

Wallet Risk Scoring Framework For Compound Protocol V2/V3

1. Data Collection Method

Sources

✓ Primary Data:

- Ethereum blockchain (via Etherscan API/Web3 providers)
- Compound Subgraph (The Graph) for protocol-specific events

✓ Secondary Data (if needed):

- DeFi Llama/Risk APIs (cross-protocol exposure)
- On-chain analytics (Nansen, Arkham for labeled addresses)

Key Metrics Collected

| Metric | Description |
|----------------|--|
| Transactions | All historical Compound interactions |
| Liquidations | Count of LiquidateBorrow events |
| Borrow/Supply | Frequency, amounts, collateral types |
| Health Factor | Min/max proximity to liquidation threshold |
| Cross-Protocol | Exposure to other DeFi apps (Aave, etc.) |

Scalability

- Batch processing** for large wallet sets
- Caching** to reduce API calls
- Rate limiting** to avoid throttling

2. Feature Selection & Rationale

Core Risk Indicators

| Feature | Risk Relevance | Weight |
|----------------------|--|--------|
| Liquidation Count | Direct evidence of under-collateralization | 30% |
| Borrow Frequency | High borrowing → leverage risk | 20% |
| Collateral Diversity | Over-reliance on volatile assets (e.g., single-token collateral) | 15% |
| Health Factor Trends | How close the wallet was to liquidation (even if avoided) | 15% |
| Transaction Velocity | Sudden spikes → potential hacking/panic selling | 10% |
| Cross-Protocol Risk | Exposure to high-risk strategies (e.g., leverage farming) | 10% |

3. Scoring Methodology

Risk Scale: 0-1000

- 0-300** = Low risk
- 301-600** = Moderate risk
- 601-1000** = High risk

Formula

Risk Score =
(Liquidation Score × 0.30) +
(Borrowing Score × 0.20) +
(Collateral Score × 0.15) +
(Health Factor Score × 0.15) +
(Transaction Velocity Score × 0.10) +
(Cross-Protocol Score × 0.10)

Normalization

- Each feature scaled **0-1** before weighting.
- **Example:** A wallet with **5 liquidations** (max observed = 10) → 5/10 = 0.5.

4. Justification of Risk Indicators

| Indicator | Why It Matters |
|----------------------|--|
| Liquidations | Proof of past collateral failures |
| Borrowing Frequency | Frequent borrowers = higher leverage risk |
| Collateral Diversity | Single-asset collateral → higher volatility risk |
| Health Factor Trends | Warns of near-liquidations before they happen |
| Transaction Velocity | Abnormal activity → potential exploit/hack |
| Cross-Protocol Risk | Using risky protocols (e.g., leverage farms) compounds systemic risk |

5. Validation & Scalability

Validation

- ✓ **Backtesting:** Compare scores against historical liquidations.
- ✓ **Known Risk Wallets:** Test against flagged addresses (e.g., hacked wallets).
- ✓ **Manual Audits:** Human review of sample wallets.

Scalability Enhancements

- **Parallel processing** for 10,000+ wallets
- **Tiered analysis:** Quick scan → deep dive for high-risk wallets
- **Dynamic updates:** Adjust weights if Compound changes parameters