

RoboCup Rescue 2016 Team Description Paper

CuerBot

Adrian Jasso, J. Jesus Lopez-Villalobos, Roberto Paras-Villanueva, Carlos Hernández, Alejandro Pescador, Jose Valderrama and Manuel Perez

Info

Team Name: CuerBot
 Team Institution: Instituto Tecnologico de Nuevo Leon
 Team Leader: Adrian Jasso
 Team URL: <http://robotica.itnl.edu.mx>
 RoboCup Rescue 2016 TDP collection: <http://robotic.itnl.edu.mx>

Abstract— This project is developed based into six wheels no holonomic with gravity center fixed mobile robot for rescue operations in Mexico, our principal goal is type-2 fuzzy logic algorithm to evaluate victim state and position; in this project use a software embedded to apply our control algorithms and manage data acquisition and actuator enveloped. Robotic design includes an innovative technique to evaluate route measurement with dual sensor style.

Index Terms—RoboCup Rescue, Team Description Paper, fuzzy logic, embedded controller, data acquisition systems.

Introduction

For this project we are working in a real situation of support for rescue teams of Nuevo Leon State, our topography is special for tracking vehicles and for this reason work with this type locomotion system. Taking as reference RoboCup rules [1], we consider CO₂, body temperature, sound and video processing; additional variables to consider are proximity detection and level position. To link all these variables we use I²C network under serial connections, every node in this network have either two elements: microcontroller and level conversion circuit. This microcontroller has a simple responsibility, manage a Universal Algorithm to Read/Write our Environment (UARWE), this algorithm includes protocol algorithm. All this nodes are controlled by an embedded card that uses C++ as platform to develop mapping and robot control. Some previous works was presented by [2,4,5,6]

For control algorithm we use two programs: one based in fuzzy logic type II with two options to evaluate, both are developed in embedded card with MATLAB and LabView software, this program consider CO₂, body temperature (IR sensor),

displacement and voice as inputs to evaluate, as output victim detection and, second Finite State Machine FSM algorithm is developed for single robot's displacement. It's very important take a consideration always manual control of robot movement is present and control algorithm helps to give a guide to operator to drive this robot.

For a communication we use two links to make contact with operator and robot, WiFi type N net is used for PC-PC communication, with regular configuration defined for parameters of TCP/IP protocol. In this case one computer (master) controls robot and another computer is a slave of the other, using software to remote control. The frequency managed is 2.4 GHz, and all characteristics enveloped in WiFi Consortium.

For video management we use one fix camera. Fix camera uses WiFi link this camera generate a high quality image to process with control algorithm, located on embedded platform system.

Additional hand radios are used to communicate with victim, one in vox mode located inside of robot and other in Operator Station as half duplex mode, this radios are using amateur frequency 146 MHz in FM mode.

To give exact position of robot we consider two sensors to evaluate this date, gyroscope MEMS sensors that evaluate three axis position and acceleration and two encoders to make a measure of two axis positions, both variables are connected to microcontrollers commented lines up. Around robot structure we fit six proximity sensors to detect wall and free space, all processing is realized in control algorithm.

As mechanical references we use three mass elements to move this robot, one is main structure where caterpillar and mechanisms are linked to produce X, Y movement, another two structures are helping to climb and move down the main structure, every structure has a similar bonds with tracking system, maintaining or trying to, fit always our center of gravity.

For all this work we made some research over projects presented in lasted RoboCup competitions and as reference our participation local robotics contest; we try to evaluate our preliminary results and obviously our actual participation will

Rescue Robot League		
Cuerbot (MEXICO)		
MODIFY TABLE TO NOTE ALL FREQENCIES THAT APPLY TO YOUR TEAM		
Frequency	Channel/Band	Power (mW)
2.4 GHz - 802.11n	3	500
2.4 GHz - Other	FM	500
147 MHz	147.5 MHz	1000

Table 1. Frequencies table used for this robot.

be reflected in a potential product to introduce to national market free of providers of rescue robots.

1 Operator Station Set-up and Break-Down (10 minutes)

The operator system is packed in one middle Cuerbot suitcase, and the robot is also packed in one large size Cuerbot traveling box. Suitcase weight will not exceed 10 kg. and our robot is previously fully ensembles, to large travel it can be dismounting a re-ensemble again. Our Operator Station is formed either Computer (netbook) or smartphone, dedicated as interface of embedded card located in robot, all programs are located there; another accessory is one video web camera and receiver and the last element is a hand radio to receive environment sound from robot. A regular power supply is added to manage 110 V AC, but it is prepared for emergency energy plant or 12 V dc batteries. The setup is quickly is just plug and play and for break down in similar way.

2 Communications

The method of communication between the user and the robot will be by means of one laptop that has control over other embedded computer managed remotely, communicated a network Wi-Fi type N. Internal communication will be handled directly with I²C network that will connect to microcontrollers with net bus [15], it will be in charge to receive and to carry out the orders that the mother-board requires. Another links are used for FM video transmitter with 2.4 GHz frequency; for voice link uses a regular hand radios in the VHF amateur band (144-148 MHz). All spectrum of frequencies used are depicted into table 1.

3 Control Method and Human-Robot Interface

In the control method, already detected the variables of the victim by means of the sensors they are analyzed by means of fuzzy logic type I methodology. For the process of fuzzification the operators used are Min-Max method, this give us an implication of variables becomes taking minimum from the exit variables, they are added considering its maximum values of each one of the same exits of the activated rules, and the method of desfuzzification is the centroid in the diffuse algorithm obtained a total of 9 evaluated rules and at the moment it is continued valuing the exact specification of the model for the detection of victims; as reference, comparing with old model reduce 30 % of computational process. For future hardware mapping decision, consider two steps for fuzzy control, first victim's found subsystem and second voice analyze subsystem; for linguistic description variables Gaussian shapes are preferred, but in some cases trapezoidal graphs are used too. Some preliminary results, give us some differences about victim age; and it's expressed by different desfuzzification phase outputs with similar form but variable highly dependent of age. The last results give opportunity to use type-II fuzzy logic as methodology to found better refined desfuzzification victim variable [8]. All environments are developed in LabView to take access to interfaces and be compatible with OS. This part is been currently developed, but this manages motion control and victim detection indication in robot teach box, we are trying to connect a mechanical displacement accessory (joystick), using info packet over TCP/IP. Some references were in [3,6].

Type-2 fuzzy logic systems

Interval type-2 (IT2) fuzzy logic systems (FLS) constitute an emerging technology. A type-2 fuzzy set [1], denoted by \tilde{A} , is characterized by a type-2 membership function $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x, u)$, where $x \in X$ and $u \in J_x \subseteq [0,1]$, and all variables enveloped into human detection are evaluated under this consideration.

$$\tilde{A} = \{(x, u), \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x, u)\} \mid \forall x \in X, \forall u \in J_x \subseteq [0,1]\}$$

and $0 \leq \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x, u) \leq 1$. This means that at specific value of x , say x' , there is no longer a single value as for the type-1 membership function (u') [8]; instead, the type-2 membership function takes on a set of values named the primary membership of x' , $u \in J_x \subseteq [0,1]$.

It is possible to assign an amplitude distribution to all of those points. This amplitude is named a secondary grade of general

type-2 fuzzy set. When the values of secondary grade are the same and equal to 1, there is the case of an interval type-2 membership function. In human detection, the inputs of the IT2 FLS model are the victim's body temperature, CO_2 composition, and the voice frequency.

The architecture of the IT2 FLS is established in that way those each parameters are continuously optimized. The number of rule-figures are fixed to three; one for the body temperature (divided into three IT2 fuzzy sets), one for the CO_2 consumptions (divided into five IT2 fuzzy sets), and one for the voice frequency (divided into five IT2 fuzzy sets), resulting $(3 * 5 * 5 = 75)$ twenty five rules. Gaussian primary membership functions of uncertain means are chosen for the antecedents and consequents.

The resulting interval type-2 TSK FLS uses type-1 singleton fuzzification, join under maximum t-conorm, meet under product t-norm and product implication.

The training mechanism used is the back-propagation (BP) method.

The IT2 CTC model has three four inputs $x_1 \in X_1$, $x_2 \in X_2$, and $x_3 \in X_3$ and one output $y \in Y$, and a rule base of size $M = 75$ of the form:

$\tilde{R}^l : \text{IF } x_1 \text{ is } \tilde{A}_1^l \text{ and } x_2 \text{ is } \tilde{A}_2^l,$
 $\text{and } x_3 \text{ is } \tilde{A}_3^l, \text{ THEN } y \text{ is } \tilde{G}^l$

where $l = 1, 2, \dots, 75$.

These rules represent a fuzzy relations between the input space $X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3$ and the output space Y , and are complete, consistent and continuous.

The primary membership function \tilde{A}_1^l , \tilde{A}_2^l and \tilde{A}_3^l of each consequent is a gaussian function with uncertain means, see figure 4.1. Since the center-of-sets type-reducer replaces each consequent set $C_{\tilde{G}_l}$ by its centroid, then y_l^l and y_r^l are the consequent parameters.

Initially, only the input-output data training pairs $(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)})$, $(x^{(2)}, y^{(2)})$, ..., $(x^{(N)}, y^{(N)})$ are available and the initial values for the centroid parameters y_l^l and y_r^l may be determined according to the linguistic rules from human experts, as is the case of this application.

As support of fuzzy design we are using *Xfuzzy* software to support all initial models of linguistic variables used to detect victims. Additional tools were used to develop all fuzzy algorithms as matlab and OMEdit, to validate all structures of behaviors of victim detection. We depict the following figures with explanation of fuzzy strategy to develop a detection of every variable such as temperature, distance detection, position and vision, all figures from 3.2 to 3.8 explain over their variable modeling process.




Fig 3.1 Temperature type-2 membership functions, it's a simple example of temperature evaluation.




Fig 3.2 Structure of I/O of fuzzy controller, for this case Pre-selector controller evaluate human variables available CO₂ and temperature; fitting controller evaluate audio voice, this step is changing with old age. This first evaluation steps was developed in fuzzy type I style, as reference as start point to variable evaluations [22].




Fig 3.3 Graphic evaluation of preliminary fuzzy graphs of pre-selector controller.




Fig 3.4 Fuzzy graphs typical used for fuzzy controller, Gaussian form are considered in all variables.




Fig 3.5 Fuzzy Algorithm Matrix, this figure represent linguistic experience of rescue operator expressed in general way, in other words, with natural language.




Fig 3.6 Fuzzy System Type I, it will be integrated with another two systems, all was evaluated into Matlab[©] and Xfuzzy.




Fig 3.7 Fuzzy System Type I with hardware interface with Arduino microcontroller, integrating control and victim indicator.




Fig 3.8 FSM algorithm tested by SimForge tool for manual control of robot displacement, this figure depicts Petri Net equivalent for general structure [23,24].

In this moment, we are defining our expected results based into knowledge base of Operator experience in rescue operations and 6 possibilities are been evaluated in this moment according human age [9-13].

To integrate our Fuzzy Logic philosophy in easy mode, follow a simple strategy to develop out initial effort; with LabView based into Matlab[®] interface: With Matlab, create simple examples of fuzzy type I developments, calling them with LabView, we create a simple mathematical platform with all these controllers integrating one fuzzy logic type 2 system. As initial work only two variables are considerate, as sequential job audio treatment will be added into project.

For basic movement of robot an Finite State Machine FSM algorithm is currently designed for manual control, the simple way to represent this control is following joystick over user indicated displacement, i.e., moving left indicates to motor their current flow and as speed regulation based into two PWM duty cycles previously fixed ($k= 0.45$ and 0.8). As experience, an improved technique over speed motor control is developing to prevent recurrent damage over DC drivers.

Both control algorithms are initially implemented in embedded card that but some deficiencies are detected in past, to improve it, some powerful computer are better; for this reason an atom processor changes all software potential. In this version a netbook are used with graphic language with quick access to every sensor and actuator [12,13]. This computer is supported with auxiliary Arduino microcontrollers.

4 Map generation/ printing

For mapping generation we are designing a program to detect obstacles and give telemetry info about position of robot and victim detection. We are integrating in embedded card a control protocol program that obtains info of encoders, gyroscope and accelerometers; all of them are processed for this program. An develop XY map tool is currently developed to show and print robot position, in this moment only simple detection of trajectory is created, for victim detection fuzzy algorithm helps to main program to establish the possibility of victim presence. For measurement proposes, we are using metric units. This program is making developed in LabView.

5 Sensors for navigation and localization

For this purpose we use old sensor scheme used in previous prototype, remembering it consider two element to make it, two absolute encoders located into robot structure and they give us 360° for X-Y Axis motion, their mechanical mounting will be specified in the next sections. In this case electrical parameters are compatible with TTL level managed by microcontrollers used in this robot, all information is driving with control PC program into embedded card. The resolution of this sensor is 4 revolutions by one track band revolution considering 0.000694 lineal meters reflected in X- Axis and for Y-Axis consider angular displacement 1440 pulses by revolution.

Another innovator element included is a gyroscope based in MEMS technology to give us either two parameters: level and acceleration measurement, digital output in serial format is used to communicate with your corresponding microcontroller. This smart sensor has a resolution of 0.004° by axis and considers 3D level detection and, in similar manner is treated acceleration measurement.

Both displacement sensors used are evaluated to prevent false measurement by wheels sliding and home fixing, additional algorithms are developed to translate acceleration and binary to ASCII conversion. Both sensors are depicted into figures 5.1 and 5.2, respectively.



fig 5.1: Absolute encoder used to mechanical movement detection.




fig 5.2: iSensor based in MEMS 3D level and acceleration [14].

As proximity wall and space detection we use four optical sensors symmetrically hosted in robot structure to give to control program information about tracking and obstacles detected for these sensors, they are directly coupled to microcontroller because they have fixed distance detection and obviously use discrete signals.

6 Sensors for Victim Identification

Essentially four victim detection is evaluated to use as references to detect initial human conditions, all of them are directly connect to embedded control system; mixed output signals are used to connect to embedded controller. Our sensors for human identification are:

The types of sensors to use are:

- CO₂ sensorial gas: It contributes to the perception and identification of the gas emitted by man, is not necessary its calibration, can detect from 0 to 100,000 ppm; it is necessary to be located closer to robot without human physical contact, around 20 cms; see figure 6.2 and table 2 for essential characteristics.
- Absolute encoders: Located one in each gear of the flank of the robot, it will show to the number of returns or revolutions to us that each gives to the band that crosses a certain position, their values will not lost your exact position. On the other hand, internal counter is implemented to register all complete rotations of every encoder.
- IR thermal: This sensor is essential to make measurements of health conditions over body; our typical range over 34 to 40 degrees is covered over this sensor of 0 to 50 degrees centigrade, with acceptable resolution. Additional DAQ circuit was designed to connect with embedded system, as default voltage output is 0 to 5volts, easy to convert to digital form.



Fig.6.1 IR Sensor model OS65 used for body temperature measurement

Characteristic	Range
Output signal range:	Standard level
Input potential:	0-4 volts
Gas sampling mode:	5 volts (± 0.25 V)
Normal operating temperature range:	25°C ($\pm 5^\circ\text{C}$)
Operating humanity range:	5-95% (non-condensing)
Storage temperature range:	-40 to 65°C

Table 2. CO₂ sensor characteristic




Fig 6.2: CO₂ sensor and response.

- Fixed camera: We use a fixed camera with optical accessory to cover 360 degrees of vision, [9]. Four megapixels is current resolution, this number cover our necessities for image caption; this camera is connected using wifi technology with high resolution to be processed with software vision algorithms based into LabView tools.




Fig 6.3 Fixed Camera with high resolution.

Characteristic	Range
Sensing range	0..300
Type	OD 100 GA 300
Voltage Supply	18...32 DC
Current consumption	<40 mA
Current output	4...20 mA
Angle of vision	<10°
Reproduction	±1 °C
Temperature coefficient	±0.1 of the measuring value
Response time	<100 ms
Measuring accuracy	±3 of the measuring value
Ambient temperature	-10...+60 °C
Load resistente RL	<500 Ω
EMV-class	A
Protection [EN 60529]	IP 67
Material	AISI 316 Ti
Connection	M12 connector


Table 3. IR temperature specifications

7 Robot Locomotion

Our robot is composed by two rigid bodies and auxiliary bounds mechanism that support all mobile parts over displacement structure, this design is based into six wheels model depicted in [25], but some specific mechanics was adapted for our necessities. Obviously, electronically is quite different because our control programs and hardware is designed, to evaluate different strategies and necessities for rescue operations. In the Figure 7.1, show the body Robot, Total size of robot's world is 24x30 inches.

**Fig 6.4** Full system integration of robot's controller, we depicted all sensors & actuators enveloped to complete functionally.**Fig 7.1** 3D robot structures with main tracking system depicted with climb accessories

This robot has a structure body and tows mechanism with wheels, could be mobiles based into four links, see the Figure 7.2

**Fig 7.2** Mechanic movement of structural mobile robot

Transmission

Robot can move through a transmission with 5 gears and two Pulleys as shown in the Figure 7.2, all of them have a relation of 1:1. Thus when the motor gives a turn, all the gears give it.




Fig. 7.3 Robot pulley system used to move one side of symmetrical movement of all wheels by central wheel moved by electrical motor and measured by encoder.

The main idea was taken of the six wheels strategy, this model is ideal for hard ways, only modify the internal structure of robot, with our sensors and actuators




Fig. 7.4 Robot movement in different levels.

We can see the movement of the wheels, the wheel C is fixed while the wheel A and B can Up and Down respectively.

The robot counts on 2 motors DC (12V) used to send traction in the bands of the Tires. When we want Turn Right, the motor A run Forward and the motor B run backward but if we want turn left the motor B run Backward and the motor. Forward movement motors will transmit his torque wings rims by means of a system of gears.

Structure profile

The five profiles are ideal for lightweight constructions of all kinds. The small exterior dimensions ensure particularly compact jigs, covers and handling equipment. The full functionality of the building kit is retained. For this reason we decided to use this material because it meets many of our needs for our project. The qualities and tolerances are

described to assess the efficiency of this material. Automatic and manual fastener was applied to fit all structure to give structural enforcement and shape.




Fig 7.5 Aluminum profile number five used to build all structures.

Pulleys and toothed Belts

The toothed pulleys are not dependent on friction to transmit power, allowing minimal stress on the bands. Moreover, by failing to slip between the pulley and the band, there is synchronization between the axles. Among the technological highlights the change in the profiles of the teeth of the pulleys and belts for transmissions offer increasingly silent and durable.

The pulleys can have straight teeth step in inches, MXL series (1 / 12"), XL (1 / 5"), L (3 / 8"), H (1 / 2"), XH (7 / 8") and XXII (1-1/4"), or series 3M, 5M, 8M, 14M and 20M, where the digits represent a move in millimeters.




Fig 7.6 Toothed band gear and pulley used in this project.

Main body of Robot

The material changes are too much benefit the project in its design and speed of assembly, Profile No 5 was the material used. The new material provides strength and stability to the robot land, now we will ensure that in the places where our robot ay natural disasters will remain stable and solid in any situation.

System Front

This system design was made an improvement, with which gives us more confidence in the stability and rigidity of our robot. Each crossing point is attached by bearings that allow you to have a free motion, another section was added which will allow you to drive mechanisms within the system. With the implementation of toothed belts and pulleys we have a very good response in the pull of the mechanisms in the figure shows the manufactured part in design software




Fig 7.7 Mobile piece of Terrestrial Rescue Robot

The creation of the second central axis was performed with the aim that the robot can carry out search work in places inaccessible to people specialized in finding people, now we have our security robot that no part will be exposed to suffer engine damage in any exhibition or test to perform because the majority of assemblies are inside the structure. Next figure shows how the incorporation of the second central axis is of great importance for the performance of the mechanism.




Fig 7.8 Displacement of wheels

Rescue Robot Model and Views

Above figure shows the robot body, the total size of the robot in real mode is 24 x 30 inches.




Fig 7.9 Front view of robot.

We will show a presentation of front and side views of the robot assembly, and to appreciate better the changes in design. The figure below shows on a hard hitting and will appreciate our Robot in the moving part of the tires are internal mechanisms, protecting them from blows or shocks in the field.

8 Other Mechanisms

The robot counts with pan /tilt integrated camera, mounted over 6 DOF robot integrated into robot structure. This additional robot is take visual auxiliary support to find victims and take some light pieces to facilitate robot displacement and visual contact. This robot is previously designed by commercial manufacturer MECATRON-1, but is prepared to be mounted into CuerBot structure.



Fig. 8.1 Illustration of Robot used in CuerBot structure.

9 Team Training for Operation (Human Factors)

In order to operate the system of the Cuerbot in the movement aspect, it is necessary to know where one is its point of balance and its different movements, as well as its length, speed and the distance of turn in the direction. In

addition to its different functions as they are, the form to elevate the dynamic camera, and as is its length of elevation; the form to interpret the values of the different sensors, like: infrared, sensorial sensor of CO₂, sensor of temperature, in addition to the proximity sensors that are located in the flanks, to the front and in the back part of the Cuerbot. Essential robot drive teaching is developed either State Rescue Team and our Team.

10 Possibility for practical application to Real Disaster Site

We are currently working with FONLIN program and supported by Red Temática Robótica y Mecatrónica CONACYT with special sponsoring of Robotics Mexican Federation FMR that consider new projects with new technology and developing, the main goal is to give us tools to put this robot into market, and another thing is that we are working together with Emergency State Services to share training and provides of one robot for community service. Remember our objective is to try to solve a local problem in disaster events.

11 System Cost

System cost total	
Concept (model)	Cost per piece
Absolute Encoder	\$180 usd
Microcontroller	\$3 usd
Gyroscope	\$600 usd
Pan and Tilt Camera	\$150 usd
Wireless camera	\$80 usd
Netbook	\$350 usd
IR Temperature Sensor	\$1250 usd
CO ₂ Sensor	\$317 usd
CD Motors for desplacement	\$25 USD C/U
Batteries	\$54 USD C/U
Pulley's Band	\$200 usd
Metal structure to form body	\$154 usd
Industrial PVC for mechanical support	\$95 USD

Gears	\$150 usd
Bearings	\$15 usd
Lamps	\$15 usd
IC circuits (average)	\$10 usd
VHF Hand Radios	\$60 usd
Access point	\$95 usd
Proximity Sensors	\$60 usd
USB Memory 8Gb	\$40 usd
Accessories	\$1257 usd
Robot 6 DOF	\$1,200 usd
TOTAL COST APROX	\$6,357 usd

Table No. 4 Cost for robot development, accessories aren't considerate.

12 Lessons Learned

This is a new experience over develop a small, light and transportable robot because for a real application needs a robot with these facilities. Another important thing is our artificial intelligence application improvement based into embedded technologies, all experiences are good goals for new knowledge for this research group and give us an opportunity to support our bachelor and master degrees related (human resources and specific project related with companies). On the other hand another experiences was received about our internal support and re-engineering was applied. The most important value is our graduate students, this project gives them an opportunity to develop knowledge into specific areas of robotics; this project has been generator of 6 bachelor degrees and master degree. We will aggregate more info about our experiences when we will be participating in this RoboCup 2016.

References

1. Adam Jacoff, Elena Messina, Brian A. Weiss, Satoshi Tadokoro, and Yuki Nakagawa, **Test Arenas and Performance Metrics for Urban Search and Rescue Robots**, Proceedings of the 2003 IEEE/RSJ InU. Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems Las Vegas. Nevada. October 2003, 3396-3403.

2. Hiroaki Kitano, Satoshi Tadokoro, Koichi Osuka⁴, RoboCup Rescue Project: Challenges and Benchmark, *Proceedings of the 2000 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, 1886-1993.
3. Ashraf Aboshosha, **Adaptation of Rescue Robot Behaviour in Unknown Terrains Based on Stochastic and Fuzzy Logic Approaches**, Proceedings of the 2003 IEEE/RSJ Intl. Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems Las Vegas. Nevada 'October 2003, 2859-2864.
4. Bryan M. Hudock, **On the Development of a Novel Urban Search and Rescue Robot**, United States Naval Academy, 451-455.
5. A. Carbone, A. Finzi, A. Orlandini, F. Pirri, G. Ugazio, **Situation Aware Rescue Robots**, Proceedings of the 2005 IEEE International Workshop on Safety, Security and Rescue Robotics Kobe, Japan, June 2005, 182-188.
6. H. N. Pishkenari, S. H. Mahboobi, and A. Meghdari, **On the Optimum Design of Fuzzy Logic Controller for Trajectory Tracking Using Evolutionary Algorithms**, Proceedings of the 2004 IEEE Conference on Cybernetics and Intelligent Systems Singapore, 1-3 December, 2004, 660-665.
7. Fumitoshi MATSUNO, Satoshi TADOKORO, **Rescue Robots and Systems in Japan**, Proceedings of the 2004 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Biomimetics August 22 - 26, 2004, Shenyang, China, 12-20.
8. Karnik NILESH, Mendel JERRY, **Introduction to Type-2 Fuzzy Logic Systems**, IEEE Proceedings of Fuzzy Systems, 1998, USA, 915-920.
9. Bin-Da Liu, Chun-Yueh Huang, **Design and Implementation of the Tree-Based Fuzzy Logic Controller**, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON SYSTEMS, MAN, AND CYBERNETICS—PART B: CYBERNETICS, VOL. 27, NO. 3, JUNE 1997, USA, 475-487
10. Chuen LEE, **Fuzzy Logic in Control Systems: Fuzzy Logic Controller-Part I**, IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Vol. 20, no. 2 March/April 1990, USA, 404-418
11. Chuen LEE, **Fuzzy Logic in Control Systems: Fuzzy Logic Controller-Part II**, IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Vol. 20, no. 2 March/April 1990, USA, 419-435
12. Brox M., Gersnoviez A., Sánchez-Solano S., Baturone I., **Controlador difuso para problemas de navegación en presencia de obstáculos fijos** Proc. XIII Congreso Español de Tecnologías y Lógica Fuzzy, pp. 29-34, Ciudad Real, Sep. 22-29, 2006.
13. Cabrera A., Sánchez-Solano A., Barriga, Brox P., Moreno-Velo F.J., Baturone I.
- Plataforma para el Desarrollo de Controladores Difusos como Sistemas Empotrados**
Proc. XII Congreso Español de Tecnologías y Lógica Fuzzy, pp. 177-182, Jaén, Sep. 15-17, 2004.
14. http://www.logitech.com/index.cfm/webcam_communications/webcams/devices/3480&cl=us,en, web site at March 2008.
15. [http://www.infokrause.com/camara_vivotek FD713_1_dual_codec_poe_ik.htm](http://www.infokrause.com/camara_vivotek_FD713_1_dual_codec_poe_ik.htm), web site at March 2008.
16. <http://www.pc-adictos.com.ar/hardware/de-tc-320.html>, web site at March 2008.
17. <https://www.sealevel.com/uploads/manuals/3544.pdf>, web site at March 2008.
18. http://www.pc104.org/cgi-bin/detail_pc104.cgi, web site at March 2008.
19. http://us.kontron.com/downloads/manual/BQBAM1_13.pdf, web site at March 2008.
20. <http://www.analog.com>, web site at October 2008.
21. <http://www.maxim-ic.com>, web site at October 2008.
22. <http://www.imse.cnm.es/Xfuzzy/>, web site at November 2008.
23. <http://www.ohloh.net/p/SimForge>, web site at January 2009.
24. <http://www.ida.liu.se/labs/pelab/modelica/OpenModelica.html>, web site at January 2009.
25. <http://www.volksbot.de/robots.php>, web site at January 2009.