

GV

12/10

+1

Junkholme 3pm ABT 322 1215243924

(2/2)

$$1.6 = 6 \cdot (7 \times 10^{11} \frac{m^3}{kg}) \quad k = 1.05 \times 10^{-14} J \cdot s = 1.05 \times 10^{-14} \frac{kg \cdot m^2}{s}$$

$$c = 3 \cdot 10^8 m/s \quad k = 8.62 \times 10^{-5} eV \cdot K^{-1}$$

$$1.1 \quad l_p = \left( \frac{h \cdot c}{G} \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{h \cdot c \cdot m^2 \cdot s}{G \cdot g}} = m \text{ and is a length} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\sqrt{(6.67 \times 10^{-11} \cdot 1.05 \times 10^{-14}) / (3 \times 10^8)^3} = 1.62 \times 10^{-35} m \quad \checkmark$$

$$1.2 \quad M_p = \left( \frac{h \cdot c}{G} \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{h \cdot c \cdot m^2}{G \cdot s}} \cdot \frac{m}{s} \cdot \frac{kg \cdot s}{m^2} = kg \text{ and is a weight} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\sqrt{(1.05 \times 10^{-14} \cdot 3 \times 10^8) / (6.67 \times 10^{-11})} = 2.18 \times 10^{-8} \quad \checkmark$$

$$1.3 \quad t_p = \left( \frac{h \cdot c}{G \cdot s} \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{h \cdot c \cdot s^2}{G \cdot m^2 \cdot s}} = s \text{ and is a time} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\sqrt{(6.67 \times 10^{-11} \cdot 1.05 \times 10^{-14}) / (3 \times 10^8)^5} = 5.39 \times 10^{-44} s \quad \checkmark$$

$$1.4 \quad E_p = M_p c^2 = kg \cdot \frac{m^2}{s^2} = J \text{ and is energy} \quad \checkmark$$

$$2 \cdot 1.6 \times 10^{-35} \times (3 \times 10^8)^2 = 1.46 \times 10^{-14} J \quad \checkmark$$

$$1.46 \times 10^{-14} J / (1.6 \times 10^{-19} eV/J) = 1.22 \times 10^{28} eV \quad \checkmark$$

$$1.5 \quad T_p = E_p / k = eV \cdot \frac{k}{eV} = K \text{ and is temperature} \quad \checkmark$$

$$1.22 \times 10^{28} / 8.62 \times 10^{-5} = 1.42 \times 10^{33} K \quad \checkmark$$

✓ Physically, these values show the properties of the universe near its start, at the Planck time, showing how small ( $10^{-35} m$ ), and how hotly, ( $10^{33} K$ ) and energetically ( $10^{28} J$ ) it was.

$$\text{Equation 12. } P_1 = \frac{c^3}{h \cdot G^2} = \frac{c^3}{8\pi^2 \cdot G^2 \cdot m^2} \cdot \frac{kg \cdot s}{m \cdot s^2} = \frac{kg \cdot m^2}{s^2 \cdot m^3} = \frac{J}{m^3} \quad \checkmark$$

delivered  
on next  
page.

$$\frac{3 \times 10^{81}}{6.67 \times 10^{-34} \cdot (6.67 \times 10^{-11})^2} = 4.68 \times 10^{-13} \frac{J}{m^3} \quad \checkmark$$

Comparing this

Converting the charge density  
to mass density using Eo = ct,

to the mass density of the universe today at  $2.7 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg/m}^3$ ,

$$\rho_p/c^2 = ? \frac{s^4}{m^3 \cdot m^2} = \frac{\text{kg}}{s^4} \cdot \frac{\text{kg}}{m^2}$$

$$\rightarrow 9.62 \times 10^{43} / (3 \times 10^8)^2 = 5.22 \times 10^{96} \text{ kg/m}^3 \text{ with the difference of } 10^{103}.$$

magnitude, the early universe at Planck time, the universe was much denser than today, and if the universe is a closed system, this would indicate that the universe expanded. The universe has expanded since

$$\frac{10^{95}}{10^{-27}} \neq 10^6$$

(2/2) 1.2a.  $r_{sp} = \frac{2GM_p}{c^2} = \frac{2 \cdot 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \cdot 2.19 \times 10^{-3}}{(3 \times 10^8)^2} = 3.24 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m}$

(2/2) 1.2.b.  $r_{sp} = 3.24 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m}$  compared to  $l_p = 1.62 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m}$

$$r_{sp} \approx 2l_p \text{ approximately. Looking at the equations themselves, } r_{sp} = \frac{2GM_p}{c^2} = \frac{2G \frac{l_p^2}{G}}{(c^3)^{1/2}} = 2 \left( \frac{G l_p}{c^3} \right)^{1/2} = 2l_p$$

The small difference in the actual values calculated (came from rounding errors) in between calculation.

Equation derivation, take  $E=mc^2$ ,  $\rho = \frac{E}{4\pi R^3} \approx \frac{c^2}{L^3}$   
 $\rho_p = \frac{M_p c^2}{l_p^3} = \left( \frac{h c}{G} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{c^3}{G k} \right)^{1/2} \cdot c^2 = \frac{c^7}{h G^2}$  and the formula is shown

> 1.2.b cont. The fallacy that  $r_{sp} = 2l_p$  is that at the Planck time, the universe should have been a black hole itself with its length or  $l_p$  being smaller than  $r_{sp}$  or the radius needed for nothing to be able to escape. However, clearly the universe changed and expanded. ✓

(2/2) 1.2.c. Possible ways out of this fallacy are that gravity might not work at such small distances like the Planck length. Gravity is a long ranged force, and the weak and the strong forces dominate at such small scales. The Schwarzschild radius assures that all the mass inside the radius has a gravitational pull, as expected which might not be the case in the extremely small universe that started to expand very rapidly after the big bang at extreme temperature; might not be calculated.

usw

1-2 d. For the universe,  $M_u = 8.8 \times 10^{52} \text{ kg}$

$$EC + 2 \quad \frac{2GM}{c^2} \geq \frac{2 \cdot 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \cdot 8.8 \times 10^{52}}{(3 \times 10^8)^2} \geq 1.3 \times 10^{26} \text{ m} \quad \checkmark$$

with  $H_0 = 67 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$   $t_0 = \frac{1}{H_0}$  stand

$r_H = c t_0$ , or the velocity of light times the time light traveled in the age of the universe is the Hubble radius.

$$r_H = \frac{c}{H_0} = \frac{3 \times 10^8}{67} \approx 4.4 \times 10^6 \text{ Mpc} = 1.36 \times 10^{26} \text{ m}, \quad \checkmark$$

Again  $r_H$  is smaller than  $r_{\text{in}}$ , indicating that the universe should be a black hole.

This fallacy may be solved stating as before, that the universe is expanding, so that the condition for a normal black hole, or dense matter having a large gravitational pull, does not apply. Matter in the universe isn't moving further apart from each other in a static space, but the universe itself is expanding. Expected behavior of gravitational forces in relativity then won't be the same over a black hole and the whole universe.