

MG7: Configurable and scalable 16S metagenomics data analysis – new methods optimized for massive cloud computing

Alexey Alekhin^{† 1} Evdokim Kovach^{† 1} Marina Manrique¹ Pablo Pareja¹

Eduardo Pareja¹ Raquel Tobes¹ and Eduardo Pareja-Tobes^{1,*}

¹Oh no sequences! Research Group, Era7 Bioinformatics, Granada, Spain

Correspondence*:

Corresponding Author

Oh no sequences! Research Group, Era7 Bioinformatics, Plaza Campo Verde 3, Granada, 18001, Spain, eparejatobes@ohnosequences.com

2 ABSTRACT

3 No abstract yet. Will be here.

4 [†] The first and second authors contributed equally to this work

5 **Keywords:** Metagenomics, 16S, Bacterial diversity profile, Bio4j, Graph databases, Cloud computing, NGS, Genomic big data

1 INTRODUCTION

6 Metagenomics data analysis is growing at exponential rate during the last years. The increasing throughput
7 of massively parallel sequencing technologies, the derived decreasing cost, and the high impact of
8 metagenomics studies, especially in human health (diagnostics, treatments, drug response, prevention), are
9 crucial reasons responsible for this growth of Metagenomics. There is a growing interest in sequencing
10 all kind of microbiomes (gut, mouth, skin, urinary tract, airway, milk, bladder), in different conditions of
11 health and disease, or after different treatments. Metagenomics is also impacting environmental sciences,
12 crop sciences, agrifood sector and biotechnology in general. This new possibilities for exploring the
13 diversity of micro-organisms in the most diverse environments is opening many new research areas but,
14 due to this wide interest, it is expected that the amount of data will be overwhelming in the short time
15 (Stephens et al., 2015).

16 Genome researchers have raised the alarm over big data in the past nature news add ref but even a more
17 serious challenge might be faced with the metagenomics boom/ upswing. If we compare metagenomics
18 data with other genomics data used in clinical genotyping we find a differential feature: the key role of
19 time. Thus, for example, in some longitudinal studies, serial sampling of the same patient along several
20 weeks (or years) is being used for the follow up of some intestinal pathologies, for studying the evolution
21 of gut microbiome after antibiotic treatment, or for colon cancer early detection (Zeller et al., 2014).
22 This need of sampling across time adds more complexity to metagenomics data storage and demands
23 adapted algorithms to detect state variations across time as well as idiosyncratic commonalities of the
24 microbiome of each individual (Franzosa et al., 2015). In addition to the intra-individual sampling-time
25 dependence, metagenomic clinical test results vary depending on the specific region of extraction of
26 the clinical specimen. This local variability adds complexity to the analysis since different localizations

(different tissues, different anatomical regions, healthy or tumour tissues) are required to have a sufficiently complete landscape of the human microbiome. Moreover, reanalysis of old samples using new tools and better reference databases might be also demanded from time to time.

During the last years other sciences as astronomy or particle physics are facing the big data challenge but, at least, these science have standards for data processing (Stephens et al., 2015). Global standards for converting raw sequence data into processed data are not yet well defined in metagenomics and there are shortcomings derived from the fact that many bioinformatics methodologies currently used for metagenomics data analysis were designed for a scenario very different that the current one. These are some of the aspects that have suffered crucial changes and advances with a direct impact in metagenomics data analysis:

- i. The first aspect is related to the sequences to be analyzed: the reads are larger, the sequencing depth and the number of samples of each project are considerably bigger. The first metagenomics studies were very local projects, while nowadays the most fruitful studies are done at a global level (international, continental, national). This kind of global studies has yielded the discovery of clinical biomarkers for diseases of the importance of cancer, obesity or inflammatory bowel diseases and has allowed exploring the biodiversity in many earth environments
- ii. The second aspect derives from the impressive genomics explosion, its effect being felt in this case in the reference sequences. The immense amount of sequences available in public repositories demands new approaches in curation, update and storage for metagenomics reference databases: current models will or already have problems to face the future avalanche of metagenomic sequences.
- iii. The third aspect to consider for metagenomics data analysis is related to the appearance of new models for massive computation and storage such as the so-called cloud, or the widespread adoption of programming methodologies like functional programming, or, more speculatively, dependently typed programming. The immense new possibilities that these advances offer must have a direct impact in metagenomics data analysis.
- iv. And finally the new social manner to do science, and especially genomic science is the fourth aspect to consider. Metagenomics evolves in a social and global scenario following a science democratization trend in which many small research groups from distant countries share a common big metagenomics project. This global cooperation demands systems allowing following exactly the same pipelines using equivalent cloud resources to modularly execute the analysis in an asynchronous way of working between different groups. This new scenario calls for new methods and tools to handle the current and future volume of metagenomic data with the sufficient speed of analysis.

Considering all these aspects we have designed a new open source methodology for analyzing metagenomics data that exploits the new possibilities that cloud computing offers to get a system robust, programmatically configurable, modular, distributed, flexible, scalable and traceable in which the biological databases of reference sequences can be easily updated and/or frequently substituted by new ones or by databases specifically designed for focused projects.

2 RESULTS

2.1 Overview

To tackle the challenges posed by metagenomics big data analysis outlined in the Introduction

- Static reproducible specification of dependencies and behavior of the different components using *Statika* and *Datasets*
- Parallelization and distributed analysis based on AWS, with on-demand infrastructure as the basic paradigm
- Definition of complex pipelines using *Loquat*, a composable system for scaling/parallelizing stateless computations especially designed for Amazon Web Services (AWS)
- A new approach to data analysis specification, management and specification based on working with it in exactly the same way as for a software project, together with the extensive use of compile-time structures and checks
- Modeling of the taxonomy tree using the new paradigm of graph databases (Bio4j). It facilitates the taxonomic assignment tasks and the calculation of the taxa abundance values considering the hierarchic structure of taxonomy tree (cumulative values)
- per-read assignment (??)

2.2 Libraries and resources

In this section we describe the resources and Scala libraries developed by the authors on top of which MG7 is built.

Scala, a hybrid object-functional programming language, was chosen based on the possibility of using certain advanced programming styles, and Java interoperability, which let us build on the vast number of existing Java libraries; we take advantage of this when using Bio4j as an API for the NCBI taxonomy. It has support for type-level programming, type-dependent types (through type members) and singleton types, which permits a restricted form of dependent types where types can depend essentially on values determined at compile time (through their corresponding singleton types). Conversely, through implicits one can retrieve the value corresponding to a singleton type.

2.2.1 *Statika*: machine configuration and behavior

Statika is a Scala library developed by the first and last authors which serves as a way of defining and composing machine behaviors statically. The main component are **bundles**. Each bundle declares a sequence of computations (its behavior) which will be executed in an **environment**. A bundle can *depend* on other bundles, and when being executed by an environment, its DAG of dependencies is linearized and run in sequence. In our use, bundles correspond to what an EC2 instance should do and an environment to an image (AMI: **A**mazon **M**achine **I**mage) which prepares the basic configuration, downloads the Scala code and runs it.

2.2.2 *Datasets*: a mini-language for data

Datasets is a Scala library developed by the first and last authors with the goal of being a Scala-embedded mini-language for datasets and their locations. **Data** is represented as type-indexed fields: Keys are modeled as singleton types, and values correspond to what could be called a denotation of the key: a value of type `Location` tagged with the key type. Then a **Dataset** is essentially a collection of data, which are guaranteed statically to be different through type-level predicates, making use of the value type correspondence which can be established through singleton types and implicits. A dataset location is then just a list of locations formed by locations of each data member of that dataset. All this is based on what could be described as an embedding in Scala of an extensible record system with concatenation on disjoint labels, in the spirit of (Harper and Pierce, 1990, Harper and Pierce (1991)). For that *Datasets* uses ohnosequences/cosas.

Data keys can further have a reference to a **data type**, which, as the name hints at, can help in providing information about the type of data we are working with. For example, when declaring Illumina reads as a data, a data type containing information about the read length, insert size or end type (single or paired) is used.

A **location** can be, for example, an S3 object or a local file; by leaving the location type used to denote particular data free we can work with different “physical” representations, while keeping track of to which logical data they are a representation of. Thus, a process can generate locally a `.fastq` file representing the merged reads, while another can put it in S3 with the fact that they all correspond to the “same” merged reads is always present, as the data that those “physical” representations denote.

2.2.3 Loquat: Parallel data processing with AWS

Loquat is a library developed by the first, second and last authors designed for the execution of embarrassingly parallel tasks using S3, SQS and EC2.

A **loquat** executes a process with explicit input and output datasets (declared using the *Datasets* library described above). Workers (EC2 instances) read from an SQS queue the S3 locations for both input and output data; then they download the input to local files, and pass these file locations to the process to be executed. The output is then put in the corresponding S3 locations.

A manager instance is used to monitor workers, provide initial data to be put in the SQS queue and optionally release resources depending on a set of configurable conditions.

Both worker and manager instances are Statika bundles. In the case of the worker, it can declare any dependencies needed to perform its task: other tools, libraries, or data.

All configuration such as the number of workers or the instance types is declared statically, the specification of a loquat being ultimately a Scala object. There are deploy and resource management methods, making it easy to use an existing loquat either as a library or from (for example) a Scala REPL.

The input and output (and their locations) being defined statically has several critical advantages. First, composing different loquats is easy and safe; just use the output types and locations of the first one as input for the second one. Second, data and their types help in not mixing different resources when implementing a process, while serving as a safe and convenient mechanism for writing generic processing tasks. For example, merging paired-end Illumina reads generically is easy as the data type includes the relevant information (insert size, read length, etc) to pass to a tool such as FLASH.

2.2.4 Type-safe eDSLs for BLAST and FLASH

We developed our own Scala-based type-safe eDSLs (**e**mbded **D**omain **S**pecific **L**anguage) for FLASH and BLAST expressions and their execution.

In the case of BLAST we use a model for expressions where we can guarantee for each BLAST command expression at compile time

- all required arguments are provided
- only valid options are provided
- correct types for each option value
- valid output record specification

Generic type-safe parsers returning an heterogeneous record of BLAST output fields are also available, together with output data defined using *Datasets* which have a reference to the exact BLAST command

options which yielded that output. This let us provide generic parsers for BLAST output which are guaranteed to be correct, for example.

In the same spirit as for BLAST, we implemented a type-safe EDSL for FLASH expressions and their execution, sporting features equivalent to those outlined for the BLAST EDSL.

2.2.5 Bio4j and Graph Databases

(Bio4j Pareja-Tobes et al., 2015) is a data platform integrating data from different resources such as UniProt or GO in a graph data paradigm. In the assignment phase we use a subgraph containing the NCBI Taxonomy, wrapping in Scala its Java API in a tree algebraic data type.

2.2.6 16S Reference Database Construction

Our 16S Reference Database is a curated subset of sequences from NCBI nucleotide database **nt**. The sequences included were selected by similarity with the bacterial and archaeal reference sequences downloaded from the **RDP database** (Cole et al., 2013). RDP unaligned sequences were used to capture new 16S sequences from **nt** using BLAST similarity search strategies and then, performing additional curation steps to remove sequences with poor taxonomic assignments to taxonomic nodes close to the root of the taxonomy tree. All the nucleotide sequences included in **nt** database has a taxonomic assignment provided by the **Genbank** sequence submitter. NCBI provides a table (available at <ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pub/taxonomy/>) to do the mapping of any Genbank Identifier (GI) to its Taxonomy Identifier (TaxID). Thus, we are based on a crowdsourced submitter-maintained taxonomic annotation system for reference sequences. It supposes a sustainable system able to face the expected number of reference sequences that will populate the public global nucleotide databases in the near future. Another advantageous point is that we are based on NCBI taxonomy, the *de facto* standard taxonomic classification for biomolecular data (Cochrane and Galperin, 2010). NCBI taxonomy is, undoubtedly, the most used taxonomy all over the world and the most similar to the official taxonomies of each specific field. This is a crucial point because all the type-culture and tissue databanks follow this official taxonomical classification and, in addition, all the knowledge accumulated during last decades is referred to this taxonomy. In addition NCBI provides a direct connection between taxonomical formal names and the physical specimens that serve as exemplars for the species (Federhen, 2014).

Certainly, if metagenomics results are easily integrated with the theoretical and experimental knowledge of each specific area, the impact of metagenomics will be higher than if metagenomics progresses as a disconnected research branch. Considering that metagenomics data interoperability, which is especially critical in clinical environments, requires a stable taxonomy to be used as reference, we decided to rely on the most widely used taxonomy: the NCBI taxonomy. In addition, the biggest global sequence database GenBank follows this taxonomy to register the origin of all their submitted sequences. Our 16S database building strategy allows the substitution of the 16S database by any other subset of **nt**, even by the complete **nt** database if it would be needed, for example, for analyzing shotgun metagenomics data. This possibility of changing the reference database provides flexibility to the system enabling it for easy updating and project-driven personalization.

2.3 Pipeline Description

2.3.1 Taxonomic Assignment Algorithms

2.3.1.1 Lowest Common Ancestor based Taxonomic Assignment

For each read:

189 1. Select only one BLASTN alignment (HSP) per reference sequence (the HSP with lowest e value) 2.
 190 Filter all the HSPs with bitscore below a defined BLASTN bitscore threshold s_0 3. Find the best bitscore
 191 value S in the set of BLASTN HSPs corresponding to hits of that read 4. Filter all the alignments with
 192 bitscore below $p * S$ (where p is a fixed by the user coefficient to define the bitscore required, e.g. if $p=0.9$
 193 and $S=700$ the required bitscore threshold would be 630) 5. Select all the taxonomic nodes to which map
 194 the reference sequences involved in the selected HSPs: - If all the selected taxonomic nodes forms a line
 195 in the taxonomy tree (are located in a not branched lineage to the tree root) we should choose the most
 196 specific taxID as the final assignment for that read - If not, we should search for the (sensu stricto) Lowest
 197 Common Ancestor (LCA) of all the selected taxonomic nodes (See Figure X)

198 In this approach the value used for evaluating the similarity is the bitscore that is a value that increases
 199 when similarity is higher and depends a lot on the length of the HSP

200 2.3.1.2 Best BLAST hit taxonomic assignment

201 We have maintained the simpler method of Best BLAST Hit (BBH) taxonomic assignment because, in
 202 some cases, it can provide information about the sequences that can be more useful than the obtained using
 203 LCA algorithm. Using LCA algorithm when some reference sequences with BLAST alignments over the
 204 required thresholds map to a not sufficiently specific taxID, the read can be assigned to an unspecific taxon
 205 near to the root. If the BBH reference sequence maps to a more specific taxa this method, in that case, gives
 206 us useful information.

207 2.4 Using MG7 with some example data-sets

208 We selected the datasets described in [Kennedy-2014] (??)

209 2.5 Availability

210 MG7 is open source, available at <https://github.com/ohnosequences/mg7> under an AGPLv3 license.

3 DISCUSSION

211 3.1 What MG7 brings

212 We could summarize the most innovative ideas and developments in MG7:

- 213 1. Treat data analysis as a software project. This makes for radical improvements in *reproducibility*, *reuse*,
 214 *versioning*, *safety*, *automation* and *expressiveness*
- 215 2. input and output data, their locations and type are expressible and checked at compile-time using
 216 *Datasets* 3. management of dependencies and machine configurations using *Statika*
- 217 3. automation of AWS cloud resources and processes, including distribution and parallelization through
 218 the use of *Loquat*
- 219 4. taxonomic data and related operations are treated natively as what they are: graphs, through the use of
 220 *Bio4j*
- 221 5. MG7 provides a sustainable model for taxonomic assignment, appropriate to face the challenging
 222 amount of data that high throughput sequencing technologies generate

223 We will expand on each item in the following sections.

224 3.2 A new approach to data analysis

225 MG7 proposes to define and work with a particular data analysis task as a software project, using Scala.
226 The idea is that *everything*: data description, their location, configuration parameters, the infrastructure
227 used, ... should be expressed as Scala code, and treated in the same way as any (well-managed) software
228 project. This includes, among other things, using version control systems (`git` in our case), writing tests,
229 making stable releases following semantic versioning or publishing artifacts to a repository.

230 What we see as key advantages of this approach (when coupled with compile-time specification and
231 checking), are

- 232 • **Reproducibility** the same analysis can be run again with exactly the same configuration in a trivial
233 way.
- 234 • **Versioning** as in any software project, there can be different versions, stable releases, etc.
- 235 • **Reuse** we can build standard configurations on top of this and reuse them for subsequent data analysis.
236 A particular data analysis *task* can be used as a *library* in further analysis.
- 237 • **Decoupling** We can start working on the analysis specification, without any need for available data in
238 a much easier way.
- 239 • **Documentation** We can take advantage of all the effort put into software documentation tools and
240 practices, such as in our case Scaladoc or literate programming. As documentation, analysis processes
241 and data specification live together in the files, it is much easier to keep coherence between them.
- 242 • **Expresiveness and safety** For example in our case we can choose only from valid Illumina read
243 types, and then build a default FLASH command based on that. The output locations, being declared
244 statically, are also available for use in further analysis.

245 3.3 Inputs, outputs, data: compile-time, expressive, composable

246 3.4 Tools, data, dependencies and machine configurations

247 3.5 Parallel cloud execution ??

248 3.6 Taxonomy and Bio4j

249 The hierarchic structure of the taxonomy of the living organisms is a tree, and, hence, is also a graph
250 in which each node, with the exception of the root node, has a unique parent node. It led us to model the
251 taxonomy tree as a graph using the graph database paradigm. Previously we developed Bio4j [Pareja-
252 Tobes-2015], a platform for the integration of semantically rich biological data using typed graph models.
253 It integrates most publicly available data linked with sequences into a set of interdependent graphs to be
254 used for bioinformatics analysis and especially for biological data.

255 3.7 Future-proof

256 3.8 MG7 Future developments

257 3.8.1 Shotgun metagenomics

258 It is certainly possible to adapt MG7 to work with shotgun metagenomics data. Simply changing the
259 reference database to include whole genome sequence data could yield interesting results. This could
260 also be refined by restricting reference sequences according to all sort of criteria, like biological function
261 or taxonomy. Bio4j would be an invaluable tool here, thanks to its ability to express express complex

262 predicates on sequences using all the information linked with them (GO annotations, UniProt data, NCBI
263 taxonomy, etc).

264 3.8.2 Comparison of groups of samples

265 3.8.3 Interactive visualizations using the output files of MG7 (Biographika project)

4 MATERIALS AND METHODS

266 4.1 Amazon Web Services

267 We use EC2, S3 and SQS through a Scala wrapper of the official AWS Java SDK, ohnosequences/aws-
268 scala-tools 0.13.2. This uses version 1 . 1 0 . 9 of the AWS Java SDK.

269 4.2 Scala

270 MG7 itself and all the libraries used are written in Scala 2 . 1 1 .

271 4.3 Statika

272 MG7 uses ohnosequences/statika 2.0.0 for specifying the configuration and behavior of EC2 instances.

273 4.4 Datasets

274 MG7 uses ohnosequences/datasets 0.2.0 for specifying input and output data, their type and their location.

275 4.5 Loquat

276 MG7 uses ohnosequences/loquat 2.0.0 for the specification of data processing tasks and their execution
277 using AWS resources.

278 4.6 BLAST eDSL

279 MG7 uses ohnosequences/blast 0.2.0. The BLAST version used is 2 . 2 . 3 1 +

280 4.7 FLASH eDSL

281 MG7 uses ohnosequences/flash 0.1.0. The FLASH version used is ? . ? . ?

282 4.8 Bio4j

283 MG7 uses bio4j/bio4j 0.12.0-RC3 and bio4j/bio4j-titan 0.4.0-RC2 as an API for the NCBI taxonomy.

5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

284 The two first authors are funded by INTERCROSSING (Grant 289974).

REFERENCES

- 285 Cochrane, G. R. and Galperin, M. Y. (2010). The 2010 nucleic acids research database issue and online
286 database collection: a community of data resources. *Nucleic acids research* 38, D1–D4
287 Cole, J. R., Wang, Q., Fish, J. A., Chai, B., McGarrell, D. M., Sun, Y., et al. (2013). Ribosomal database
288 project: data and tools for high throughput rrna analysis. *Nucleic acids research* , gkt1244
289 Federhen, S. (2014). Type material in the ncbi taxonomy database. *Nucleic acids research* , gku1127

- 290 Franzosa, E. A., Huang, K., Meadow, J. F., Gevers, D., Lemon, K. P., Bohannan, B. J., et al. (2015).
291 Identifying personal microbiomes using metagenomic codes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
292 *Sciences* , 201423854
- 293 Harper, R. and Pierce, B. (1991). A record calculus based on symmetric concatenation. In *Proceedings of*
294 *the 18th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT symposium on Principles of programming languages* (ACM), 131–142
- 295 Harper, R. W. and Pierce, B. C. (1990). Extensible records without subsumption
- 296 Pareja-Tobes, P., Tobes, R., Manrique, M., Pareja, E., and Pareja-Tobes, E. (2015). Bio4j: a high-
297 performance cloud-enabled graph-based data platform. *bioRxiv* , 016758
- 298 Stephens, Z. D., Lee, S. Y., Faghri, F., Campbell, R. H., Zhai, C., Efron, M. J., et al. (2015). Big data:
299 Astronomical or genetical? *PLoS Biol* 13, e1002195
- 300 Zeller, G., Tap, J., Voigt, A. Y., Sunagawa, S., Kultima, J. R., Costea, P. I., et al. (2014). Potential of fecal
301 microbiota for early-stage detection of colorectal cancer. *Molecular systems biology* 10, 766