LECTURE 2 – SIMPLICIAL SETS

NOTES ANOTATED BY ROGER MURRAY

1. Notation

Categories will typically be sans serif (i.e. Top, sSet, etc.) or caligraphric (i.e. C, S, etc.). If C is an arbitrary category then we denote the set of C-morphisms from objects $A, B \in C$ by C(A, B).

2. Simplices

Recall the definition of the standard topological n-simplex as the set

$$\Delta^n_{\mathsf{Top}} := \left\{ (t_0, \cdots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \, \middle| \, \sum t_i = 1 \text{ and } t_i \geqslant 0 \text{ for all } i \right\}$$

Alternatively we may think of Δ_{Top}^n as the convex hull of vertices $v_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$. We then have codegeneracy maps $s^i : \Delta_{\mathsf{Top}}^{n+1} \to \Delta_{\mathsf{Top}}^n$, and coface maps $d^i : \Delta_{\mathsf{Top}}^{n-1} \to \Delta_{\mathsf{Top}}^n$ defined by

$$s^{i}(t_{0},...,t_{n+1}) = (t_{0},...,t_{i}+t_{i+1},...,t_{n+1})$$
$$d^{i}(t_{0},...,t_{n-1}) = (t_{0},...,t_{i},0,t_{i+1},...,t_{n})$$

Clearly d^i is just the map embedding $\Delta_{\mathsf{Top}}^{n-1}$ as the i^{th} face of Δ_{Top}^n , and s^i is a retration of $\Delta_{\mathsf{Top}}^{n+1}$ minus the i^{th} vertice v_i onto the face opposite v_i .

Given any topological space $X \in \mathsf{Top}$ we define the singular n-simplices of X to be the set of maps

$$\operatorname{Sing}(X)_n := \operatorname{\mathsf{Top}}(\Delta^n_{\operatorname{\mathsf{Top}}},\,X)$$

This turns out to be an important example of something called a simplicial object. Before we can define what a simplicial object is, we must first define the $simplex\ category\ \Delta$. The objects of Δ are the ordered sets $[n] = \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$, and the morphisms $f: [m] \to [n]$ are the weakly-order-preserving (i.e. non-decreasing) functions. Similarly to above we have maps $s^i: [n+1] \to [n]$ and $d^i: [n-1] \to [n]$ given by repeating the i, and skipping i respectively. The following lemma says that these maps are the only maps we care about.

Lemma 2.1. Any map f in Δ is the composition of some d^i and s^j .

Proof sketch. If you have a map $f:[m] \to [n]$ then you have the inequality $f(0) \leq f(1) \leq \cdots \leq f(m)$. We obtain a unique chain of elements of the form $g_0 < \cdots < g_k$ for $k \leq \min\{m, n\}$. By composing d^0 with itself g_0 times we get a map that sends [m] to $[g_0, g_0 + 1, \ldots, g_0 + m]$. We repeat g_0 with s^0 as many times as it occurs in the sequence of f(i)s. We then simply repeat this process inductively on the g_i for $0 < i \leq k$. \square

Definition 2.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a category. A *simplicial object in* \mathcal{C} is a functor $X:\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}\to\mathcal{C}$. Similarly a *cosimplicial object in* \mathcal{C} is a functor $Y:\Delta\to\mathcal{C}$.

We write X_n and Y^n for X([n]) and Y([n]) respectively, and hence we will often use the notation X_{\bullet} and Y^{\bullet} for X and Y respectively. Similarly we write d_i , s_i and d^i , s^i for the obvious maps in C.

Example 2.3. The standard simplices $\Delta_{\mathsf{Top}}^{\bullet}$ is a cosimplicial space.

Example 2.4. Given any space $X \in \mathsf{Top}$ we therefore have that $\mathsf{Sing}(X)_{\bullet}$ is a simplicial set acting on objects by $[n] \mapsto \mathsf{Top}(\Delta^n_{\mathsf{Top}}, X)$, and on morphisms by $(f : [m] \to [n]) \mapsto f_*$ where f_* is precomposition with f.

Date: November 26, 2019.

Properties 2.5. The degeneracy, and face maps belonging to any simplicial object X in C satisfy the following,

- (1) $d_i \circ d_j = d_{j-1} \circ d_i$ for i < j
- (2) $s_i \circ s_j = s_{j+1} \circ s_i \text{ for } i \leq j$
- (3) Lastly,

$$d_i \circ s_j = \begin{cases} s_{j-1} \circ d_i & \text{if } i < j \\ \text{id} & \text{if } i = j, j+1 \\ s_j \circ d_{i-1} & \text{if } i > j+1 \end{cases}$$

We refer to these properties as the *simplicial properties*. A dual statement holds for cosimplicial objects. These (dual) properties are obvious in the case of Δ . We next construct a functor which is adjoint to Sing $(-)_{\bullet}$.

Let X be a simplicial object in a category \mathcal{C} .

Definition 2.6. An *n*-simplex $x \in X_n$ is degenerate if there exists some $y \in X_{n-1}$ such that $x = s_i(y)$ for some $0 \le i \le n-1$.

Definition 2.7. The geometric realisation of X is the space given by

$$|X_{\bullet}| = \coprod_{n \geqslant 0} X_n \times \Delta^n_{\mathsf{Top}} / \sim$$

where the equivalence relation is given by identifying $(f^*x, u) \sim (y, f_*u)$ for any $f \in \Delta([m], [n])$, and $x \in X_n$, and $u \in \Delta_{\mathsf{Top}}^m$.

By 2.1 it is enough to consider when f is a degeneracy, or face map.

We have the following useful lemma,

Lemma 2.8. Every object $x \in X_n$ may be written uniquely as $s_{i_1} \circ s_{i_2} \circ \cdots \circ s_{i_l}(y)$ such that $i_1 > \cdots > i_n$ and $y \in X_{\bullet}$ is non-degenerate.

Proof. By (2) of 2.5 it is easy to see that we can find an increasing sequence i_j . Furthermore this sequence must terminate since $x \in X_n$ and each degeneracy map increases degree by 1. Thus we obtain a y such that $x = s_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ s_{i_n}(y)$. All that is left to check is that these are unique. This is immediate by starting at x and working backwards.

Given two simplicial sets X and Y we obtain another simplicial set $X \times Y$ defined by $(X \times Y)_n = X_n \times Y_n$. The above lemma implies that we may combine two degenerate simplices $x \in X_n$ and $y \in Y_n$ to get a non-degenerate simplex (x, y). This will be important below.

Lemma 2.9. Given a simplicial set X its geometric realisation |X| is a CW-complex with an n-cell for every non-degenerate n-simplex $x \in X_n$.

For a classical proof of this see page 56 of [May].

Proposition 2.10. The functors |-|: sSet \iff Top: Sing are adjoint.

Proof. We wish to show that, given any $X_{\bullet} \in \mathsf{sSet}$ and any $Y \in \mathsf{Top}$, the sets $\mathsf{sSet}(X_{\bullet}, \mathrm{Sing}(Y)_{\bullet})$ and $\mathsf{Top}(|X_{\bullet}|, Y)$ are in bijection. This proof relies on the fact that any map $f_n \in \mathsf{sSet}(X_n, \mathrm{Sing}(Y)_n)$ corresponds to a map $\widetilde{f_n} : X_n \times \Delta^n_{\mathsf{Top}} \to Y$ where $\widetilde{f_n}(x, u) = f_n(x)(u)$. If we now consider any map $g \in \Delta([m], [n])$ then we get the following commutative diagram by definition

$$\begin{array}{c} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \mathsf{sSet}(\Delta^\mathsf{n}_\mathsf{Top}, \mathsf{Y}) \\ \downarrow^{X(g)} & \downarrow \left(\Delta^\bullet_\mathsf{Top}(g)\right)^* \\ X_m \xrightarrow{f_m} \mathsf{sSet}(\Delta^\mathsf{m}_\mathsf{Top}, \mathsf{Y}) \end{array}$$

By the existence of $\widetilde{f_n}$ in relation to f_n this gives us the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{split} X_n \times \Delta_{\mathsf{Top}}^m & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \times \Delta_{\mathsf{Top}}^{\bullet}(g)} X_n \times \Delta_{\mathsf{Top}}^n \\ & \downarrow^{X(g) \times \mathrm{id}} & \downarrow^{\widetilde{f_n}} \\ X_m \times \Delta_{\mathsf{Top}}^m & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{f_m}} \mathsf{sSet}(\Delta_{\mathsf{Top}}^m, \mathsf{Y}) \end{split}$$

Taking g to be (a composition of the) s^i gives us that $\widetilde{f_n}$ respects the equivalence relation defining $|X_{\bullet}|$ and hence is a map $\widetilde{f_n} \in \mathsf{Top}(|X_{\bullet}|, Y)$. We can go backwards in a similar fashion.

Example 2.11. We define the *standard* n-simplex Δ^n as the simplicial set given by letting its k-simplices be the set of maps $\Delta([k], [n])$.

Lemma 2.12. A k-simplex $x \in \Delta([k], [n])$ is non-degenerate if and only if x is injective.

Proof. This is immediate once one considers the effect of s_i (which is precomposition by s^i).

Lemma 2.13. The geometric realisation of Δ^n is Δ^n_{Top} . Similarly the geometric realisation of $\Delta^n \times \Delta^m$ is $\Delta^n_{\mathsf{Top}} \times \Delta^m_{\mathsf{Top}}$.

Proof. This first statement is a result of 2.9. The second statement also requires 2.8 \Box

Example 2.14. We then define a simplicial set called *the boundary* $\partial \Delta^n$ *of* Δ^n . We define this by specifying that $(\partial \Delta^n)_k$ is the set of non-surjective maps $x \in \mathsf{sSet}([n],[k])$. Indeed $\partial \Delta^n$ is a subsimplex of Δ^n , and it matches our intuition from Δ^n_{Top} since any element of $(\Delta^n)_k$ which is the image of some d^i is clearly not surjective.

Lemma 2.15 (The Yoneda Lemma). Let A be an object in a category C and let $F: C \to \mathsf{Set}$ be a functor. There is an isomorphism of sets

$$\operatorname{Natl}(\mathcal{C}(A, _), F) \cong F(A)$$

It is not hard to find a proof of this, and even though the lemma is ubiquitous throughout category theory the proof is very straightforward.

An immediate consequence of the Yoneda lemma is,

Lemma 2.16. Given a simplicial set X_{\bullet} we have that

$$X_n \cong \operatorname{sSet}(\Delta^n, X_{\bullet})$$

3. Horns and Nerves

Definition 3.1. The horn Λ_k^n is the simplicial subset of $\partial \Delta^n$ defined by

$$(\Lambda_k^n)_i = \left\{ x \in \Delta_k^n \,\middle|\, x([k]) \cup \{i\} \supsetneq [n] \right\}$$

Intuitively one thinks of this as the boundary of Δ^n excluding the i^{th} face.

Definition 3.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a small category. The nerve of \mathcal{C} is the simplicial set $N\mathcal{C}$ defined by

$$NC_n = \left\{ C_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} C_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_n} C_n \right\}$$

The maps s_i and d_i are defined as follows. Let (f_1, \ldots, f_n) be an ordered *n*-tuple of composable maps in \mathcal{C} . We define s_i to simply be the map $(f_1, \ldots, id, \ldots, f_n) \in \mathcal{NC}_{n+1}$. The map d_i is slightly more complicated.

$$d_i(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \begin{cases} (f_2, \dots, f_n) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ (f_1, \dots, f_i \circ f_{i+1}, \dots, f_n) & \text{if } 0 < i < n, \\ (f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}) & \text{if } i = n \end{cases}$$

An important example of such a construction is the nerve of the category G associated to a group G. In this case $NG_n = G^n$ and thus the geometric realisation of $N\mathcal{C}$ is

$$\coprod G^n imes \Delta^n_{\mathsf{Top}} / \sim$$

This is isomorphic to the Eilenberg Maclane space K(G, 1).

Let X be a simplicial set. We define $M: \mathsf{sSet} \to \mathsf{Ch}_{\geqslant 0}$ by specifying $M(X)_n$ to the free abelian group generated by the non-degenerate n-simplices of X. Our boundary maps are then the maps $d(x) = \sum_i (-1)^i d_i(x)$. Another way of stating this is that, by 2.9, M(X) is the singular chain complex of |X|.

Theorem 3.3. Let X and Y be simplicial sets. Then $M(X \times Y) \cong M(X) \otimes M(Y)$

This follows from the following theorem,

Theorem 3.4 (The Eilenberg-Zilber theorem). Given topological spaces X, Y, and their product $X \times Y$, Then

$$C_{\bullet}(X) \otimes C_{\bullet}(Y) \cong C_{\bullet}(X \times Y)$$

in the category of chain complexes.

Proof. See
$$[EZ]$$
.

Proof of 3.3. $(M(X) \otimes M(Y))_n = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} M(X)_i \otimes M(Y)_j$. By 2.1 we have that (x,y) is non-degenerate when either x or y is non-degenerate, or when they are incompatibly degenerate. By incompatibly degenerate I mean that they decompose as $x = s_{i_l} \circ \cdots \circ s_{i_1} x'$ and $y = s_{j_k} \circ \cdots \circ s_{j_1} y'$ such that $s_{i_r} \neq s_{j_s}$ for all r, s. Let us refer to l and k from the decomposition above as the order of degeneracy for arbitrary degenerate elements x and y respectively. A non-degenerate element has order of degeneracy 0. To see that the inequality holds note that if (x,y) is non-degenerate in $(X \times Y)_n$ then we must have that the total order of degeneracy l+k is less than or equal to n. This follows since if l+k>n then x and y could not possibly be incompatibly degenerate by the pigeonhole principle. We may restate this succinctly as (x,y) non-degenerate in $X_n \times Y_n$ is a pair $(s_{i_1} \circ \cdots s_{i_1} x', s_{j_k} \circ \cdots \circ s_{j_1} y')$ in $X_n \times Y_n$ where $j_r \neq i_s$ and where x' is non-degenerate in X_{n-l} and y' is non-degenerate in Y_{n-k} , where $l+k \leq n$. Consider the pair (x', y') in $X_{n-l} \times Y_{n-k}$. We therefore have that $|X \times Y| = |X| \times |Y|$. This allows us to use the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem, which gives us the result (by the discussion preceding 3.3).

4. sSet as a Model Category

We can put a model category structure on the category of simplicial sets. We first define two sets $I = \bigcup_{n \geqslant 0} \mathsf{sSet}(\partial \Delta^n, \Delta^n)$ and $J = \{\mathsf{sSet}(\Lambda^n_k, \Delta^n) \mid n > 0 \text{ and } 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n\}.$

Definition 4.1. Given a map $f \in \mathsf{sSet}(X,Y)$, we say f is

• a fibration if $J \square f$, which is to say that if we have any map $p \in J$, and any $\Lambda_k^n \to X$ and $\Delta^n \to Y$, making the following diagram commute,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Lambda_k^n & \longrightarrow & X \\
\downarrow^p & & \downarrow^f \\
\Delta^n & \longrightarrow & Y
\end{array}$$

then we must have a lift $h:\Delta^n\to X$ which commutes with the diagram,

- a weak equivalence if $|f|:|X|\to |Y|$ is a weak equivalence in Top,
- a cofibration if it is injective.

Lemma 4.2. This defines a model structure on sSet.

Proof. (I think we're showing this next lecture).

I'm not entirely sure why we introduced the set I. I thought it would be used to define cofibrations but apparently not. Perhaps this will become apparent next week.

References

- [May] Jon Peter May, Simplicial Objects in Algebraic Topology, University of Chicago Press, 1982
- [EZ] Eilenberg, S., & Zilber, J. (1953). On Products of Complexes. American Journal of Mathematics, 75(1), 200-204. doi:10.2307/2372629
- [BK] Bousfield, A.K., & Kan, D.M. (1972). Homotopy Limits, Completions and Localizations. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.