

MG7: Configurable and scalable 16S metagenomics data analysis – new methods optimized for massive cloud computing

Alexey Alekhin^{† 1} Evdokim Kovach^{† 1} Marina Manrique ¹ Pablo Pareja ¹ Eduardo Pareja ¹ Raquel Tobes ¹ and Eduardo Pareja-Tobes 1,*

¹Oh no sequences! Research Group, Era7 Bioinformatics, Granada, Spain

Correspondence*:

Corresponding Author

Oh no sequences! Research Group, Era7 Bioinformatics, Plaza Campo Verde 3, Granada, 18001, Spain, eparejatobes@ohnosequences.com

2 ABSTRACT

- 3 No abstract yet. Will be here.
- 4 † The first and second authors contributed equally to this work
- 5 Keywords: Metagenomics, 16S, Bacterial diversity profile, Bio4j, Graph databases, Cloud computing, NGS, Genomic big data

1 INTRODUCTION

- 6 Metagenomics data analysis is growing at exponential rate during the last years. The increasing throughput
- 7 of massively parallel sequencing technologies, the derived decreasing cost, and the high impact of
- 8 metagenomics studies, especially in human health (diagnostics, treatments, drug response, prevention), are
- 9 crucial reasons responsible for this growth of Metagenomics. There is a growing interest in sequencing
- 10 all kind of microbiomes (gut, mouth, skin, urinary tract, airway, milk, bladder), in different conditions of
- 11 health and disease, or after different treatments. Metagenomics is also impacting environmental sciences,
- 12 crop sciences, agrifood sector and biotechnology in general. This new possibilities for exploring the
- 13 diversity of micro-organisms in the most diverse environments is opening many new research areas but,
- 14 due to this wide interest, it is expected that the amount of data will be overwhelming in the short time
- 15 (Stephens et al., 2015).
- 16 Genome researchers have raised the alarm over big data in the past nature news add ref but even a more
- 17 serious challenge might be faced with the metagenomics boom/ upswing. If we compare metagenomics
- 18 data with other genomics data used in clinical genotyping we find a differential feature: the key role of
- 19 time. Thus, for example, in some longitudinal studies, serial sampling of the same patient along several
- 20 weeks (or years) is being used for the follow up of some intestinal pathologies, for studying the evolution
- 21 of gut microbiome after antibiotic treatment, or for colon cancer early detection (Zeller et al., 2014).
- 22 This need of sampling across time adds more complexity to metagenomics data storage and demands
- 23 adapted algorithms to detect state variations across time as well as idiosyncratic commonalities of the
- 24 microbiome of each individual (Franzosa et al., 2015). In addition to the intra-individual sampling-time
- 25 dependence, metagenomic clinical test results vary depending on the specific region of extraction of
- 26 the clinical specimen. This local variability adds complexity to the analysis since different localizations

- 27 (different tissues, different anatomical regions, healthy or tumour tissues) are required to have a sufficiently
- 28 complete landscape of the human microbiome. Moreover, reanalysis of old samples using new tools and
- 29 better reference databases might be also demanded from time to time.
- 30 During the last years other sciences as astronomy or particle physics are facing the big data challenge
- 31 but, at least, these science have standards for data processing (Stephens et al., 2015). Global standards
- 32 for converting raw sequence data into processed data are not yet well defined in metagenomics and
- 33 there are shortcomings derived from the fact that many bioinformatics methodologies currently used for
- 34 metagenomics data analysis were designed for a scenario very different that the current one. These are
- 35 some of the aspects that have suffered crucial changes and advances with a direct impact in metagenomics
- 36 data analysis:
- i. The first aspect is related to the sequences to be analyzed: the reads are larger, the sequencing depth and
- the number of samples of each project are considerably bigger. The first metagenomics studies were very local projects, while nowadays the most fruitful studies are done at a global level (international,
- very local projects, while nowadays the most fruitful studies are done at a global level (international, continental, national). This kind of global studies has yielded the discovery of clinical biomarkers
- for discasses of the importance of concer chesity or inflammatory haved discasses and has allowed
- for diseases of the importance of cancer, obesity or inflammatory bowel diseases and has allowed
- 42 exploring the biodiversity in many earth environments
- 43 ii. The second aspect derives from the impressive genomics explosion, its effect being felt in this case in
- the reference sequences. The immense amount of sequences available in public repositories demands
- new approaches in curation, update and storage for metagenomics reference databases: current models
- will or already have problems to face the future avalanche of metagenomic sequences.
- 47 iii. The third aspect to consider for metagenomics data analysis is related to the appearance of new models
- for massive computation and storage such as the so-called cloud, or the widespread adoption of
- 49 programming methodologies like functional programming, or, more speculatively, dependently typed
- 50 programming. The immense new possibilities that these advances offer must have a direct impact in
- 51 metagenomics data analysis.
- 52 iv. And finally the new social manner to do science, and especially genomic science is the fourth aspect to
- 53 consider. Metagenomics evolves in a social and global scenario following a science democratization
- trend in which many small research groups from distant countries share a common big metagenomics
- project. This global cooperation demands systems allowing following exactly the same pipelines using
- equivalent cloud resources to modularly execute the analysis in an asynchronous way of working
- between different groups. This new scenario calls for new methods and tools to handle the current and
- future volume of metagenomic data with the sufficient speed of analysis.
- 59 Considering all these aspects we have designed a new open source methodology for analyzing
- 60 metagenomics data that exploits the new possibilities that cloud computing offers to get a system robust,
- 61 programmatically configurable, modular, distributed, flexible, scalable and traceable in which the biological
- 62 databases of reference sequences can be easily updated and/or frequently substituted by new ones or by
- 63 databases specifically designed for focused projects.

2 RESULTS

64 2.1 Overview

To tackle the challenges posed by metagenomics big data analysis outlined in the Introduction

- Static reproducible specification of dependencies and behavior of the different components using 66 Statika and Datasets 67
- Parallelization and distributed analysis based on AWS, with on-demand infrastructure as the basic 68 69
- Definition of complex pipelines using *Loquat*, a composable system for scaling/parallelizing stateless 70 computations especially designed for Amazon Web Services (AWS) 71
- A new approach to data analysis specification, management and specification based on working with 72 it in exactly the same way as for a software project, together with the extensive use of compile-time 73 74 structures and checks
- Modeling of the taxonomy tree using the new paradigm of graph databases (Bio4j). It facilitates the 75 taxonomic assignment tasks and the calculation of the taxa abundance values considering the hierarchic 76 structure of taxonomy tree (cumulative values) 77
- per-read assignment (??) 78

2.2 Libraries and resources 79

- In this section we describe the resources and Scala libraries developed by the authors on top of which 80 MG7 is built. 81
- Scala, a hybrid object-functional programming language, was chosen based on the possibility of using 82 certain advanced programming styles, and Java interoperability, which let us build on the vast number of 83
- 84 existing Java libraries; we take advantage of this when using Bio4j as an API for the NCBI taxonomy.
- It has support for type-level programming, type-dependent types (through type members) and singleton 85
- types, which permits a restricted form of dependent types where types can depend essentially on values 86
- determined at compile time (through their corresponding singleton types). Conversely, through implicits 87
- one can retrieve the value corresponding to a singleton type. 88

Statika: machine configuration and behavior 2.2.1 89

- Statika is a Scala library developed by the first and last authors which serves as a way of defining 90
- and composing machine behaviors statically. The main component are **bundles**. Each bundle declares a
- sequence of computations (its behavior) which will be executed in an **environment**. A bundle can depend 92
- on other bundles, and when being executed by an environment, its DAG of dependencies is linearized and 93
- run in sequence. In our use, bundles correspond to what an EC2 instance should do and an environment to
- an image (AMI: Amazon Machine Image) which prepares the basic configuration, downloads the Scala 95
- code and runs it. 96

103

2.2.2 Datasets: a mini-language for data 97

- 98 Datasets is a Scala library developed by the first and last authors with the goal of being a Scala-embedded
- mini-language for datasets and their locations. **Data** is represented as type-indexed fields: Keys are modeled 99
- as singleton types, and values correspond to what could be called a denotation of the key: a value of 100
- 101 type Location tagged with the key type. Then a Dataset is essentially a collection of data, which
- are guaranteed statically to be different through type-level predicates, making use of the value type 102
- correspondence which can be established through singleton types and implicits. A dataset location is then just a list of locations formed by locations of each data member of that dataset. All this is based on 104
- what could be described as an embedding in Scala of an extensible record system with concatenation on 105
- disjoint labels, in the spirit of (Harper and Pierce, 1990, Harper and Pierce (1991)). For that Datasets uses 106
- 107 ohnosequences/cosas.

3 **Frontiers**

- Data keys can further have a reference to a **data type**, which, as the name hints at, can help in providing
- 109 information about the type of data we are working with. For example, when declaring Illumina reads as a
- 110 data, a data type containing information about the read length, insert size or end type (single or paired) is
- 111 used.
- 112 A **location** can be, for example, an S3 object or a local file; by leaving the location type used to denote
- 113 particular data free we can work with different "physical" representations, while keeping track of to which
- 114 logical data they are a representation of. Thus, a process can generate locally a .fastq file representing
- the merged reads, while another can put it in S3 with the fact that they all correspond to the "same" merged
- 116 reads is always present, as the data that those "physical" representations denote.
- 117 2.2.3 Loquat: Parallel data processing with AWS
- Loquat is a library developed by the first, second and last authors designed for the execution of
- 119 embarrassingly parallel tasks using S3, SQS and EC2.
- 120 A **loquat** executes a process with explicit input and output datasets (declared using the *Datasets* library
- described above). Workers (EC2 instances) read from an SQS queue the S3 locations for both input and
- output data; then they download the input to local files, and pass these file locations to the process to be
- executed. The output is then put in the corresponding S3 locations.
- A manager instance is used to monitor workers, provide initial data to be put in the SQS queue and
- optionally release resources depending on a set of configurable conditions.
- Both worker and manager instances are Statika bundles. In the case of the worker, it can declare any
- 127 dependencies needed to perform its task: other tools, libraries, or data.
- All configuration such as the number of workers or the instance types is declared statically, the
- 129 specification of a loquat being ultimately a Scala object. There are deploy and resource management
- 130 methods, making it easy to use an existing loquat either as a library or from (for example) a Scala REPL.
- The input and output (and their locations) being defined statically has several critical advantages. First,
- 132 composing different loquats is easy and safe; just use the output types and locations of the first one as input
- 133 for the second one. Second, data and their types help in not mixing different resources when implementing
- 134 a process, while serving as a safe and convenient mechanism for writing generic processing tasks. For
- 135 example, merging paired-end Illumina reads generically is easy as the data type includes the relevant
- information (insert size, read length, etc) to pass to a tool such as FLASH.
- 137 2.2.4 Type-safe eDSLs for BLAST and FLASH
- We developed our own Scala-based type-safe eDSLs (embedded Domain Specific Language) for FLASH
- 139 and BLAST expressions and their execution.
- In the case of BLAST we use a model for expressions where we can guarantee for each BLAST command
- 141 expression at compile time
- all required arguments are provided
- only valid options are provided
- correct types for each option value
- valid output record specification
- Generic type-safe parsers returning an heterogeneous record of BLAST output fields are also available,
- 147 together with output data defined using *Datasets* which have a reference to the exact BLAST command

- options which yielded that output. This let us provide generic parsers for BLAST output which are
- 149 guaranteed to be correct, for example.
- 150 In the same spirit as for BLAST, we implemented a type-safe EDSL for FLASH expressions and their
- 151 execution, sporting features equivalent to those outlined for the BLAST EDSL.
- 152 2.2.5 Bio4j and Graph Databases
- 153 (Bio4j Pareja-Tobes et al., 2015) is a data platform integrating data from different resources such as
- 154 UniProt or GO in a graph data paradigm. In the assignment phase we use a subgraph containing the NCBI
- 155 Taxonomy, wrapping in Scala its Java API in a tree algebraic data type.
- 156 2.2.6 16S Reference Database Construction
- Our 16S Reference Database is a curated subset of sequences from NCBI nucleotide database nt. The
- 158 sequences included were selected by similarity with the bacterial and archaeal reference sequences
- 159 downloaded from the RDP database (Cole et al., 2013). RDP unaligned sequences were used to
- 160 capture new 16S sequences from **nt** using BLAST similarity search strategies and then, performing
- 161 additional curation steps to remove sequences with poor taxonomic assignments to taxonomic nodes
- 162 close to the root of the taxonomy tree. All the nucleotide sequences included in **nt** database has a
- 163 taxonomic assignment provided by the **Genbank** sequence submitter. NCBI provides a table (available
- at ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pub/taxonomy/) to do the mapping of any Genbank Identifier (GI) to its
- 165 Taxonomy Identifier (TaxID). Thus, we are based on a crowdsourced submitter-maintained taxonomic
- annotation system for reference sequences. It supposes a sustainable system able to face the expected
- 167 number of reference sequences that will populate the public global nucleotide databases in the near future.
- 168 Another advantageous point is that we are based on NCBI taxonomy, the de facto standard taxonomic
- 169 classification for biomolecular data (Cochrane and Galperin, 2010). NCBI taxonomy is, undoubtedly, the
- most used taxonomy all over the world and the most similar to the official taxonomies of each specific field.
- 171 This is a crucial point because all the type-culture and tissue databanks follow this official taxonomical
- 172 classification and, in addition, all the knowledge accumulated during last decades is referred to this
- 173 taxonomy. In addition NCBI provides a direct connection between taxonomical formal names and the
- 174 physical specimens that serve as exemplars for the species (Federhen, 2014).
- 175 Certainly, if metagenomics results are easily integrated with the theoretical and experimental knowledge
- 176 of each specific area, the impact of metagenomics will be higher that if metagenomics progresses as a
- 177 disconnected research branch. Considering that metagenomics data interoperability, which is especially
- 178 critical in clinical environments, requires a stable taxonomy to be used as reference, we decided to rely on
- 179 the most widely used taxonomy: the NCBI taxonomy. In addition, the biggest global sequence database
- 180 GenBank follows this taxonomy to register the origin of all their submitted sequences. Our 16S database
- building strategy allows the substitution of the 16S database by any other subset of **nt**, even by the complete
- 182 **nt** database if it would be needed, for example, for analyzing shotgun metagenomics data. This possibility
- 183 of changing the reference database provides flexibility to the system enabling it for easy updating and
- 184 project-driven personalization.

185

2.3 Workflow Description

The MG7 analysis workflow is summarized in Figure X. The input files for MG7 are the FASTQ files resulting from a paired-end NGS sequencing experiment.

Frontiers

5

2.3.1 Joining reads of each pair using FLASH 188

189 In the first step the paired-end reads, designed with an insert size that yields pairs of reads with an overlapping region between them, are assembled using FLASH (Magoč and Salzberg, 2011). FLASH is 190 designed to merge pairs of reads when the original DNA fragments are shorter than twice the length of 191 reads. Thus, the sequence obtained after joining the 2 reads of each pair is larger and has better quality 192 since the sequence at the ends of the reads is refined merging both ends in the assembly. To have a larger 193 and improved sequence is crucial to do more precise the inference of the bacterial origin based on similarity 194 195 with reference sequences.

2.3.2 Parallelized BLASTN of each read against the 16S-DB7

197 The second step is to search for similar 16S sequences in our 16S-DB7 database. The taxonomic assignment for each read is based on BLASTN of each read against the 16S database. Assignment based on 198 199 direct similarity of each read one by one compared against a sufficiently wide database is a very exhaustive 200 method for assignment (Segata et al., 2013). Some methods of assignment compare the sequences only 201 against the available complete bacterial genomes or avoid computational cost clustering or binning the 202 sequences first, and then doing the assignments only for the representative sequence of each cluster. MG7 203 carries out an exhaustive comparison of all the reads under analysis and it does not applies any binning strategy. Every read is specifically compared with all the sequences of the 16S database. We select the best 204 BLAST hits (10 hits by default) obtained for each read to do the taxonomic assignment. 205

Taxonomic Assignment Algorithms 2.3.3 206

214

216

217

218

219

220

221

223

225

226

227

228

All the reads are assigned under two different algorithms of assignment: i. Lowest Common Ancestor 207 based taxonomic assignment (LCA) and ii. Best BLAST Hit based taxonomic assignment (BBH). Figure 208 209 X displays schematically the LCA algorithm applied sensu stricto (left panel) and the called 'in line' exception (right panel) designed in order to gain specificity in the assignments in the cases in which the 210 topology of the taxonomical nodes corresponding to the BLAST hits support sufficiently the assignment to 211 the most specific taxon. 212

2.3.3.1 Lowest Common Ancestor based Taxonomic Assignment

For each read, first, we select the BEST BLAST HITs (by default 10 Hits) over a threshold of similarity (by default $evalue \le e^{-15}$) filtering those hits that are not sufficiently good comparing them with the best 215 one. We select the best HSP (High Similarity Pair) per reference sequence and then choose the best HSP (that with lowest e value) between all the selected ones. The bitscore of this best HSP (called S) is used as reference to filter the rest of HSPs. All the HSPs with bitscore below p x S are filtered. p is a coefficient fixed by the user to define the bitscore required, e.g. if p=0.9 and S=700 the required bitscore threshold would be 630. Once we have the definitive HSPs selected, we obtain their corresponding taxonomic nodes using the taxonomic assignments that NCBI provides for all the nt database sequences. Now we have to analyze the topological distribution of these nodes in the taxonomy tree: i. If all the nodes forms a line in 222 the taxonomy tree (are located in a not branched lineage to the tree root) we should choose the most specific taxID as the final assignment for that read. We call to this kind of assignment the 'in line' exception (see 224 Figure X right panel). ii. If not, we should search for the sensu stricto Lowest Common Ancestor (LCA) of all the selected taxonomic nodes (See Figure X left panel). In this approach we decided to use the bitscore for evaluating the similarity because it is a value that increases when similarity is higher and depends a lot on the length of the HSP. Some reads could not find sequences with enough similarity in the database and

- 229 then they would be classified as reads with no hits. Advanced metagenomics analysis approaches (?) have
- 230 adopted LCA assignment algorithms because it provides fine and trusted taxonomical assignment.

231 2.3.3.2 Best BLAST hit taxonomic assignment

- 232 We decided to maintain the simpler method of Best BLAST Hit (BBH) for taxonomic assignment because,
- 233 in some cases, it can provide information about the sequences that adds information to that obtained using
- 234 LCA algorithm. Using LCA algorithm, when some reference sequences with BLAST alignments over
- 235 the required thresholds map to a not sufficiently specific taxID, the read can be assigned to an unspecific
- 236 taxon near to the root of the taxonomy tree. If the BBH reference sequence maps to more specific taxa, this
- 237 method, in that case, gives us useful information.

238 2.3.4 Output for LCA and BBH assignments

- 239 MG7 provides independent results for the 2 different approaches, LCA and BBH. The output files include,
- 240 for each taxonomy node (with some read assigned), abundance values for direct assignment and cumulative
- 241 assignment. The abundances are provided in counts (absolute values) and in percentage normalized to the
- 242 number of reads of each sample. Direct assignments are calculated counting reads specifically assigned to a
- 243 taxonomic node, not including the reads assigned to the descendant nodes in the taxonomy tree. Cumulative
- 244 assignments are calculated including the direct assignments and also the assignments of the descendant
- 245 nodes. For each sample MG7 provides 8 kinds of abundance values: LCA direct counts, LCA cumu. counts,
- 246 LCA direct %, LCA cumu. %, BBH direct counts, BBH cumu. counts, BBH direct %, BBH cumu. %.

247 2.4 Availability

MG7 is open source, available at https://github.com/ohnosequences/mg7 under an AGPLv3 license.

3 DISCUSSION

249 3.1 What MG7 brings

- 250 We could summarize the most innovative ideas and developments in MG7:
- 1. Treat data analysis as a software project. This makes for radical improvements in *reproducibility*, *reuse*, *versioning*, *safety*, *automation* and *expressiveness*
- 253 2. input and output data, their locations and type are expressible and checked at compile-time using *Datasets* 3. management of dependencies and machine configurations using *Statika*
- 255 3. automation of AWS cloud resources and processes, including distribution and parallelization through the use of *Loquat*
- 4. taxonomic data and related operations are treated natively as what they are: graphs, through the use of *Bio4j*
- 5. MG7 provides a sustainable model for taxonomic assignment, appropriate to face the challenging amount of data that high throughput sequencing technologies generate
- We will expand on each item in the following sections.

262 3.2 A new approach to data analysis

- MG7 proposes to define and work with a particular data analysis task as a software project, using Scala.
- 264 The idea is that *everything*: data description, their location, configuration parameters, the infrastructure
- 265 used, ... should be expressed as Scala code, and treated in the same way as any (well-managed) software

Frontiers 7

- project. This includes, among other things, using version control systems (git in our case), writing tests, making stable releases following semantic versioning or publishing artifacts to a repository.
- What we see as key advantages of this approach (when coupled with compile-time specification and checking), are
- **Reproducibility** the same analysis can be run again with exactly the same configuration in a trivial way.
- **Versioning** as in any software project, there can be different versions, stable releases, etc.
- **Reuse** we can build standard configurations on top of this and reuse them for subsequent data analysis.

 A particular data analysis *task* can be used as a *library* in further analysis.
- **Decoupling** We can start working on the analysis specification, without any need for available data in a much easier way.
- **Documentation** We can take advantage of all the effort put into software documentation tools and practices, such as in our case Scaladoc or literate programming. As documentation, analysis processes and data specification live together in the files, it is much easier to keep coherence between them.
 - Expresiveness and safety For example in our case we can choose only from valid Illumina read types, and then build a default FLASH command based on that. The output locations, being declared statically, are also available for use in further analysis.
- 283 3.3 Inputs, outputs, data: compile-time, expressive, composable
- 284 3.4 Tools, data, dependencies and machine configurations
- 285 3.5 Parallel cloud execution ??
- 286 3.6 Taxonomy and Bio4j

280

281

282

- 287 The hierarchic structure of the taxonomy of the living organisms is a tree, and, hence, is also a graph
- 288 in which each node, with the exception of the root node, has a unique parent node. It led us to model the
- 289 taxonomy tree as a graph using the graph database paradigm. Previously we developed Bio4j [Pareja-
- 290 Tobes-2015], a platform for the integration of semantically rich biological data using typed graph models.
- 291 It integrates most publicly available data linked with sequences into a set of interdependent graphs to be
- 292 used for bioinformatics analysis and especially for biological data.
- 293 3.7 Future-proof
- 294 3.8 MG7 Future developments
- 295 3.8.1 Shotgun metagenomics
- 296 It is certainly possible to adapt MG7 to work with shotgun metagenomics data. Simply changing the
- 297 reference database to include whole genome sequence data could yield interesting results. This could
- 298 also be refined by restricting reference sequences according to all sort of criteria, like biological function
- 299 or taxonomy. Bio4j would be an invaluable tool here, thanks to its ability to express express complex
- 300 predicates on sequences using all the information linked with them (GO annotations, UniProt data, NCBI
- 301 taxonomy, etc).
- 302 3.8.2 Comparison of groups of samples
- 303 3.8.3 Interactive visualizations using the output files of MG7 (Biographika project)

4 MATERIALS AND METHODS

304 4.1 Amazon Web Services

- We use EC2, S3 and SQS through a Scala wrapper of the official AWS Java SDK, ohnosequences/aws-
- 306 scala-tools 0.13.2. This uses version 1.10.9 of the AWS Java SDK.
- 307 **4.2 Scala**
- 308 MG7 itself and all the libraries used are written in Scala 2.11.
- 309 4.3 Statika
- 310 MG7 uses ohnosequences/statika 2.0.0 for specifying the configuration and behavior of EC2 instances.
- 311 **4.4 Datasets**
- 312 MG7 uses ohnosequences/datasets 0.2.0 for specifying input and output data, their type and their location.
- 313 **4.5 Loquat**
- 314 MG7 uses ohnosequences/loquat 2.0.0 for the specification of data processing tasks and their execution
- 315 using AWS resources.
- 316 **4.6 BLAST eDSL**
- 317 MG7 uses ohnosequences/blast 0.2.0. The BLAST version used is 2.2.31+
- 318 **4.7 FLASH eDSL**
- MG7 uses ohnosequences/flash 0.1.0. The FLASH version used is ? . ? . ?
- 320 **4.8 Bio4j**
- MG7 uses bio4j/bio4j 0.12.0-RC3 and bio4j/bio4j-titan 0.4.0-RC2 as an API for the NCBI taxonomy.

5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

322 The two first authors are funded by INTERCROSSING (Grant 289974).

REFERENCES

- 323 Cochrane, G. R. and Galperin, M. Y. (2010). The 2010 nucleic acids research database issue and online
- database collection: a community of data resources. *Nucleic acids research* 38, D1–D4
- 325 Cole, J. R., Wang, Q., Fish, J. A., Chai, B., McGarrell, D. M., Sun, Y., et al. (2013). Ribosomal database
- project: data and tools for high throughput rrna analysis. *Nucleic acids research*, gkt1244
- 327 Federhen, S. (2014). Type material in the ncbi taxonomy database. *Nucleic acids research*, gku1127
- 328 Franzosa, E. A., Huang, K., Meadow, J. F., Gevers, D., Lemon, K. P., Bohannan, B. J., et al. (2015).
- 329 Identifying personal microbiomes using metagenomic codes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
- 330 Sciences, 201423854
- 331 Harper, R. and Pierce, B. (1991). A record calculus based on symmetric concatenation. In *Proceedings of*
- the 18th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT symposium on Principles of programming languages (ACM), 131–142
- 333 Harper, R. W. and Pierce, B. C. (1990). Extensible records without subsumption

Frontiers 9

- Magoč, T. and Salzberg, S. L. (2011). Flash: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2957–2963
- Pareja-Tobes, P., Tobes, R., Manrique, M., Pareja, E., and Pareja-Tobes, E. (2015). Bio4j: a highperformance cloud-enabled graph-based data platform. *bioRxiv*, 016758
- 338 Segata, N., Boernigen, D., Tickle, T. L., Morgan, X. C., Garrett, W. S., and Huttenhower, C. (2013).
- Computational meta'omics for microbial community studies. *Molecular systems biology* 9, 666
- 340 Stephens, Z. D., Lee, S. Y., Faghri, F., Campbell, R. H., Zhai, C., Efron, M. J., et al. (2015). Big data:
- Astronomical or genomical? *PLoS Biol* 13, e1002195
- 342 Zeller, G., Tap, J., Voigt, A. Y., Sunagawa, S., Kultima, J. R., Costea, P. I., et al. (2014). Potential of fecal
- microbiota for early-stage detection of colorectal cancer. *Molecular systems biology* 10, 766