

Echoes of Economic Downturn: Investigating the Persistent Impact of the Great Recession on Birth Rates Among Young Americans*

Yuanyi (Leo) Liu Qi Er (Emma) Teng

February 15, 2024

In this study, we explore the impact of the Great Recession on birth rates among young people in the United States, utilizing a comprehensive analysis of demographic and economic data. Our findings reveal a significant decline in birth rates within this group during and following the economic downturn, highlighting the intricate relationship between economic stability and reproductive decisions. This research contributes to our understanding of how macroeconomic factors can have profound effects on personal life choices, emphasizing the need for supportive policies targeting young individuals during economic crises.

Table of contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Data	3
2.1	Source and Methodology	3
2.2	Variables	3
2.3	Measurements	4
3	Results	5
3.1	Overall Trend in US Birth Rates	5
3.2	Trends in Birth Rates by Age Group	6
3.3	Birth Rate Comparison between Young and Old Population	7
3.4	Change in Birth Rates by State	7

*Code and data are available at: <https://github.com/leoyliu/Analyzing-the-Great-Recession-Impact-on-Young-Americans-Birth-Rates>. A replication of various aspects in this paper are available at: <https://doi.org/10.48152/ssrp-ddvc-b340>.

4	Discussion	9
4.1	Findings	9
4.2	Economic Impact Insights	9
4.3	Societal and Technological Influences	9
4.4	Weaknesses	10
4.5	Future Research Directions	10
5	References	11
6	Appendix	12
6.1	Data Manipulation and Cleaning	12

1 Introduction

The Great Recession, a defining economic event of the early 21st century, has been subject to extensive analysis for its profound effects on employment, housing, and financial systems globally. However, its impact on demographic trends, particularly birth rates among young people in the United States, has not been thoroughly explored. This paper aims to fill this gap by examining how the economic downturn influenced reproductive decisions among this demographic.

Utilizing a blend of quantitative data analysis and demographic research, we investigated birth rate trends among young adults during and following the recessionary period. Our findings reveal a significant decline in birth rates among young Americans during the recession, with lingering effects in the subsequent years. This trend suggests that economic instability has a profound impact on personal life choices, including the decision to have children. The implications of these findings are far-reaching, affecting not only demographic forecasting but also social policy and economic recovery strategies.

In this study, our primary estimand is the causal effect of the Great Recession on birth rates among young Americans, quantified by the change in birth rates attributable to the economic downturn, isolated from other socio-economic factors. This involves analyzing the differential impact of the recession on various age groups and across states, providing an understanding of how macroeconomic shocks can influence demographic trends.

The paper is structured to facilitate a comprehensive understanding of the study and its implications. Following Section 1, Section 2 presents the data, detailing the data sources, analytical techniques, and the rationale behind the chosen methods. Section 3 discusses the results, elaborating on the observed trends and patterns in birth rate data. Section 4 provides an in-depth discussion of these findings, exploring potential factors influencing these trends, drawing connections to broader socio-economic issues, and providing suggestions for future research in this area.

2 Data

This section aims to offer an insightful understanding of the dataset utilized in our analysis. The dataset captures the birth rates across various demographics in the United States from 1980 to 2020. The data provide a broader context, allowing an analysis of trends over time and across different economic cycles, including the period of the Great Recession.

2.1 Source and Methodology

Data on birth rates for women aged 15-44 were sourced from National Vital Statistics Reports covering 2015, 2019, and 2020 (Martin and Mathews 2017; Martin and Driscoll 2021; Hamilton and Osterman 2021), compiled from birth certificates across the US and the District of Columbia. Kearney, Levine, and Pardue (2022) provided aggregated birth data for six cohorts over five-year spans, derived from the NBER Natality Database (National Bureau of Economic Research 2021) and NCHS microdata (National Center for Health Statistics 2020) for 1980-2019. In this study, we simply used the replication data package provided by Kearney, Levine, and Pardue (2022) for analysis.

While there were alternative datasets available from other public and private sources, this dataset was chosen due to its comprehensive coverage, reliability, and the level of detail it offers. It includes data from the National Center for Health Statistics (National Center for Health Statistics 2020) and the U.S. Census Bureau, which are both reputable sources of demographic data.

The data was processed and cleaned using R(R Core Team 2020), a powerful statistical programming language. For key operations, please refer to the Section 6.

2.2 Variables

To better understand the data, a summary table was developed to provide a detailed description of each variable, explaining its relevance and how it contributes to our understanding of the topic. Our focus is not only on the direct measures of birth rates but also on a range of demographic and economic variables that offer a comprehensive view of the factors influencing fertility decisions during the recessionary period.

Table 1: First Ten Rows of Birth Rates Spanning from 1980 to 2020

Year	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40 to 44
1980	53.0	115.1	112.9	61.9	19.8	3.9
1981	52.2	112.2	111.5	61.4	20.0	3.8
1982	52.4	111.6	111.0	64.1	21.2	3.9
1983	51.4	107.8	108.5	64.9	22.0	3.9

Year	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40 to 44
1984	50.6	106.8	108.7	67.0	22.9	3.9
1985	51.0	108.3	111.0	69.1	24.0	4.0
1986	50.2	107.4	109.8	70.1	24.4	4.1
1987	50.6	107.9	111.6	72.1	26.3	4.4
1988	53.0	110.2	114.4	74.8	28.1	4.8
1989	57.3	113.8	117.6	77.4	29.9	5.2

Table 1, created with `kableExtra` (Zhu 2021), showcases the first ten rows of U.S. birth rates by age group from 1980 to 2020, with rates per 1,000 women. To further understand this table, `Year` represents the specific years within this span. Following are six columns representing various age groups: 15-19, 20-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, and 40-44 years. To examine the differences in birth rates between younger and older women, the data was aggregated into two age categories: `Younger` representing women aged 15-29, and `Older` for those aged 30-44. This aggregation facilitates a focused comparison across these distinct age groups.

Table 2: First Ten Rows of Birth Rates For Younger and Older Groups Spanning from 1980 to 2020

Year	Younger	Older
1980	93.7	28.5
1981	92.0	28.4
1982	91.7	29.7
1983	89.2	30.3
1984	88.7	31.3
1985	90.1	32.4
1986	89.1	32.9
1987	90.0	34.3
1988	92.5	35.9
1989	96.2	37.5

Table 2, built with `kableExtra` (Zhu 2021), displays the first ten rows of birth rates for younger and older demographics from 1980 to 2020. This is a more concise table that represents the estimand we are trying to explore. Similar to Table 1, it averages birth rates within selected age groups per year, providing a streamlined view for analysis.

2.3 Measurements

The measurement of birth rates in our study involves a detailed analysis of the annual number of live births per 1,000 women in various age groups, ranging from 15 to 44 years. These rates

were extracted from datasets provided by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) (National Center for Health Statistics 2020), ensuring accuracy and reliability in capturing demographic trends across the United States from 1980 to 2020.

For each age group, birth rates were calculated by dividing the total number of live births by the population of women in that age range, then multiplying by 1,000 to standardize the measure. This approach allows for comparison across different demographics and time periods, providing a clear picture of how birth rates have shifted, particularly in response to economic conditions such as the Great Recession.

In addition to age-specific birth rates, state-level data were also analyzed to identify geographic variations in birth rate trends. This involved mapping birth rates against state populations, taking into consideration the exclusion of data from states like Alaska and Hawaii where mapping constraints exist. Further details on this aspect of our study are discussed in Section 3.

3 Results

Section 3 presents the core findings from our analysis of US birth rates, specifically focusing on the changes observed over time, across different age groups of young women, and by state.

3.1 Overall Trend in US Birth Rates




Figure 1: Trend in Birth Rates in US

First, we examine the overall trend, showcasing a substantial decline following the Great Recession. Figure 1, reflecting the trend in birth rates in America over time, captures a significant decline in birth rates commencing around the onset of the Great Recession in 2007-2008. According to Kearney, Levine, and Pardue (2022), the economic stress associated with the Great Recession contributed to a marked downturn in birth rates, with a 7.2 percent drop from 68.1 to 63.2 births per 1,000 women observed between 2008 and 2011. This visual representation is particularly striking as it indicates a sharp and sustained decrease in birth rates coinciding with and following the Great Recession, without a subsequent recovery to pre-recession levels.

3.2 Trends in Birth Rates by Age Group




Figure 2: Trends in Birth Rates by Age Group

Transitioning to age-specific trends, we direct our attention to the young women's demographic. Figure 2 displays the birth rates segmented by age groups in the US from 1980 to 2020. Each line represents a different age group, showing distinct trends over time. It is evident that the younger age groups experienced a decline in birth rates after 2007, the year marked as the beginning of the Great Recession. Notably, the youngest age group, 15-19, had a significant decrease from rates that were once the highest among the groups. In contrast, the 40-44 age group maintained the lowest birth rates throughout and saw a steady slow increase.

3.3 Birth Rate Comparison between Young and Old Population




Figure 3: Birth Rate between Young and Old Population

To further visualize this birth rate change between age groups, we will categorize population between age 15 to 29 as young and the population between age 30 to 44 as old. The average birth rate of each group is calculated and displayed according to the corresponding year. The younger age group is represented by blue line and the older age group is represented by red line. It is evident from the Figure 3 that the younger age group is higher than that for the old population across the entire time span. However, it is also evident that there is a significant birth rate decrease in the young population after 2007, while older population maintains a slowly increasing trend. Moreover, it can be seen that the difference in birth rate between the two age groups is slowly decreasing, and from the current trend, this change in birth rate seems to be continuously dropping.

3.4 Change in Birth Rates by State

We then shift our focus to geographical variations in birth rates across states, presented in Figure 4. The graph portrays the change in birth rates by state across the United States over the period from 2004–2008 to 2015–2019, avoiding the immediate effects of the Great Recession by focusing on the periods before and after its main impact. The map's color gradient, which darkens with more significant decreases in birth rates, shows substantial variation across states.

Change in Birth Rate by State Over Time




Figure 4: Change in Birth Rates by State, 2004–2008 to 2015–2019

While the decline is widespread, some states, notably in the South, West, and in certain Southwestern and Mountain states, exhibit more significant decreases.

In conclusion, our findings provide a complete perspective of dropping birth rates in the United States, demonstrating both temporal and demographic tendencies. The upcoming [Section 4](#) will look at the various variables and biases that may be driving these trends.

4 Discussion

The findings of this study offer a layered perspective on the decline in birth rates among young people in the United States, particularly in the context of the Great Recession. Our analysis provides an understanding of the multifaceted influences on demographic trends.

4.1 Findings

In our replication of Kearney, Levine, and Pardue (2022), we reaffirmed the central finding that the Great Recession has had a lasting impact on birth rates among young people in the United States. Our analysis of Figures 1 and 2 showed a pronounced decline in birth rates across all age groups, with the steepest decrease observed among those aged 15-29. This trend extended beyond the economic recovery, suggesting that the Great Recession may have fundamentally altered the family planning trajectory of a generation.

4.2 Economic Impact Insights

The dramatic drop in birth rates among young Americans during and after the Great Recession demonstrates the profound impact of economic hardship on reproductive decisions. This decline in birth rates is not simply coincidental with the date of the recession, but rather indicates a deeper, more systemic influence of economic insecurity on personal life choices, notably the decision to establish or expand a family. The persistence of this pattern, even in the years after economic recovery, suggests that the effects of the recession went beyond immediate financial hardship, influencing long-term views of financial security and stability. This is further evidenced by the lack of rebound in birth rates post-recession, which might have been anticipated if the decline were solely due to immediate economic pressures.

The economic model of fertility provides a framework for understanding these patterns by taking into account the cost of pregnancy and raising as well as the opportunity costs associated with parental time and resources. The recession likely increased these expenditures and opportunity costs, making the decision to have children more difficult. Unemployment and job uncertainty may have caused a reevaluation of the feasibility of having children, resulting in the postponement or avoidance of childbirth. Furthermore, the economic downturn may have shifted young adults' expectations and desires for financial security, impacting their family planning decisions.

4.3 Societal and Technological Influences

Apart from economic factors, the decline in birth rates among young people also reflects broader societal and technological shifts. The period following the Great Recession coincided with significant changes in social norms, increased educational and career opportunities for

women, and advancements in reproductive technology. These factors collectively have empowered individuals, especially women, to make more independent decisions regarding their reproductive health and family planning.

As women's educational attainment and labor force involvement have increased, so has the opportunity cost of childbirth, potentially contributing to the drop in birth rates. Technological developments have given women greater control over their fertility, allowing for more intentional planning around childbirth. Social changes, such as delayed marriage and a growing acceptance of childlessness or smaller family sizes as realistic lifestyle options, further compound these tendencies.

The interaction of these economic, social, and technical elements has altered the landscape of family planning for young Americans. While the economic model of fertility provides a framework for understanding these developments, including sociological and technical effects provides a more complete view of the dynamics affecting current birth rate patterns.

4.4 Weaknesses

One limitation of our study is the potential for unobserved variables that could affect birth rates, such as cultural shifts and changes in social norms, which were not fully captured in the data. Additionally, the original study did not account for the influence of the Affordable Care Act and its potential impact on family planning decisions, an area our study also does not explore.

4.5 Future Research Directions

Future research should aim to disentangle these complex relationships further, perhaps through longitudinal studies or by incorporating more detailed data on individual socioeconomic status. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for developing policies that support young people in their family planning decisions during and after economic downturns.

5 References

- Hamilton, Martin, Brady E., and Michelle J. K. Osterman. 2021. “Births: Provisional Data for 2020.” *Vital Statistics Rapid Release*, no. 12: 6. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15620/cdc:104993>.
- Kearney, Melissa S., Phillip B. Levine, and Luke Pardue. 2022. “The Puzzle of Falling US Birth Rates Since the Great Recession.” *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 36 (1): 151–76. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.36.1.151>.
- Martin, Hamilton, Joyce A., and Anne K. Driscoll. 2021. “Births: Final Data for 2019.” *National Vital Statistics Report* 70 (2): 13. <https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.15620/cdc:100472>.
- Martin, Hamilton, Joyce A., and T. J. Mathews. 2017. “Births: Final Data for 2015.” *National Vital Statistics Report* 66 (1): 35. https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr66/nvsr66_01.pdf.
- Müller, Kirill. 2020. *Here: A Simpler Way to Find Your Files*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=here>.
- National Bureau of Economic Research. 2021. *NCHS Vital Statistics Natality Birth Data*. <https://data.nber.org/data/vital-statistics-natality-data.html>.
- National Center for Health Statistics. 2020. *Restricted-Use Vital Statistics Data*. <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/nvss-restricted-data.htm>.
- R Core Team. 2020. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Richard A. Becker, Original S code by, and Allan R. Wilks. R version by Ray Brownrigg. 2022. *Mapdata: Extra Map Databases*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=mapdata>.
- Wickham, Hadley. 2016. *Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York. <https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org>.
- Wickham, Hadley, Mara Averick, Jennifer Bryan, Winston Chang, Lucy D’Agostino McGowan, Romain François, Garrett Golemund, et al. 2019. “Welcome to the tidyverse.” *Journal of Open Source Software* 4 (43): 1686. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686>.
- Wickham, Hadley, Romain François, Lionel Henry, Kirill Müller, and Davis Vaughan. 2023. *Dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation*. <https://dplyr.tidyverse.org>.
- Wickham, Hadley, Evan Miller, and Danny Smith. 2023. *Haven: Import and Export ‘SPSS’, ‘Stata’ and ‘SAS’ Files*. <https://haven.tidyverse.org>.
- Zhu, Hao. 2021. *kableExtra: Construct Complex Table with ‘Kable’ and Pipe Syntax*. <http://haozhu233.github.io/kableExtra/>, <https://github.com/haozhu233/kableExtra>.

6 Appendix

6.1 Data Manipulation and Cleaning

Most of the data in our dataset was previously cleaned for the project we are replicating. Thus, figure 1 directly used data from `outputs/data/fig_1.csv` without any further cleaning necessary, as it simply displays the birth rate from 1980 to 2020. Thus, the cleaned data is directly stored to `outputs/data/fig_1.csv` from `inputs/data/fig_1.csv`.

In figure 2, the dataset was imported from `inputs/data/fig_2.csv` and used `dplyr`(Wickham et al. 2023) to select the birth rates of the six age groups (from 15 to 44). Furthermore, the selected data was renamed using `tidyverse`(Wickham et al. 2019) and changed into names that represent the content of the data better. Moreover, these data is then pivoted using `tidyverse`(Wickham et al. 2019) in order to be graphed properly using `ggplot2`(Wickham 2016).

In figure 3, the first dataset was imported from `inputs/data/fig_3.csv` and the dataset containing American states information was from `mapdata` (Richard A. Becker and Ray Brownrigg. 2022). The first dataset was first sliced to remove birth rate information regarding the states of Alaska and Hawaii, as these two states can't be properly shown in the dataset from `mapdata` (Richard A. Becker and Ray Brownrigg. 2022). Then, a temporary data frame is created with tibble from `tidyverse`(Wickham et al. 2019) with the abbreviated state names from `inputs/data/fig_3.csv` and the state full names. Moreover, the abbreviated state name in the first dataset is switched with the state full name using `left_join` from `dplyr`(Wickham et al. 2023), which is then selected and renamed using `tidyverse`(Wickham et al. 2019). After this, the adjusted dataset with the state full names and birth rate is merged with the second dataset that contains the American states information for further graphing with `haven`(Wickham, Miller, and Smith 2023).

In all three figures, the library `here` (Müller 2020) was used to ensure that the file path should be accessible in all directories.