

Pmath753 Functional Analysis

Roger Gu

October 8, 2025

This note is based on the course PMATH753 in Spring 2025.

It mainly serves for my personal learning purposes, and may not follow the official syllabus. I may include related topics or details that are not included in the course, and I may not cover all the topics taught in the course.

Please do not use them for any purposes other than learning, and please do not directly copy from them for your assignments and/or tests.

Contents

1	Metric Spaces and Complete Spaces	2
2	Topology	2
2.1	Topological Spaces	2
2.2	Nets	5
2.3	Compactness	7
2.4	Continuous Functions	9
2.5	Partition of Unity	10
2.6	Product Topology	11
3	Banach Spaces	13
3.1	Bounded linear operators	15
3.1.1	Dual Spaces	17
3.2	Quotient Spaces	21
3.3	Baire Category Theorem	22
3.3.1	Open Mapping Theorem	24
3.4	Compact Operators	25
3.4.1	Adjoint Operator	25
4	Hilbert Spaces	28

1 Metric Spaces and Complete Spaces

Definition 1.1. A **metric space** is a set X that has a (distance) metric:

$$\begin{aligned} d(\cdot, \cdot) : X \times X &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ such that } \forall x, y, z \in X \\ d(x, x) &= 0 \\ \forall x \neq y, d(x, y) &> 0 \\ d(x, y) &= d(y, x) \\ d(x, z) &\leq d(x, y) + d(y, z) \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.2. Given a metric space (X, d) , a sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in X has a **limit point** $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n) = 0$. In this case, we say $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a **convergent sequence**, and write $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.

Definition 1.3. A sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a **Cauchy sequence** in a metric space (X, d) if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}^+, \text{ such that } \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m, n > N \implies d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon.$$

Definition 1.4. A metric space X is **complete** if every Cauchy sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ converges to a limit point in X . i.e. $\exists x \in X, \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = x$.

Proposition 1.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space; then every convergent sequence is Cauchy.

Proposition 1.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Suppose $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence and has a convergent subsequence such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = x \in X$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

2 Topology

See more on the notes of Pmath367 Topology by S. New.

2.1 Topological Spaces

Definition 2.1. Let $X \neq \emptyset$ be a set. A **topology** on X is a collection $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X) := 2^X =$ power set of X , satisfying

1. $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{T}$,
2. \mathcal{T} is closed under arbitrary union; namely, $\forall \{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{T}, \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$, and
3. \mathcal{T} is closed under finite intersection; namely, $\forall \{A_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{T}, \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \in \mathcal{T}$.

Also, (X, \mathcal{T}) is a **topological space** if \mathcal{T} is a topology on X .

Definition 2.2. For any $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$, we define the **topology generated by S** to be

$$\mathcal{T}_S := \langle S \rangle := \{\emptyset, X, \text{ all unions of finite intersections of elements of } S\},$$

which is the intersection of all topologies on X that contains S , and it is the smallest topology on X containing S .

Proposition 2.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space, then there is a **metric topology** \mathcal{T}_d that is generated by open balls.

Definition 2.3. (X, \leq) is a **partially ordered set (poset)** if \leq is

1. anti-symmetric: $\forall x, y \in X$, if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$, we have $x = y$,
2. reflexive: $\forall x \in X, x \leq x$, and

3. transitive: $\forall x, y, z \in X$, if $x \leq y, y \leq z$, we have $x \leq z$.

We can define $\geq, <, >$ by

$$\begin{aligned} x \geq y &\iff y \leq x \\ x < y &\iff x \leq y \wedge x \neq y \\ x > y &\iff y < x. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.4. (X, \leq) is a **totally ordered set** if it is a partially ordered set such that $\forall x, y \in X$, $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$.

Proposition 2.2. (X, \leq) is a totally ordered set if and only if $<$ satisfies

1. $\forall x, y \in X$, exactly one of the following is true: $x < y$, $x = y$, $y < x$.
2. $\forall x, y, z \in X$, if $x < y, y < z$, we have $x < z$.

Definition 2.5. Let (X, \leq) be a totally ordered set, we can define for each $a, b \in X$,

1. $(-\infty, a) := \{x \in X : x < a\}$,
2. $(a, \infty) := \{x \in X : a < x\}$, and
3. $(a, b) := (a, \infty) \cap (-\infty, b)$.

Proposition 2.3. Let \mathcal{T}_{\leq} be the topology generated by all the sets above, then \mathcal{T}_{\leq} is a topology.

Definition 2.6. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, then we say $U \subseteq X$ is **open** if $U \in \mathcal{T}$. We say $E \subseteq X$ is **closed** if $E^c \in \mathcal{T}$ is open.

Definition 2.7. For $E \subseteq X$, the **closure** of E is

$$\bar{E} = \bigcap_{F \supseteq E: F \text{ is closed}} F,$$

which is the smallest closed set containing E .

Definition 2.8. For $E \subseteq X$, the **interior** of E is

$$E^o = \bigcup_{U \subseteq E: U \text{ is open}} U,$$

which is the largest open set contained in E .

Proposition 2.4. Closed sets are stable under finite unions and arbitrary intersections.

Proposition 2.5. For any set A ,

$$\bar{A} = ((A^c)^o)^c.$$

Proposition 2.6. For any set A ,

$$x \in \bar{A} \iff (\forall U \text{ open}, x \in U \implies U \cap A \neq \emptyset).$$

Definition 2.9. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, a $\mathcal{B} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is said to be a **basis/base** of the topology \mathcal{T} if it is a collection of open sets, and for every $U \in \mathcal{T}$, we have $U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in J} U_\alpha$ for some $J \subseteq I$.

Proposition 2.7. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a basis of \mathcal{T} iff

$$\forall x \in U \in \mathcal{T}, \exists U_\alpha \in \mathcal{B} \text{ such that } x \in U_\alpha \subseteq U.$$

Proposition 2.8. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a basis of \mathcal{T} , iff

1. $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$,
2. For any $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{B}$, $x \in U_1 \cap U_2$, we have $\exists U_x \in \mathcal{B}$, $x \in U_x \subseteq U_1 \cap U_2$,
3. $\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$ is the topology generated by \mathcal{B} .

Example 2.1.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space, then $\{B(x, \frac{1}{n}) : x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a base.

Definition 2.10. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, a **subbase** is a collection of open sets $S \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ such that

$$\left\{ X, \bigcap_{i=1}^n S_i : n \in \mathbb{N}^+, S_1, \dots, S_n \in S \right\}$$

forms a base for \mathcal{T} .

Definition 2.11. Given $x \in X$, a **neighbourhood** of x is a set $V \ni x$, such that $\exists U \in \mathcal{T}$ with $x \in U \subset V$.

Definition 2.12. We say $S \subseteq X$ is **dense** in a topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) if $\forall \text{open } U \neq \emptyset, S \cap U \neq \emptyset$.

Proposition 2.9. S is dense iff $\bar{S} = X$.

Definition 2.13. A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is **separable** if there is a countable subset.

Definition 2.14. A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is **first countable** if $\forall x \in X$, there is a countable open neighbourhood base $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{T}$ at x .

Proposition 2.10. A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is first countable iff $\forall x \in X$, there is a countable open neighbourhood $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{T}$, such that for any neighbourhood V of x , there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in U_n \subseteq V$.

Definition 2.15. A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is called **2nd countable** if it has a countable basis.

Proposition 2.11. Every metric space (X, d) are first countable.

Proposition 2.12. The discrete topology of X is separable iff $|X|$ is at most countable.

Definition 2.16 (Axiom of Choice). If $X \neq \emptyset$, then there is a choice function $C : P(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow X$ such that $\forall A \subseteq X$, if $A \neq \emptyset$, we have $C(A) \in A$.

Proposition 2.13 (Axiom of Choice Equivalence). The Axiom of Choice is equivalent to: Let $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be a family of non-empty sets, then

$$\Pi_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha := \left\{ f : A \rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha \mid f(\alpha) \in X_\alpha \right\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Suppose AOC holds, then taking $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$, we have the choice function C . Now take $f(a) := C(X_a)$.

On the other hand, suppose the latter holds, then consider $\Pi_{X_\alpha \in (P(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\})} X_\alpha$, which is non-empty. Consider any $f \in \Pi_{X_\alpha \in (P(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\})} X_\alpha$, then $C(X_\alpha) := f(a)$ is a choice function. \square

Proposition 2.14. A metric space (X, d) is separable iff it is 2nd countable.

Proof. If $S = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is dense, then $\{B(x_k, r) : r \in \mathbb{Q}, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable base.

Indeed, consider any $x \in X$ with any open $U \ni x$, we know $\exists r > 0$, such that $x \in B(x, r) \subset U$. Also, there is x_k such that $d(x, x_k) < \frac{r}{2}$.

Now choose some $r' \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $d(x, x_k) < r' < \frac{r}{2}$, then $x \in B(x_k, r') \subset B(x, r) \subset U$.

Thus $\{B(x_k, r) : r \in \mathbb{Q}, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a base.

On the other hand, suppose X is second countable with a countable base $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. WLOG, $U_n \neq \emptyset$.

Now for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, pick $x_n \in U_n$ by the axiom of countable choice. Let $S = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, then we claim S is dense.

Indeed, for any open $U \neq \emptyset$, we can find some $U_n \subset U$. Thus $x_n \in S \cap U$. \square

Proposition 2.15. If $\{\mathcal{T}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a set of topologies on X ,

1. There is a weakest topology $\tau := \langle \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{T}_\alpha \rangle$ that is stronger than each \mathcal{T}_α .
2. There is a strongest topology $\delta := \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ that is weaker than each \mathcal{T}_α .

Definition 2.17. A topological space (X, \mathcal{S}) is **Hausdorff** if

$$\forall x \neq y \in X, \exists S_x, S_y \in \mathcal{S}, \text{ such that } x \in S_x, y \in S_y, S_x \cap S_y = \emptyset.$$

Proposition 2.16. Any space with its discrete topology is always Hausdorff.

Example 2.1.2. Every metric space is Hausdorff.

Proposition 2.17. Any space with more than one element with the trivial topology is not Hausdorff.

Example 2.1.3. Consider $X := (0, 1) \cup \{1^+, 1^-\}$. Let $(0, 1)$ have the usual open topology. Also, let $(r, 1) \cup \{1^+\}$ and $(r, 1) \cup \{1^-\}$ be open for any $0 < r < 1$. The topology generated by this basis will not be Hausdorff.

Indeed, consider $1^+, 1^-$, then for any $U \ni 1^+, V \ni 1^-$, we can find $r_U, r_V \in (0, 1)$, such that $(r_U, 1) \cup \{1^+\} \subseteq U, (r_V, 1) \cup \{1^-\} \subseteq V$. Yet $(\max(r_U, r_V), 1) \subseteq U \cap V$, which is not empty.

Proposition 2.18. If X is Hausdorff, then for any $x \in X$, we have that $\{x\}$ is closed.

Proof. For any $y \neq x$, we can find open $V_y \ni y, U_y \ni x$, such that $V_y \cap \{x\} \subseteq V_y \cap U_y = \emptyset$. Thus $X \setminus \{x\} = \bigcup_{y \in X} V_y$, which is open. □

2.2 Nets

Definition 2.18. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, say a sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ **converges to** $x \in X$ if \forall open $U \ni x, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall i \geq N, x_i \in U$.

Example 2.2.1. Consider $X = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, and the projection $\pi_1 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by $\pi_1(m, n) := m$.

Let any U be open if $(0, 0) \notin U$, or if $\{m \in \mathbb{N} : \pi_1^{-1}(m) \cap U \text{ is co-finite in } \{m\} \times \mathbb{N}\}$ is co-finite.

One can show this defines a topology on X , and it is Hausdorff.

Indeed, let $X_0 := X \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. Consider any $(m, n) \neq (m', n') \in X$. If both are in X_0 then $\{(m, n)\}, \{(m', n')\}$ are open and disjoint.

If $(m', n') = (0, 0)$, then $\{(m, n)\}, X \setminus \{(m, n)\}$ are open and disjoint.

This shows Hausdorff.

Also, $\bar{X}_0 = X$.

Indeed, consider any open $U \ni (0, 0)$, we must have that $U \cap X_0 \neq \emptyset$.

However, there is no sequence in X_0 that converges to $(0, 0)$.

Indeed, assume for contradiction that there is such a convergent sequence $(x_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ in X_0 .

Write each $x_k = (m^k, n^k)$.

Suppose there is some $M \in \mathbb{N}^+$, such that $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^+, m_k \leq M$.

Consider $U := \{(m, n) : m > M, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{(0, 0)\}$.

Now for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\pi_1^{-1}(m) \cap U = \begin{cases} (0, 0) & \text{if } m = 0 \\ \emptyset & \text{if } 0 < m \leq M \\ \{m\} \times \mathbb{N} & \text{if } m > M. \end{cases}$$

Thus for all $m > M, \pi_1^{-1}(m) \cap U$ is co-finite in $\{m\} \times \mathbb{N}$. This shows U is open.

Yet $U \cap \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \emptyset$.

Now suppose there is no such M , then we can find a subsequence $m_{k_1} < m_{k_2} < m_{k_3} < \dots$.

Now let $U := X \setminus \{x_{k_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$.

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\pi_1^{-1}(m) \cap U = \begin{cases} \{m\} \times \mathbb{N} & \text{if } m \notin \{m_{k_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty \\ \{m\} \times (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{n_{k_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty) & \text{if } m \in \{m_{k_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty. \end{cases}$$

Notice that there cannot be two $n_{k_i} \neq n_{k_j}$ for any m , since $k_i \neq k_j \implies m_{k_i} \neq m_{k_j}$.

Thus all $\pi^{-1}(m) \cap U$ is co-finite in $\{m\} \times \mathbb{N}$. This shows U is open.

Yet $U \cap \{x_{k_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty = \emptyset$.

Thus there cannot be any convergent sequence $(x_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ in X_0 .

Remark. The above example shows that sequences do not behave as we want in topological spaces.

Definition 2.19. An **upwards directed set** is a poset (Λ, \leq) such that if $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$, $\exists \lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_0, \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_0$.

Definition 2.20. For $X \neq \emptyset$, a **net** in X is a function $j : \Lambda \rightarrow X$, where (Λ, \leq) is an upwards directed set. Write $x_\lambda := j(\lambda) \in X$, and we can use $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ to represent a net.

Definition 2.21. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, say a net $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ **converges to** $x \in X$ if $\forall \text{open } U \ni x$, $\exists \lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $\forall \lambda \geq \lambda_0$, $x_\lambda \in U$. In this case, we say x is a **limit** of the net, and write it as $x = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} x_\lambda$ or $x_\lambda \rightarrow x$.

Definition 2.22. Given a net $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, then a **subnet** of it $(y_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is given by an upwards directed set (Γ, \leq) and a function $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$ that is **cofinal**, which means $\forall \lambda_0 \in \Lambda$, $\exists \gamma_0 \in \Gamma$, such that $\forall \gamma \geq \gamma_0$, $\phi(\gamma) \geq \lambda_0$. Each y_γ is given by $x_{\phi(\gamma)}$.

Example 2.2.2. Notice that if we take (\mathbb{N}, \leq) , the net is just a sequence. To get a subsequence, we can take $\Gamma = \mathbb{N}$, and $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ to be any increasing function. The generated subnet will be a subsequence.

Definition 2.23. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, and $x \in X$, define the **system of open neighbourhoods of x** to be $\mathcal{O}(x) := \{U \in \mathcal{T} : x \in U\}$.

Proposition 2.19. $(\mathcal{O}(x), \supseteq)$ is an upwards directed set.

Example 2.2.3. For $X = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $X_0 = X \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ as above, there is a net in X_0 converging to $(0, 0)$. Indeed, let us enumerate $X_0 = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ as $(0, 1), (1, 0), (0, 2), (1, 1), (2, 0), \dots$.

Now $\Lambda := \mathcal{O}((0, 0))$ is an upward directed set by containment.

Then for each $U \in \Lambda$, we can pick $x_U := x_{k_U}$, where k_U is the first $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_k \in U$.

Claim: $(x_U)_{U \in \Lambda}$ converges to $(0, 0)$.

Pick any $U_0 \ni (0, 0)$, then for all $U \geq U_0$, it is open and $U \subseteq U_0$. Thus, we must have $x_U \in U \subseteq U_0$.

Indeed, $(x_U)_{U \in \Lambda}$ is a subnet of $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+}$ by $\phi(U) := k_U$.

Theorem 2.20. Let $(X, \mathcal{T}_X), (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$ be two topological spaces, then

1. For any $A \subseteq X$, we have $x \in \bar{A}$ if and only if \exists a net $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in A , such that $x_\lambda \rightarrow x$.
2. $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous if and only if for any net $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subset X$ such that $x_\lambda \rightarrow x \in X$, we have $f(x_\lambda) \rightarrow f(x)$.

Proof. 1. Consider any $x \in \bar{A}$, then for any open $U \ni x$, we have $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

By the Axiom of Choice, we can have $x_U \in U \cap A$ for each open neighbourhood U .

Consider the net $(x_U)_{U \in \mathcal{O}(x)}$.

Given any open $U \ni x$, if $V \geq U$, we must have $V \subseteq U$, and $x_v \in V \subseteq U$.

Thus $x_U \rightarrow x$.

On the other hand, for any net $x_\lambda \rightarrow x$ in A , consider any open $U \ni x$, there is λ_0 , such that

$$\forall \lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda_0 \leq \lambda \implies x_\lambda \in U \implies U \cap A \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus $x \in \bar{A}$.

2. Assume f is continuous, and $x_\lambda \rightarrow x$. Let $V \in \mathcal{O}(f(x))$, then $U := f^{-1}(V)$ is open and $x \in U$.

Thus there is $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$, such that $\forall \lambda \geq \lambda_0, x_\lambda \in U$.

Thus $f(x_\lambda) \in V$.

On the other hand, assume for any net $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subset X$ such that $x_\lambda \rightarrow x \in X$, we have $f(x_\lambda) \rightarrow f(x)$.

For contradiction, suppose there is open $V \in Y$, with $U := f^{-1}(V)$ is not open in X .

Then U^c is not closed, and $U^c \neq \overline{U^c}$.

Thus, there is $x \in \overline{U^c} \setminus U^c = \overline{U^c} \cap U$.

Since $x \in \overline{U^c}$, by 1., there is a net $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in U^c , such that $x_\lambda \rightarrow x$.

By assumption, we have $f(x_\lambda) \rightarrow f(x)$.

Since each $f(x_\lambda)$ is in $f(U^c) = V^c$, by 1., we have that $f(x) \in \overline{V^c} = V^c$ since V^c is closed (V is open).

However, since $x \in U$, we also have $f(x) \in f(U) = V$, which is a contradiction.

□

2.3 Compactness

Definition 2.24. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. A collection of subsets $C = \{U_\alpha \subseteq X\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is called a **cover** for X if $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha$. A cover is called an **open cover** if every U_α is open in \mathcal{T} .

Definition 2.25. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. A collection $\{C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of non-empty closed sets is a **FIP-family** if for any finite $F \subseteq A$, $\bigcap_{\alpha \in F} C_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. X has the **finite intersection property (FIP)** if for all FIP-familie $\{C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$, we have $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} C_\alpha \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 2.26. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. A set $K \subseteq X$ is **compact** if every open cover of K has a finite subcover. Namely,

$$\forall (U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} \text{ be open, } K \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha \implies \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \text{ such that } K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$$

Theorem 2.21. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, TFAE:

1. X is compact.
2. X has the finite intersection property.
3. For all nets $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in X , there is a convergent subnet.

Proof. (1) \implies (2).

For contradiction, suppose there is some FIP-family such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} C_\alpha = \emptyset$.

We have $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} C_\alpha^c$, which is an open cover for X .

Since X is compact, there is a finite $F \subseteq A$, such that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in F} C_\alpha^c$.

Thus $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} C_\alpha = \emptyset$, which contradict $\{C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ being a FIP family.

(2) \implies (1).

Consider any open cover $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha$, then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha^c = \emptyset$, and it is not a FIP-family.

Thus there is a finite $F \subseteq A$, such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in F} U_\alpha^c = \emptyset$.

Thus $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in F} U_\alpha$ is a finite open cover.

(2) \implies (3).

Let $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be any net in X .

Define $C_\lambda := \{x_\mu : \mu \geq \lambda\}$. Notice that $C_\lambda \neq \emptyset$ since $x_\lambda \in C_\lambda$.

We claim that $\{C_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a FIP family.

The closeness is by definition.

Now fix any $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda$.

Since Λ is upwards directed, there is $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$, such that $\forall i \in [n], \lambda_i \leq \lambda_0$.

Thus $\bigcap_{i=1}^n C_{\lambda_i} \supseteq C_{\lambda_0} \neq \emptyset$.

By FIP, $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_{\lambda_i} \neq \emptyset$.

Pick any $x \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_{\lambda_i}$.

Let $\Gamma := \Lambda \times \mathcal{O}(x)$ with the partial order $(\lambda, U) \leq (\lambda', U')$ if $\lambda \leq \lambda'$ and $U \supseteq U'$.

Fix $(\lambda, U) \in \Gamma$, we know that $x \in C_\lambda = \{x_\mu : \mu \geq \lambda\}$.

Thus $U \cap \{x_\mu : \mu \geq \lambda\} \neq \emptyset$.

By the Axiom of Choice, there is $y_{(\lambda, U)} = x_{\phi(\lambda, U)} \in U \cap \{x_\mu : \mu \geq \lambda\}$, where $\phi(\lambda, U) := C(\{\mu \geq \lambda : x_\mu \in U\})$.

For any $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$, let $\gamma_0 = (\lambda_0, X)$, then for any $\gamma = (\lambda, U) \geq \gamma_0$, we have $\phi(\gamma) \geq \lambda \geq \lambda_0$.

Thus ϕ is cofinal, and $(y_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is a subnet.

In addition, given any $U_0 \in \mathcal{O}(x)$, we can pick any $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$, and let $\gamma_0 := (\lambda_0, U_0)$.

Then for any $(\lambda, U) \geq \gamma_0$, we must have $y_{(\lambda, U)} = x_{\phi(\lambda, U)} \in U \subseteq U_0$.

(3) \implies (2).

Fix any FIP-family $\{C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ in X . Then for any finite $F \subseteq A$, by the Axiom of Choice, we can find $x_F \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in F} C_\alpha$.

Now consider the net $(x_F)_{\text{finite } F \subseteq A}$, where $F_1 \leq F_2$ if $F_1 \subseteq F_2$.

By 3., there is a convergent subnet $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$, such that $x_{\phi(\gamma)} \rightarrow x \in X$.

Now fix any $\alpha \in A$, then $\{\alpha\} \in \Lambda$.

Thus there is some $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$, such that $\forall \gamma \geq \gamma_0$, $\phi(\gamma) \supseteq \{\alpha\} \ni \alpha$.

We have $x_{\phi(\gamma)} \in \bigcap_{\beta \in \phi(\gamma)} C_\beta \subseteq C_\alpha$.

Since this holds for all $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$, and $x_{\phi(\gamma)} \rightarrow x$, we have that $x \in \bar{C}_\alpha = C_\alpha$.

Since this holds for any $\alpha \in A$, we have that $x \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in F} C_\alpha$. Thus $\bigcap_{\alpha \in F} C_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. \square

Proposition 2.22. *Let $(X, \mathcal{T}_X), (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$ be two topological spaces. If X is compact, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, then $f(X)$ is compact in Y .*

Theorem 2.23. *Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space,*

1. *Suppose K is compact, then $\forall F \subseteq K$ that is closed, F is also compact.*
2. *If X is Hausdorff, for any compact $K \subseteq X, x \in X \setminus K$, \exists open neighborhood U of x , and open $W \supset K$, such that $W \cap U = \emptyset$.*

Proof. 1. Let $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ be an open cover for F .

Since F is closed, then F^c is open. Thus $\{F^c\} \cup \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is an open cover for K .

Thus there are $U_{\alpha_1}, \dots, U_{\alpha_n}$, such that $K \subseteq F^c \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$. Thus $F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$ has a finite subcover.

2. Consider any $y \in K$, there is some open neighborhoods $U_y \ni x, W_y \ni y$, such that $U_y \cap W_y = \emptyset$.

Since $K \subseteq \bigcup_{y \in K} W_y$ is compact, we have $K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n W_{y_i} =: W$ for some y_1, \dots, y_n .

Let $U = \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{y_i}$, we have $x \in U, K \subseteq W, U \cap W = \emptyset$ as required. \square

Corollary 2.24. *Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a Hausdorff topological space, then any compact set K is closed. In addition, for any closed $F \subseteq X$, we have $F \cap K$ is compact.*

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that K is not closed, then there is some $y \in \bar{K} \setminus K$. Thus we can find open neighbourhood U of x , and open $W \supset K$, such that $W \cap U = \emptyset$. Now $K \subset \bar{K} \setminus U \subsetneq \bar{K}$ is closed, which is a contradiction.

Since K is closed, so is $F \cap K \subseteq K$, and thus it is compact. \square

Definition 2.27. X is **locally compact** if $\forall x \in X$, there is an open neighbourhood $U_x \in \mathcal{O}(x)$ such that $\overline{U_x}$ is compact.

Example 2.3.1. \mathbb{R}^n is locally compact by the Heinz-Borel theorem.

Proposition 2.25. *A Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is locally compact iff $\dim(X) < \infty$.*

Lemma 2.26. *Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a Hausdorff topological space, and $(K_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ be a collections of compact sets such that*

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} K_\alpha = \emptyset.$$

We must have $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in A$, such that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n K_{\alpha_i} = \emptyset.$$

Proof. Fix $\alpha_1 \in A$, then $K_{\alpha_1} \subseteq \left(\bigcap_{\alpha \neq \alpha_1} K_\alpha \right)^c = \bigcup_{\alpha \neq \alpha_1} K_\alpha^c$ is compact and has an open cover.

Thus there must be $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in A$, such that $K_{\alpha_1} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=2}^n K_{\alpha_i}^c = \left(\bigcap_{i=2}^n K_{\alpha_i} \right)^c$.

Thus $\bigcap_{i=1}^n K_{\alpha_i} = \emptyset$. \square

Theorem 2.27. Let X be a Locally Compact Hausdorff space, and let $K \subseteq U \subseteq X$ be such that K is compact, and U is open. Then there exists some open set V such that \bar{V} is compact, and

$$K \subseteq V \subseteq \bar{V} \subseteq U.$$

Proof. Since X is a Locally Compact Hausdorff space, there are V_1, \dots, V_n , each with \bar{V}_i be compact, such that $K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i =: G$. Note that \bar{G} is compact, and G is open.

If $U = X$, then $G \subseteq U$, and we are done.

Otherwise, let $C := X \setminus U$ be non-empty and closed.

Consider any $y \in C$, we know that $y \notin K$. Since X is Hausdorff, we can find open $W_y \supset K$, and $U_y \ni y$, such that $W_y \cap U_y = \emptyset$. Then $W_y \subseteq U_y^c$, and thus $\bar{W}_y \subseteq U_y^c$, since U_y^c is closed. Yet $y \notin U_y^c$, thus $y \notin \bar{W}_y$.

Now consider the family $\{C \cap \bar{W}_y \cap \bar{G}\}_{y \in C}$. Notice that each $C \cap \bar{W}_y \cap \bar{G}$ is compact, since C, \bar{W}_y are closed, and \bar{G} is compact.

Yet $\bigcap_{y \in C} (C \cap \bar{W}_y \cap \bar{G}) = \emptyset$.

Thus $\exists y_1, \dots, y_n \in C$, such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (C \cap \bar{W}_{y_i} \cap \bar{G}) = \emptyset$.

Now let $V := G \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n W_{y_i}$.

Clearly V is open, and $K \subseteq V$.

In addition, $\bar{V} \subseteq \bar{G} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n \bar{W}_{y_i}$, yet the intersection of righthand side and C is empty, thus contained in $C^c = U$. \square

2.4 Continuous Functions

Definition 2.28. A function $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{S})$ is **continuous** if

$$\forall U \in \mathcal{S}, f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Namely, the preimage of any open set is open.

Definition 2.29. A function $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{S})$ is **open** if

$$\forall V \in \mathcal{T}, f(V) \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Namely, the image of any open set is open.

Definition 2.30. Given two sets X, Y , and their corresponding topology \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S} , a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a **homeomorphism** if it is bijective, and its inverse function is also continuous.

Remark. A homeomorphism is a map that preserves the topological structure between two sets.

Definition 2.31. Let $C(X)$ be the collection of functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that are continuous.

Definition 2.32. Let $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ be the collection of functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are continuous.

Definition 2.33. Let $C_b(X)$ be the collection of functions $f \in C(X) : \|f\|_\infty < \infty$.

Definition 2.34. Let $C_b(X, \mathbb{R})$ be the collection of functions $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R}) : \|f\|_\infty < \infty$.

Proposition 2.28. If $C(X)$ separates points, so do $C_b(X), C_b(X, \mathbb{R})$. Also, X is Hausdorff.

Proof. If $x \neq y$, we have $f \in C(X)$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$.

WLOG, $\Re(f(x)) \neq \Re(f(y))$, and $\Re(f(x)) < \Re(f(y))$.

Now define $g(z) := \min \{\Re(f(y)), \max \{\Re(f(x)), \Re(f(y))\}\}$, which is bounded and continuous. Also $g(x) = f(x), g(y) = f(y)$.

Thus $C_b(X, \mathbb{R})$ separates the points.

Now if $x \neq y$, we can find $f \in C(X)$, such that $|f(x) - f(y)| = r > 0$.

Now let $U := f^{-1}(B(f(x), \frac{r}{2})), V := f^{-1}(B(f(y), \frac{r}{2}))$, which are both open. Also, $x \in U, y \in V$, and $U \cap V = f^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. \square

Definition 2.35. A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is **normal** if for any disjoint closed sets A, B , we can find open $U \supset A, V \supset B$ such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Lemma 2.29 (Urysohn's Lemma for normal spaces). (X, \mathcal{T}) is normal iff for any disjoint closed sets A, B , $\exists f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ continuous, such that $f|_A = 0, f|_B = 1$.

Corollary 2.30. If X is normal and Hausdorff, $C_b(X)$ separates the points.

Definition 2.36. For $f \in C(X)$, the **support** of it is

$$\text{Supp}(f) := \overline{f^{-1}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})} \subseteq X.$$

Definition 2.37. The set of **compactly supported functions** are

$$C_c(X) := \{f \in C(X) : \text{Supp}(f) \text{ is compact}\}.$$

Definition 2.38. $C_0(X)$ is the closure of $C_c(X)$ in $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

Proposition 2.31. $C_0(X)$ is the set of all continuous functions that vanishes at ∞ . $(C_0(X), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a Banach Space and a commutative C^* -algebra with the involution $f^*(x) := \overline{f(x)}$.

Proposition 2.32. $f \in C_0(X)$ if and only if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists K \subset\subset X$, such that $\forall x \in X \setminus K, |f(x)| < \epsilon$.

Theorem 2.33. Any commutative C^* -algebra $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ is isomorphic to $C_0(X)$ for some unique Locally Compact Hausdorff X .

2.5 Partition of Unity

Definition 2.39. Let K be a compact set, and V be an open set of X . Let $f \in C_c(X)$. We say $f < V$ if $0 \leq f \leq 1$, and $\text{Supp}(f) \subseteq V$. We say $K < f$ if $0 \leq f \leq 1$, and $f|_K = 1$. We say $K < f < V$ if $K \subset V, K < f, f < V$.

Remark. f is a “bump” function that approximates χ_K when V shrinks towards K .

Lemma 2.34 (Urysohn's lemma for Locally Compact Hausdorff Space). Let X be a Locally Compact Hausdorff space, $K \subseteq V \subseteq X$ be such that K is compact, and V is open. Then there exists $f \in C_c(V)$, such that $K < f < V$.

Proof. we want to construct a family of open sets $\{V_r\}_{r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]}$, such that \bar{V}_r is compact, and

$$K \subset V_1 \subset \bar{V}_1 \subset V_s \subset \bar{V}_s \subset V_r \subset \bar{V}_r \subset \cdots \subset V_0 \subset \bar{V}_0 \subset V,$$

for $r < s$.

By 2.27, we can find $K \subset V_0 \subset \bar{V}_0 \subset V$.

Pick an enumeration of $r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1]$, i.e. $(r_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. WLOG, we can let $r_1 = 1$.

By 2.27, we can find $K \subset V_1 \subset \bar{V}_1 \subset V_0$.

Suppose we have constructed the V_{r_i} for $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that \bar{V}_r is compact, and

$$K \subset V_1 \subset \bar{V}_1 \subset V_s \subset \bar{V}_s \subset V_r \subset \bar{V}_r \subset \cdots \subset V_0 \subset \bar{V}_0 \subset V,$$

for $r < s \in \{r_i\}_{i=1}^n$.

Let $s = \max r_i : r_i < r_{n+1}, i \leq n, s = \min r_i : r_i > r_{n+1}, i \leq n$.

Now by 2.27, we can find $\bar{V}_t \subset V_{n+1} \subset \bar{V}_{n+1} \subset V_s$.

For any $r < r_{n+1}$, we have $r \leq s$, and thus $V_{n+1} \subset \bar{V}_{n+1} \subset V_s \subset \bar{V}_s \subseteq V_r$ by induction hypothesis, and similarly for any $r > r_{n+1}$.

Inductively, we can prove there is such a family.

Define $f_r := r\chi_{V_r}$, and $g_r := r\chi_{\bar{V}_r^c} + \chi_{\bar{V}_r}$, and $f := \sup_r f_r, g := \inf_r g_r$.

We can show that f, g are upper and lower continuous, respectively.

In addition, f, g are both 0 outside of V_1 , and 1 on K .

Suppose there is some $x \in X, r, s \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$, such that $f_r(x) > g_s(x)$. Then we must have $f_r(x) > 0$, and thus $x \in V_r$ and $1 \leq r = f_r(x)$.

Thus $1 > g_s(x)$, and thus $x \in \bar{V}_s^c$ and $f_s = s$.

Since $r > s$, we must have $V_r \subset \bar{V}_r \subset V_s \subset \bar{V}_s$, which is a contradiction to $x \in V_r, x \notin \bar{V}_s$.

Thus for any $x \in X, r, s \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$, we must have $f_r(x) \leq g_s(x)$.

Thus we must have $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for any $x \in V$.

Now suppose there is some $x \in X$, such that $f(x) < g(x)$.

There must be some rationals, such that $f(x) < r < s < g(x)$, since \mathbb{Q} is dense.

Thus $\sup_r f_r(x) < r$, and thus $x \notin V_r$.

Also, $\inf_s g_s(x) > s$, and thus $x \in \bar{V}_s$.

Yet $r < s$, we must have $V_s \subset \bar{V}_s \subset V_r \subset \bar{V}_r$, which is a contradiction.

Thus we must have $f = g$, and it forces f to be continuous. \square

Definition 2.40. Let X be a Locally Compact Hausdorff space, $K \subseteq X$ be compact, and some finite open cover $\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i \supseteq K$.

A collection $(h_i)_{i=1}^n \subset C_c(X)$ is called a **partition of unity** on K subordinate to $(V_i)_{i=1}^n$ if

$$\begin{cases} \forall 1 \leq i \leq n, & h_i < V_i, \\ \forall x \in K, & \sum_{i=1}^n h(x) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.35. Let X be a Locally Compact Hausdorff space, $K \subseteq X$ be compact, and some finite open cover $\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i \supseteq K$, there always exists a partition of unity on K subordinated to $(V_i)_{i=1}^n$.

Proof. Since K is compact, we can find some open cover W_1, \dots, W_m , such that for all j , we have $W_j \subset \bar{W}_j \subset V_{i(j)}$ for some $1 \leq i(j) \leq n$.

Let $K_i := \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq m \text{ such that } W_j \subset V_i} \bar{W}_j \subset V_i$, which is compact.

By Urysohn's lemma, we can find $K_i < g_i < V_i$.

Now let $h_1 := g_1$, and in general, $h_i := g_i \prod_{j < i} (1 - g_j)$.

It is easy to check that $0 \leq h_i \leq 1$, and $h_i \in C_c(X)$.

In addition, $\text{Supp}(h_i) \subseteq \text{Supp}(g_i) \subset V_i$.

Thus $h_i < V_i$. Lastly, we can check

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 + h_2 &= g_1 + (1 - g_1)g_2 \\ &= 1 - (1 - g_1) + (1 - g_1)g_2 \\ &= 1 - (1 - g_1)(1 - g_2). \end{aligned}$$

Inductively, we have $\sum_{i=1}^n h_i = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - g_n)$.

For any $x \in K$, there must be some $i \in [n]$ such that $x \in K_i$, and thus $g_i(x) = 1$, and thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^n h_i(x) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - g_n(x)) = 1 - 0 = 1.$$

\square

2.6 Product Topology

Definition 2.41. Let $(X_\alpha, \mathcal{T}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ be a family of topological spaces. The **product topology** on $\prod_\alpha X_\alpha$ is the topology generated by the sets

$$\left\{ U_\alpha \times \prod_{\beta \in A, \beta \neq \alpha} X_\beta \mid \alpha \in A, U_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha \right\} = \{ \pi_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in A, U_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha \},$$

where the **projection map onto** X_α is $\pi_\alpha : \prod_{\beta \in A} X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$ by $(x_\beta)_{\beta \in A} \mapsto x_\alpha$.

Proposition 2.36. The product topology is the weakest topology in which each π_α is continuous.

Proposition 2.37. A net $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in $\prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$ converges to x if and only if $\forall \alpha \in A, \pi_\alpha(x_\lambda) \rightarrow \pi_\alpha(x)$ in X_α .

Proof. See A1. □

Theorem 2.38 (Tychonoff). Let $(X_\alpha, \mathcal{T}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ be a family of compact topological spaces, then $\prod_\alpha X_\alpha$ is compact under product topology.

Definition 2.42. Let (P, \leq) be a partially ordered set. We call a totally ordered subset $Q \subseteq P$ a **chain**.

Definition 2.43. Let (P, \leq) be a partially ordered set. We call \leq **inductive** if every chain $Q \subseteq P$ has an upper bound.

Definition 2.44. \leq is called a **well-order** if it is a total order, and for every $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq X$ has a minimal element. $\exists x \in P$ such that $\forall y \in P, y \leq x \implies x = y$.

Lemma 2.39 (Zorn's). Every inductive partial order (P, \leq) , defined on a nonempty P , has a maximal element. Namely, $\exists x \in P$ such that $\forall y \in P, x \leq y \implies x = y$.

Proposition 2.40. Every vector space V has a basis.

Proof. Consider $P = \{S \subset V | S \text{ is linearly independent}\}$, with $S \leq S' \iff S \subseteq S'$.

Let Q be a chain in P , then it has an upper bound $\tilde{S} = \bigcup_{S \in Q} S$, which we can check is still linearly independent.

By Zorn's lemma, there is a maximal $S \in P$. □

Theorem 2.41 (Well-Ordering Principle). Every set X admits a well-ordering.

Theorem 2.42. The Following Are Equal:

1. Tychonoff's Theorem
2. Axiom of Choice
3. Zorn's Lemma
4. Well-Ordering Principle

Proof. (1) \implies (2).

Let $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ be a family of non-empty set.

Let $Y_\alpha := \{p_\alpha\} \sqcup X_\alpha$ for some additional symbol p_α .

Define the topology $\mathcal{T}_\alpha := \{\emptyset, Y_\alpha, X_\alpha, \{p_\alpha\}\}$.

Then $(Y_\alpha, \mathcal{T}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ are all compact.

By Tychonoff's Theorem, $\prod_\alpha Y_\alpha$ is also compact.

Now consider $C_\alpha := X_\alpha \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} Y_\beta$.

Since $C_\alpha^c = \{p_\alpha\} \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} Y_\beta$ is open, we have that C_α is closed in the product topology.

Also, $C_\alpha \neq \emptyset$.

Now for any finite $\bigcap_{i=1}^n C_{\alpha_i}$, we have that $(x_{\alpha_1}, x_{\alpha_2}, \dots, x_{\alpha_n}, p_\alpha, \dots) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n C_{\alpha_i}$.

Thus $(C_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ is an FIP family.

Since $\prod_\alpha Y_\alpha$ is compact, we have that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} C_\alpha \neq \emptyset$.

Now $\prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} C_\alpha$, and we have seen that it being nonempty is equivalent as the Axiom of Choice.

(4) \implies (2).

Take $C : \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \emptyset \rightarrow X$ to be $C(S) :=$ minimal element of S .

(3) \implies (2)

Let $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ to be non-empty sets. Let $X := \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$, $P = \{f_B : B \rightarrow X | B \subseteq A, f_B(\beta) \in X_\beta, \forall \beta \in B\}$.

Clearly $P \neq \emptyset$.

Define the order by $f_B \leq f_{B'} \iff B \subseteq B', f_{B'}|_B = f_B$.

For any chain $Q \subseteq P$, define $\tilde{B} = \bigcup_{B \in Q} B$, and $f_{\tilde{B}}(\beta) = f_B(\beta)$ for $\beta \in B$ of any B .

We can check $f_{\tilde{B}} \in P$ is an upper bound.

By Zorn's Lemma, there is a maximal element $f_B \in P$.

If $B \subsetneq A$, then we can extend the function to contain another point, and send the point to itself, contradicting maximality.

Thus there is some $f_A \in P$, which we have seen is equivalent to the Axiom of Choice.

(4) + (2) \implies (3).

See Pmath432 A1.

(3) + (2) \implies (1).

For contradiction, suppose $X = \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$ is not compact.

Let $\text{NFS} := \{\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X) : \mathcal{C} \text{ is a cover with no finite sub cover}\}$.

Define $\mathcal{C}_1 \leq \mathcal{C}_2 \iff \mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_2$.

Take any chain Q in NFS.

Let $\mathcal{C}' := \bigcup_{\mathcal{C} \in Q} \mathcal{C}$, which we can check is an open cover and an upper bound for the chain.

Indeed, suppose $\mathcal{C}' \notin \text{NFS}$, then $\exists U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \in \mathcal{C}'$ such that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$.

For all $i \in [n]$, $U_i \in \mathcal{C}_i$ for some $\mathcal{C}_i \in Q$.

Since Q is a chain, there is some $i_0 \in [n]$ such that $\forall i \in [n]$, $\mathcal{C}_i \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{i_0}$.

Thus $\mathcal{C}_{i_0} \notin \text{NFS}$, a contradiction.

Thus $\mathcal{C} \in \text{NFS}$.

By Zorn's Lemma, there is a maximal open cover \mathcal{C}_{\max} with no subcover.

Notice that if $U \in \mathcal{C}_{\max}$, and $V \subseteq U$ is open, then $V \in \mathcal{C}_{\max}$ as well, since any finite subcover of $\{V\} \cup \mathcal{C}_{\max}$ can give a finite subcover of \mathcal{C}_{\max} by replacing V by U .

Also, if $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{\max}$, we must have $U_1 \cup U_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{\max}$ as well.

Also, suppose V_1, \dots, V_n are open in X , such that $\bigcap_{i \in [n]} V_i \in \mathcal{C}_{\max}$, then $\exists i_0 \in [n]$, such that $V_{i_0} \in \mathcal{C}_{\max}$.

Indeed, suppose not, for any $i \in [n]$, there is a finite cover $V_i \cup \bigcup_{j \in [N_i]} U_{i,j}$ for $U_{i,j} \in \mathcal{C}_{\max}$. We must have

$\left(\bigcap_{i \in [n]} V_i\right) \cup \bigcup_{i \in [n], j \in [N_i]} U_{i,j}$ is a finite sub-cover of \mathcal{C}_{\max} .

Now let $W_\alpha := \{\text{open } U_\alpha \subseteq X_\alpha \mid \pi_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) \in \mathcal{C}_{\max}\}$.

For contradiction, suppose W_α covers X_α , then there is a finite subcover $\{U_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ such that $X_\alpha = \bigcup_{i \in [n]} U_i$.

Thus $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \pi_\alpha^{-1}(U_i)$, which is a subcover of \mathcal{C}_{\max} .

Thus $X_\alpha \setminus \left(\bigcup_{U \in W_\alpha} U\right) \neq \emptyset$.

By the Axiom of Choice, there is $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha \setminus \left(\bigcup_{U \in W_\alpha} U\right)$ for each α .

Let $x \in X$ be $x(\alpha) = x_\alpha$.

Since \mathcal{C}_{\max} is a cover for X , there is some open $U \in \mathcal{C}_{\max}$ with $x \in U$.

Thus, there must be some $x \in U_1 \times U_2 \times \dots \times U_n \times \prod_{\beta \in A \setminus \{\alpha_i : i \in [i]\}} = \bigcap_{i \in [n]} \pi_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(U_i) \subseteq U$ for open $U_i \in X_{\alpha_i}$, since such sets forms a basis.

Thus, $\bigcap_{i \in [n]} \pi_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(U_i) \in \mathcal{C}_{\max}$ as well.

Thus, there is some $i_0 \in [n]$, such that $\pi_{\alpha_{i_0}}^{-1}(U_{i_0}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\max}$, which means $U_{i_0} \in W_{\alpha_{i_0}}$.

However, $x_{\alpha_{i_0}} \in U_{\alpha_{i_0}}$, which is a contradiction to the choice of $x_{\alpha_{i_0}} \notin \left(\bigcup_{U \in W_{\alpha_{i_0}}} U\right)$. □

3 Banach Spaces

Definition 3.1. A **normed vector space** is a vector space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ that has an norm (length):

$$\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ such that } \forall x, y \in X, a \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$\|a \cdot x\| = |a| \|x\|$$

$$\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$$

$$\|x\| \geq 0$$

$$\|x\| = 0 \iff x = 0.$$

Proposition 3.1. For every **normed space** with $\|\cdot\|$, there is a metric $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x, x) &= \|x - x\| = \|0\| = 0 \\
\forall x \neq y, d(x, y) &= \|x - y\| > 0 \\
d(x, y) &= \|x - y\| = \|-(y - x)\| = |-1|\|y - x\| = \|y - x\| = d(y, x) \\
d(x, z) &= \|x - z\| = \|x - y + y - z\| \geq \|x - y\| + \|y - z\| = d(x, y) + d(y, z)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ is a metric. □

Definition 3.2. A normed space is called a **Banach space** if it is complete.

Proposition 3.2. The Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{C}^n , with the Euclidean norm $\|x\|_2 := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a Banach space.

Definition 3.3. For \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{C}^n , and $p \in [1, \infty)$, the ℓ_p norm is $\|x\|_p := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. For $p = \infty$, the ℓ_∞ norm is $\|x\|_\infty = \max_{i \in [n]} |x_i|$.

Proposition 3.3. \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{C}^n , with any ℓ_p norm is a Banach space.

Remark. Notice that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1 \leq n\|x\|_\infty$.

Proposition 3.4. If X is compact and Hausdorff, we have $(C(X), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a Banach Space.

Proof. The Extreme Value Theorem shows it is a normed space.

The convergence in $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is uniform convergence, and the uniform limit of continuous functions is continuous. Thus, $(C(X), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is complete. □

Proposition 3.5. For a Locally Compact Hausdorff Space X , $(C_b(X), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ and $(C_0(X), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ are both Banach Spaces.

Example 3.0.1. $C_0(\mathbb{N}) = \{(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0\}$ with the discrete topology.

Proposition 3.6. $C^k([0, 1])$ is a Banach Space with $\|f\|_{C^k([a, b])} := \sum_{i=1}^k \|f^{(i)}\|_\infty$.

Proof. It is easy to check that this is a norm.

Now take any Cauchy sequence $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, then for each $i \in [k]$, we have $(f_n^{(i)})_{n=1}^\infty$ is Cauchy in $C([a, b])$ as well.

Since $[a, b]$ is compact and Hausdorff, we have $C([a, b])$ is a Banach Space, so there is a $g_i(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n^{(i)}(x)$. In addition, since the convergence is uniform, we have $g_i \in C([0, 1])$.

By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, we have that for all $i \in [k-1], x \in [0, 1]$,

$$f_n^{(i)}(x) = f_n^{(i)}(0) + \int_0^x f_n^{(i+1)}(t) dt.$$

Taking the limit of $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have that

$$g_i(x) = g_i(0) + \int_0^x g_{i+1}(t) dt,$$

which means $g_i \in C^1([0, 1])$ with $g'_i = g_{i+1}$.

Thus $g_0 \in C^k([0, 1])$. □

3.1 Bounded linear operators

Definition 3.4. Let X, Y be vector spaces, $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a linear operator if $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, u, v \in X$,

$$T(u + cv) = Tu + cTv.$$

Definition 3.5. Let X, Y be linear normed spaces, the **operator norm** of a linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is

$$\|T\| := \sup_{\|u\|_X \leq 1} \|Tu\|_Y = \sup_{\|u\|_X = 1} \|Tu\|_Y = \sup_{u \neq 0 \in X} \frac{\|Tu\|_Y}{\|u\|_X}.$$

Definition 3.6. Let X, Y be normed spaces, a linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is **bounded** if $\|T\| < \infty$.

Theorem 3.7. Let X, Y be two normed linear spaces, let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be linear, then the following are equal:

1. T is continuous,
2. T is continuous at 0,
3. T is bounded,
4. T is uniformly continuous.

Proof. (4) \implies (1) \implies (2) trivially.

(3) \implies (4).

Suppose T is bounded, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - T(y)\| &= \|T(x - y)\| \\ &\leq \|T\| \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, T is $\|T\|$ Lipschitz and so uniformly continuous.

(2) \implies (3).

Suppose T is continuous at 0, and suppose for contradiction that $\|T\| = \infty$.

There must be $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in X , such that $\|x_n\| \leq 1$, $\|Tx_n\| \geq n^2$ for each $n \geq 1$.

Notice that $\frac{x_n}{n} \rightarrow 0$, but $\|T(\frac{x_n}{n})\| = \frac{1}{n} \|Tx_n\| \geq n$ for each n .

Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\frac{x_n}{n}) \neq 0 = T(0)$, which contradicts that T is continuous at 0. \square

Definition 3.7. Let X, Y be normed spaces, we denote

$$B(X, Y) := \{T : X \rightarrow Y \mid T \text{ is a bounded linear operator}\}.$$

Theorem 3.8. The set $B(X, Y)$ is a normed linear space with the operator norm.

Proposition 3.9. Let X, Y, Z be normed spaces, if $T : X \rightarrow Y, S : Y \rightarrow Z$ are both linear bounded operators, then so is $S \circ T$, with

$$\|S \circ T\| \leq \|S\| \|T\|.$$

Theorem 3.10. Let X be a normed space, and Y be a Banach Space, then $B(X, Y)$ is a Banach Space.

Proof. Let $(T_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a Cauchy sequence in $B(X, Y)$.

For any $x \in X$, we have that $(T_n x)_{n=1}^\infty$ is Cauchy in Y . Indeed, $\|T_n x - T_m x\| = \|(T_n - T_m)x\| \leq \|T_n - T_m\| \|x\|$.

Since Y is complete, there must be a unique $y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n x \in Y$.

Define $Tx := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n x$ for any $x \in X$.

Notice that T is linear.

Given $\epsilon > 0$, we know there must be some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\forall m, n \geq N$, $\|T_n - T_m\| < \epsilon$.

Consider any $x \in X$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Tx\| &\leq \|(T - T_N)x\| + \|T_Nx\| \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_m - T_N)x\| + \|T_Nx\| \\
&\leq \limsup_m \|(T_m - T_N)x\| + \|T_Nx\| \\
&\leq \epsilon \|x\| + \|T_Nx\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|T\| \leq \epsilon + \|T_N\| < \infty$.

This shows $\|T\| \in B(X, Y)$.

Again, for any $x \in X$, $n \geq N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(T_n - T)x\| &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_n - T_m)x\| \\
&\leq \|T_n - T_m\| \|x\| \\
&< \epsilon \|x\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|T_n - T\| < \epsilon$ for any $n \geq N$, which shows $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n = T$ in $B(X, Y)$ with the operator norm. \square

Definition 3.8. Let X, Y be normed spaces. We call $T : X \rightarrow Y$ a **contraction** if $\|T\| \leq 1$.

Definition 3.9. Let X, Y be normed spaces. We call $T : X \rightarrow Y$ an **isometry** if $\forall x \in X$, $\|Tx\| = \|x\|$.

Proposition 3.11. Let X, Y be normed spaces. If a linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a surjective isometry, it is an isometric isomorphism.

Definition 3.10. Let X, Y be normed spaces. We say a linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is **bounded below** if $\exists c > 0$, such that $\forall x \in X$, $\|Tx\| \geq c\|x\|$.

Proposition 3.12. Let Y be a Banach space, S be a dense subset of a normed space X . For any bounded linear operator $E : S \rightarrow Y$, we can extend it to $\tilde{E} : X \rightarrow Y$, such that \tilde{E} is also bounded and linear, with $\|\tilde{E}\| = \|E\|$, and $\tilde{E}|_S = E$.

Proof. Consider any $x \in X$.

Since S is dense in X , We know $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $\exists x_m \in S$, such that $\|x - x_m\|_X \leq \frac{1}{m}$.

Since E is linear on S , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Ex_m - Ex_l\|_Y &= \|E(x_m - x_l)\|_Y \\
&\leq \|E\| \|x_m - x_l\|_X \\
&= \|E\| \|(x_m - x) + (x - x_l)\|_X \\
&\leq \|E\| \|x - x_m\|_X + \|E\| \|x - x_l\|_X \\
&\leq \|E\| \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{l} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus given any $\epsilon > 0$, for any $m, l \geq \lceil \frac{2\epsilon}{\|E\|} \rceil$, we can make $\|Ex_m - Ex_l\|_Y < \epsilon$. Thus $(Ex_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y .

Since Y is a Banach space, $\exists y^* \in Y$, such that $Ex_m \rightarrow y^*$ in Y .

We claim that y^* is independent of choice of the sequence $(x_m)_{m=1}^\infty$.

Indeed, consider any other sequence $(v_m)_{m=1}^\infty \subseteq C^\infty(\bar{x})$, such that $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $\|x - v_m\|_X \leq \frac{1}{m}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y^* - Ev_m\|_Y &\leq \|y^* - Ex_m\|_Y + \|Ex_m - Ev_m\|_Y \\
&\leq \|y^* - Ex_m\|_Y + \|E\| \|x_m - v_m\|_X \\
&\leq \|y^* - Ex_m\|_Y + \|E\| \|x_m - x\|_X + \|E\| \|x - v_m\|_X.
\end{aligned}$$

Since all three terms on the right go to 0 when $m \rightarrow \infty$, we have that $Ev_m \rightarrow y^*$ in Y . Thus we can uniquely define $\tilde{E}x := y^*$. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{E}x\|_Y &= \left\| \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Ex_m \right\|_Y \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|Ex_m\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|E\| \|x_m\|_X \\ &= \|E\| \left\| \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m \right\|_X \\ &= \|E\| \|x\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|\tilde{E}\| = \|E\|$. □

3.1.1 Dual Spaces

Definition 3.11. Let X be a normed space over \mathbb{F} , a **functional** is an operator that maps into \mathbb{F} .

Definition 3.12. Let X be a normed space over \mathbb{F} , the **dual space** of X is the collection of bounded linear functionals on X , denoted

$$X^* := B(X, \mathbb{F}) = \{\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F} : \phi \text{ is linear and bounded}\}.$$

Definition 3.13. Let X be a normed space, if $v \in X, u^* \in X^*$, we can write $\langle u^* | v \rangle_{X^*, X} := u^*(v)$ as the action of u^* on v .

Definition 3.14. Let X be a normed space, the **dual norm** is defined to be

$$\|u^*\|_{X^*} := \sup_{\|u\| \leq 1} |\langle u^* | u \rangle_{X^*, X}|.$$

Example 3.1.1. Consider $C_0(\mathbb{N}) := \{(x_i)_{i=1}^\infty | \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = 0\}$, then $C_0(\mathbb{N})^* \cong \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$.

Indeed, let $e_n := (m \mapsto \delta_{nm}) = (\delta_{nm})_{n=1}^\infty \in C_0(\mathbb{N})$.

Given any $\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{N})^*$, we define $a_n := \phi(e_n) \in \mathbb{F}$.

We claim $a = (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ completely determines ϕ .

Indeed, consider any $x \in C_0$, let $x^N := \sum_{n=1}^N x_n e_n$.

We have $\|x - x^N\|_{C_0} = 0$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x^N) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n e_n\right) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \phi(e_n) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n a_n. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n| &= \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \operatorname{sgn}(a_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \phi(\operatorname{sgn}(a_n) e_n) \\ &= \phi(y_N) \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_{C_0^*} \|y_N\|_{C_0}, \end{aligned}$$

where $y_N = \sum_{i=1}^N \text{sgn}(a_n)e_n$.

Since $\|y_N\| = 1$, we have $\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n| \leq \|\phi\|_{C_0^*}$ for any N .

Thus

$$\|a\|_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n| \leq \|\phi\|_{C_0^*} < \infty.$$

Thus $a \in \ell_1(\mathbb{F})$.

Notice that $\Phi : C_0^*(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell_1(\mathbb{F})$ by $\phi \mapsto a$ is linear, contractive, and injective.

Also, given any $a \in \ell_1(\mathbb{F})$, we can set $\phi_a(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n a_n$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_n(x)| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| |a_n| \\ &\leq \|x\|_{\infty} \|a\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

which shows $\|\phi_a\|_{C_0^*} \leq \|a\|_1$.

Thus $\phi_a \in C_0^*(\mathbb{F})$. Notice that $\Phi(\phi_a) = a$.

This shows that Φ is surjective, and it is actually an isometry, since $\forall \phi \in C_0^*(\mathbb{F})$, we have

$$\|\Phi(\phi)\|_1 \leq \|\phi\|_{C_0^*} = \|\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(\phi))\|_{C_0^*} \leq \|\Phi(\phi)\|_1.$$

Example 3.1.2. Consider $C_0(\mathbb{N}) := \{(x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} | \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = 0\}$, then

$$B(C_0(\mathbb{N})) = B(C_0(\mathbb{N}), C_0(\mathbb{N})) \cong \{(t_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} : \|(t_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}\| < \infty, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, (t_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in C_0(\mathbb{N}),$$

which are infinite matrices whose rows are uniformly in ℓ_1 , and columns are in $C_0(\mathbb{N})$.

In addition, it is an isometry under

$$\|(t_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}\| := \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|(t_{ij})_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_1.$$

Indeed, let

$$e_n := (m \mapsto \delta_{nm}) \simeq (\delta_{nm})_{n=1}^{\infty} \in C_0(\mathbb{N}), \delta_i := ((x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \mapsto x_i) \in C_0^*(\mathbb{N})$$

with $\Phi(\delta_i) = (\delta_{ij})_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_1(\mathbb{F})$ as in previous example.

Easy to check $\|\delta_i\| = 1$ by the above example.

Consider any $T \in B(C_0(\mathbb{N}))$, define $\phi_i := (\delta_i \circ T)$, $t_{ij} := \phi_i(e_j)$.

Notice that $\phi_i : C_0(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is linear, and $\|\phi_i\| \leq \|\delta_i\| \|T\| = \|T\| < \infty$, so $\phi_i \in C_0^*(\mathbb{N})$.

Thus, $(t_{ij})_{j=1}^{\infty} = (\phi_i(e_j))_{j=1}^{\infty} = \Phi(\phi_i) \in \ell_1(\mathbb{F})$ as in previous example, with $\|\phi_i\| = \|(t_{ij})_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_1$.

Since this hold for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|(t_{ij})_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_1 \leq \|T\|$.

In addition, $(t_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = ((\delta_i \circ T)(e_j))_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = T e_j \in C_0(\mathbb{N})$.

On the other hand, suppose we have such $(t_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|(t_{ij})_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_1 < \infty$, we can define $\phi_i := \Phi^{-1}((t_{ij})_{j=1}^{\infty}) \in C_0^*(\mathbb{N})$ with $\phi_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j t_{ij}$ as in previous example.

Let $Tx := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_i(x) e_i$ for any $x \in C_0(\mathbb{N})$.

Clearly, T is linear, and we have $(\delta_i \circ T)(x) = \delta_i(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi_j(x) e_j) = \phi_i(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\|_{\infty} &= \|(\phi_i(x))_{i=1}^{\infty}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\phi_i(x)| \\ &\leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|\phi_i\| \|x\| \\ \|T\| &\leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|\phi_i\| \\ &= \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|(t_{ij})_{j=1}^{\infty}\| \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $T \in B(C_0(\mathbb{N}), \ell_\infty)$.

Now we claim $T(C_0(\mathbb{N})) = C_0(\mathbb{N})$, which will mean $T \in B(C_0(\mathbb{N}))$.

Indeed, for any $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n \in C_0(\mathbb{N})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tx &= T\left(\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n e_n\right) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n T(e_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since each

$$\begin{aligned} T(e_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_i(x) e_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_{in} e_i \\ &\in C_0(\mathbb{N}), \end{aligned}$$

and $C_0(\mathbb{N})$ is closed, we have $Tx \in C_0(\mathbb{N})$.

In addition, $(\delta_i \circ T)(e_j) = \phi_i(e_j) = \Phi^{-1}((t_{ik})_{k=1}^{\infty})(e_j) = t_{ij}$.

Thus $T \longleftrightarrow (t_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an isometric bijection.

Example 3.1.3. Consider the **Disk Algebra**

$$A(\mathbb{D}) := \left\{ f \in C(\mathbb{T}) : \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^-, \hat{f}(n) = 0 \right\},$$

where $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$, $\mathbb{T} := \partial\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| = 1\}$ is the unit circle, and

$$\hat{f}(n) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) e^{-int} dt$$

is the n^{th} Fourier Transform of f .

Consider $\phi_n : f \mapsto \hat{f}(n)$, which is clearly in $C^*(\mathbb{T})$.

We notice that $A(\mathbb{D}) = \bigcap_{n < 0} \ker(\phi_n)$ is closed in $C(\mathbb{T})$, since each kernel of a continuous functional is closed.

In fact, for $f, g \in C(\mathbb{T})$, we have $\hat{f}g(n) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(k) \hat{g}(n-k)$.

Thus, for $f, g \in A(\mathbb{D})$, we have $\hat{f}g(n) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{f}(k) \hat{g}(n-k) = 0$ for $n < 0$.

This shows $A(\mathbb{D})$ is actually an Algebra.

Also, $A(\mathbb{D})$ is exactly the set of $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ that admits an extension $F \in C(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ with $F|_{\mathbb{D}}$ being analytic, with $F(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) z^n$.

Definition 3.15. A Banach space X is **reflexive** if $(X^*)^* \simeq X$. Namely, $\forall u^{**} \in (X^*)^*, \exists! u \in X$ such that

$$\forall v^* \in X^*, \langle u^{**} | v^* \rangle_{(X^*)^*, X^*} = \langle v^* | u \rangle_{X^*, X}.$$

Theorem 3.13. (*Riesz-Frechet Representation theorem*)

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, then for each $u^* \in \mathcal{H}$, $\exists! u \in \mathcal{H}$, such that $\forall v \in \mathcal{H}, \langle u^* | v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^*, \mathcal{H}} = \langle u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$, and $\|u^*\|_{\mathcal{H}^*} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Corollary 3.14. Every Hilbert space is reflexive.

Corollary 3.15. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, then $\mathcal{H} \cong^* \mathcal{H}$, where the map $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^*$; $u \mapsto \langle u, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the **canonical bijective isometric antilinear isomorphism**.

Remark. We thus abuse the notation, and denote canonical bijective isometric antilinear isomorphism by $u^\dagger := \Phi(u) \forall u \in \mathcal{H}$, and $(u^*)^\dagger := \Phi^{-1}(u^*) \forall u^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$. Notice that by definition

$$(u^\dagger)^\dagger = u, ((u^*)^\dagger)^\dagger = u^* \forall u \in \mathcal{H}, u^* \in \mathcal{H}^*.$$

We might further abuse the notation, and write

$$\langle u|v \rangle := \langle u, v \rangle = \langle u^\dagger|v \rangle =: \langle u^\dagger, v \rangle$$

interchangeably instead of $\langle u^\dagger|v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^*, \mathcal{H}}$ or $\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ when the context is clear.

Definition 3.16. Let X be a Banach Space, we say $(u_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subset X$ converges to $u \in X$ weakly, denoted $u_k \rightharpoonup u$, if

$$\forall v^* \in X^*, \langle v^*|u_k \rangle \rightarrow \langle v^*|u \rangle$$

as real numbers.

Proposition 3.16. Let X be a Banach Space, $(u_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subset X$ be a sequence, then

1. If $u_k \rightarrow u$, we always have $u_k \rightharpoonup u$.
2. If $u_k \rightharpoonup u$, we have that u is unique.
3. If $u_k \rightharpoonup u$, we have $(u_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is bounded.
4. If $u_k \rightharpoonup u$, every subsequence $(u_{k_j})_{j=1}^\infty$ also converges weakly to u .

Proof. See A5Q1 for 1. □

Theorem 3.17 (Weakly compact for reflexive Banach Space). Let X be a reflexive Banach Space, and $(u_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subset X$ be a bounded sequence, then $\exists (u_{k_j})_{j=1}^\infty$ a subsequence, and $u \in X$, such that $u_{k_j} \rightharpoonup u$.

Proposition 3.18. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, then $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ if and only if $\forall v \in \mathcal{H}, \langle v, u_k \rangle \rightarrow \langle v, u \rangle$ as real numbers.

Proof. Suppose $u_k \rightharpoonup u$.

Notice that for all $v \in \mathcal{H}$, we have that $v^\dagger \in \mathcal{H}^*$, and thus $\langle v, u_k \rangle = \langle v^\dagger|u_k \rangle \rightarrow \langle v^\dagger|u \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$.

Now suppose $\forall v \in \mathcal{H}, \langle v, u_k \rangle \rightarrow \langle v, u \rangle$.

Notice that for any $f \in \mathcal{H}^*$, by Riesz-Frechet Representation theorem 3.13, there is some $f^\dagger \in \mathcal{H}$, such that

$$\langle f|u_{k_j} \rangle = \langle f^\dagger, u_{k_j} \rangle \rightarrow \langle f^\dagger, u \rangle = \langle f|u \rangle.$$

Thus, $u_{k_j} \rightharpoonup u$. □

Proposition 3.19. Let $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ be Hilbert spaces, $T : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ be a bounded operator, and $(u_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{H}_1$ be a sequence. If $u_k \rightharpoonup u \in \mathcal{H}_1$, then $Tu_k \rightharpoonup Tu$.

Proof. Let $y_k := Tu_k, y := Tu \in \mathcal{H}_2$.

Consider any $g \in \mathcal{H}_2^*$, we define $f := g \circ K \in \mathcal{H}_1^*$.

Since $u_k \rightharpoonup u$, we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(u_k) &= f(u) \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g(Ku_k) &= g(Ku) \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g(y_k) &= g(y). \end{aligned}$$

We thus have $y_k \rightharpoonup y$. □

Proposition 3.20. Let $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ be Hilbert spaces, $K : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ be a compact operator, and $(u_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{H}_1$ be a sequence. If $u_k \rightharpoonup u \in \mathcal{H}_1$, then $Ku_k \rightarrow Ku$.

Proof. Let $y_k := Ku_k, y := Ku \in \mathcal{H}_2$.

Since K is compact, it is bounded, so $y_k \rightharpoonup y$.

Now suppose for contradiction $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|y_k - y\| \neq 0$.

Then there is some $\epsilon > 0$ and a subsequence $(u_{k_j})_{j=1}^\infty$ such that $\forall j \geq 1, \|y_{k_j} - y\| \geq \epsilon$.

Since $u_k \rightharpoonup u \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $(u_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is bounded, and thus $(u_{k_j})_{j=1}^\infty$ is bounded.

Since K is compact, there is some further subsequence $(u_{k_{j_m}})_{m=1}^\infty$ such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Ku_{k_{j_m}} = \tilde{y} \in \mathcal{H}_2$.

Thus $Ku_{k_{j_m}} \rightharpoonup \tilde{y}$. Since weak convergence, we must have $\tilde{y} = y$.

Thus $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Ku_{k_{j_m}} = y$, which is a contradiction. □

3.2 Quotient Spaces

Definition 3.17. Let X be a Banach Space, and $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subspace. The **quotient space** is $X/Y := \{x + Y : x \in X\}$, with the **quotient map** $Q : X \rightarrow X/Y$ by $Q(x) := [x] := x + Y = \{x + y : y \in Y\}$.

Proposition 3.21. Let X be a Banach Space, and $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subspace. X/Y is always a vector space with $[0] = Y$, $[x] + [y] = [x + y]$, $c[x] = [cx]$.

Proposition 3.22. Let X be a Banach Space, and $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subspace. $(X/Y, \|\cdot\|_{X/Y})$ is always a Banach space with $\|[x]\|_{X/Y} := \inf_{y \in Y} \|x + y\|_X$. In addition, Q is isometric if $Y \subsetneq X$.

Proof. $\|[x]\|_{X/Y} = 0 \iff \inf_{y \in Y} \|x + y\|_X = 0 \iff x \in \bar{Y} \iff x \in Y$.

Scaling is clear.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \|[x] + [z]\|_{X/Y} &= \inf_{y \in Y} \|x + y + z\|_X \\ &= \inf_{y_1, y_2 \in Y} \|x + y_1 + z + y_2\|_X \\ &\leq \inf_{y_1 \in Y} \|x + y_1\|_X + \inf_{y_2 \in Y} \|z + y_2\|_X \\ &= \|[x]\|_{X/Y} + \|[z]\|_{X/Y}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows $(X/Y, \|\cdot\|_{X/Y})$ is a normed space.

We note that $\|Qx\|_{X/Y} = \inf_{y \in Y} \|x + y\|_X \leq \|x + 0\|_X = \|x\|_X$, so $\|Q\| \leq 1$.

Now consider any Cauchy sequence $([x_n])_{n=1}^\infty$ in X/Y .

We can pick a subsequence $([x_{n_i}])_{i=1}^\infty$ such that $\|[x_{n_{i+1}}] - [x_{n_i}]\|_{X/Y} < 2^{-i}$.

Pick $z_1 \in X$ such that $[z_1] = [x_{n_1}]$.

Since $\|[x_{n_2}] - [x_1]\|_{X/Y} = \inf_{y \in Y} \|x_{n_2} - z_1 + y\|_X < \frac{1}{2}$, there is $y \in Y$, such that $\|x_{n_2} - z_1 + y\|_X < \frac{1}{2}$.

Take $z_2 = x_{n_2} + y$, we have $\|z_2 - z_1\|_X < \frac{1}{2}$.

Inductively, we can pick $(z_i)_{i=1}^\infty$, such that $\|z_i - z_{i-1}\|_X < 2^{-i}$.

We can check that this is a Cauchy sequence in X , so it has a limit $z = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} z_i \in X$.

Now for any $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[x_{n_i}] - [z]\|_{X/Y} &= \|[z_i] - [z]\|_{X/Y} \\ &= \|Q(z_i) - Q(z)\|_{X/Y} \\ &= \|Q(z_i - z)\|_{X/Y} \\ &\leq \|Q\| \|z_i - z\| \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $([x_{n_i}])_{i=1}^\infty \rightarrow [z]$ is a convergent subsequence, which mean $([x_n])_{n=1}^\infty$ is convergent.

This shows $(X/Y, \|\cdot\|_{X/Y})$ is a Banach space.

Now if $Y \subsetneq X$, then $X/Y \neq \{0\}$, there must be some $[x] \in X/Y$ with $\|[x]\|_{X/Y} = 1$.

Thus, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, there is some $y_k \in Y$, such that $\|x + y_k\|_X \leq 1 + \frac{1}{k}$.

Now

$$\left\| Q\left(\frac{x + y_k}{\|x + y_k\|_X}\right) \right\|_{X/Y} = \frac{1}{\|x + y_k\|_X} \|Q(x + y_k)\| = \frac{\|[x]\|_{X/Y}}{\|x + y_k\|_X} \geq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{k}}.$$

Since this is true for any $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, taking the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\left\| Q\left(\frac{x + y_k}{\|x + y_k\|_X}\right) \right\|_{X/Y} \geq 1$.

Yet $\left\| \frac{x + y_k}{\|x + y_k\|_X} \right\|_X = 1$, so $\|Q\| \geq 1$.

This shows $\|Q\| = 1$. □

Example 3.2.1. Consider a compact and Hausdorff X , and consider $(C(X), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$. Let $E \subseteq X$ be closed, and $I(E) := \{f \in C(X) : f|_E = 0\}$.

One can check $I(E)$ is closed (ideal), and $C(X)/I(E) \cong C(E)$ with an isometric isomorphism $\tilde{R} : [f] \mapsto f|_E$. We claim that \tilde{R} is well-defined.

Indeed, if $[f] = [g]$, we must have $f - g \in I(E)$, which means $(f - g)|_E = 0$.

Thus $\tilde{R}([f]) = f|_E = g|_E = \tilde{R}([g])$.

Clearly \tilde{R} is linear.

Also, $\tilde{R}([f]) = 0 \implies f|_E = 0 \implies f \in I(E) \implies [f] = 0$, so \tilde{R} is injective.

By Tietze's Theorem, given any $g \in C(E)$, we can extend it to $f \in C(X)$, such that $f|_E = g$. Thus, \tilde{R} is surjective.

Consider any $f \in C(X), g \in I(E)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \tilde{R}([f]) \right\| &= \|f|_E\|_{C(E)} \\ &= \sup_{x \in E} |f(x)| \\ &= \sup_{x \in E} |(f + g)(x)| \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in X} |(f + g)(x)| \\ &= \|f + g\|_{C(X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since this hold for all $g \in I(E)$, we have

$$\left\| \tilde{R}([f]) \right\| \leq \inf_{g \in I(E)} \|f + g\|_{C(X)} = \|[f]\|.$$

Thus, \tilde{R} is a contraction.

Consider any $f \in C(X)$.

If $f|_E = 0$, we have $\|[f]\| = \|[0]\| = 0 = \|f|_E\| = \left\| \tilde{R}([f]) \right\|$.

Now consider $f|_E \neq 0$.

Define the function $k : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $k(z) := \begin{cases} z, & |z| \leq \|f|_E\|_\infty \\ \frac{z}{|z|} \|f|_E\|_\infty, & |z| \geq \|f|_E\|_\infty \end{cases}$ which is well-defined and continuous.

Let $g := k \circ f \in C(X)$.

For any $x \in E$, we have $|f(x)| \leq \|f|_E\|_\infty$, so $g(x) = k(f(x)) = f(x)$.

Thus $g|_E = f|_E$, and there is $h \in I(E)$, such that $g = f + h$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|[f]\| &= \inf_{h \in I(E)} \|f + h\|_{C(X)} \\ &\leq \|g\|_{C(X)} \\ &\leq \|k\| \\ &\leq \|f|_E\|_\infty \\ &= \left\| \tilde{R}([f]) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

This proves \tilde{R} is an isometry.

3.3 Baire Category Theorem

Definition 3.18. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, then $A \subseteq X$ is called **nowhere dense** if $(\bar{A})^\circ = \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.23 (Baire Category Theorem). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, then X cannot be written as a countable union of nowhere dense sets.*

Corollary 3.24. *Let $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a countable set of open dense sets, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty U_i$ is dense.*

Definition 3.19. Let X, Y be Banach spaces, $\mathcal{S} \subseteq B(X, Y)$ is called **pointwise bounded** if $\forall x \in X, \mathcal{S}x$ is bounded. Namely, $\exists k_x > 0$, such that $\forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \|sx\| \leq k_x$.

Theorem 3.25 (Banach-Steinhaus). *Let X, Y be Banach spaces, suppose $\mathcal{S} \subseteq B(X, Y)$ is pointwise bounded, then \mathcal{S} is bounded in $B(X, Y)$. Namely, $\sup_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \|s\| < \infty$.*

Proof. For each x , let $k_x > 0$ be such $\forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \|sx\| \leq k_x$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_n := \{x \in X : k_x \leq n\}$.

For any $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in A_n , with $x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k$, we have

$$\|sx\| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|sx_k\| \leq n.$$

Thus, $x \in A_n$, which shows A_n is closed.

Notice that $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$, so by Baire Category Theorem, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $(A_{n_0})^o \neq \emptyset$.

Thus, there is $x_0 \in A_{n_0}$, $r > 0$, such that $\bar{B}(x_0, r) \subset B(x_0, 2r) \subseteq (A_{n_0})^o \subset A_{n_0} = A_{n_0}$.

Now for any $s \in \mathcal{S}, y \in X$ such that $\|y\| \leq 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|sy\| &= \left\| \frac{s(x_0) - s(x_0 - ry)}{r} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\|s(x_0)\| + \|s(x_0 - ry)\|}{r} \\ &\leq \frac{2n_0}{r}, \end{aligned}$$

since $x_0, x_0 - ry \in \bar{B}(x_0, r) \subset A_{n_0}$.

Thus, $\|s\| = \sup_{y \in X \text{ such that } \|y\| \leq 1} \|sy\| \leq \frac{2n_0}{r}$.

Since this holds for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, we have $\sup_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \|s\| = \frac{2n_0}{r} < \infty$. \square

Corollary 3.26 (Limit of bounded operators). *Let X, Y be Banach spaces, consider $(T_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of $B(X, Y)$. Suppose $\forall x \in X, (T_n x)_{n=1}^\infty$ is convergent, then $\mathcal{S} := \{T_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded. In addition, for $Tx := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n x$, we have $T \in B(X, Y)$, and $\|T\| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T_n\|$.*

Proof. Since $(T_n x)_{n=1}^\infty$ is convergent, it is bounded. This is equivalent to saying \mathcal{S} is pointwise bounded. By the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem, \mathcal{S} is bounded.

Now $\|Tx\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n x\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T_n x\| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T_n\| \|x\|$.

Since this holds for all $x \in X$, we have $\|T\| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T_n\|$. \square

Example 3.3.1. Consider $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$, we can define the N^{th} partial sum of its Fourier series $S_N(f)(e^{it}) := \sum_{n=-N}^N \hat{f}(n)e^{int}$.

We note that $S_N(f)$ does not necessarily converge to f in $C(\mathbb{T})$, nor pointwise.

Indeed, consider $\phi_N(f) := S_N(f)(1) \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that ϕ_N is linear.

One can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_N(f) &= \sum_{n=-N}^N \hat{f}(n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) \left(\sum_{n=-N}^N e^{-int} \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) D_N(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $D_N(t) = \frac{\sin((N+\frac{1}{2})t)}{\sin(\frac{t}{2})}$ is the **Dirichlet's Kernel**.

Actually, $\exists C > 0$, such that $\|D_N\|_1 \geq C \log(N)$.

Also, $\|\phi_N\|_{C_*(\mathbb{T})} = \|D_N\|_1$.

Thus, $(\phi_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not bounded.

By Banach-Steinhaus, $(\phi_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not pointwise bounded.
Thus, there is $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$, such that $|\phi_N(f)| \rightarrow \infty$.
Thus, $S_N f$ does not converge to f at 1.

3.3.1 Open Mapping Theorem

Theorem 3.27 (Open Mapping Theorem). *Let X, Y be Banach spaces, suppose $T \in B(X, Y)$ is surjective, then it is **open**. i.e. \forall open $U \subseteq X$, $T(U) \subseteq Y$ is open.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= T(X) \\ &= T\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B^X(0, n)\right) \\ &= T\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nB^X(0, 1)\right) \\ &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nT(B^X(0, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

By the Baire Category Theorem, there is n_0 , such that $\left(\overline{n_0 T(B^X(0, 1))}\right)^o = \left(\overline{n_0 T(B^X(0, 1))}\right)^o \neq \emptyset$.

Thus there is $r > 0, y_0 \in Y$, such that $B^Y(y_0, r) \subset \overline{n_0 T(B^X(0, 1))}$.

Notice that $B^Y(-y_0, r) \subset \overline{n_0 T(B^X(0, 1))}$ as well, and $\overline{n_0 T(B^X(0, 1))}$ is convex.

Thus, for any $y \in B^Y(0, r)$, we have $y = \frac{1}{2}(y - y_0) + \frac{1}{2}(y + y_0)$, where $y - y_0 \in B^Y(-y_0, r)$, $y + y_0 \in B^Y(y_0, r)$.

By convexity, $y \in \overline{n_0 T(B^X(0, 1))}$.

Thus $B^Y(0, r) \subset \overline{n_0 T(B^X(0, 1))}$. □

Corollary 3.28 (Bounded inverse Theorem). *Let X, Y be Banach spaces, suppose $A \in B(X, Y)$ is bijective, then A^{-1} is continuous and bounded as well.*

Proof. A is open by the open mapping theorem.

Now for any open $U \subseteq X$, we have that $(A^{-1})^{-1}(U) = A(U)$ is open.

Thus A^{-1} is continuous. □

Corollary 3.29. *Let X, Y be Banach spaces, suppose $T \in B(X, Y)$ is surjective, then $X/\text{Ker}(T) \cong Y$ as Banach spaces with $\tilde{A} : X/\text{Ker}(T) \rightarrow Y$ by $\tilde{T}([x]) := T(x)$.*

Proof. We can check that \tilde{T} is a well-defined bijection.

Also, for any $x \in X, y \in \text{Ker}(T)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{T}([x])\| &= \|T(x)\| \\ &= \|T(x + y)\| \\ &\leq \|T\| \|x + y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all $y \in \text{Ker}(T)$, we have

$$\|\tilde{T}([x])\| \leq \inf_{y \in \text{Ker}(T)} \|T\| \|x + y\| = \|T\| \|x\|.$$

Thus, $\|\tilde{T}\| \leq \|T\|$, which means $\tilde{T} \in B(X/\text{Ker}(T), Y)$ is continuous.

By the bounded inverse theorem, \tilde{T}^{-1} is continuous as well. □

Corollary 3.30. *If X is a vector space that is complete under two different norms $\|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_2$, and $\exists C > 0$, such that $\forall x \in X$,*

3.4 Compact Operators

Definition 3.20. Let X, Y be metric spaces, a linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is **compact** if for each bounded subset $S \subseteq X$, we have its image $T(S)$ is pre-compact in Y .

Proposition 3.31. Let X, Y be metric spaces, a linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is compact if and only if T is bounded, and each bounded sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq X$ has some subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ such that $(Tx_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ converges to some $y \in Y$.

Definition 3.21. Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $X \subseteq Y$, then we say X is **compactly embedded** in Y , denoted

$$X \subset\subset Y$$

if the inclusion map $i : X \hookrightarrow Y; x \mapsto x$ is compact.

Namely, $\exists C > 0$, such that $\forall x \in X, \|x\|_Y \leq C\|x\|_X$, and each bounded sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq X$ having some subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ that converges to some $y \in Y$.

Proposition 3.32. Let X, Y, Z be Banach spaces and $X \subset\subset Y$, if an operator $T : Z \rightarrow X$ is bounded, then $\tilde{T} := i \circ T : Z \rightarrow Y$ is compact.

Proof. Consider any bounded set $S \subseteq Z$, such that $\forall z \in S, \|z\|_Z \leq M$. We have $\|Tz\|_X \leq \|T\| \|z\|_Z \leq M\|T\| < \infty$, and thus $T(S)$ is bounded in X . Yet i is compact, and thus $i(T(S))$ is pre-compact. This shows $\tilde{T}(S) = (i \circ T)(S)$ is pre-compact for any bounded set $S \subseteq Z$. Thus \tilde{T} is compact. \square

Theorem 3.33 (Spectral theorem for compact operators). Let $K : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a compact linear self-adjoint operator on an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , then

1. $0 \in \text{Spec}(K)$.
2. $\text{Spec}(K) \setminus \{0\} = \text{Spec}_p(K) \setminus \{0\}$.
3. $\text{Spec}(K) \setminus \{0\}$ is finite, or $\text{Spec}(K) \setminus \{0\} = (\lambda_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k = 0$.

3.4.1 Adjoint Operator

Definition 3.22. Let X, Y be normed spaces, the **dual operator** of a linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is

$$T^* : Y^* \rightarrow X^*; f \mapsto (f \circ T).$$

Proposition 3.34. Let X, Y, Z be normed spaces, $S \in B(X, Y), T \in B(Y, Z)$, then $(S \circ T)^* = T^* \circ S^*$.

Proof. Consider any $f \in Z^*$, and any $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T^* \circ S^*)(f)(x) &= (S^*)(f)(Tx) \\ &= (f)(S(T(x))) \\ &= (f \circ (S \circ T))(x) \\ &= (S \circ T)^*(f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(T^* \circ S^*)(f) = (S \circ T)^*(f)$. \square

Definition 3.23. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, and $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a bounded linear operator, the **Hilbert adjoint operator** of T is $T^\dagger : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $\langle x, Ty \rangle = \langle T^\dagger x, y \rangle \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 3.35. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, and $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a bounded linear operator, T^\dagger always exists, and is given by $T^\dagger = \Phi^{-1} \circ T^* \circ \Phi$, where $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^*; u \mapsto \langle u, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the canonical bijective isometric antilinear isomorphism, and T^* is the dual operator of T . In addition, T^\dagger is also a bounded linear operator, with $\|T^\dagger\| = \|T\|$, and $(T^\dagger)^\dagger = T$.

Proof. $\forall y \in \mathcal{H}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T^\dagger x, y \rangle &= \langle (\Phi^{-1} \circ T^* \circ \Phi)(x), y \rangle \\ &= ((T^* \circ \Phi)(x))(y) \\ &= (\Phi(x))(Ty) \\ &= \langle x, Ty \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Now consider any $x, y, z \in \mathcal{H}, c \in \mathbb{C}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T^\dagger(x + cz), y \rangle &= \langle x + cz, Ty \rangle \\ &= \langle x, Ty \rangle + \bar{c} \langle z, Ty \rangle \\ &= \langle T^\dagger x, y \rangle + \bar{c} \langle T^\dagger z, y \rangle \\ &= \langle T^\dagger x + cT^\dagger z, y \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for any $y \in \mathcal{H}$, we have that $T^\dagger(x + cz) = T^\dagger x + cT^\dagger z$, and thus T^\dagger is linear. Now given any $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\|T^\dagger x\|^2 &= \langle T^\dagger x, T^\dagger x \rangle \\ &= \langle x, TT^\dagger x \rangle \\ &\leq \|x\| \|TT^\dagger x\| \\ &\leq \|x\| \|T\| \|T^\dagger x\| \\ &\implies \\ \|T^\dagger x\| &\leq \|x\| \|T\| \\ &\implies \\ \|T^\dagger\| &= \sup_{x \neq 0 \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{\|T^\dagger x\|}{\|x\|} \\ &\leq \sup_{x \neq 0 \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{\|x\| \|T\|}{\|x\|} \\ &= \|T\|.\end{aligned}$$

Thus T^\dagger is also a bounded linear operator.

Now $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, $\langle x, T^\dagger y \rangle = \overline{\langle T^\dagger y, x \rangle} = \overline{\langle y, Tx \rangle} = \langle Tx, y \rangle$.

Thus $(T^\dagger)^\dagger = T$. □

Remark. $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, $\langle (Tx)^\dagger | y \rangle = \langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^\dagger y \rangle = \langle x^\dagger | T^\dagger y \rangle$. We thus abuse the notation, and write $(Tx)^\dagger = \langle x | T^\dagger$

Definition 3.24. A bounded linear operator $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is **delf-adjoint** if $T^\dagger = T$.

Theorem 3.36. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, and $K : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a compact linear operator, then K^\dagger is also compact.

Proof. K^\dagger is bounded by 3.31.

Let $(u_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ be any bounded sequence in \mathcal{H} .

By 3.17, we have that $\exists (u_{k_j})_{j=1}^\infty$ a subsequence, and $u \in \mathcal{H}$, such that $u_{k_j} \rightharpoonup u$.

Notice that for any $f \in \mathcal{H}^*$, by Riesz-Frechet Representation theorem 3.13, there is some $f^\dagger \in \mathcal{H}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f | K^\dagger(u_{k_j} - u) \rangle &= \langle f^\dagger, K^\dagger(u_{k_j} - u) \rangle \\ &= \langle K f^\dagger, u_{k_j} - u \rangle \\ &= \langle K f^\dagger, u \rangle - \langle K f^\dagger, u \rangle \rightarrow 0,\end{aligned}$$

since $u_{k_j} \rightharpoonup u$ and by 3.18.

Since $\langle f | K^\dagger(u_{k_j} - u) \rangle \rightarrow 0 = \langle f | 0 \rangle$ for any $f \in \mathcal{H}^*$, we have that $K^\dagger(u_{k_j} - u) \rightarrow 0$.

By 3.20, we have that $KK^\dagger(u - u_{k_j}) \rightarrow 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|K^\dagger u - K^\dagger u_{k_j}\|^2 &= \langle K^\dagger u - K^\dagger u_{k_j}, K^\dagger u - K^\dagger u_{k_j} \rangle \\ &= \langle K^\dagger(u - u_{k_j}), K^\dagger(u - u_{k_j}) \rangle \\ &= \langle KK^\dagger(u - u_{k_j}), u - u_{k_j} \rangle \\ &\leq \|KK^\dagger(u - u_{k_j})\| \|u - u_{k_j}\| \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $K^\dagger u_{k_j} \rightarrow K^\dagger u \in \mathcal{H}$,

Since $(u_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is any bounded sequence, we have that K^\dagger is compact by 3.31. □

Theorem 3.37. (*Fredholm's alternative*)

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, and $K : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a compact linear operator, then

1. $\text{Ker}(I - K)$ is finite dimensional.
2. $\text{Im}(I - K)$ is closed.
3. $\text{Im}(I - K) = \text{Ker}(I - K^\dagger)^\perp$.
4. $\dim(\text{Ker}(I - K)) = \dim(\text{Ker}(I - K^\dagger))$.
5. $\text{Ker}(I - K) = \{0\} \iff \text{Im}(I - K) = \mathcal{H}$.

Corollary 3.38. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, and $K : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a compact linear operator, then exactly one of the following holds:

1. $\forall v \in \mathcal{H}, \exists! u \in \mathcal{H}$, such that $(I - K)u = v$.
2. $\exists u \neq 0 \in \mathcal{H}$, such that $(I - K)u = 0$.

Proof. When $\text{Ker}(I - K) = \{0\}$, we have that $I - K$ is injective, and $\text{Im}(I - K) = \mathcal{H}$.

Thus $\forall v \in \mathcal{H}, \exists! u \in \mathcal{H}$, such that $(I - K)u = v$.

On the other hand, if 1. is true, we have that $(I - K)$ is surjective, so $\text{Im}(I - K) = \mathcal{H}$, so $\text{Ker}(I - K) = \{0\}$.

Thus $\text{Ker}(I - K) = \{0\} \iff 1..$

We also have that $\text{Ker}(I - K) \neq \{0\} \iff \exists u \neq 0 \in \text{Ker}(I - K) \iff 2..$ □

Theorem 3.39. (*Spectral theorem / Hilbert-Schmidt Theorem*)

Let $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a compact linear self-adjoint operator on an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and $n = \dim(\mathfrak{S}(T)) \in \mathbb{N} \cap \{\infty\}$, then

1. There exists orthonormal eigenvectors $(\phi_k)_{k=1}^n \subset \mathcal{H}$ and eigenvalues $(\lambda_k)_{k=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots$, and

$$T\phi_k = \lambda_k \phi_k, \lambda_k \neq 0, \forall 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

$$\forall v \in \mathcal{H}, Tv = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \langle \phi_k, v \rangle \phi_k = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \phi_k, Tv \rangle \phi_k.$$

2. If $n = \infty$, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k = 0$, and $(\phi_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal set for \mathcal{H} iff 0 is not an eigenvalue for T .

4 Hilbert Spaces

Definition 4.1. An **inner product space** is a vector space H that has an inner product: $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that $\forall u, v, w \in H, a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, it satisfies

1. conjugate symmetry; i.e. $\langle v, w \rangle = \overline{\langle w, v \rangle}$,
2. linearity in the first argument; i.e. $\langle au + bw, v \rangle = a\langle u, v \rangle + b\langle w, v \rangle$, and
3. positive definiteness; i.e. if $v \neq 0$, we must have $\langle v, v \rangle > 0$.

Lemma 4.1. For every inner product space with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and $x, y \in H$, we have

$$\langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle = 2\Re(\langle x, y \rangle) = 2\Re(\langle y, x \rangle),$$

which is twice the real part of $\langle x, y \rangle$. Similarly,

$$\langle x, y \rangle - \langle y, x \rangle = 2\Im(\langle x, y \rangle) = -2\Im(\langle y, x \rangle),$$

which is twice the imaginary part of $\langle x, y \rangle$.

Also, we have

$$\langle x, y \rangle \langle y, x \rangle = |\langle x, y \rangle|^2.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle &= \langle x, y \rangle + \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} \\ &= 2\Re(\langle x, y \rangle) \\ \langle x, y \rangle - \langle y, x \rangle &= \langle x, y \rangle - \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} \\ &= 2\Im(\langle x, y \rangle) \\ \langle x, y \rangle \langle y, x \rangle &= \langle x, y \rangle \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} \\ &= |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.2 (Cauchy-Schwarz). For every inner product space H ,

$$\forall u, v \in H, |\langle u, v \rangle| \leq \|u\| \|v\|,$$

where we define $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ or any $x \in H$.

In particular, when $\|u\| \neq 0$, $\|u\|^2 \|v\|^2 - |\langle u, v \rangle|^2 = \|z\|^2$, where $z := \|u\|v - \frac{\langle u, v \rangle}{\|u\|}u$.

Proof. Notice that this is trivially true and equality holds to be zero when $u = 0$.

Now we assume $u \neq 0$, then $\|u\| = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle} > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\|^2 &= \langle z, z \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \|u\|v - \frac{\langle u, v \rangle}{\|u\|}u, \|u\|v - \frac{\langle u, v \rangle}{\|u\|}u \right\rangle \\ &= \|u\|^2 \langle v, v \rangle - \langle u, v \rangle \langle u, v \rangle - \overline{\langle u, v \rangle} \langle v, u \rangle + \frac{\langle v, u \rangle \langle u, v \rangle}{\|u\|^2} \langle u, u \rangle \\ &= \|u\|^2 \|v\|^2 - |\langle u, v \rangle|^2 - \cancel{|\langle v, u \rangle|^2} + \cancel{|\langle v, u \rangle|^2} \\ &= \|u\|^2 \|v\|^2 - |\langle u, v \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now $\|z\|^2 = \langle z, z \rangle \geq 0$, we have the result.

□

Proposition 4.3. For every inner product space with $\langle -, \cdot \rangle$, there is a norm $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$.

Proof. Consider any $x \in H, a \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a \cdot x\| &= \sqrt{\langle ax, ax \rangle} = \sqrt{a^* a \langle x, x \rangle} = \sqrt{|a|^2} \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} = |a| \|x\| \\ \forall x \neq 0, \|x\| &= \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} > 0 \\ \|0\| &= \sqrt{\langle 0, 0 \rangle} = 0 \\ \|x + y\|^2 &= \langle x + y, x + y \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle \\ &= \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + 2\Re(\langle x, y \rangle) \\ &\leq \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + 2|\langle x, y \rangle| \\ &\leq \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + 2\|x\|\|y\| \\ &\leq (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ is a norm. □

Corollary 4.4. For every inner product space, there is a metric $d(x, y) = \sqrt{\langle x - y, x - y \rangle}$

Proposition 4.5. If $\forall v, \langle v, u \rangle = 0$, then $u = 0$.

Proposition 4.6. For an Inner product space $H, \forall y, x = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i \in H$, we have

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_i, y \rangle.$$

Proof. Given any $\epsilon > 0$, let $\epsilon_0 = \frac{\epsilon}{\|y\|}$.

Since $x = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i$, we can find $N > 0$, such that $\forall n > N, \|x - x_n\| < \epsilon_0$,
thus $|\langle x, y \rangle - \langle x_n, y \rangle| = |\langle x - x_n, y \rangle| \leq \|x - x_n\| \|y\| < \epsilon_0 \|y\| = \epsilon$ □

Corollary 4.7. For an Inner product space $H, \forall y, x = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i \in H$, we have $\langle y, x \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle y, x_i \rangle$.

Definition 4.2. An inner product space \mathcal{H} is called a Hilbert space if it is complete.

Definition 4.3. Let H be an inner product space. Two vectors $u, v \in H$ are called **orthogonal** if $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$.

Definition 4.4. Let H be an inner product space. A set $\{e_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq H$ is called an **orthonormal set** if

$$\forall i, j \in I, \langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}.$$

Definition 4.5. Let H be an inner product space. An orthonormal set $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq H$ is called a **maximal orthonormal set** / **orthonormal basis** / **total orthonormal set** if

$$H = \overline{\text{Span}(\{e_1, e_2, \dots\})}.$$

Theorem 4.8. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, and $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ be an orthonormal set, then TFAE:

1. $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis
2. If $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \langle x, e_i \rangle = 0$, then $x = 0$.
3. $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}, x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle e_i, x \rangle e_i$. (Fourier series)
4. $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|^2 = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle e_i, x \rangle|^2$. (Parseval Identity)

Theorem 4.9. \mathcal{H} is a separable Hilbert space, if and only if there is a maximal orthonormal set in \mathcal{H} . Moreover, in this case, every maximal orthonormal set is at most countable.

Definition 4.6. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, $S \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, the subspace **orthogonal** to S is

$$S^\perp := \{u \in \mathcal{H} : \langle u, v \rangle = 0, \forall u \in S\}.$$

Lemma 4.10. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, $S \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, we always have S^\perp is a subspace of \mathcal{H} .

Definition 4.7. Let V be a vector space, and $U, W \subseteq V$ be two subspaces, we say $V = U \oplus W$, if $\forall v \in V$, it can be uniquely written as $v = u + w$, where $u \in U, w \in W$.

Theorem 4.11. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, if $S \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ is a closed subspace, then

$$\mathcal{H} = S \oplus S^\perp.$$