

1. Prove or disprove the following inference rules for functional dependencies. A proof can be made either by a proof argument or by using inference rules IR1 through IR3. A disproof should be done by demonstrating a relation instance that satisfies the conditions and functional dependencies in the left hand side of the inference rule but do not satisfy the conditions or dependencies in the right hand side.

a. $\{W \rightarrow Y, X \rightarrow Z\} \models \{WX \rightarrow Y\}$

1. $W \rightarrow Y$, given
2. $WX \rightarrow YX$ (Using IR2 to augment 1 with X)
3. $YX \rightarrow Y$, (Using IR1, Y subset of YX)
4. $WX \rightarrow Y$, (Using IR3 on 2 and 3)

b. $\{X \rightarrow Y\}$ and $Z \text{ subset-of } Y \models \{X \rightarrow Z\}$

1. $X \rightarrow Y$, given
2. $Y \rightarrow Z$ (Using IR1, Z subset of Y)
3. $X \rightarrow Z$, (Using IR3 on 1 and 2)

C. $\{X \rightarrow Y, X \rightarrow W, WY \rightarrow Z\} \models \{X \rightarrow Z\}$

1. $X \rightarrow Y$, GIVEN
2. $X \rightarrow W$, GIVEN
3. $WY \rightarrow Z$, GIVEN
4. $X \rightarrow YX$ (Using IR2 to augment 1 with X)
5. $XY \rightarrow WY$ (Using IR2 to augment 2 with Y)
6. $X \rightarrow WY$ (Using IR3 on 4 and 5)
7. $X \rightarrow Z$ (Using IR3 on 3 and 6)

D. $\{XY \rightarrow Z, Y \rightarrow W\} \models \{XW \rightarrow Z\}$

1. $XY \rightarrow Z$ (Given)
2. $Y \rightarrow W$ (Given)
3. $XY \rightarrow XW$ (Using IR2 to augment 2 with X)

Tuple X Y Z W

1. X1 Y1 Z1 W1

2. X1 Y1 Z2 W1

Since in tuple 1 and 2 we have same value of XW but different value of Z: So, XW does not functionally determine Z.

E. $\{X \rightarrow Z, Y \rightarrow Z\} \models \{X \rightarrow Y\}$

1. $X \rightarrow Z$ (Given)
2. $Y \rightarrow Z$ (Given)

Tuple X Y Z

1. X1 Y1 Z1

2. X1 Y2 Z1

The above two tuples satisfy $X \rightarrow Z$ and $Y \rightarrow Z$ but do not satisfy $X \rightarrow Y$. So, $X \rightarrow Y$.

F. $\{X \rightarrow Y, XY \rightarrow Z\} \models \{X \rightarrow Z\}$

1. $X \rightarrow Y$ (Given)
2. $XY \rightarrow Z$ (Given)
3. $X \rightarrow XY$ (Using IR2 to augment 1 with X)
4. $X \rightarrow Z$ (Using IR3 on 2 and 3)

G. $\{X \rightarrow Y, Z \rightarrow W\} \models \{XZ \rightarrow YW\}$

1. $X \rightarrow Y$ (Given)
2. $Z \rightarrow W$ (Given)
3. $XZ \rightarrow YZ$ (Using IR2 to augment 1 with Z)
4. $YZ \rightarrow YW$ (Using IR2 to augment 2 with Y)
5. $XZ \rightarrow YW$ (Using IR3 on 3 and 4)

H. $\{XY \rightarrow Z, Z \rightarrow X\} \models \{Z \rightarrow Y\}$

Tuple X Y Z

1. X1 Y1 Z1
2. X1 Y2 Z1

Since in tuple 1 and 2 we have same Z value but different Y value: $Z \not\rightarrow y$ (Z does not functionally determine Y)

I. $\{X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow Z\} \models \{X \rightarrow YZ\}$

1. $X \rightarrow Y$ (Given)
2. $Y \rightarrow Z$ (Given)
3. $Y \rightarrow YZ$ (Using IR2 to augment 2 with Y)
4. $X \rightarrow YZ$ (Using IR3 on 1 and 3)

J. $\{XY \rightarrow Z, Z \rightarrow W\} \models \{X \rightarrow W\}$

1. $XY \rightarrow Z$
2. $Z \rightarrow W$

Tuple X Y Z W

1. X1 Y1 Z1 W1
2. X1 Y2 Z2 W2

Since in tuple 1 and 2 we have same X value for different W value. So, X does not functionally determine W.

2. Consider the following two sets of functional dependencies

$F = \{A \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow D, E \rightarrow AD, E \rightarrow H\}$ and $G = \{A \rightarrow CD, E \rightarrow AH\}$. Check whether or not they are equivalent.

Solution,

Given that

F:	$A \rightarrow C$	G:	$A \rightarrow CD$
	$AC \rightarrow D$		$E \rightarrow AH$
	$E \rightarrow AD$		
	$E \rightarrow H$		

→ Finding closure set of F using functional dependencies in G

$[A]^+ = ACD$, which makes $A \rightarrow C$ true

$[AC]^+ = ACD$, which makes $AC \rightarrow D$ true

$[E]^+ = ACDH$, which makes $E \rightarrow AD$ and $E \rightarrow H$ true

All the functional dependencies in F holds true for G as well.

We can conclude that F is subset of G.

→ Finding closure set of G using functional dependencies in F.

$[A]^+ = ACD$, which makes $A \rightarrow CD$ true

$[E]^+ = ACDEH$, which makes $E \rightarrow AH$ true

All the functional dependencies in G holds true for F as well.

We can conclude that G is subset of F.

Since both F and G are subset of each other, they are equivalent.