

# Classification with Naive-Bayes Algorithm in R

## Prediction Analysis on Bank Loan Default



1

Domain – Banking & Finance

Sudipto Mitra

<https://data.world/sudmitra>

### Problem Statement

A bank is interested in knowing the factors which identify customers who are likely to default on their loans. The bank utilises this analysis in future to avoid approving loans to applicants who are riskier to the business.

### Dataset



Bank\_Loan\_Default.csv

v

### Coding in R

```
## NAIVE-BAYES CLASSIFIER: Prediction of Bank Loan Default ##
```

```
## Loading requisite packages ##
```

```
library(e1071)
library(plyr)
library(caret)
library(mlbench)
```

```
## Importing observational dataset ##
```

```
BankLoan_DF = read.csv(file.choose(), header = T)
```

The screenshot displays the R Studio interface. On the left, the 'Select file' dialog box is open, showing the file 'Bank\_Loan\_Default.csv' selected in the 'DATA (D:)' folder. The main window shows the R console with the following output:

```
Accuracy : 0.8333
95% CI : (0.8843, 0.8333)
No Information Rate : 0.8333
P-value [acc = NA] : 0.004213
Kappa : 0.8333
McNemar's test P-value : NA
Sensitivity : 1.0000
Specificity : 1.0000
Pos Pred Value : 1.0000
Neg Pred Value : 0.8333
Prevalence : 0.8333
Detection Rate : 0.8333
Detection Prevalence : 0.8333
Balanced Accuracy : 1.0000
'Positive' class : high
> BankLoan_DF = read.csv(file.choose(), header = T)
```

On the right, the 'Search Results' panel shows the search term 'confusionMatrix' and lists several help pages related to confusion matrices, including 'tidymodels::confusionMatrix', 'caret::confusionMatrix', and 'mlbench::confusionMatrix'.

# Classification with Naive-Bayes Algorithm in R

## Prediction Analysis on Bank Loan Default



2

Domain – Banking & Finance

Sudipto Mitra

<https://data.world/sudmitra>

View (BankLoan\_DF)

	Default	checkingstatus1	duration	history	purpose	amount	savings	employ	installment	residence	age	otherplans	cards	tele
1	0	A11	6	A34	A43	1169	A65	A75	4	4	67	A143	2	A192
2	1	A12	48	A32	A43	5951	A61	A73	2	2	22	A143	1	A191
3	0	A14	12	A34	A46	2096	A61	A74	2	3	49	A143	1	A191
4	0	A11	42	A32	A42	7882	A61	A74	2	4	45	A143	1	A191
5	1	A11	24	A33	A40	4870	A61	A73	3	4	53	A143	2	A191
6	0	A14	36	A32	A46	9055	A65	A73	2	4	35	A143	1	A192
7	0	A14	24	A32	A42	2835	A63	A75	3	4	53	A143	1	A191
8	0	A12	36	A32	A41	6948	A61	A73	2	2	35	A143	1	A191
9	0	A14	12	A32	A43	3059	A64	A74	2	4	61	A143	1	A191
10	1	A12	30	A34	A40	5234	A61	A71	4	2	28	A143	2	A191
11	1	A12	12	A32	A40	1295	A61	A72	3	1	25	A143	1	A191
12	1	A11	48	A32	A49	4308	A61	A72	3	4	24	A143	1	A191
13	0	A12	12	A32	A43	1567	A61	A73	1	1	22	A143	1	A191
14	1	A11	24	A34	A40	1199	A61	A75	4	4	60	A143	2	A191
15	0	A11	15	A32	A40	1403	A61	A73	2	4	28	A143	1	A191
16	1	A11	24	A32	A43	1282	A62	A73	4	2	32	A143	1	A191

Showing 1 to 17 of 1,000 entries, 14 total columns

```
> head (BankLoan_DF)
  Default checkingstatus1 duration history purpose amount savings employ installment residence age otherplans cards tele
1      0             A11         6    A34    A43   1169    A65    A75           4         4    67    A143    2    A192
2      1             A12        48    A32    A43   5951    A61    A73           2         2    22    A143    1    A191
3      0             A14        12    A34    A46   2096    A61    A74           2         3    49    A143    1    A191
4      0             A11        42    A32    A42   7882    A61    A74           2         4    45    A143    1    A191
5      1             A11        24    A33    A40   4870    A61    A73           3         4    53    A143    2    A191
6      0             A14        36    A32    A46   9055    A65    A73           2         4    35    A143    1    A192
```

## Checking data structures of variables ##

```
> str (BankLoan_DF)
'data.frame':   1000 obs. of  14 variables:
 $ Default      : int  0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 ...
 $ checkingstatus1: chr  "A11" "A12" "A14" "A11" ...
 $ duration     : int  6 48 12 42 24 36 24 36 12 30 ...
 $ history      : chr  "A34" "A32" "A34" "A32" ...
 $ purpose      : chr  "A43" "A43" "A46" "A42" ...
 $ amount       : int  1169 5951 2096 7882 4870 9055 2835 6948 3059 5234 ...
 $ savings      : chr  "A65" "A61" "A61" "A61" ...
 $ employ       : chr  "A75" "A73" "A74" "A74" ...
 $ installment  : int  4 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 2 4 ...
 $ residence    : int  4 2 3 4 4 4 4 2 4 2 ...
 $ age          : int  67 22 49 45 53 35 53 35 61 28 ...
 $ otherplans   : chr  "A143" "A143" "A143" "A143" ...
 $ cards        : int  2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 ...
 $ tele        : chr  "A192" "A191" "A191" "A191" ...
```

## Checking missing values ##

```
> sum (is.na (BankLoan_DF))
[1] 0
```

## Checking duplicated values ##

```
> sum (duplicated (BankLoan_DF))
[1] 0
```

## Checking distribution of values in variables ##



```
> table (BankLoan_DF $checkingstatus1)

A11 A12 A13 A14
274 269  63 394
>
> table (BankLoan_DF $history)

A30 A31 A32 A33 A34
 40  49 530  88 293
>
> table (BankLoan_DF $purpose)

A40  A41 A410  A42  A43  A44  A45  A46  A48  A49
234 103   12 181  280  12   22   50   9   97
>
> table (BankLoan_DF $savings)

A61 A62 A63 A64 A65
603 103  63  48 183
>
> table (BankLoan_DF $employ)

A71 A72 A73 A74 A75
 62 172 339 174 253
>
> table (BankLoan_DF $otherplans)

A141 A142 A143
 139   47  814
>
> table (BankLoan_DF $tele)

A191 A192
 596  404

## Changing data types to factor ##

> BankLoan_DF $checkingstatus1 = as.factor (BankLoan_DF $checkingstatus1)
>
> BankLoan_DF $history = as.factor (BankLoan_DF $history)
>
> BankLoan_DF $purpose = as.factor (BankLoan_DF $purpose)
>
> BankLoan_DF $savings = as.factor (BankLoan_DF $savings)
>
> BankLoan_DF $employ = as.factor (BankLoan_DF $employ)
>
> BankLoan_DF $otherplans = as.factor (BankLoan_DF $otherplans)
>
> BankLoan_DF $tele = as.factor (BankLoan_DF $tele)
>
> BankLoan_DF $Default = as.factor (BankLoan_DF $Default)

## Re-checking data structures of the variables ##
```



```
> str (BankLoan_DF)
'data.frame': 1000 obs. of 14 variables:
 $ Default      : Factor w/ 2 levels "0","1": 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 ...
 $ checkingstatus1: Factor w/ 4 levels "A11","A12","A13",...: 1 2 4 1 1 4 4 2 4 2 ...
 $ duration      : int  6 48 12 42 24 36 24 36 12 30 ...
 $ history       : Factor w/ 5 levels "A30","A31","A32",...: 5 3 5 3 4 3 3 3 3 5 ...
 $ purpose       : Factor w/ 10 levels "A40","A41","A410",...: 5 5 8 4 1 8 4 2 5 1 ...
 $ amount        : int 1169 5951 2096 7882 4870 9055 2835 6948 3059 5234 ...
 $ savings       : Factor w/ 5 levels "A61","A62","A63",...: 5 1 1 1 1 5 3 1 4 1 ...
 $ employ        : Factor w/ 5 levels "A71","A72","A73",...: 5 3 4 4 3 3 5 3 4 1 ...
 $ installment   : int  4 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 2 4 ...
 $ residence      : int  4 2 3 4 4 4 4 2 4 2 ...
 $ age           : int  67 22 49 45 53 35 53 35 61 28 ...
 $ otherplans     : Factor w/ 3 levels "A141","A142",...: 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 ...
 $ cards          : int  2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 ...
 $ tele          : Factor w/ 2 levels "A191","A192": 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 ...
```

```
## splitting into training/testing subset ##
```

```
set.seed (123)
```

```
sampl_sz = floor (0.8 * nrow (BankLoan_DF))
```

```
> sampl_sz
```

```
[1] 800
```

```
train_ind = sample (seq_len (nrow (BankLoan_DF)), size = sampl_sz)
```

```
train_data = BankLoan_DF [train_ind, ]
```

```
test_data = BankLoan_DF [ - train_ind, ]
```

```
> dim (train_data)
```

```
[1] 800 14
```

```
> dim (test_data)
```

```
[1] 200 14
```

```
## Model Building ##
```

```
naive_model = naiveBayes (Default ~ ., data = train_data)
```



```
> naive_model
```

Naive Bayes Classifier for Discrete Predictors

Call:

```
naiveBayes.default(x = x, y = Y, laplace = laplace)
```

A-priori probabilities:

```
Y
  0      1
0.7125 0.2875
```

Conditional probabilities:

```
checkingstatus1
Y      A11      A12      A13      A14
0 0.20000000 0.24385965 0.07017544 0.48596491
1 0.44782609 0.34347826 0.05652174 0.15217391
```

```
duration
Y      [,1]      [,2]
0 19.18421 11.37766
1 24.58696 13.43881
```

```
history
Y      A30      A31      A32      A33      A34
0 0.01929825 0.02982456 0.52807018 0.07543860 0.34736842
1 0.07391304 0.10000000 0.56086957 0.08695652 0.17826087
```

```
purpose
Y      A40      A41      A410      A42      A43      A44      A45      A46      A48
0 0.205263158 0.126315789 0.010526316 0.173684211 0.315789474 0.008771930 0.015789474 0.043859649 0.007017544
1 0.291304348 0.056521739 0.013043478 0.191304348 0.226086957 0.017391304 0.030434783 0.069565217 0.004347826

purpose
Y      A49
0 0.092982456
1 0.100000000
```

```
amount
Y      [,1]      [,2]
0 3010.340 2462.639
1 3894.574 3566.695
```

```
savings
Y      A61      A62      A63      A64      A65
0 0.55614035 0.10175439 0.07719298 0.05789474 0.20701754
1 0.73913043 0.10869565 0.03043478 0.02608696 0.09565217
```

```
employ
Y      A71      A72      A73      A74      A75
0 0.05964912 0.14035088 0.33508772 0.20000000 0.26491228
1 0.07391304 0.23478261 0.35652174 0.13043478 0.20434783
```

```
installment
Y      [,1]      [,2]
0 2.905263 1.136458
1 3.104348 1.100805
```

# Classification with Naive-Bayes Algorithm in R

## Prediction Analysis on Bank Loan Default



```
residence
Y      [,1]      [,2]
0 2.836842 1.120057
1 2.830435 1.078546
```

```
age
Y      [,1]      [,2]
0 36.18421 11.41467
1 34.56957 11.50249
```

```
otherplans
Y      A141      A142      A143
0 0.12982456 0.04385965 0.82631579
1 0.18695652 0.05217391 0.76086957
```

```
cards
Y      [,1]      [,2]
0 1.426316 0.5890108
1 1.339130 0.5431102
```

```
tele
Y      A191      A192
0 0.5736842 0.4263158
1 0.6260870 0.3739130
```

```
### Observation - The model creates conditional probability for each feature
### separately. It also returns Apriori probability indicating data
### distribution in which the model shows that 71.25% are loan defaulters,
### as per training dataset. The model also shows that the average in loan
### default is 20 with variability/standard deviation of 11.38. Likewise, it
### also depicts average duration in repayment is 25 with standard deviation of
### 13.44. It is also observed that the average amount of loan default is
### 3010.34 with std of 2463. The average amount of loan repayment is 3895 with
### std of 3567. The average age of loan defaulters is 36 yrs with std of 11.41.
### The average age of loan repayers is 35 yrs with std of 12.
### The probability of loan default for "A32" category (history) is
### highest with 53% & the probability of repayment is highest for "A31"
### (history) with 100%.
```

```
> summary (naive_model)
```

```
      Length Class  Mode
apriori      2      table numeric
tables     13      -none- list
levels       2      -none- character
isnumeric  13      -none- logical
call         4      -none- call
```

```
## Predictions ##
```

```
naive_preds = predict (naive_model, test_data)
```



```
> head (naive_preds, 20)
[1] 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0
Levels: 0 1
> table (naive_preds, test_data $Default)

naive_preds    0    1
           0 113   36
           1  17   34
```

### Observation - The above tabular display shows that the model predicts  
 ### 113 nos. of correct defaulters & 36 nos. of misprediction as loan  
 ### repayer which are actually recorded as defaulters in the observational  
 ### dataset. Similarly, it predicts 34 nos. of repayers correctly but 17 nos.  
 ### as defaulters wrongly who repaid their loans actually. ###

## Accuracy Metric ##

```
ACC = 100 * sum (test_data [, 1] == naive_preds) / NROW (test_data [, 1])
```

```
> ACC
[1] 73.5
```

```
> confusionMatrix (naive_preds, test_data [, 1])
Confusion Matrix and Statistics
```

```

      Reference
Prediction  0    1
           0 113   36
           1  17   34
```

```

              Accuracy : 0.735
              95% CI   : (0.6681, 0.7948)
    No Information Rate : 0.65
    P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.006371
```

```
              Kappa : 0.3787
```

```
McNemar's Test P-value : 0.013418
```

```

              Sensitivity : 0.8692
              Specificity : 0.4857
              Pos Pred Value : 0.7584
              Neg Pred Value : 0.6667
              Prevalence : 0.6500
              Detection Rate : 0.5650
              Detection Prevalence : 0.7450
              Balanced Accuracy : 0.6775
```

```
'Positive' class : 0
```



```
> confusionMatrix (table (naive_preds, test_data $Default))
```

Confusion Matrix and Statistics

```
naive_preds    0    1
              0 113   36
              1   17   34
```

```
          Accuracy : 0.735
          95% CI : (0.6681, 0.7948)
No Information Rate : 0.65
P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.006371
```

```
          Kappa : 0.3787
```

```
McNemar's Test P-Value : 0.013418
```

```
          Sensitivity : 0.8692
          Specificity : 0.4857
          Pos Pred Value : 0.7584
          Neg Pred Value : 0.6667
          Prevalence : 0.6500
          Detection Rate : 0.5650
          Detection Prevalence : 0.7450
          Balanced Accuracy : 0.6775
```

```
'Positive' Class : 0
```

```
### Observation - Accuracy of the model in predictions is 73.5%.
### The above tabular display shows that the model predicts
### 113 nos. of correct defaulters & 36 nos. of misprediction as loan
### repayer which are actually recorded as defaulters in the observational
### dataset. Similarly, it predicts 34 nos. of repayers correctly but 17 nos.
### as defaulters wrongly who repaid their loans actually.
### It returns 95% CI indicating that the accuracy of predictions would range
### between 66.81% & 79.48%. This confirms that there's only 5% probability
### that the accuracy rate of predictions would fall beyond the above
### mentioned range of 95% CI. In short, the model would return predictions
### with accuracy rate of 66.81%, at least, for 95% of times.
### As the P-value < 0.05, the model is statistically significant.
### The Kappa metric denotes that, say, if a layman is asked to predict with
### this model, there'd be least 38% accuracy rate. In general, higher Kappa
### value close to 1 is a good metric for model.
### A concerning observation is that there's much difference in the values of
### Sensitivity & Specificity indicating high probability of mispredictions
### for the model developed. Specificity & Sensitivity values close to equal
### to each other is an ideal metric for the model. ###
```

```
## Model Tuning ##
```

```
Loan_classifier = naiveBayes (train_data, train_data [, 1], laplace = 1)
```





```
> Loan_classifier
```

Naive Bayes Classifier for Discrete Predictors

Call:

```
naiveBayes.default(x = train_data, y = train_data[, 1], laplace = 1)
```

A-priori probabilities:

```
train_data[, 1]
```

```
  0    1
0.7125 0.2875
```

Conditional probabilities:

```
      Default
train_data[, 1]      0      1
0 0.998251748 0.001748252
1 0.004310345 0.995689655
```

```
      checkingstatus1
train_data[, 1]      A11      A12      A13      A14
0 0.20034843 0.24390244 0.07142857 0.48432056
1 0.44444444 0.34188034 0.05982906 0.15384615
```

```
      duration
train_data[, 1]      [,1]      [,2]
0 19.18421 11.37766
1 24.58696 13.43881
```

```
      history
train_data[, 1]      A30      A31      A32      A33      A34
0 0.02086957 0.03130435 0.52521739 0.07652174 0.34608696
1 0.07659574 0.10212766 0.55319149 0.08936170 0.17872340
```

```
      purpose
train_data[, 1]      A40      A41      A410      A42      A43      A44      A45      A46
0 0.203448276 0.125862069 0.012068966 0.172413793 0.312068966 0.010344828 0.017241379 0.044827586
1 0.283333333 0.058333333 0.016666667 0.187500000 0.220833333 0.020833333 0.033333333 0.070833333
      purpose
train_data[, 1]      A48      A49
0 0.008620690 0.093103448
1 0.008333333 0.100000000
```

```
      amount
train_data[, 1]      [,1]      [,2]
0 3010.340 2462.639
1 3894.574 3566.695
```

```
      savings
train_data[, 1]      A61      A62      A63      A64      A65
0 0.55304348 0.10260870 0.07826087 0.05913043 0.20695652
1 0.72765957 0.11063830 0.03404255 0.02978723 0.09787234
```

```
      employ
train_data[, 1]      A71      A72      A73      A74      A75
0 0.06086957 0.14086957 0.33391304 0.20000000 0.26434783
1 0.07659574 0.23404255 0.35319149 0.13191489 0.20425532
```

```
      installment
train_data[, 1]      [,1]      [,2]
0 2.905263 1.136458
1 3.104348 1.100805
```



```

      residence
train_data[, 1]      [,1]      [,2]
0 2.836842 1.120057
1 2.830435 1.078546

```

```

      age
train_data[, 1]      [,1]      [,2]
0 36.18421 11.41467
1 34.56957 11.50249

```

```

      otherplans
train_data[, 1]      A141      A142      A143
0 0.13089005 0.04537522 0.82373473
1 0.18884120 0.05579399 0.75536481

```

```

      cards
train_data[, 1]      [,1]      [,2]
0 1.426316 0.5890108
1 1.339130 0.5431102

```

```

      tele
train_data[, 1]      A191      A192
0 0.5734266 0.4265734
1 0.6250000 0.3750000

```

```
preds_tune = predict (Loan_classifier, test_data)
```

```
## Re-checking Model Accuracy Metrics ##
```

```
> table (preds_tune, test_data $Default)
```

```

preds_tune   0    1
      0 129    0
      1   1   70

```

```

### Observation - The resulting table shows only 1 no. of misclassification
### on the test data by the model. ###

```



```
> confusionMatrix (preds_tune, test_data [, 1])
```

Confusion Matrix and Statistics

	Reference	
Prediction	0	1
0	129	0
1	1	70

Accuracy : 0.995

95% CI : (0.9725, 0.9999)

No Information Rate : 0.65

P-Value [Acc > NIR] : <2e-16

Kappa : 0.989

McNemar's Test P-Value : 1

Sensitivity : 0.9923

Specificity : 1.0000

Pos Pred Value : 1.0000

Neg Pred Value : 0.9859

Prevalence : 0.6500

Detection Rate : 0.6450

Detection Prevalence : 0.6450

Balanced Accuracy : 0.9962

'Positive' class : 0

```
### Observation - Upon tuning of parameters, there's remarkable improvement in  
### all metrics of the model. The accuracy rate is increased to 99.5%.  
### The resulting table shows only 1 no. of misclassification on the test data  
### by the model. ###
```