# Introduction to Quantum Information Processing

Roei Rosenzweig 313590937, Roey Maor 205798440

May 8, 2017

#### 1 Mutual Information - Solution

1. We expand the expression to get:

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y) = -\sum_{x} p(x) \log_2 p(x) + \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log_2 p(x|y)$$

We know that a probability distribution of variable X can be computed from the mutual probability of X and Y by summing all the values in range for Y:

$$p(x) = \sum_{y} p(x, y)$$

Plugging this into the previous equation, we get:

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log_2 p(x|y) - \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log_2 p(x) = \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log_2 \left(\frac{p(x|y)}{p(x)}\right)$$

From logarithm rules and conditional probability definition:

$$\log_2\left(\frac{p(x|y)}{p(x)}\right) = -\log_2\left(\frac{p(x)p(y)}{p(x,y)}\right)$$

Plugging it, we get:

$$I(X;Y) = -\sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log_2 \left( \frac{p(x)p(y)}{p(x,y)} \right)$$

2. We can write I(X;Y) using the logarithm-identity  $\log_a x \cdot \log_b a = \log_b x$  as:

$$K \cdot I(X;Y) = -\sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \ln \left( \frac{p(x)p(y)}{p(x,y)} \right)$$

Where  $K = \ln 2 > 0$ . By using the identity  $\ln t \le t - 1$  for t > 0 and knowing that  $\frac{p(x)p(y)}{p(x,y)}$  is non-negative (because probability cannot be negative, obv.) we show:

$$-K \cdot I(X;Y) \le \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \left( \frac{p(x)p(y)}{p(x,y)} - 1 \right) = \sum_{x,y} (p(x)p(y) - p(x,y))$$

 $\ln t \le t - 1$  is equality **iff** t = 1.

**Corollary 1.0.1.**  $-K \cdot I(X;Y) = \sum_{x,y} (p(x)p(y) - p(x,y))$  iff X,Y are independent. **Proof:** If X,Y are independent  $\iff p(x)p(y) = p(x,y)$  for every pair of  $x,y \iff \forall x,y: \frac{p(x)p(y)}{p(x,y)} = 1$   $\iff$ 

We expand the expression to get sums over probabilities (which we can reduce to 1):

$$-K \cdot I(X;Y) \le \sum_{x} \left( p(x) \cdot \sum_{y} p(y) \right) - \sum_{x,y} p(x,y)$$
$$\Rightarrow I(X;Y) \ge K \cdot \sum_{x} p(x) - 1 = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{I(X;Y) \ge 0}$$

From 1.0.1 and the above expansion we can conclude that  $I(X;Y) = 0 \iff X,Y$  are independent.

### 2 Entropy and Mutual Information

1.

$$Y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{the keys are in the pocket} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$X = \begin{cases} i \in [1, 100], & \text{the keys are in the i-th location} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2.

$$X = 0$$

$$P(X = 0, Y = 0) = 0$$

$$p(X = 0, Y = 0) = 0$$

$$p(X = 0|Y = 0) = 0$$

$$p(X = 0|Y = 1) = 0.99$$

$$p(X = 0|Y = 0) = 0$$

$$p(Y = 0|X = 0) = 0$$

$$p(Y = 1|X = 0) = 1$$

$$p(X = i > 0)$$

$$p(X = i > 0) = 0.0001$$

$$p(X = i, Y = 1) = 0$$

$$p(X = i, Y = 0) = 0.01$$

$$p(Y = 0) = 0.01$$

$$p(Y = 0) = 0.01$$

$$p(Y = 1) = 0.99$$

3.

$$\begin{split} H(X) &= -\sum_{x} p(X=x) \log_2 p(X=x) \\ &= -p(X=0) \log_2 p(X=0) - 100 \cdot (X=i) \log_2 p(X=i) \\ &= -0.99 \cdot \log_2 0.99 - 100 \cdot 0.0001 \log_2 0.0001 \\ &= 0.044 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} H(Y) &= -\sum_{y} p(Y=y) \log_2 p(Y=y) \\ &= -p(Y=0) \log_2 p(Y=0) - \cdot (Y=1) \log_2 p(Y=1) \\ &= -0.01 \cdot \log_2 0.01 - 0.99 \log_2 0.99 \\ &= 0.024 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} H(X|Y=0) &= -\sum_x p(X|Y=0) \log_2 p(X|Y=0) \\ &= -p(X=0|Y=0) \log_2 p(X=0|Y=0) - 100 \cdot (X=i|Y=0) \log_2 p(X=i|Y=0) \\ &= -100 \cdot 0.01 \log_2 0.01 \\ &= 2 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} H(X|Y=1) &= -\sum_{x} p(X|Y=1) \log_2 p(X|Y=1) \\ &= -p(X=0|Y=1) \log_2 p(X=0|Y=1) - 100 \cdot (X=i|Y=1) \log_2 p(X=i|Y=1) \\ &= -1 \cdot 0 \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} H(X|Y) &= -\sum_{x,y} p(X=x,Y=y) \log_2 p(X=x|Y=y) \\ &= -p(X=0,Y=0) \log_2 p(X=0|Y=0) - \sum_{i=1}^{100} p(X=i,Y=0) \log_2 p(X=i|Y=0) \\ &- p(X=0,Y=1) \log_2 p(X=0|Y=1) - \sum_{i=1}^{100} p(X=i,Y=1) \log_2 p(X=i|Y=1) \\ &= 0 - 100 \cdot 0.0001 \log_2 0.01 - 0.99 \log_2 1 - 100 \cdot 0 \\ &= 0.02 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} H(Y|X) &= -\sum_{x,y} p(X=x,Y=y) \log_2 p(Y=y|X=x) \\ &= -p(X=0,Y=0) \log_2 p(Y=0|X=0) - \sum_{i=1}^{100} p(X=i,Y=0) \log_2 p(Y=0|X=i) \\ &- p(X=0,Y=1) \log_2 p(Y=1|X=0) - \sum_{i=1}^{100} p(Y=1,X=i) \log_2 p(Y=1|X=i) \\ &= 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

4.

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$
  
= 0.044 - 0.02 = 0.024

$$I(Y;X) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$
  
= 0.024 - 0 = 0.024

5. When we learn that the keys are not in the pocket, the entropy raises: H(X|Y=0) > H(X). It seems strange because by "learning" something about the system, we reduced our information, but it is only guaranteed that **on average** the entropy is reduced when learning. The amount of entropy H(X) reduced on average when learning the value of random variable Y is I(X;Y), and this value is always non-negative.

## 3 Poincare Sphere

1. • Direction:  $\langle \phi | \phi' \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow | \phi \rangle$  and  $| \phi' \rangle$  are on opposite sides of poincaré sphere

If  $\langle \phi | \phi' \rangle = 0$  then:

$$\left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2} - e^{-i\phi}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\theta'}{2} \\ e^{i\phi'}\sin\frac{\theta'}{2} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Unpacking this equation, we get:

$$(\star) = \cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\theta'}{2} + e^{i(\phi' - \phi)}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta'}{2} = 0$$

In particular,  $Im(\star) = 0$ , thus  $\phi \equiv \phi' \pmod{\pi}$ . We are left with:

$$\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\theta'}{2} \pm \sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta'}{2} = 0 \Rightarrow \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2}\right)\cos\frac{\theta'}{2} = \pm\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2}\right)\sin\frac{\theta'}{2}$$

Which we can simplify to

$$\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2}\right) = \mp \tan\frac{\theta'}{2} \Rightarrow \pi + \theta = \mp \theta'$$

Thus we get:

$$\theta' = \begin{cases} \theta + \pi, & \phi' = \phi + \pi \\ -(\theta + \pi), & \phi' = \phi \end{cases}$$

Obviously, the second case is impossible because then  $\theta'$  is negative. So we must conclude that:

$$\theta' = \theta + \pi$$
$$\phi' = \phi + \pi$$

• Direction:  $|\phi\rangle$  and  $|\phi'\rangle$  on opposite direction  $\Rightarrow \langle\phi|\phi'\rangle = 0$ Suppose  $\phi = \pi + \phi'$  and  $\theta = \pi + \theta'$ . Recall  $(\star)$  from the previous direction of the proof, and plug in:

$$(\star) = \cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\theta + \pi}{2} + e^{i(\pi + \phi - \phi)}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta + \pi}{2}$$
$$= \cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\theta + \pi}{2} - \sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta + \pi}{2}$$
$$= \cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta}{2} - \sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\theta}{2} = 0$$

- 2. There is an infinite amount of ensembles, and exactly one ensembles that consists of 2 orthogonal states.
- 3. There is an infinite amount of ensembles, all of which are pairs of orthogonal states.

#### 4 Measurements

- 1.  $Pr(|+\rangle) = |\langle +|\phi\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\alpha + \beta)^2$ 
  - $Pr(|\theta\rangle) = |\langle \theta | \phi \rangle|^2 = \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta 2\alpha\beta \cos \theta \sin \theta + \beta^2 \sin^2 \theta = 1 \alpha\beta \sin 2\theta$
- 2.  $Pr(|10\rangle) = \langle 10|p|10\rangle = p_2$ 
  - $Pr(|00\rangle) = \langle 00|p|00\rangle = p_0$

•

$$|-+\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ -1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 1\\ -1\\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus,

$$Pr(|-+\rangle) = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{p0 + p1 + p2 + p3}{4}$$

•

$$|1+\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus,

$$Pr(|1+\rangle) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{p2 + p3}{2}$$

### 5 Double slit experiment

1.

$$\begin{split} \vec{E_1}(\vec{r_1},t) + \vec{E_2}(\vec{r_2},t) &= \frac{\vec{E_0}}{r_1} \cos{(k \cdot r_1 - w \cdot r)} + \frac{\vec{E_0}}{r_2} \cos{(k \cdot r_2 - w \cdot r)} \\ &\approx \frac{\vec{E_0}}{r} \left( \cos{(k \cdot r_1 - w \cdot r)} + \cos{(k \cdot r_2 - w \cdot r)} \right) \\ &= \left[ 2 \frac{\vec{E_0}}{r} \cos{\left( \frac{k \cdot r_1 + k \cdot r_2 - 2w \cdot t}{2} \right)} \cos{\left( \frac{k(r_1 - r_2)}{2} \right)} \right] \end{split}$$

2.

$$|\vec{E_1}(\vec{r_1}, t) + \vec{E_2}(\vec{r_2}, t)|^2 = \left| 2\frac{\vec{E_0}}{r} \cos\left(\frac{k \cdot r_1 + k \cdot r_2 - 2w \cdot t}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{k(r_1 - r_2)}{2}\right) \right|^2$$

$$= \frac{4E_0^2}{r^2} \left| \cos\left(\frac{k(r_1 - r_2)}{2}\right) \right|^2 \left| \cos\left(\frac{k \cdot r_1 + k \cdot r_2 - 2w \cdot t}{2}\right) \right|^2$$

3.

$$\left\langle |\vec{E_1}(\vec{r_1}, t) + \vec{E_2}(\vec{r_2}, t)|^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{4E_0^2}{r^2} \left| \cos \left( \frac{k(r_1 - r_2)}{2} \right) \right|^2 \left| \cos \left( \frac{k \cdot r_1 + k \cdot r_2 - 2w \cdot t}{2} \right) \right|^2 \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{4E_0^2}{r^2} \left| \cos \left( \frac{k(r_1 - r_2)}{2} \right) \right|^2 \left\langle \left| \cos \left( \frac{k \cdot r_1 + k \cdot r_2 - 2w \cdot t}{2} \right) \right|^2 \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{4E_0^2}{r^2} \left| \cos \left( \frac{k(r_1 - r_2)}{2} \right) \right|^2 \frac{1}{2}$$

4.

$$I(\theta) = \frac{4E_0^2}{r^2} \left| \cos \left( \frac{k \cos \theta}{2} \right) \right|^2 \frac{1}{2}$$