

# Final practice questions

Daniel Worrall

October 2018

## 1 Flour-milling

A miller is grinding wheat into flour. She models the size of flour particles using a Weibull distribution, which is

$$p(x|\beta, \theta) = \frac{\beta}{\theta} x^{\beta-1} e^{-\frac{x^\beta}{\theta}}, \quad x, \beta, \theta > 0. \quad (1)$$

a) She collects a dataset of particle sizes  $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$ . Show that the likelihood of the parameters given  $\mathcal{D}$  can be written in the form

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\beta, \theta) = \frac{\beta^A}{\theta^B} \left( \prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\beta-1} \right) \exp \left\{ -\frac{N\bar{x}_\beta}{\theta} \right\} \quad (2)$$

where  $\bar{x}_\beta = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^\beta$ , and  $A$  and  $B$  are constants, which you need to fill in.

**Answer**

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathcal{D}|\beta, \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^N p(x_i|\beta, \theta) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\beta}{\theta} x_i^{\beta-1} e^{-\frac{x_i^\beta}{\theta}} \\ &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\beta}{\theta} \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\beta-1} \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^N e^{-\frac{x_i^\beta}{\theta}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\beta^N}{\theta^N} \left( \prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\beta-1} \right) e^{-\frac{N}{\theta} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^\beta} \\ &= \frac{\beta^N}{\theta^N} \left( \prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\beta-1} \right) \exp \left\{ -\frac{N\bar{x}_\beta}{\theta} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

b) The miller wishes to find the posterior over the parameter  $\theta$ , so she uses the inverse-Gamma conjugate prior

$$p(\theta|a, b) = \frac{1}{Z(a, b)} \theta^{-a} e^{-\frac{b}{\theta}}. \quad (3)$$

Using your answer from part a) find the posterior distribution over  $\lambda$ , exploiting the conjugacy property. Note that you do not need to compute the normalizing factor.

**Answer**

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta|\mathcal{D}, \beta, a, b) &\propto p(\mathcal{D}|\beta, \theta) p(\theta|a, b) \\ &= \frac{\beta^N}{\theta^N} \left( \prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\beta-1} \right) \exp \left\{ -\frac{N\bar{x}_\beta}{\theta} \right\} \frac{1}{Z(a, b)} \theta^{-a} e^{-\frac{b}{\theta}} \\ &\propto \theta^{-N} \theta^{-a} \exp \left\{ -\frac{N\bar{x}_\beta}{\theta} \right\} e^{-\frac{b}{\theta}} \\ &= \theta^{-(a+N)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{b + N\bar{x}_\beta}{\theta} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

So the posterior is

$$p(\theta|\mathcal{D}, \beta, a, b) = \frac{1}{Z(a + N, b + N\bar{x}_\beta)} \theta^{-(a+N)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{b + N\bar{x}_\beta}{\theta} \right\}$$

## 2 Internet sociology

A sociologist is modeling the lengths  $x > 0$  of comments posted in internet discussion forums. It is known that these comments tend to follow a log-normal distribution

$$p(x|\mu, \rho) = \frac{1}{x} \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\rho}{2} (\log x - \mu)^2 \right\}. \quad (4)$$

a) A collection of comments is analyzed and their lengths are stored in the dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$ . Write down the log-likelihood of the parameters  $\{\mu, \rho\}$  of the log-normal given this dataset.

**Answer**

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\mu, \rho) &= \log p(\mathcal{D}|\mu, \rho) \\&= \sum_{i=1}^N \log \frac{1}{x_i} \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\rho}{2} (\log x_i - \mu)^2 \right\} \\&= \sum_{i=1}^N \log \frac{1}{x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \log \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{2\pi}} + \sum_{i=1}^N \log \exp \left\{ -\frac{\rho}{2} (\log x_i - \mu)^2 \right\} \\&= -\sum_{i=1}^N \log x_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \log \frac{\rho}{2\pi} - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\rho}{2} (\log x_i - \mu)^2 \\&= -\sum_{i=1}^N \log x_i + \frac{N}{2} \log \frac{\rho}{2\pi} - \frac{\rho}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\log x_i - \mu)^2\end{aligned}$$

b) What is the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\mu$ ?

**Answer**

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \mathcal{L}(\mu, \rho) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left[ -\sum_{i=1}^N \log x_i + \frac{N}{2} \log \frac{\rho}{2\pi} - \frac{\rho}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\log x_i - \mu)^2 \right] \\&= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left[ \frac{\rho}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\log x_i - \mu)^2 \right] \\&= -\frac{\rho}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} (\log x_i - \mu)^2 \\&= \rho \sum_{i=1}^N (\log x_i - \mu) = 0 \\&\implies N\mu = \sum_{i=1}^N \log x_i \\&\mu_{\text{ML}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \log x_i\end{aligned}$$

c) What is the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\rho$ ?

**Answer**

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \mathcal{L}(\mu, \rho) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left[ - \sum_{i=1}^N \log x_i + \frac{N}{2} \log \frac{\rho}{2\pi} - \frac{\rho}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\log x_i - \mu)^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left[ \frac{N}{2} \log \rho - \frac{N}{2} \log \pi - \frac{\rho}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\log x_i - \mu)^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{N}{2\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\log x_i - \mu)^2 = 0 \\
\implies \rho &= \frac{N}{\sum_{i=1}^N (\log x_i - \mu)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

### 3 Phylogenetics

A phylogenist is studying the number of descendants of a new species of synthetic bacterium. The species has been genetically engineered so that on average each bacterium has less than 1 offspring. The result of this is that the species will eventually die out completely. The phylogenist is interested in modeling the total number  $k \geq 0$  of this new bacterium that exist before they all die out.

a) The phylogenist proposes two models:

Model  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is a simple Poisson distribution

$$p(k|\lambda, \mathcal{M}_1) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}, \quad \lambda > 0 \quad (5)$$

Model  $\mathcal{M}_2$  is a more sophisticated Borel distribution

$$p(k|\mu, \mathcal{M}_2) = \frac{e^{-\mu k} (\mu k)^{k-1}}{k!}, \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq 1. \quad (6)$$

Given the dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$ , and given the fact that  $\lambda = \mu = 1$ , show that the log Bayes factor can be written as

$$\log \frac{p(\mathcal{D}|\lambda = 1, \mathcal{M}_1)}{p(\mathcal{D}|\mu = 1, \mathcal{M}_2)} = N(\bar{k} - 1) - \sum_{i=1}^N (k_i - 1) \log k_i \quad (7)$$

where  $\bar{k} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i$ .

**Answer**

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \frac{p(\mathcal{D}|\lambda = 1, \mathcal{M}_1)}{p(\mathcal{D}|\mu = 1, \mathcal{M}_2)} &= \log p(\mathcal{D}|\lambda = 1, \mathcal{M}_1) - \log p(\mathcal{D}|\mu = 1, \mathcal{M}_2) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \log e^{-1} \frac{1_i^k}{k_i!} - \sum_{i=1}^N \log \frac{e^{-1k_i} (1k_i)^{k_i-1}}{k_i!} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \log \frac{e^{-1}}{k_i!} - \sum_{i=1}^N \log \frac{e^{-k_i} (k_i)^{k_i-1}}{k_i!} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \log e^{-1} - \log k_i! - \log e^{-k_i} - \log (k_i)^{k_i-1} + \log k_i! \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N -1 + k_i - (k_i - 1) \log k_i \\
&= -N + N \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N k_i - \sum_{i=1}^N (k_i - 1) \log k_i \\
&= -N + N\bar{k} - \sum_{i=1}^N (k_i - 1) \log k_i \\
&= N(\bar{k} - 1) - \sum_{i=1}^N (k_i - 1) \log k_i
\end{aligned}$$

b) If the phylogenist finds that

$$\bar{k} < 1 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (k_i - 1) \log k_i \quad (8)$$

which model explains the data  $\mathcal{D}$  better?

**Answer**

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{k} &< 1 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (k_i - 1) \log k_i \\ N(\bar{k} - 1) &< \sum_{i=1}^N (k_i - 1) \log k_i \\ N(\bar{k} - 1) - \sum_{i=1}^N (k_i - 1) \log k_i &< 0 \\ \implies \log \frac{p(\mathcal{D}|\lambda = 1, \mathcal{M}_1)}{p(\mathcal{D}|\mu = 1, \mathcal{M}_2)} &= N(\bar{k} - 1) - \sum_{i=1}^N (k_i - 1) \log k_i < 0\end{aligned}$$

Thus model  $\mathcal{M}_2$  explains the data better.

## 4 The evidence

The exponential distribution is

$$p(x|\lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}, \quad x \geq 0, \lambda > 0. \quad (9)$$

a) Given a dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$ , write down the likelihood of  $\lambda$ .

**Answer**

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=1}^N p(x_i|\lambda) &= \prod_{i=1}^N \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i} \\ &= \lambda^N \prod_{i=1}^N e^{-\lambda x_i} \\ &= \lambda^N e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^N x_i}\end{aligned}$$

b) The Gamma distribution is used as a prior where

$$p(\lambda|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda} \quad (10)$$

Using the fact that

$$\int_0^\infty z^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta z} dz = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\beta^\alpha} \quad (11)$$

and using your answer to part a), find the evidence  $p(\mathcal{D}|\alpha, \beta)$ .

**Answer**

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(\mathcal{D}|\alpha, \beta) &= \int_0^\infty p(\mathcal{D}|\lambda) p(\lambda|\alpha, \beta) d\lambda \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \lambda^N e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^N x_i} \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda} d\lambda \\
 &= \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{N+\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^N x_i - \beta\lambda} d\lambda \\
 &= \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{N+\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^N x_i)} d\lambda \\
 &= \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(N+\alpha)}{(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^N x_i)^{N+\alpha}}
 \end{aligned}$$