

## CPA - Secure encryption scheme

CPA :- Chosen Plain text Attack

CPA-secure encryption scheme using PRF-

Let  $F$  be a pseudo random function. Def. a private key encryption scheme for messages of length as follows:

- Gen:- on input  $1^n$ , choose  $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^h$  & message  $m \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , choose  $r \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  uniformly at random & output the ciphertext.

$$c = \langle r, F_k(r) \oplus m \rangle$$

- Dec:- on input a key  $k \in \{0, 1\}^h$  and ciphertext  $c = \langle r, s \rangle$ , output the plaintext message

$$m = F_k(r) \oplus s$$

To prove- If  $F$  is a PRF, then above CPA scheme with length parameter  $\ell(n)=n$  that has indistinguishable encryptions under a chosen plaintext attack.

Proof:- Let  $\tilde{\pi} = (\tilde{Gen}, \tilde{Enc}, \tilde{Dec})$  be encryption scheme that is exactly the same as  $\pi = (Gen, Enc, Dec)$  except for (truly random function is used instead of  $F_k$ ).

We claim that for every adversary  $A$ , that makes at most  $q(n)$  queries to its



encryption oracle, we have

$$\Pr [ \text{Priv } K_{A, \kappa}^{\text{cpa}} = 1 ] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2(n)}{2^n} - (1)$$

[Every time a message  $m$  is encrypted, a random  $r \in \{0, 1\}^n$  is chosen & ciphertext is ~~set~~ equal to  $\langle r, f_n(r) \oplus m \rangle$ . Let  $r_c$  denote random string used when generating the challenge ciphertext  $c = \langle r_n, f_n(r_c) \oplus m_b \rangle$

There are 2 subcases:-

1. The value  $r_c$  is used by encryption oracle to answer at least one of  $A$ 's queries - In this case  $A$  may easily determine which of the messages was encrypted. However, since  $A$  makes at most  $2(n)$  queries to its oracle and each oracle query is answered using a value  $r$  chosen uniformly at random, the probability of this event is at most  $2(n)/2^n$ .

2. The value of  $r_c$  is never used by the encryption oracle to answer any of  $A$ 's queries. In this case  $A$  learns nothing about value of  $f_n(r_c)$ . That means for  $A$ , the value is chosen uniformly at random. Probability that  $A$  outputs  $b' = b$  in this case is exactly  $1/2$ .

$$\text{Let } g(n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Pr [ \text{Priv } K_{A, \kappa}^{\text{cpa}}(n) = 1 ] - \frac{1}{2} - (2)$$



Let Repeat denote a event that  $x_c$  is used by encryption oracle to answer at least one of A's queries.

$$\Pr[\text{Priv}_{A, \pi}^{\text{CPA}}(n) = 1] = \Pr[\text{Priv}_{A, \pi}^{\text{CPA}}(n) = 1 \wedge \text{Repeat}]$$

$$+ \Pr[\text{Priv}_{A, \pi}^{\text{CPA}}(n) = 1 \wedge \overline{\text{Repeat}}]$$

$$\leq \Pr[\text{Repeat}] + \Pr[\text{Priv}_{A, \pi}^{\text{CPA}}(n) = 1 | \overline{\text{Repeat}}]$$

$$\leq \frac{2(n)}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2} = (1)$$

Let D be the distinguisher with input  $1^n$ .

\* If D's oracle is PRF, the view of A when run as a sub-routine by D is distributed identically to the view of A in exp.  $\text{Priv}_{A, \pi}^{\text{CPA}}(n)$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr[D^{F_n(\cdot)}(1^n) = 1] = \Pr[\text{Priv}_{A, \pi}^{\text{CPA}}(n) = 1]$$

\* If D's oracle is a random function, then view of A, when run as a sub-routine by D is distributed identically to the view of A in the experiment  $\text{Priv}_{A, \pi}^{\text{CPA}}(n)$

$$\Pr[D^{f_n(\cdot)}(1^n) = 1] = \Pr[\text{Priv}_{A, \pi}^{\text{CPA}}(n) = 1]$$

$\therefore$  F is PRF & D runs in probabilistic polynomial time; there exists a negligible function  $\text{negl}$  such that

$$\Pr[D^{F_n(\cdot)}(1^n) = 1] - \Pr[D^{f_n(\cdot)}(1^n) = 1] \leq \text{negl}(n)$$



Using eqn (1) & (2)

$$\text{negl}(n) \geq |Pr[D^{F_x(\cdot)}(1) = 1] - Pr[D^{b_n(\cdot)}(1^n) = 1]|$$

$$\geq |Pr[Priv K_{A, \pi}^{CPA} = 1] - Pr[Priv K_{A, \pi}^{CPA} = 1]|$$

$$\geq Pr[Priv K_{A, A}^{CPA} = 1] - Pr[Priv K_{A, \pi}^{CPA} = 1]$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon(n) - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{q(n)}{2^n}$$

$$= \epsilon(n) - \frac{q(n)}{2^n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon(n) \leq \text{negl}(n) + \frac{q(n)}{2^n}$$

$\therefore q(n)$  is a polynomial

$\Rightarrow \epsilon(n)$  is negligible, completing the proof.