Theory of Activities

May 4, 2018

1 The Physical Domain

The domain has four rooms located side by side (library, kitchen, of fice1 and of fice2) and connected. The robot rob_1 , can move from one room to the next. A secure room can be locked or unlocked. The robot cannot move to or from a locked room; it can unlock a locked room. The domain objects can be located in any of the rooms. The robot can pickup an object if is in the same location as the object, it can put_down an object that it is holding and it can only hold one object at a time. There are two exogenous actions, one that can change the location of any object, and one that can lock a secure room. The agent may not be aware of these exogenous action when they happen. We are also going to define three different defined fluents, two of which we will be using as possible goals.

1.1 AL

Sorts:

```
secure\_room = \{library\}.
room = secure\_room + \{kitchen, office_1, office_2\}.
robot = \{rob_1\}.
book = \{book_1, book_2\}.
object = book.
thing = object + robot.
```

Static relations:

```
next\_to(office_1, office_2).
```

```
next\_to(kitchen, office_1).
next\_to(library, kitchen).
```

Inertial fluents:

```
inertial\_fluent = loc(thing, room) + in\_hand(robot, object) + locked(secure\_room).
```

Possible goals:

$$possible_goal = tidy_all(room) + tidy_book(book, room).$$

Defined fluents:

```
defined\_fluent = possible\_goal + missing\_book(room).
```

Robot actions:

```
move(robot, room).
pickup(robot, object).
put_down(robot, object).
unlock(robot, secure_room).
```

Exogenous actions:

```
exo\_move(object, room).
exo\_lock(secure\_room).
```

Causal Laws:

```
\begin{array}{cccc} move(R,L) & \mathbf{causes} & loc(R,L) \\ pickup(R,O) & \mathbf{causes} & in\_hand(R,O). \\ put\_down(R,O) & \mathbf{causes} & \neg in\_hand(R,O). \\ unlock(R,L) & \mathbf{causes} & \neg locked(L). \\ exo\_lock(L) & \mathbf{causes} & locked(L). \\ exo\_move(O,L) & \mathbf{causes} & loc(O,L). \end{array}
```

State Constraints:

```
next\_to(L1, L2) if next\_to(L2, L1).
```

```
\neg loc(T, L2) if loc(T, L1), L1 \neq L2.

loc(O, L) if loc(R, L), in\_hand(R, O).

\neg in\_hand(R, O1) if in\_hand(R, O2), O1 \neq O2.
```

Executability Conditions:

```
\begin{array}{lll} & \mathbf{impossible} & \mathit{move}(R,L) & \mathbf{if} & \mathit{loc}(R,L). \\ & \mathbf{impossible} & \mathit{move}(R,L2) & \mathbf{if} & \mathit{loc}(R,L1), \, \neg \mathit{next\_to}(L1,L2). \\ & \mathbf{impossible} & \mathit{move}(R,L2) & \mathbf{if} & \mathit{loc}(R,L1), \, \mathit{locked}(L1). \\ & \mathbf{impossible} & \mathit{move}(R,L) & \mathbf{if} & \mathit{locked}(L). \\ & \mathbf{impossible} & \mathit{unlock}(R,L) & \mathbf{if} & \neg \mathit{locked}(L). \\ & \mathbf{impossible} & \mathit{unlock}(R,L1) & \mathbf{if} & \mathit{loc}(R,L2), \, \neg \mathit{next\_to}(L2,L1), \, L2 \neq L1. \\ & \mathbf{impossible} & \mathit{put\_down}(R,O) & \mathbf{if} & \neg \mathit{in\_hand}(R,O). \\ & \mathbf{impossible} & \mathit{pickup}(R,O1) & \mathbf{if} & \mathit{in\_hand}(R,O2). \\ & \mathbf{impossible} & \mathit{pickup}(R,O) & \mathbf{if} & \mathit{loc}(R,L1), \, \mathit{loc}(O,L2), \, L1 \neq L2. \\ & \mathbf{impossible} & \mathit{exo\_move}(O,L) & \mathbf{if} & \mathit{locked}(L). \\ & \mathbf{impossible} & \mathit{exo\_move}(O,L2) & \mathbf{if} & \mathit{locked}(L). \\ & \mathbf{impossible} & \mathit{exo\_move}(O,L2) & \mathbf{if} & \mathit{loc}(O,L1), \mathit{locked}(L1). \\ & \mathbf{impossible} & \mathit{exo\_move}(O,L) & \mathbf{if} & \mathit{in\_hand}(R,O). \\ & \mathbf{impossible} & \mathit{exo\_move}(O,L) & \mathbf{if} & \mathit{in\_hand}(R,O). \\ & \mathbf{impossible} & \mathit{exo\_move}(O,L) & \mathbf{if} & \mathit{locked}(L). \\ \end{array}
```

1.2 The Theory of Activities

In our ToA domain of our agent will also have a list of possible goals and one of them will be selected. The agent will need to specify one or more activities that would achieve the selected goal. He may have a list or different existing activities. If there are existing activities that achieve the goal, the agent will choose and return one of those activites. If the agent cannot find a successfull existing activity, he will create and return one. If he cannot use or create a successfull activity, the goal will be considered futile.

An activity will be represented by a triple consisting on name, plan and goal. A name is a unique identifier used to refer to the activity, and a plan is a sequence of agent actions, which will lead to the realisation of the goal.

We limit the names of activities to a collection of integers $(1 \dots max_name)$, the length of plans to a maximum length $(1 \dots max_len)$. The fluents of the physical environment that may serve as a *goal* are those of the sort $possible_goal$.

In order to create the Action Language for the new domain, we will 1-adapt and 2-extend the original Action Language for the physical domain. We will adapt it by

re-defining the sort $inertial_fulent$ as $physical_inertial_fluens$, $defined_fluents$ as $physical_defined_fluents$, and the sort $agent_action$ as $physical_agent_action$. We will define the following new sorts:

- A sort $activity_name = 1, ..., max_name$ to represent the name of an activity.
- A sort $index = \{-1, 0, max_len\}$ to represent the index of an action as part of an activity.
- A sort $mental_agent_action = \{select_activity(activity_name)\}$ to represent the action of choosing and activity.
- A sort $mental_inertial_fluent = \{current_aciton_index(activity_name, index)\}$ to represent the current (mental) state of an activity.
- A sort $mental_defined_fluent = \{next_action(activity_name, action)\}$

We also the following relations that give shape to the concept of activity.

```
activity\_component(activity\_name, index, physical\_agent\_action). activity\_length(activity\_name, index). activity\_goal(activity\_name, possible\_goal).
```

We create a hierarchical structure of *fluents* and *actions* as follows:

```
inertial\_fluent = physical\_inertial\_fluent + mental\_inertial\_fluent, fluent = defined\_fluent + inertial\_fluent, agent\_action = mental\_agent\_action + physical\_agent\_action, action = agent\_action + exo\_action.
```

As well as the previous statements included in the physical domain, we will include:

Causal Laws:

$$select_activity(AN)$$
 causes $current_action_index(AN, 0)$. (1)

State Constraints:

$$\neg current_action_index(AN, K1)$$
 if $current_action_index(AN, K2)$, $K1 \neq K2$. (3)

$$tidy_book(B,R)$$
 if $loc(B,R), \neg in_hand(B)$.
 $missing_book(R)$ if $\neg tidy_book(B,R)$. (5)
 $tidy_all(R)$ if $not\ missing_book(R)$.

$$\neg selected_goal(G1)$$
 if $selected_goal(G2)$,
 $G1 \neq G2$. (6)

Initial State Constraint:

$$current_action_index(AN, -1)$$
 (7)

2 The Architecture: Reasoning Tasks and Behaviour.

2.1 Introducing new relations

The axioms that need to be added to the ASP program also involve the following relations:

- impossible(A, I) means that action A is impossible at step I.
- candidate(AN) means that AN is a candidate for the next activity to be started to achieve the selected goal.
- $existing_candidate(AN)$ means that AN is an existing candidate for the activity to achieve the selected goal.
- $new_candidate(AN)$ means that AN is newly created candidate for the activity to achieve the selected goal.
- $has_component(AN, K)$ means that activity AN has a component at index K.
- needs_new_activity flag that means that no successful existing activity has been found in the previous run.
- next_available_name will hold the next number that can be used as a name for a newly created activity.

2.2 Translating AL to ASP

The following steps should be followed in order to translate the AL description into an ASP program.

```
For every causal law: a causes 1 if p_0, \ldots, p_m

The ASP contains: holds(l, I+1) :- holds(p_0, I), \ldots, holds(p_m, I), occurs(a, I).

For every state constraint: l if p_0, \ldots, p_m

the ASP contains holds(l, I) :- holds(p_0, I), \ldots, holds(p_m, I).

The ASP contains the CWA for defined fluents: -holds(F, I) :- \#defined\_fluent(F), not \ holds(F, I).

For every executability condition: impossible \ a if p_0, \ldots, p_m

the ASP contains: impossible(a, I) :- holds(p_0, I), \ldots, holds(p_m, I).

It also contains: -occurs(A, I) :- impossible(A, I).

The ASP contains the inertia axioms: holds(F, I+1) :- holds(F, I), \ not \ -holds(F, I+1).

The ASP contains the CWA for actions: -occurs(A, I) :- \ not \ occurs(A, I).
```

Once translation using the above steps has been completed, the axioms in the following section will also need to be added to the ASP program.

2.3 Reasoning Axioms

Defining candidates:

The flag need_new_candidate will trigger the creating of a new candidate:

$$new_candidate(AN) \leftarrow needs_new_activity.$$
 (8)

$$existing_candidate(AN) \leftarrow activity_goal(AN, G),$$

$$selected_goal(G),$$

$$not\ new_candidate(AN).$$
(9)

$$candidate(AN) \leftarrow new_candidate(AN).$$

$$candidate(AN) \leftarrow existing_candidate(AN).$$
(10)

Creating new activities:

$$activity_goal(AN, G) \leftarrow new_candidate(AN),$$

 $selected_goal(G).$ (11)

$$activity_component(AN, I, PAA) \leftarrow new_candidate(AN), \\ occurs(select_activity(AN), 0), \\ occurs(PAA, I), \\ 0 < I.$$
 (12)

$$\leftarrow new_candidate(AN),$$

$$activity_component(AN, K, PAA1),$$

$$activity_component(AN, K, PAA2),$$

$$PAA1 \neq PAA2.$$
(13)

$$has_component(AN, K) \leftarrow new_candidate(AN), \\ occurs(select_activity(AN), 0), \\ activity_component(AN, K, C).$$
 (14)

$$activity_length(AN, K) \leftarrow new_candidate(AN), \\ occurs(select_activity(AN), 0), \\ has_component(AN, K), \\ not\ has_component(AN, K + 1). \\ \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

Selecting candidates: If it is not impossible to select a candidate, it will be selected.

$$occurs(select_activity(AN), 0) \leftarrow candidate(AN),$$

$$not\ impossible(select_activity(AN), 0).$$

$$(16)$$

It is impossible to select an activity at any step other than 0, it is impossible to select an activity if it is not a candidate, and it is impossible to select two different activities .

$$impossible(select_activity(AN1), 0) \leftarrow occurs(select_activity(AN2), 0),$$
 $AN1 \neq AN2.$
 $impossible(select_activity(AN), 0) \leftarrow not\ candidate(AN).$
 $impossible(select_activity(AN), I) \leftarrow 0 < I.$
 $impossible(select_activity(AN), I) \leftarrow activity_goal(AN, G),$
 $holds(G, 0).$
 (17)

This rule ensures that the selected exisiting activity is the minimal activity that reaches the goal:

$$occurs(PAA, I) \quad \stackrel{+}{\leftarrow} \quad existing_candidate(AN), \\ occurs(select_activity(AN), 0), \\ holds(next_action(AN, PAA), I), \\ occurs(A, I - 1), \\ 0 < I. \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

This rule ensures that the newly created activity is the minimal activity that reaches the goal:

$$occurs(PAA, I) \leftarrow new_candidate(AN),$$

$$occurs(select_activity(AN), 0),$$

$$occurs(A, I - 1),$$

$$0 < I.$$
(19)

Planning module:

$$success \leftarrow holds(G, I), selected_goal(G).$$

$$\leftarrow not success.$$

$$(20)$$