

# Lecture 5: Convolutional Neural Networks


32x32x3 image -> preserve spatial structure



32x32x3 image




5x5x3 filter




**Convolve** the filter with the image i.e. "slide over the image spatially, computing dot products"

32x32x3 image





Filters always extend the full depth of the input volume


5x5x3 filter





**Convolve** the filter with the image i.e. "slide over the image spatially, computing dot products"

















#### activation map




### consider a second, green filter






For example, if we had 6 5x5 filters, we'll get 6 separate activation maps:





We stack these up to get a "new image" of size 28x28x6!

**Preview:** ConvNet is a sequence of Convolution Layers, interspersed with activation functions





**Preview:** ConvNet is a sequence of Convolution Layers, interspersed with activation functions






preview: RELU RELU RELU RELU RELU RELU CONV CONV CONV CONV CONV CONV FC car truck airplane ship horse






7x7 input (spatially) assume 3x3 filter




7x7 input (spatially) assume 3x3 filter


7

7x7 input (spatially) assume 3x3 filter




7x7 input (spatially) assume 3x3 filter






7x7 input (spatially) assume 3x3 filter applied with stride 2



7x7 input (spatially) assume 3x3 filter applied with stride 2



7x7 input (spatially) assume 3x3 filter applied with stride 2 **=> 3x3 output!** 


N
---

	F		
F			

Output size: (N - F) / stride + 1

e.g. N = 7, F = 3:  
stride 1 => 
$$(7 - 3)/1 + 1 = 5$$
  
stride 2 =>  $(7 - 3)/2 + 1 = 3$   
stride 3 =>  $(7 - 3)/3 + 1 = 2.33$  :\


### In practice: Common to zero pad the border



e.g. input 7x7
3x3 filter, applied with stride 1
pad with 1 pixel border => what is the output?

```
(recall:)
(N - F) / stride + 1
```

### In practice: Common to zero pad the border




e.g. input 7x7
3x3 filter, applied with stride 1
pad with 1 pixel border => what is the output?

7x7 output!

```
(recall:)
(N + 2P - F) / stride + 1
```

### In practice: Common to zero pad the border




e.g. input 7x7
3x3 filter, applied with stride 1
pad with 1 pixel border => what is the output?

#### 7x7 output!


in general, common to see CONV layers with stride 1, filters of size FxF, and zero-padding with (F-1)/2. (will preserve size spatially)

#### Remember back to...

E.g. 32x32 input convolved repeatedly with 5x5 filters shrinks volumes spatially! (32 -> 28 -> 24 ...). Shrinking too fast is not good, doesn't work well.




Input volume: **32x32x3** 10 5x5 filters with stride 1, pad 2



Output volume size: ?

Input volume: 32x32x3

10 5x5 filters with stride 1, pad 2




Output volume size:

$$(32+2*2-5)/1+1 = 32$$
 spatially, so

32x32x10


Input volume: **32x32x3**10 5x5 filters with stride 1, pad 2



Number of parameters in this layer?

Input volume: 32x32x3

10 5x5 filters with stride 1, pad 2



Number of parameters in this layer? each filter has 5\*5\*3 + 1 = 76 params

(+1 for bias)

### Convolution layer: summary

Let's assume input is W<sub>1</sub> x H<sub>1</sub> x C Conv layer needs 4 hyperparameters:

- Number of filters K
- The filter size **F**
- The stride **S**
- The zero padding **P**

This will produce an output of W<sub>2</sub> x H<sub>2</sub> x K where:

- $W_2 = (W_1 F + 2P)/S + 1$
- $H_2^- = (H_1 F + 2P)/S + 1$

Number of parameters: F<sup>2</sup>CK and K biases

### Convolution layer: summary

Common settings:

Let's assume input is W<sub>1</sub> x H<sub>1</sub> x C

Conv layer needs 4 hyperparameters:

- Number of filters **K**
- The filter size **F**
- The stride S
- The zero padding P

This will produce an output of W<sub>2</sub> x H<sub>2</sub> x K where:


- $-W_2 = (W_1 F + 2P)/S + 1$
- $H_2^- = (H_1 F + 2P)/S + 1$

Number of parameters: F2CK and K biases


K = (powers of 2, e.g. 32, 64, 128, 512)

- F = 3, S = 1, P = 1
  - F = 5, S = 1, P = 2
  - F = 5, S = 2, P = ? (whatever fits)
  - F = 1, S = 1, P = 0

#### (btw, 1x1 convolution layers make perfect sense)




#### (btw, 1x1 convolution layers make perfect sense)




### Pooling layer

- makes the representations smaller and more manageable
- operates over each activation map independently:



#### MAX POOLING

#### Single depth slice



max pool with 2x2 filters and stride 2

6	8
3	4

### Convolution layer: summary

Let's assume input is W<sub>1</sub> x H<sub>1</sub> x C Conv layer needs 2 hyperparameters:

- The spatial extent **F**
- The stride S

This will produce an output of  $W_2 \times H_2 \times C$  where:


- $W_2 = (W_1 F)/S + 1$
- $-H_{2} = (H_{1} F)/S + 1$

Number of parameters: 0

### Fully Connected Layer (FC layer)

Fei-Fei Li, Ranjay Krishna, Danfei Xu

Contains neurons that connect to the entire input volume, as in ordinary Neural **Networks** 



## Summary

- ConvNets stack CONV,POOL,FC layers
- Trend towards smaller filters and deeper architectures
- Trend towards getting rid of POOL/FC layers (just CONV)
- Historically architectures looked like [(CONV-RELU)\*N-POOL?]\*M-(FC-RELU)\*K,SOFTMAX
  - where N is usually up to  $\sim$ 5, M is large, 0 <= K <= 2.
    - but recent advances such as ResNet/GoogLeNet have challenged this paradigm