

# MG7: Configurable and scalable 16S metagenomics data analysis – new methods optimized for massive cloud computing

Alexey Alekhin<sup>† 1</sup> Evdokim Kovach<sup>† 1</sup> Marina Manrique <sup>1</sup> Pablo Pareja <sup>1</sup> Eduardo Pareja <sup>1</sup> Raquel Tobes <sup>1</sup> and Eduardo Pareja-Tobes  $^{1,*}$ 

<sup>1</sup>Oh no sequences! Research Group, Era7 Bioinformatics, Granada, Spain

Correspondence\*:

Corresponding Author

Oh no sequences! Research Group, Era7 Bioinformatics, Plaza Campo Verde 3, Granada, 18001, Spain, eparejatobes@ohnosequences.com

### 2 ABSTRACT

- 3 No abstract yet. Will be here.
- 4 † The first and second authors contributed equally to this work
- 5 Keywords: Metagenomics, 16S, Bacterial diversity profile, Bio4j, Graph databases, Cloud computing, NGS, Genomic big data

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

- 6 Metagenomics data analysis is growing at exponential rate during the last years. The increasing throughput
- 7 of massively parallel sequencing technologies, the derived decreasing cost, and the high impact of
- 8 metagenomics studies, especially in human health (diagnostics, treatments, drug response, prevention), are
- 9 crucial reasons responsible for this growth of Metagenomics. There is a growing interest in sequencing
- 10 all kind of microbiomes (gut, mouth, skin, urinary tract, airway, milk, bladder), in different conditions of
- 11 health and disease, or after different treatments. Metagenomics is also impacting environmental sciences,
- 12 crop sciences, agrifood sector and biotechnology in general. This new possibilities for exploring the
- 13 diversity of micro-organisms in the most diverse environments is opening many new research areas but,
- 14 due to this wide interest, it is expected that the amount of data will be overwhelming in the short time
- 15 (Stephens et al., 2015).
- 16 Genome researchers have raised the alarm over big data in the past nature news add ref but even a more
- 17 serious challenge might be faced with the metagenomics boom/ upswing. If we compare metagenomics
- 18 data with other genomics data used in clinical genotyping we find a differential feature: the key role of
- 19 time. Thus, for example, in some longitudinal studies, serial sampling of the same patient along several
- 20 weeks (or years) is being used for the follow up of some intestinal pathologies, for studying the evolution
- 21 of gut microbiome after antibiotic treatment, or for colon cancer early detection (Zeller et al., 2014).
- 22 This need of sampling across time adds more complexity to metagenomics data storage and demands
- 23 adapted algorithms to detect state variations across time as well as idiosyncratic commonalities of the
- 24 microbiome of each individual (Franzosa et al., 2015). In addition to the intra-individual sampling-time
- 25 dependence, metagenomic clinical test results vary depending on the specific region of extraction of
- 26 the clinical specimen. This local variability adds complexity to the analysis since different localizations

30

31

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

(different tissues, different anatomical regions, healthy or tumour tissues) are required to have a sufficiently complete landscape of the human microbiome. Moreover, reanalysis of old samples using new tools and 28 better reference databases might be also demanded from time to time. 29

During the last years other sciences as astronomy or particle physics are facing the big data challenge but, at least, these science have standards for data processing (Stephens et al., 2015). Global standards for converting raw sequence data into processed data are not yet well defined in metagenomics and 32 there are shortcomings derived from the fact that many bioinformatics methodologies currently used for 33 metagenomics data analysis were designed for a scenario very different that the current one. These are 34 some of the aspects that have suffered crucial changes and advances with a direct impact in metagenomics 35 data analysis:

- i. The first aspect is related to the sequences to be analyzed: the reads are larger, the sequencing depth and the number of samples of each project are considerably bigger. The first metagenomics studies were very local projects, while nowadays the most fruitful studies are done at a global level (international, continental, national). This kind of global studies has yielded the discovery of clinical biomarkers for diseases of the importance of cancer, obesity or inflammatory bowel diseases and has allowed exploring the biodiversity in many earth environments
- ii. The second aspect derives from the impressive genomics explosion, its effect being felt in this case in 43 the reference sequences. The immense amount of sequences available in public repositories demands 44 new approaches in curation, update and storage for metagenomics reference databases: current models 45 will or already have problems to face the future avalanche of metagenomic sequences. 46
- 47 iii. The third aspect to consider for metagenomics data analysis is related to the appearance of new models for massive computation and storage such as the so-called cloud, or the widespread adoption of 48 programming methodologies like functional programming, or, more speculatively, dependently typed 49 programming. The immense new possibilities that these advances offer must have a direct impact in 50 metagenomics data analysis. 51
- iv. And finally the new social manner to do science, and especially genomic science is the fourth aspect to 52 consider. Metagenomics evolves in a social and global scenario following a science democratization 53 trend in which many small research groups from distant countries share a common big metagenomics 54 project. This global cooperation demands systems allowing following exactly the same pipelines using 55 equivalent cloud resources to modularly execute the analysis in an asynchronous way of working 56 between different groups. This new scenario calls for new methods and tools to handle the current and 57 future volume of metagenomic data with the sufficient speed of analysis. 58

#### 2 **RESULTS**

#### 2.1 Overview 59

- Considering the current new metagenomics scenario and to tackle the challenges posed by metagenomics 60 big data analysis outlined in the Introduction we have designed a new open source methodology for 61 analyzing metagenomics data. It exploits the new possibilities that cloud computing offers to get a system 62 robust, programmatically configurable, modular, distributed, flexible, scalable and traceable in which the 63 biological databases of reference sequences can be easily updated and/or frequently substituted by new 64 65 ones or by databases specifically designed for focused projects.
- These are some of the more innovative MG7 features: 66

73

74 75

- Static reproducible specification of dependencies and behavior of the different components using Statika and Datasets
- Parallelization and distributed analysis based on AWS, with on-demand infrastructure as the basic
   paradigm
- Definition of complex workflows using *Loquat*, a composable system for scaling/parallelizing stateless
   computations especially designed for Amazon Web Services (AWS)
  - A new approach to data analysis specification, management and specification based on working with it in exactly the same way as for a software project, together with the extensive use of compile-time structures and checks
- Modeling of the taxonomy tree using the new paradigm of graph databases (Bio4j). It facilitates the
   taxonomic assignment tasks and the calculation of the taxa abundance values considering the hierarchic
   structure of taxonomy tree (cumulative values)
- Exhaustive per-read taxonomic assignment using two complementary assignment algorithms Lowest
   Common Ancestor and Best BLAST Hit
- Using a new 16S database of reference sequences (16S-DB7) with a flexible and sustainable system of updating and project-driven customization

#### 83 2.2 Libraries and resources

- In this section we describe the resources and libraries developed by the authors on top of which MG7 84 is built. All MG7 code is written in Scala, a hybrid object-functional programming language. Scala was 85 chosen based on the possibility of using certain advanced programming styles, and Java interoperability, 86 which let us build on the vast number of existing Java libraries; we take advantage of this when using 87 Bio4j as an API for the NCBI taxonomy. It has support for type-level programming, type-dependent types 88 (through type members) and singleton types, which permits a restricted form of dependent types where 89 types can depend essentially on values determined at compile time (through their corresponding singleton 90 types). Conversely, through implicits one can retrieve the value corresponding to a singleton type. 91
- 92 2.2.1 Statika: machine configuration and behavior
- Statika is a Scala library developed by the first and last authors which serves as a way of defining and composing machine behaviors statically. The main component are **bundles**. Each bundle declares a sequence of computations (its behavior) which will be executed in an **environment**. A bundle can *depend* on other bundles, and when being executed by an environment, its DAG of dependencies is linearized and run in sequence. In our use, bundles correspond to what an EC2 instance should do and an environment to an image (AMI: Amazon Machine Image) which prepares the basic configuration, downloads the Scala code and runs it.

### 100 2.2.2 Datasets: a mini-language for data

Datasets is a Scala library developed by the first and last authors with the goal of being a Scala-embedded 101 102 mini-language for datasets and their locations. **Data** is represented as type-indexed fields: Keys are modeled as singleton types, and values correspond to what could be called a denotation of the key: a value of 103 type Location tagged with the key type. Then a Dataset is essentially a collection of data, which 104 are guaranteed statically to be different through type-level predicates, making use of the value type 105 correspondence which can be established through singleton types and implicits. A dataset location is 106 then just a list of locations formed by locations of each data member of that dataset. All this is based on 107 what could be described as an embedding in Scala of an extensible record system with concatenation on 108

- disjoint labels, in the spirit of (Harper and Pierce, 1990, Harper and Pierce (1991)). For that *Datasets* uses ohnosequences/cosas.
- Data keys can further have a reference to a **data type**, which, as the name hints at, can help in providing
- information about the type of data we are working with. For example, when declaring Illumina reads as a
- data, a data type containing information about the read length, insert size or end type (single or paired) is
- 114 used.
- 115 A **location** can be, for example, an S3 object or a local file; by leaving the location type used to denote
- 116 particular data free we can work with different "physical" representations, while keeping track of to which
- logical data they are a representation of. Thus, a process can generate locally a .fastq file representing
- the merged reads, while another can put it in S3 with the fact that they all correspond to the "same" merged
- 119 reads is always present, as the data that those "physical" representations denote.
- 120 2.2.3 Loquat: Parallel data processing with AWS
- Loquat is a library developed by the first, second and last authors designed for the execution of
- 122 embarrassingly parallel tasks using S3, SQS and EC2.
- 123 A **loquat** executes a process with explicit input and output datasets (declared using the *Datasets* library
- described above). Workers (EC2 instances) read from an SQS queue the S3 locations for both input and
- output data; then they download the input to local files, and pass these file locations to the process to be
- executed. The output is then put in the corresponding S3 locations.
- 127 A manager instance is used to monitor workers, provide initial data to be put in the SQS queue and
- 128 optionally release resources depending on a set of configurable conditions.
- Both worker and manager instances are Statika bundles. In the case of the worker, it can declare any
- 130 dependencies needed to perform its task: other tools, libraries, or data.
- All configuration such as the number of workers or the instance types is declared statically, the
- 132 specification of a loquat being ultimately a Scala object. There are deploy and resource management
- methods, making it easy to use an existing loquat either as a library or from (for example) a Scala REPL.
- The input and output (and their locations) being defined statically has several critical advantages. First,
- 135 composing different loquats is easy and safe; just use the output types and locations of the first one as input
- 136 for the second one. Second, data and their types help in not mixing different resources when implementing
- 137 a process, while serving as a safe and convenient mechanism for writing generic processing tasks. For
- 138 example, merging paired-end Illumina reads generically is easy as the data type includes the relevant
- information (insert size, read length, etc) to pass to a tool such as FLASH.
- 140 2.2.4 Type-safe eDSLs for BLAST and FLASH
- We developed our own Scala-based type-safe eDSLs (embedded **D**omain Specific Language) for FLASH
- 142 and BLAST expressions and their execution.
- In the case of BLAST we use a model for expressions where we can guarantee for each BLAST command
- 144 expression at compile time
- all required arguments are provided
- only valid options are provided
- correct types for each option value
- valid output record specification

- 149 Generic type-safe parsers returning an heterogeneous record of BLAST output fields are also available,
- 150 together with output data defined using *Datasets* which have a reference to the exact BLAST command
- 151 options which yielded that output. This let us provide generic parsers for BLAST output which are
- 152 guaranteed to be correct, for example.
- 153 In the same spirit as for BLAST, we implemented a type-safe EDSL for FLASH expressions and their
- 154 execution, sporting features equivalent to those outlined for the BLAST EDSL.
- 155 2.2.5 Bio4j and Graph Databases
- 156 (Bio4j Pareja-Tobes et al., 2015) is a data platform integrating data from different resources such as
- 157 UniProt or GO in a graph data paradigm. In the assignment phase we use a subgraph containing the NCBI
- 158 Taxonomy, wrapping in Scala its Java API in a tree algebraic data type.
- 159 2.2.6 16S Reference Database Construction
- Our 16S Reference Database is a curated subset of sequences from NCBI nucleotide database nt. The
- 161 sequences included were selected by similarity with the bacterial and archaeal reference sequences
- 162 downloaded from the RDP database (Cole et al., 2013). RDP unaligned sequences were used to
- 163 capture new 16S sequences from **nt** using BLAST similarity search strategies and then, performing
- 164 additional curation steps to remove sequences with poor taxonomic assignments to taxonomic nodes
- 165 close to the root of the taxonomy tree. All the nucleotide sequences included in **nt** database has a
- 166 taxonomic assignment provided by the **Genbank** sequence submitter. NCBI provides a table (available
- at ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pub/taxonomy/) to do the mapping of any Genbank Identifier (GI) to its
- 168 Taxonomy Identifier (TaxID). Thus, we are based on a crowdsourced submitter-maintained taxonomic
- annotation system for reference sequences. It supposes a sustainable system able to face the expected
- amotation system for reference sequences. It supposes a sustainable system able to face the expected
- 170 number of reference sequences that will populate the public global nucleotide databases in the near future.
- Another advantageous point is that we are based on NCBI taxonomy, the *de facto* standard taxonomic classification for biomolecular data (Cochrane and Galperin, 2010). NCBI taxonomy is, undoubtedly, the
- most used taxonomy all over the world and the most similar to the official taxonomies of each specific field.
- 174 This is a crucial point because all the type-culture and tissue databanks follow this official taxonomical
- This is a state of point occurred in the type culture and thought a state of the type culture and the type
- 175 classification and, in addition, all the knowledge accumulated during last decades is referred to this
- 176 taxonomy. In addition NCBI provides a direct connection between taxonomical formal names and the
- 177 physical specimens that serve as exemplars for the species (Federhen, 2014).
- 178 Certainly, if metagenomics results are easily integrated with the theoretical and experimental knowledge
- 179 of each specific area, the impact of metagenomics will be higher that if metagenomics progresses as a
- 180 disconnected research branch. Considering that metagenomics data interoperability, which is especially
- 181 critical in clinical environments, requires a stable taxonomy to be used as reference, we decided to rely on
- the most widely used taxonomy: the NCBI taxonomy. In addition, the biggest global sequence database
- 183 GenBank follows this taxonomy to register the origin of all their submitted sequences. Our 16S database
- building strategy allows the substitution of the 16S database by any other subset of **nt**, even by the complete
- 185 **nt** database if it would be needed, for example, for analyzing shotgun metagenomics data. This possibility
- 186 of changing the reference database provides flexibility to the system enabling it for easy updating and
- 187 project-driven personalization.

# 188 2.3 Workflow Description

- The MG7 analysis workflow is summarized in Figure X. The input files for MG7 are the FASTQ files
- 190 resulting from a paired-end NGS sequencing experiment.

#### 191 2.3.1 Joining reads of each pair using FLASH

In the first step the paired-end reads, designed with an insert size that yields pairs of reads with an overlapping region between them, are assembled using FLASH (Magoč and Salzberg, 2011). FLASH is designed to merge pairs of reads when the original DNA fragments are shorter than twice the length of reads. Thus, the sequence obtained after joining the 2 reads of each pair is larger and has better quality since the sequence at the ends of the reads is refined merging both ends in the assembly. To have a larger and improved sequence is crucial to do more precise the inference of the bacterial origin based on similarity with reference sequences.

# 2.3.2 Parallelized BLASTN of each read against the 16S-DB7

200 The second step is to search for similar 16S sequences in our 16S-DB7 database. The taxonomic assignment for each read is based on BLASTN of each read against the 16S database. Assignment based on 201 202 direct similarity of each read one by one compared against a sufficiently wide database is a very exhaustive 203 method for assignment (Segata et al., 2013). Some methods of assignment compare the sequences only 204 against the available complete bacterial genomes or avoid computational cost clustering or binning the 205 sequences first, and then doing the assignments only for the representative sequence of each cluster. MG7 206 carries out an exhaustive comparison of all the reads under analysis and it does not applies any binning strategy. Every read is specifically compared with all the sequences of the 16S database. We select the best 207 BLAST hits (10 hits by default) obtained for each read to do the taxonomic assignment. 208

# 209 2.3.3 Taxonomic Assignment Algorithms

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

All the reads are assigned under two different algorithms of assignment: i. Lowest Common Ancestor based taxonomic assignment (LCA) and ii. Best BLAST Hit based taxonomic assignment (BBH). Figure X displays schematically the LCA algorithm applied sensu stricto (left panel) and the called 'in line' exception (right panel) designed in order to gain specificity in the assignments in the cases in which the topology of the taxonomical nodes corresponding to the BLAST hits support sufficiently the assignment to the most specific taxon.

# 2.3.3.1 Lowest Common Ancestor based Taxonomic Assignment

For each read, first, we select the BEST BLAST HITs (by default  $10 \, \text{Hits}$ ) over a threshold of similarity (by default  $evalue \leq e^{-15}$ ) filtering those hits that are not sufficiently good comparing them with the best one. We select the best HSP (High Similarity Pair) per reference sequence and then choose the best HSP (that with lowest e value) between all the selected ones. The bitscore of this best HSP (called S) is used as reference to filter the rest of HSPs. All the HSPs with bitscore below p x S are filtered. p is a coefficient fixed by the user to define the bitscore required, e.g. if p=0.9 and S=700 the required bitscore threshold would be 630. Once we have the definitive HSPs selected, we obtain their corresponding taxonomic nodes using the taxonomic assignments that NCBI provides for all the nt database sequences. Now we have to analyze the topological distribution of these nodes in the taxonomy tree: i. If all the nodes forms a line in the taxonomy tree (are located in a not branched lineage to the tree root) we should choose the most specific taxID as the final assignment for that read. We call to this kind of assignment the 'in line' exception (see Figure X right panel). ii. If not, we should search for the *sensu stricto* Lowest Common Ancestor (LCA) of all the selected taxonomic nodes (See Figure X left panel). In this approach we decided to use the bitscore for evaluating the similarity because it is a value that increases when similarity is higher and depends a lot on the length of the HSP. Some reads could not find sequences with enough similarity in the database and

- then they would be classified as reads with no hits. Advanced metagenomics analysis approaches (?) have adopted LCA assignment algorithms because it provides fine and trusted taxonomical assignment.
- 234 2.3.3.2 Best BLAST hit taxonomic assignment
- 235 We decided to maintain the simpler method of Best BLAST Hit (BBH) for taxonomic assignment because,
- 236 in some cases, it can provide information about the sequences that adds information to that obtained using
- 237 LCA algorithm. Using LCA algorithm, when some reference sequences with BLAST alignments over
- 238 the required thresholds map to a not sufficiently specific taxID, the read can be assigned to an unspecific
- 239 taxon near to the root of the taxonomy tree. If the BBH reference sequence maps to more specific taxa, this
- 240 method, in that case, gives us useful information.
- 241 2.3.4 Output for LCA and BBH assignments
- 242 MG7 provides independent results for the 2 different approaches, LCA and BBH. The output files include,
- 243 for each taxonomy node (with some read assigned), abundance values for direct assignment and cumulative
- 244 assignment. The abundances are provided in counts (absolute values) and in percentage normalized to the
- 245 number of reads of each sample. Direct assignments are calculated counting reads specifically assigned to a
- 246 taxonomic node, not including the reads assigned to the descendant nodes in the taxonomy tree. Cumulative
- 247 assignments are calculated including the direct assignments and also the assignments of the descendant
- 248 nodes. For each sample MG7 provides 8 kinds of abundance values: LCA direct counts, LCA cumu. counts,
- 249 LCA direct %, LCA cumu. %, BBH direct counts, BBH cumu. counts, BBH direct %, BBH cumu. %.

# 250 2.4 Data analysis as a software project

251 IDEAS

252

- the user needs to write code, a repo would be nice, AWS account
- there's some sort of conf required for the AWS account (add users, roles, permissions, whatever)
- Why this is a good thing (or should this just be in Discussion)
- something else?

#### 256 2.5 Availability

257 MG7 is open source, available at https://github.com/ohnosequences/mg7 under an AGPLv3 license.

#### 3 DISCUSSION

### 258 3.1 What MG7 brings

- We could summarize the most innovative ideas and developments in MG7:
- Treat data analysis as a software project. This makes for radical improvements in *reproducibility*, *reuse*,
   *versioning*, *safety*, *automation* and *expressiveness*
- 262 2. input and output data, their locations and type are expressible and checked at compile-time using *Datasets* 3. management of dependencies and machine configurations using *Statika*
- automation of AWS cloud resources and processes, including distribution and parallelization through
   the use of *Loquat*
- 4. taxonomic data and related operations are treated natively as what they are: graphs, through the use of *Bio4j*

281

286

287

288

- 5. MG7 provides a sustainable model for taxonomic assignment, appropriate to face the challenging amount of data that high throughput sequencing technologies generate
- 270 We will expand on each item in the following sections.

# 271 3.2 A new approach to data analysis

- 272 MG7 proposes to define and work with a particular data analysis task as a software project, using Scala.
- 273 The idea is that everything: data description, their location, configuration parameters, the infrastructure
- 274 used, ... should be expressed as Scala code, and treated in the same way as any (well-managed) software
- 275 project. This includes, among other things, using version control systems (git in our case), writing tests,
- 276 making stable releases following semantic versioning or publishing artifacts to a repository.
- What we see as key advantages of this approach (when coupled with compile-time specification and checking), are
- **Reproducibility** the same analysis can be run again with exactly the same configuration in a trivial way.
  - Versioning as in any software project, there can be different versions, stable releases, etc.
- **Reuse** we can build standard configurations on top of this and reuse them for subsequent data analysis.

  A particular data analysis *task* can be used as a *library* in further analysis.
- **Decoupling** We can start working on the analysis specification, without any need for available data in a much easier way.
  - **Documentation** We can take advantage of all the effort put into software documentation tools and practices, such as in our case Scaladoc or literate programming. As documentation, analysis processes and data specification live together in the files, it is much easier to keep coherence between them.
- Expresiveness and safety For example in our case we can choose only from valid Illumina read types, and then build a default FLASH command based on that. The output locations, being declared statically, are also available for use in further analysis.
- 292 3.3 Inputs, outputs, data: compile-time, expressive, composable
- 293 3.4 Tools, data, dependencies and machine configurations
- 294 3.5 Parallel cloud execution ??
- 295 3.6 Taxonomy and Bio4j
- 296 The hierarchic structure of the taxonomy of the living organisms is a tree, and, hence, is also a graph
- 297 in which each node, with the exception of the root node, has a unique parent node. It led us to model the
- 298 taxonomy tree as a graph using the graph database paradigm. Previously we developed Bio4j [Pareja-
- 299 Tobes-2015], a platform for the integration of semantically rich biological data using typed graph models.
- 300 It integrates most publicly available data linked with sequences into a set of interdependent graphs to be
- 301 used for bioinformatics analysis and especially for biological data.

# 302 3.7 Future-proof

# 303 3.8 MG7 Future developments

- 304 3.8.1 Shotgun metagenomics
- 305 It is certainly possible to adapt MG7 to work with shotgun metagenomics data. Simply changing the
- 306 reference database to include whole genome sequence data could yield interesting results. This could
- 307 also be refined by restricting reference sequences according to all sort of criteria, like biological function
- 308 or taxonomy. Bio4j would be an invaluable tool here, thanks to its ability to express express complex
- 309 predicates on sequences using all the information linked with them (GO annotations, UniProt data, NCBI
- 310 taxonomy, etc).
- 311 3.8.2 Comparison of groups of samples
- 312 3.8.3 Interactive visualizations using the output files of MG7 (Biographika project)

#### 4 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 13 4.1 Amazon Web Services

- We use EC2, S3 and SQS through a Scala wrapper of the official AWS Java SDK, ohnosequences/aws-
- 315 scala-tools 0.13.2. This uses version 1.10.9 of the AWS Java SDK.
- 316 **4.2 Scala**
- MG7 itself and all the libraries used are written in Scala 2.11.
- 318 **4.3 Statika**
- 319 MG7 uses ohnosequences/statika 2.0.0 for specifying the configuration and behavior of EC2 instances.
- **320 4.4 Datasets**
- 321 MG7 uses ohnosequences/datasets 0.2.0 for specifying input and output data, their type and their location.
- 322 4.5 Loquat
- 323 MG7 uses ohnosequences/loquat 2.0.0 for the specification of data processing tasks and their execution
- 324 using AWS resources.
- 325 4.6 BLAST eDSL
- 326 MG7 uses ohnosequences/blast 0.2.0. The BLAST version used is 2.2.31+
- 327 **4.7 FLASH eDSL**
- 328 MG7 uses ohnosequences/flash 0.1.0. The FLASH version used is ? . ? . ?
- 329 4.8 Bio4j
- MG7 uses bio4j/bio4j 0.12.0-RC3 and bio4j/bio4j-titan 0.4.0-RC2 as an API for the NCBI taxonomy.

#### 5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding: The two first authors are funded by INTERCROSSING (Grant 289974).

#### **REFERENCES**

- 332 Cochrane, G. R. and Galperin, M. Y. (2010). The 2010 nucleic acids research database issue and online
- database collection: a community of data resources. *Nucleic acids research* 38, D1–D4
- 334 Cole, J. R., Wang, Q., Fish, J. A., Chai, B., McGarrell, D. M., Sun, Y., et al. (2013). Ribosomal database
- project: data and tools for high throughput rrna analysis. *Nucleic acids research*, gkt1244
- 336 Federhen, S. (2014). Type material in the ncbi taxonomy database. *Nucleic acids research*, gku1127
- 337 Franzosa, E. A., Huang, K., Meadow, J. F., Gevers, D., Lemon, K. P., Bohannan, B. J., et al. (2015).
- Identifying personal microbiomes using metagenomic codes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 201423854
- 340 Harper, R. and Pierce, B. (1991). A record calculus based on symmetric concatenation. In Proceedings of
- the 18th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT symposium on Principles of programming languages (ACM), 131–142
- 342 Harper, R. W. and Pierce, B. C. (1990). Extensible records without subsumption
- 343 Magoč, T. and Salzberg, S. L. (2011). Flash: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome
- assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2957–2963
- 345 Pareja-Tobes, P., Tobes, R., Manrique, M., Pareja, E., and Pareja-Tobes, E. (2015). Bio4j: a high-
- performance cloud-enabled graph-based data platform. bioRxiv, 016758
- 347 Segata, N., Boernigen, D., Tickle, T. L., Morgan, X. C., Garrett, W. S., and Huttenhower, C. (2013).
- Computational meta'omics for microbial community studies. *Molecular systems biology* 9, 666
- 349 Stephens, Z. D., Lee, S. Y., Faghri, F., Campbell, R. H., Zhai, C., Efron, M. J., et al. (2015). Big data:
- 350 Astronomical or genomical? *PLoS Biol* 13, e1002195
- 351 Zeller, G., Tap, J., Voigt, A. Y., Sunagawa, S., Kultima, J. R., Costea, P. I., et al. (2014). Potential of fecal
- microbiota for early-stage detection of colorectal cancer. *Molecular systems biology* 10, 766