# Quantum Information - Homework 04

Roei Rosenzweig 313590937 ♦ 205798440 Roey Maor

06/08/2017

#### Purity of qubit 1

- 1. Let  $p = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  be a density matrix. The 3 condition that must hold are:
  - (a) p is hermitic meaning  $p = p^*$ , and in terms of individual elements:

$$c = b^*$$

(b) tr(p) = 1 (normalization):

$$a+d=1$$

(c) eigenvalues are non-negative. from the previous 2 conditions we can represent  $p = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b^{\star} & 1-a \end{pmatrix}$ . Let us denote the 2 eigenvalues of p as  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  (which may be the say eigenvalue). We know that:

$$tr(p) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$$
$$det(p) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = a - a^2 - b^2$$

from  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$  we know already that **at least** one eigen value is positive. Without lose of generality, lets say  $\lambda_1 > 0$ . Thus,  $\lambda_2 > 0 \iff \frac{a - a^2 - b^2}{\lambda_1}$ , and because  $\lambda_1 > 0$  we get the inequality

$$a - a^2 - b^2 > 0$$

We saw that any density matrix p' is representable as  $p' = \frac{I + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{2}$  where  $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$ . Reminder:  $\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $\sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$   $\sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ . To find the  $\vec{r}$  corresponding to our earlier-mentioned p, we calculate:

$$p = \frac{I + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\delta}}{2} \iff$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + r_x \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r_y \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r_z \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}}{2} \iff$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b^* & 1 - a \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + r_z & r_x - ir_y \\ r_x + ir_y & 1 - r_z \end{pmatrix}$$

From which we get the equations:

$$r_z = 2a - 1$$
$$r_x = 2b + ir_y$$

We can explicitly represent  $r_x$  and  $r_y$  with a and b. But these equations are sufficient to show that  $|r| \leq 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\vec{r}\right|^2 &= r_x^{\star} r_x + r_y^{\star} r_y + r_z^{\star} r_z = 4b^2 - \left|r_y\right|^2 + \left|r_y\right|^2 + 4a^2 - 4a + 1 \\ &= 4b^2 + 4a^2 - 4a + 1 = -4(a - a^2 - b^2) + 1 \le 1 \end{aligned}$$

The justification for the last step is that  $a - a^2 - b^2 > 0$  (as we saw earlier).

2. The **purity** of quantum state p is defined as  $\gamma \triangleq tr(p^2)$ . We can represent  $\gamma$  as a function of  $|\vec{r}|$ :

$$\gamma = tr\left(p^{2}\right) = tr\left(\left(\frac{I + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{2}\right)^{2}\right) = \frac{1}{4}tr\left(I^{2} + 2\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma} + \left(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma}\right)^{2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}\left[tr\left(I^{2}\right) + 2tr\left(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma}\right) + tr\left(\left(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma}\right)^{2}\right)\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}\left[2 + 2tr\left(r_{x}\sigma_{x} + r_{y}\sigma_{y} + r_{z}\sigma_{z}\right) + |\vec{r}|^{2} \cdot tr\left(I\right)\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}\left[2 + 2|\vec{r}|^{2}\right] = \frac{1 + |\vec{r}|^{2}}{2}$$

For a **pure** quantum state,  $|\vec{r}| = 1$  - the state is sitting on the surface on Poincare sphere. From that, and from the presentation of  $\gamma$  that we calculated before, we arrive to the conclusion that for pure states  $\gamma = 1$ .

For the completely-mixed state  $p' = \frac{I}{2}$ , the representing-vector in Poincare sphere points exactly at the middle. In other words,  $|\vec{r}| = 0$ , and the purity is  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

3. For any unitary operator U and density matrix p,  $(U \cdot p \cdot U^*)^2 = U \cdot p^2 \cdot U^*$ . Then, the purity of the state  $U \cdot p \cdot U^*$  is  $\gamma_{(U \cdot p \cdot U^*)} = tr\left(U \cdot p^2 \cdot U^*\right) = tr\left(p^2\right) = \gamma_p$  (the 2nd equality is justified because trace is preserved under change of basis). We learn that the purity is preserved under unitary operators. because the purity is linear in  $|\vec{r}|^2$ , we also conclude that  $|\vec{r}|$  is preserved under unitary transformation. Unitary operators can only **rotate** the Poincare vector.

### 2 Universality - Implementation of CZ

1. 
$$CZ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

2. A good guess might be:  $CZ = (I_2 \otimes H^{-1}) \cdot CNOT \cdot (I_2 \otimes H)$ , where H is the hadamard transformation (change of basis  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\} \rightarrow \{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$ . Lets validate that these operators transform the  $|ij\rangle$  states correctly

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} &$$

It does seems consistent with CZ.

## 3 Universality - Implementation of operator for 2 basic vectors

- 1.  $V = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ . This is the hadamard transformation matrix of rank 2  $H_2$ .
- 2. c-V can be represented in a straight-forward way (similar to CNOT):

$$c - V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \vec{0} \\ 0 & 1 & \vec{0} \\ \vec{0} & \vec{0} & V \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

We offer the following permutation:  $A:|00\rangle \to |10\rangle \to |00\rangle$ . It makes sense because it transform  $|00\rangle, |11\rangle$  to  $|10\rangle, |11\rangle$ , which are not-trivially transformed by c-V.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

3. Lets try  $U = A \cdot (c - V) \cdot A$ . (transform  $|00\rangle, |11\rangle$  to  $|10\rangle, |11\rangle$ , apply c - V, and permute everything back. notice that  $A^{-1} = A$ , the permutation is of rank 2).

$$A^{3} \cdot (c-V) \cdot A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = U$$

4. Lets first prove a helpful claim: CNOT operates on the base  $\{-,+\}$  as a controlled-not, but where the right bit is the control and the left is the values:

$$CNOT \cdot |-+\rangle = CNOT \circ \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = |++\rangle$$

$$CNOT \cdot |++\rangle = CNOT \circ \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = |-+\rangle$$

$$CNOT \cdot |--\rangle = CNOT \circ \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = |--\rangle$$

$$CNOT \cdot |+-\rangle = CNOT \circ \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = |+-\rangle$$

The operator A is just a CNOT where the inputs are flipped (the right bit is control) and the control arms the gate when its  $|0\rangle$ , not  $|1\rangle$ . Using this fact, and the claim we saw earlier, we can do the following:

$$A = (I_2 \otimes \sigma_x) \circ (H_2 \otimes I_2) \circ (I_2 \otimes H_2) \circ CNOT \circ (H_2 \otimes I_2) \circ (I_2 \otimes H_2) \circ (I_2 \otimes \sigma_x)$$

In this formula,  $(H_2 \otimes I_2) \circ (I_2 \otimes H_2) \circ CNOT \circ (H_2 \otimes I_2) \circ (I_2 \otimes H_2)$  is a CNOT gates with the control bit as the right bit (because we apply CNOT after change of basis to  $\{+-\}$ ), and we wrap it with a NOT of the right bit to switch the control bit behaviour. To be extra safe, we ran the numbers in Octave:

Figure 1: Octave validation

5. If we find  $U_{1,2}$  unitary matrices s.t.  $V = U_1 \sigma_x U_2$  and  $U_1 U_2 = I$ , we also find  $c - V = (I_2 \otimes U_1) \circ CNOT \circ (I_2 \otimes U_2)$ . Lets think in terms of rotation: Let  $U_2 = Rot \left(\pi + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$  and  $U_1 = Rot \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{3}{4}\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ . Indeed,  $U_1 U_2 = Rot \left(2\pi\right) = I$ . Now, lets see what  $U_1 \sigma_x U_2$  does to the states  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$ , where  $\theta\left(\alpha\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha \\ \sin \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ :

$$U_1\sigma_xU_2\cdot|0\rangle = U_1\sigma_xU_2\cdot\theta\left(0\right) = U_1\sigma_x\cdot\theta\left(\pi + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = U_1\cdot\theta\left(\pi + \frac{3}{4}\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \theta\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix} = |-\rangle = V\cdot|0\rangle$$

$$U_1\sigma_xU_2\cdot|0\rangle = U_1\sigma_xU_2\cdot\theta\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = U_1\sigma_x\cdot\theta\left(\frac{3\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = U_1\cdot\theta\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{3}{4}\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \theta\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}1\\-1\end{pmatrix} = |+\rangle = V\cdot|1\rangle$$

### 4 Euclid's Algorithm

- 1. If  $r_i \equiv r_{i-2} \mod r_{i-1}$ , then  $r_i = r_{i-1} \cdot d + r_{i-2}$  for some non-negative natural number d. We get:  $\gcd(r_{i-1}, r_i) = \gcd(r_{i-1}, r_{i-1} \cdot d + r_{i-2}) = a$ .
  - $a = \gcd(r_{i-1}, r_{i-1} \cdot d + r_{i-2})$  **IFF**
  - $a|r_{i-1}$  (a divides  $r_{i-1}$ ) and  $a|(r_{i-1}\cdot d+r_{i-2})$  and no b>a exists that achieve the same **IFF**
  - $r_{i-1} = k \cdot a$  and  $r_{i-1} \cdot d + r_{i-2} = t \cdot a$  for some integers k, t and no b > a exists that achieve the same **IFF**
  - $r_{i-1} = k \cdot a$ ,  $k \cdot a \cdot d + r_{i-2} = ta$  for some integers k, t and no b > a exists that achieve the same IFF
  - $r_{i-1} = k \cdot a$ ,  $r_{i-2} = (t kd) a$  for some integers k, t and no b > a exists that achieve the same IFF
  - $r_{i-1} = k \cdot a$ ,  $r_{i-2} = ma$  for some integers m, k and no b > a exists that achieve the same IFF
  - $a|r_{i-1}, a|r_{i-2}$  and no b > a exists that achieve the same **IFF**
  - $a = \gcd(r_{i-1}, r_{i-2})$

To conclude, we get  $gcd(r_{i-1}, r_i) = gcd(r_{i-2}, r_{i-1})$ 

- 2. For some integer  $x \geq 0$ :
  - (a) x|x and x|0 (because  $1 \cdot x = x$  and  $0 \cdot x = 0...$ )
  - (b) for y > x,  $y \nmid x$ .

Then by definition, gcd(x, 0) = x.

- 3. We prove the algorithm is **correct** (ends & yields the right result) by **3** claims:
  - (a) At each iteration of the algorithm (for any i) it holds that  $gcd(r_{i-1}, r_{i-2}) = gcd(r_0, r_1)$ . Proof: by induction.
    - base: for i = 2 its an identity.
    - step: if we assume  $\gcd(r_{i-2}, r_{i-3}) = \gcd(r_0, r_1)$ , then by the claim from section (1) we get  $\gcd(r_{i-1}, r_{i-2}) = \gcd(r_0, r_1)$ .
  - (b) The algorithm stops eventually. We show this by proving an upper bound  $r_{i-1} \le r_1 i + 2$ . Proof: by induction.
    - base: for i = 2 its an equality.
    - step: Assume  $r_{i-2} \le r_1 (i-1) + 2$ . (notice: we changed the variable name).  $r_{i-1}$  is computed, according to the algorithm, by  $r_{i-1} = r_{i-3}$  (mod  $r_{i-2}$ ). this means that  $r_{i-1} < r_{i-2}$ , and because we are handling integers,  $r_{i-1} \le r_{i-2} 1$ . Plugging it together, we get  $r_{i-1} \le r_{i-1} + 2$ . Eventually, we reach i big enough so that  $r_{i-1} \le 0$ , and the algorithm stops and returns  $r_{i-2}$ .
  - (c) The algorithm returns the correct result. Proof: We know from claim (b) that the algorithm reachs i s.t.  $r_{i-1} = 0$ . We also know, from claim (a), that for this i it holds  $\gcd(0, r_{i-2}) = \gcd(r_0, r_0)$ . From section (2), we know that  $\gcd(0, r_{i-2}) = r_{i-2}$ . Conclusion: The algorithm **stops** at certain i, and returns  $r_{i-2} = \gcd(r_0, r_1)$ .

4. We start by making a helpful claim:

(a)  $r_i < r_{i-1} < r_{i-2}$  for any i > 2. Proof: we know that  $r_i < r_{i-1}$ , because  $r_i = r_{i-2}$  (mod  $r_{i-1}$ ). In a similar way,  $r_{i-1} < r_{i-2}$  because  $r_{i-1} = r_{i-3}$  (mod  $r_{i-2}$ ). (This is why we require i > 2 and not  $i \ge 2$ ).

Now, recall that for i > 2,  $r_i = r_{i-2} \mod (r_{i-1})$ , which means that for some integer q, we can write  $r_{i-2} = q \cdot r_{i-1} + r_i$ . From the helpful claim, we know that  $r_i < r_{i-2}$ , so  $q \cdot r_{i-1} > 0$ . More specifically,  $q \ge 1$ . So we infer the following in-equality:  $r_{i-2} \ge r_{i-1} + r_i$ . By using  $r_i < r_{i-1}$ , we can upgrade our in-equality to  $r_{i-2} > 2 \cdot r_i$ , which is essentially  $r_i < \frac{r_{i-2}}{2}$ . This proves that the algorithm perfoms at most  $1 + 2\log_2(r_1 + r_0)$  iterations: it must hold that  $r_{i-1} > 0$  for

any iteration (except the last). if  $r_{i-1} < \frac{r_{i-3}}{2}$ , then  $r_{i-1} < \frac{1}{2^{i/2}}(r_1 + r_0)$ . Thus, for  $r_0$  and  $r_1$  of lengths (number of bits)  $b_0$  and  $b_1$ , the maximum number of iterations is  $\sim 1 + 2(b_0 + b_1)$ . (Note: its not a tight bound, only approximate upper bound).