

RFID Based Fruit Monitoring and Orchard Management System

B. H. M. Imdaad¹, S. I. Jayalath², P. C. G. Mahiepala³, M. K. T. Sampath⁴,
and S. R. Munasinghe⁵, *Senior Member, IEEE*

Abstract—This research presents an efficient fruit monitoring and orchard management system to replace the existing paper-based manual process. The new method is based on five state-of-the-art technologies, namely Radio Frequency Identification (RFID), Wi-Fi network, Mobile App, Cloud database/server, and Web Application. This paper presents the proper integration of these technologies to provide an effective, worker-friendly, and cost-effective solution to the problem. The proposed method starts by attaching RFID tags to each tree and each fruit and registering them in the cloud database. The cloud server visualizes the status of the orchard and implements inventory management. Workers use a hand device for tasks such as bagging, spraying, and plucking. The orchard manager carries out task assignments and worker deployment on the web application. Each worker gets notified of the assigned tasks on his hand device, and when such tasks are accomplished, the status is updated in the cloud database. Using this system, each fruit is monitored from the initial covering state to the final plucking state. On the contrary, the existing paper-based manual process suffers from improper spraying that leads to disease-spreading, infestations, and loss of yield. Due to the existing inefficiencies the price of fruit has gone up to a limit that is not affordable to the public, hence unprofitable to the grower as well. In this context, the proposed solution will help monitor and manage fruit orchards efficiently, which will increase the quality and quantity of the yield while lowering the cost of production.

Index Terms—Fruit inventory management, fruit monitoring, handheld radio frequency identification (RFID), Internet of Things (IoT).

I. INTRODUCTION

SMART monitoring and management of fruit orchards are critically important to high-quality yield and lower cost of production. Wu et al. [1] presented a fruit monitoring and management system for an apple orchard in which the production process is monitored to reduce the time and labor. This

research also uses radio frequency identification (RFID) technology [2] to identify fruit trees and monitor the spraying of agrochemicals and fertilizers. Behera et al. [3] proposed a method to monitor fruits in trees using sensors and Internet of Things (IoT) technology in that fruit status and environmental conditions are monitored using cameras and sensors. The cameras used are solar-powered and they are Wi-Fi connected for remote viewing for farm management. Rain sensors, temperature sensors, and moisture sensors are deployed to access the environmental information. This method requires infrastructure development over the entire orchard, which makes it costly and difficult to scale for commercial deployment. The EU Horizon project [4] proposed a method based on artificial intelligence to accurately count apples by size that will help growers to know the harvest with higher accuracy. Several high-tech solutions, including sensor networks [5], drones [6], robots [7], and LiDaR [8], have been tested for orchard management and fruit monitoring, however, much of it is not scalable and cost-effective for commercial deployment. Hence, there is a need for a cost-effective, and scalable solution for fruit monitoring in large orchards. In this context, this research proposes a novel technique for on-tree fruit monitoring and orchard operation scheduling. The method is custom-designed for mangoes to improve the quality of yield and reduce the cost of operations (bagging, spraying, and plucking). It also helps reduce the loss of yield due to pest attacks and ill-treatment. The method was designed through the proper integration of state-of-the-art technologies [9], [10].

The proposed solution requires RFID tags attached to each fruit and each tree. Once scanned, the fruit and tree information is sent to the cloud server/database. The web application visualizes the orchard status for the agronomist to schedule tasks and assign workers. The scheduled tasks are communicated to the hand devices of the workers. As the workers perform the scheduled tasks the orchard status is updated concurrently while issuing flags if the task is not performed as scheduled. This way, timely fruit treatment, high-quality yield, and efficient labor deployment can be guaranteed. The RFID tags are reusable because they are protected inside the translucent bags. The hand device component cost is only \$25, and only about fifty such devices are needed even for a large orchard because the workers are deployed in small teams of six to ten workers. Due to the same reason, the proposed solution can be implemented in a large orchard quite easily by deploying as many small worker groups as needed. This does not require any scale-dependent modifications to the solution because worker groups operate

Manuscript received 4 October 2023; revised 12 December 2023, 9 February 2024, and 11 May 2024; accepted 12 May 2024. This work was supported by Nelna Agri (Pvt.) Ltd. This article was recommended by Associate Editor Luisa Petti. (Corresponding author: S. R. Munasinghe.)

B. H. M. Imdaad, S. I. Jayalath, P. C. G. Mahiepala, and M. K. T. Sampath are with the Department of Electronic and Telecommunication Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Moratuwa 10400, Sri Lanka (e-mail: muhammedimdaad16@gmail.com; senudajayalath1@gmail.com; pchamika-gangul@gmail.com; mkt.sampath97@gmail.com).

S. R. Munasinghe is with the Department of Electronic and Telecommunication Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Moratuwa 10400, Sri Lanka, and also with the Department of Global Development, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14850 USA (e-mail: rohan@uom.lk).
Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TAFE.2024.3402710



Fig. 1. Tom EJC mango covered in a carbon-coated protective bag. Photo from the Nelna Agri website.




Fig. 2. Hand device with RFID scanner.

independently. The functionality of the proposed system was verified through a field test.

II. MANGO ORCHARD MANAGEMENT

In mango orchards, where the Tom EJC mango variety shown in Fig. 1 is grown, the first activity is “bagging,” in which each fruit at the size of a chicken-egg is placed inside a translucent bag to protect them from pests. The bag also helps the fruit get a bright yellow color. For the rest of the season, until plucking, the fruits remain inside these bags, as shown in Fig. 1. The existing practice is manual “bagging” in which a worker records on paper each tree and the number of fruits it bears. The same information is written on the outer surface of each bag. A few times within the season, workers are deployed to spray antifungal chemicals onto the fruits. For that, the workers have to read the date information on each bag, open the relevant bags of respective age, and spray the chemicals. After about seventy-five days, workers open the bags, inspect the maturity, and pluck the mature fruits. Premature fruits are kept in the bag for a few more days. There are about 50000 mango trees in the orchard, and in one season, nearly around a million mangos are plucked. Given the scale, even a marginal improvement to the existing practice will result in a significant return on investment.

III. PROPOSED FRUIT MONITORING AND ORCHARD MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Fig. 3 shows the block diagram of the proposed solution. This system consists of the following five components, labeled A, B, C, D, and E in Fig. 3.

- A - Hand device with RFID reader
- B - Local Wi-Fi mesh network in group deployment
- C - Mobile application
- D - Cloud server/database
- E - Web application

A. Hand Device

Fig. 2 shows the hand device. The workers receive their assigned tasks from their respective hand devices, and when they perform the tasks as a group, the hand devices share the group data among themselves through the local Wi-Fi mesh network. This device has a 5 cm ranged 13.56 MHz RFID reader [15], a Wi-Fi module, a Bluetooth module, a Nokia 5110 display, a keypad, a power supply, and a system-on-chip (SoC). The field device consists of three printed circuit boards (PCBs) for

the power system, main functionality, and the RFID module. The power supply PCB provides 5 and 3.3 V to the main PCB and RFID module. The main PCB was designed with an ESP32 microcontroller with C language-based firmware controls. The FreeRTOS operating System [14] was used to develop the firmware. The following functionalities are implemented in the firmware.


- 1) *Communication with the server*: The reader device communicates with the server through Wi-Fi, and receives the tasks assigned.
- 2) *Communication between field devices*: Field devices communicate through the mesh network and synchronize data of the task being implemented.
- 3) *Instructions to the worker*: The device shows the worker the assigned tasks with the block and subblock information, guides the worker to perform the task, audits the worker, and notifies if a certain task is missed.

The hand device is powered with two serialized 3.7 V Li-ion 18650 3000 mAh batteries. Nominal current is measured as 85 mA, which sets the operational duration of the device to 21 hrs, or minimally three days with a single charge [12]. The component cost per field device is approximately \$25, which includes the cost for ESP32, Battery, 84x84 LCD 5110 Nokia, PCB, Enclosure, and the RFID Reader. Almost all functions of the hand device could be implemented with a modern smartphone with a built-in RFID reader. However, this option was eliminated due to the following reasons.

- 1) Agrochemical spraying while handling a smartphone requires both hands of the worker, which is very inconvenient. A wearable device, on the other hand, is more convenient.
- 2) Smartphones are not designed to cope with the rough field conditions like a mango orchard where agro-chemicals are used nearby.
- 3) Utilizing smartphones is associated with the risk of leaking confidential data out of the grower.
- 4) The workers generally do not have modern smartphones with the RFID reading. And, it is not cost-effective for the grower to provide modern smartphones while the wearable hand device costs only \$25.

B. Wi-Fi Mesh Network of Hand Devices

A few groups of six to ten workers are deployed with hand devices for assigned tasks. The hand devices create a mesh network to exchange information within the group in real-time



so that when a certain fruit is treated by one worker, it is immediately known by other field devices in the group. The mesh network helps avoid situations such as two workers attempting to spray on the same fruit at two instants. The mesh network also enables temporary redundant data storage until data gets uploaded to the cloud database through the mobile network. This feature is crucial for orchards where mobile connectivity is not reliable. The Wi-Fi mesh network is created using ESPNOW [41], and the communication between field devices is maintained using the inbuilt BLE and Wi-Fi.

C. Mobile App

The image displays two screenshots of the 'Select device' screen in the 'Sync' application. The left screenshot shows a list of devices available for selection, including DESKTOP-P6S41L, KY203, Transmart Onyx Ace, ESP_SPP_ACCEPTOR, Vervebuds 500, Haylou-GT2_R, Vervebuds 500 (L), ESP32, Mi Band 3, Transmart Onyx Ace, and BT-SPEAKER. The right screenshot shows a list of devices that have been synced, with each entry displaying a unique ID and the name 'Sub-Block_2312'. Both screens feature a green header bar with the title 'Select device' or 'Sync' and a red 'Sync' button in the top right corner.

into larger blocks, and each block is divided into subblocks. And, when the worker adds the tree to the cloud database, he sets the block number and subblock number on the hand device, and then scan the RFID attached to the tree. When fruits




Fig. 5. Status of a subblock. There are five blocks and 40 subblocks. Each subblock has about thousand mango trees.

Add New Task
Please select Task Type, Blocks and Task Day to get automatic suggestions.

Task Date: December 12th Task Type: SPRAY_01

Blocks: S-1 S-3 S-2

Select correct Task Date to get available team members.

Available Team Members For Selected Date: worker1 | 100

Suggestions for selected option

Trees: 10 Mangoes: 20

SUBMIT

Add New Task
Please select Task Type, Blocks and Task Day to get automatic suggestions.

Task Date: January 2nd Task Type: PLUCK

Blocks: S-1 S-3 S-2

Select correct Task Date to get available team members.

Available Team Members For Selected Date: worker1 | 100

Suggestions for selected option

Trees: 10 Mangoes: 20

SUBMIT

Fig. 6. Scheduling of a spraying task and a plucking task, and assigning it to a worker using web application.




Fig. 7. GUI indicating the task summary: Seven tasks have been completed and nine tasks are to be carried out.

are registered, the worker has to first scan the tree RFID, and then the fruit RFIDs to associate fruits belonging to a tree. The mobile app communicates with the cloud server through an API, and it communicates with the field device through Bluetooth. The mobile app was developed using Flask, a Python-based microframework for mobile application development.

D. Cloud Server and Database

The cloud server exposes APIs and maintains communication with hand devices, admin applications and mobile applications using JWT token-based authentication. The functions of the cloud server are as follows:

- 1) registering and authenticating field devices and workers;
- 2) providing geographical data, employee data, fruit and tree data, and reports to the web application;
- 3) exposing APIs to collect the following information from the reader devices and mobile applications:
 - a) new fruits;
 - b) fruits pending spraying;
 - c) fruits sprayed on time;
 - d) plucked fruits;
 - e) fallen/missing fruits.

The cloud server was developed as a Java Springboot application. A MongoDB [18] database that is connected to the cloud server stores information about fruits, tasks, and status maps.

E. Web Application and GUI

The web application is used for the following tasks:

- 1) orchard information such as the block, subblock, and worker details can be entered into the system;
- 2) tasks can be assigned to the workers;
- 3) visualize the map giving an overview of the orchard status, allowing the grower to navigate and view the details;
- 4) generates reports about the progress and employee performance.

The web application visualizes orchard status by pulling block, subblock, tree ID, and fruit IDs from the cloud database. The grower can visualize the status of the orchard on the GUI as illustrated in Fig. 5, which shows the status of a subblock at a given time. It indicates that there are four trees in the subblock, and one mango is “bagged,” one mango has got *SPRAY_1*, one mango has got *SPRAY_2*, four out of five mangos have been plucked, and one mango is missing. The tasks can be scheduled using the web application. Fig. 6(a) shows how *worker_01* is assigned to spray 20 mangos in ten trees, and Fig. 6(b) shows the assignment of *worker_01* to pluck 20 mangos in ten trees. Once these assignments are scheduled, the same information appears in the field device of the *worker_01*.

Fig. 7 shows the summary of two assigned tasks; covering, and spray_1. The web application was created using ReactJS, which is a free and open-source front-end JavaScript library [16]. The application communicates with the cloud server via REST APIs and is authenticated with JSON Web Token (JWT) [17]. The information is stored in the redux store, the central bucket which stores all the states of the admin web application. The GUI is hosted in a cloud hosting service, hence, it can be




Fig. 8. (a) Typical result of the existing practice. (b) Actual result of the proposed method.

accessed from anywhere to perform orchard monitoring and schedule tasks. The GUI communicates with the cloud server via an API.

IV. FIELD TEST

The fruit treatment process is implemented by small worker groups of six to ten. A few such groups are deployed daily, and these groups operate independently. Hence, the proposed solution can be implemented independently of the scale of the orchard if it properly functions within a single worker group. For a large orchard, only the cloud server capacity and communication bandwidth need to be scaled to handle the data coming from the worker groups concurrently. On the other hand, the worker group devices reattempt and get the data uploaded to the server ubiquitously in situations of high data rates. Therefore, a small-scale field test was implemented to test and verify the effectiveness of the proposed solution within a single worker group. A few units were built for the field test.

Fig. 8 compares the results of the existing practice and the proposed method. All mangoes were bagged on Day_0, Spray_1 was carried out on Day_20, Spray_2 was carried out on Day_40,

and mangoes were plucked on Day_70. As shown in Fig. 8(a) the worker missed Spray_1 on 19 mangoes on Day_20, which was corrected by the hand device as shown in Fig. 8(b). Again, on Day_40, there were ten mangoes without receiving a single spray, and there were twelve mangoes with only one spray as shown in Fig. 8(a). However, the hand device notification in the new system guided the worker not to miss even a single mango as shown in Fig. 8(b). The outcome of the field test can be summarized as follows.

- 1) The proposed method increases the well-treated mangoes to 215/227, which is a significant (94.7%) improvement compared with the 190/227 mangoes, which is (83.7%) of the existing practice.
- 2) The proposed method reduces the ill-treated mangoes to zero, whereas the existing practice records about 25/227, which is 11% low-quality mangoes due to missing sprays.
- 3) The proposed method allows workers to enter missing and fallen fruits into the system separately. Workers observe missing and fallen mangoes during spraying and plucking. The existing practice does not have a proper way to record this information.

The existing practice does not provide accurate information about missing and fallen fruits separately. The proposed method provides exact numbers of missing and fallen fruits and allows the grower to take appropriate actions. For example, if a significant number of fruits go missing, the grower needs to tighten security measures. On the other hand, if there are too many fallen fruits, then the grower has to look into the chemical treatments. Considering the additional cost of production, the grower prescribed only four scans for the field test. However, in actual implementation, more fruit scans could be implemented say on Day_50, Day_60, and so on to get a closer look at the process.

A. Fallen and Missing Fruits

Fallen fruits are the fruits workers find on the ground with the bag used for initial covering. The worker scans the RFID of the fallen fruit and registers it as “fallen.” The missing fruits are nowhere to be found, and only the hand device can detect it when the workers walk from the present tree to the next. The hand device then indicates that there is another untreated fruit in the present tree, but the workers cannot find it. Then, a worker has to register the fruit on the hand device as “missing.”

V. CONCLUSION

A smart solution for fruit monitoring and orchard task scheduling has been designed, prototyped, and field tested. The proposed solution has a hand device with an RFID scanner, a local Wi-Fi mesh network, a cloud server/database, a mobile application, and a web application. On the web application, tasks such as fruit bagging, spraying, and plucking are scheduled and assigned to workers at specific times of the season. The workers get notified of the assigned tasks on their hand devices, and they carry out the tasks using their hand devices. The data from the hand devices are fetched to the cloud database for concurrent orchard status updates.

In small worker group deployment, once a fruit is treated by a worker, all of the workers in the group get their information updated through the local Wi-Fi mesh network. This eliminates workers approaching an already treated fruit, or leaving fruits without treatment. Hence, the proposed solution maintains accuracy and effectiveness in fruit treatment and worker deployment, which improves the quality and quantity of yield, and productivity of the workers. Small worker group deployment makes the proposed solution extremely cost-effective and deployable independent of the scale of the orchard. The proposed solution, though customized for mango, could be modified for other similar fruits. The functionality of the proposed method was verified through a field test in which the entire process was tested end-to-end. It was verified that the proposed solution is highly cost-effective, technically feasible, and deployable independent of the scale of the orchard. The component cost per

hand device was just \$25 and even for a large orchard, only about 50 field units are required in small worker group deployment. In addition, cloud database subscription (\$75/year), mobile data charge (\$100/year), and one-time software development costs (\$1600) are involved. The system was designed for mango, however, it could be customized to other fruits of a similar nature.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Wu, H. Zhang, J. Zhang, W. Tian, and H. Cheng, “An orchard management system with RFID-based apple tree identify detection,” in *Proc. 4th Int. Conf. Digit. Manuf. Autom.*, 2013, pp. 180–184.
- [2] “Explore RFID basics,” *RFID Tracking Inventory*. [Online]. Available: <https://rfid4u.com/rfid-basics-resources/>
- [3] S. K. Behera, P. K. Sethy, S. K. Sahoo, S. Panigrahi, and S. C. Rajpoot, “On-tree fruit monitoring system using IoT and image analysis,” *Concurrent Eng.*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 6–15, 2021.
- [4] EU Horizon project, “Artificial intelligence for yield estimations at fruit orchards,” *Eur. Commission*, Codesian Software Tech SL, Spain, 2020, doi: [10.3030/888783](https://doi.org/10.3030/888783).
- [5] H. Yang, B. Kuang, and A. M. Mouazen, “Wireless sensor network for orchard management,” in *Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. Measuring Technol. Mechatronics Autom.*, Shanghai, China, 2011, pp. 1162–1165, doi: [10.1109/ICMTMA.2011.859](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMTMA.2011.859).
- [6] S. Suryawanshi, T. Baraskar, K. Umbrani, and A. Chitnis, “Using drone technology for fruit orchard management and waste reduction,” in *Proc. 6th Int. Conf. Comput., Commun., Control Autom.*, Pune, India, 2022, pp. 1–9, doi: [10.1109/ICCUBEAS4992.2022.10010999](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCUBEAS4992.2022.10010999).
- [7] D. Mengoli, R. Tazzari, and L. Marconi, “Autonomous robotic platform for precision orchard management: Architecture and software perspective,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Workshop Metrol. Agriculture Forestry*, Trento, Italy, 2020, pp. 303–308, doi: [10.1109/MetroAgriFor50201.2020.9277555](https://doi.org/10.1109/MetroAgriFor50201.2020.9277555).
- [8] N. Tsoulas, G. Xanthopoulos, S. Fountas, and M. Zude, “In-situ detection of apple fruit using a 2D LiDAR laser scanner,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Workshop Metrol. Agriculture Forestry*, Trento, Italy, 2020, pp. 278–282, doi: [10.1109/MetroAgriFor50201.2020.9277629](https://doi.org/10.1109/MetroAgriFor50201.2020.9277629).
- [9] L. Ruiz-Garcia and L. Lunadei, “The role of rfid in agriculture: Applications, limitations and challenges,” *Comput. Electron. Agriculture*, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 42–50, 2011.
- [10] P. Pierleoni, R. Concetti, A. Belli, and L. Palma, “Amazon, Google and Microsoft solutions for IoT: Architectures and a performance comparison,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 5455–5470, 2020.
- [11] M. S. Koushik, M. Sirinivasan, R. Lavanya, S. Alfred, and S. Setty, “Design and development of wireless sensor network based data logger with esp-now protocol,” in *Proc. 6th Int. Conf. Convergence Technol.*, 2021, pp. 1–5.
- [12] D. Rimpas and A. Kiatipis, “Charging strategy effect on lithium polymer battery capacity: A case study,” *Int. J. Energy Environ.*, vol. 11, pp. 107–118, Nov. 2020.
- [13] K. C. Karthika, “Wireless mesh network: A survey,” in *Proc. Int. Conf. Wireless Commun., Signal Process. Netw.*, 2016, pp. 1966–1970.
- [14] M.-Y. Zhu, “Understanding FreeRTOS: A requirement analysis,” May 2011, doi: [10.13140/RG.2.2.12419.09767](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.12419.09767).
- [15] V. Cernatescu and M. Vladescu, “An implementation of a 13.56 MHz RFID reader,” in *Proc. IEEE 20th Int. Symp. Des. Technol. Electron. Packag.*, 2014, pp. 103–104.
- [16] P. M. Martin Löfberg, “Web vs. standalone application,” 2005. [Online]. Available: <http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva23A82898&dsid=7259>
- [17] M. B. Jones, J. Bradley, and N. Sakimura, “JSON Web Token (JWT)” Tech. Rep. RFC 7519, May 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://data.ietf.org/doc/rfc7519/>
- [18] C. Gyrödi, R. Gyrödi, G. Pecherle, and A. Olah, “A comparative study: MongoDB vs. MySQL,” in *Proc. 13th Int. Conf. Eng. Modern Electric Syst.*, 2015, pp. 1–6.