial community analysis using megan. Methods in enzymology 460 531, 465–485 461
- Kodzius, R. and Gojobori, T. (2015). Marine metagenomics as a source for bioprospecting. Marine 462 genomics 463
- Magoč, T. and Salzberg, S. L. (2011). Flash: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome 464 assemblies. Bioinformatics 27, 2957–2963 465
- Morgan, X. C. and Huttenhower, C. (2012). Chapter 12: human microbiome analysis. PLoS Comput Biol 466 8, e1002808 467
- Oulas, A., Pavloudi, C., Polymenakou, P., Pavlopoulos, G. A., Papanikolaou, N., Kotoulas, G., et al. 468
- (2015). Metagenomics: Tools and insights for analyzing next-generation sequencing data derived from 469
- 470 biodiversity studies. Bioinformatics and biology insights 9, 75
- Pareja-Tobes, P., Tobes, R., Manrique, M., Pareja, E., and Pareja-Tobes, E. (2015). Bio4j: a high-471 performance cloud-enabled graph-based data platform. bioRxiv, 016758 472
- 473 Segata, N., Boernigen, D., Tickle, T. L., Morgan, X. C., Garrett, W. S., and Huttenhower, C. (2013).
- Computational meta'omics for microbial community studies. *Molecular systems biology* 9, 666 474
- Stephens, Z. D., Lee, S. Y., Faghri, F., Campbell, R. H., Zhai, C., Efron, M. J., et al. (2015). Big data: 475 476 Astronomical or genomical? PLoS Biol 13, e1002195
- Tobes, P. P., Tobes, E. P., Manrique, M., Pareja, E., and Tobes, R. (2015). Biographika: rich interactive 477
- data visualizations on the web for the research community. bioRxiv, 021063 478
- 479 Ufarté, L., Potocki-Véronèse, G., and Laville, E. (2015). Discovery of new protein families and functions:
- new challenges in functional metagenomics for biotechnologies and microbial ecology. Name: Frontiers 480 in Microbiology 6, 563 481
- Zeller, G., Tap, J., Voigt, A. Y., Sunagawa, S., Kultima, J. R., Costea, P. I., et al. (2014). Potential of fecal 482
- microbiota for early-stage detection of colorectal cancer. Molecular systems biology 10, 766 483