PREDICTIVE MAINTENANCE FOR HYDRAULIC SYSTEMS USING MACHINE LEARNING

ROCK DENG UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO BOULDER

PROJECT OVERVIEW

- 1. Objective: Develop a machine learning model to predict hydraulic system failures using sensor data.
- 2. Key Focus: Real-time deployment feasibility and high accuracy and computational efficiency.
- 3. Visual: Flowchart showing the project pipeline (data
 - \rightarrow preprocessing \rightarrow modeling \rightarrow evaluation).

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Current Challenges:

- 1. Reactive maintenance leads to costly downtime
- 2. Manual inspections are time-consuming
- 3. Unexpected failures disrupt operations

RELATED WORK

Prior Research:

- Machine learning models (Random Forests, SVMs, LSTMs) for failure prediction
- 2. Feature engineering techniques (PCA, time-series analysis)

RELATED WORK

Gaps in Research:

- 1. Need for interpretable and lightweight models.
- 2. Limited real-time deployment applications.

PROPOSAL WORK

Proposed Solution:

- 1. Predictive maintenance using machine learning.
- 2. Real-time monitoring and failure prediction.

EVALUATION

Classification Metrics:

1. Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1-score

Model Efficiency:

1. Training time and inference speed

PROJECT TIMELINE

- 1. Week 1: Dataset exploration and preprocessing.
- 2. Week 2-3: Implement baseline models (Decision Trees, XGBoost).
- 3. Week 4: Experiment with time-series models (LSTM, ARIMA).
- 4. Week 5: Evaluation and performance comparison.- Week 6: Final report writing and refinements.

DATA PREPROCESSING

- 1. Handling Missing Values
- 2. Feature Engineering

Extracted statistical features for each sensor per cycle

Model Training and Evaluation

Random Forest Classifier

Random Forest, an ensemble learning method, trained using

80% of the dataset and evaluated on the remaining 20%.

Accuracy: 99.77%

Model Training and Evaluation

XGBoost Classifier

XGBoost, a gradient boosting algorithm, was used to compare against the Random Forest model. XGBoost is known for handling complex relationships in data efficiently.

Accuracy: 99.09%

