

Introduction to the Econometrics of Policy Evaluation

Philipp Eisenhauer

... background material available at
<https://github.com/policyMetrics/course>

Policy Evaluation Tasks

Heckman (2008) defines three policy evaluation tasks:

- ▶ Evaluating the impact of historical interventions on outcomes including their impact in terms of well-being of the treated and the society at large.
- ▶ Forecasting the impact of historical interventions implemented in one environment in other environments, including their impact in terms of well-being.
- ▶ Forecasting the impacts of interventions never historically experienced to various environments, including their impact on well-being.

Policy Evaluation Tasks

The Econometrics of Policy Evaluation

- ▶ ... is important
- ▶ ... is complicated
- ▶ ... is multifaceted

Numerous Applications

- ▶ Labor Economics
- ▶ Development Economics
- ▶ Industrial Economics
- ▶ Health Economics

Numerous Effects

- ▶ (Local) Average Effects
- ▶ Marginal Effects
- ▶ Distributional Effects
- ▶ Effects on Distributions

Numerous Methods

- ▶ Instrumental Variables
- ▶ Experimental Methods
- ▶ Matching

Unifying Framework

Generalized Roy Model

Potential Outcomes

$$Y_1 = \mu_1(X) + U_1$$

$$Y_0 = \mu_0(X) + U_0$$

Cost

$$C = \mu_D(Z) + U_C$$

Observed Outcomes


$$Y = DY_1 + (1 - D)Y_0$$

Choice


$$S = Y_1 - Y_0 - C$$

$$D = I[S > 0]$$

Figure: Treatment Effects with Essential Heterogeneity




Teaching Tool


 grmpy
latest

Search docs

[Economics](#)
[Installation](#)
[Tutorial](#)
[Reliability](#)
[Software Engineering](#)
[Contributing](#)
[Contact and Credits](#)
[Changes](#)
[Bibliography](#)



Try the official hosted Elasticsearch. Latest version, feature-loaded, always.

 Read the Docs

v: latest ▼

[Docs](#) » Welcome to grmpy's documentation!

[Edit on GitHub](#)

Welcome to grmpy's documentation!

[PyPI](#) | [GitHub](#) | [Issues](#)

`grmpy` is an open-source Python package for the simulation and estimation of generalized Roy Model (Heckman & Vytlacil, 2005 [\[11\]](#)). It's main purpose is to serve as a teaching tool to promote the conceptual framework provided by the generalized Roy model which allows to illustrate a variety of issues in the econometrics of policy evaluation.

[license](#) [MIT License](#)

Contents:

- [Economics](#)
- [Installation](#)
- [Tutorial](#)
- [Reliability](#)
- [Software Engineering](#)
- [Contributing](#)
- [Contact and Credits](#)
- [Changes](#)
- [Bibliography](#)

Next ➞

© Copyright 2017, Philipp Eisenhauer. Revision f2c65315.

Lecture Plan

| Date | Topic |
|----------|--------------------------|
| 19/10/17 | Generalized Roy Model |
| 26/10/17 | Parameters of Interest |
| 02/11/17 | Estimation Methods |
| 09/11/17 | <i>grmpy</i> Tutorial |
| 16/11/17 | Monte-Carlo Explorations |
| 23/11/17 | |
| 30/11/17 | |

The Mirless Review

The Mirrlees Review brought together a high-profile group of international experts and early career researchers to identify the characteristics of a good tax system for any open developed economy in the 21st century, assess the extent to which the UK tax system conforms to these ideals, and recommend how it might realistically be reformed in that direction.

Distributional Effects of Treatment

Figure: The Mirless Review 1




Figure: The Mirless Review 2



Key Findings

► **Taxation of Earnings**

- A single integrated benefit should be introduced to replace all or most of the current multiplicity of benefits, rationalising the way in which total support varies with income and other characteristics.

► **Indirect Taxes**

- VAT should be extended to nearly all spending. This would reduce complexity and avoid costly distortions to consumption choices.

Key Findings

▶ **Environmental Taxes**

- ▶ We should work towards a comprehensive system of congestion charging on the roads, replacing most of fuel duty.

▶ **Taxes on Saving**

- ▶ The risk-free return to saving should not be taxed, so that saving is not discouraged.

▶ **Business Taxes**

- ▶ The tax treatment of employment, self-employment and corporate source income should be aligned.

References

Adam, S., Besley, T., Blundell, R., Bond, S., Chote, R., Gammie, M., Johnson, P., Myles, G., and Poterba, J. (2010). *Dimensions of Tax Design: The Mirrlees Review*. Institute for Fiscal Studies (ifs).

Cho, S. and Rust, J. (2010). The flat rental puzzle. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 77(2):560–594.

Finkelstein, A., Taubman, S., Wright, B., Bernstein, M., Gruber, J., Newhouse, J., Allen, H., Baicker, K., and Group, O. H. S. (2012). The oregon health insurance experiment: Evidence from the first year. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 127(3):1057–1106.

- Gordon, R. (2011). Commentary on tax by design: The mirrlees review. *Fiscal Studies*, 32(3):395–414.
- Heckman, J. J., Moon, S. H., Pinto, R., and Savelyev, P. (2008). A reanalysis of the high/scope perry preschool program. *Working Paper*, The Univer.
- Mirrlees, J., Adam, S., Besley, T., Bond, S., Chote, R., Gammie, M., Johnson, P., Myles, G., and Poterba, J. (2011). *Tax by design*. Institute for Fiscal Studies (ifs) and Mirrlees, J.
- Todd, P. E. and Wolpin, K. I. (2006). Assessing the impact of a school subsidy program in mexico: Using a social experiment to validate a dynamic behavioral model of child schooling and fertility. *American Economic Review*, 96(5):1384–1417.