**Exercise 1.1.** [10pt] Let a = 1485 and b = 1745

- [4pt] Use Euclidean algorithm to find gcd(1485, 1745)
- [4pt] Find  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $1485 \cdot \alpha + 1745 \cdot \beta = \gcd(1485, 1745)$ .
- [2pt] Compute lcm(1485, 1745). (3)

Solution: Using Euclidean algorithm we get:

$$1745 = 1 \cdot 1485 + 260$$
  $\Rightarrow \gcd(1485, 1745) = \gcd(1485, 260)$   
 $1485 = 5 \cdot 260 + 185$   $= \gcd(185, 260)$   
 $260 = 1 \cdot 185 + 75$   $= \gcd(185, 75)$   
 $185 = 2 \cdot 75 + 35$   $= \gcd(35, 75)$   
 $75 = 2 \cdot 35 + 5$   $= \gcd(35, 5)$   
 $35 = 7 \cdot 5 + 0$   $= \gcd(0, 5) = 5$ .

$$75 = 2 \cdot 35 + 5 \qquad \qquad = \gcd(35,5) \\ 35 = 7 \cdot 5 + 0 \qquad \qquad = \gcd(0,5) = 5.$$
 Proceeding from the bottom to the top we get a required expression for 5: 
$$5 = 75 - 2 \cdot 35 \\ = 75 - 2 \cdot (185 - 2 \cdot 75) = 5 \cdot 75 - 2 \cdot 185 \\ = 5 \cdot (260 - 185) - 2 \cdot 185 = 5 \cdot 260 - 7 \cdot 185 \\ = 5 \cdot 260 - 7 \cdot (1485 - 5 \cdot 260) = 40 \cdot 260 - 7 \cdot 1485 \\ = 40 \cdot (1745 - 1485) - 7 \cdot 1485 = 40 \cdot 1745 - 47 \cdot 1485$$
 Hence  $\alpha = -47$  and  $\beta = 40$  is a solution. This problem has infinitely many solutions. You can check yourself that for any solution  $(\alpha, \beta)$  a pair  $(\alpha - 1745, \beta + 1485)$  is a solution too. 
$$\lim(1485, 1745) = \frac{1485 \cdot 1745}{\gcd(1485, 1745)} = 518265.$$
 Exercise 1.2. [5pts] The Fibonacci numbers  $\{f_i\}$  are defined recurrently by 
$$\begin{cases} f_1 = 1; \\ f_2 = 1; \\ f_3 = f_1 + f_2; \\ \dots \\ f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}. \end{cases}$$
 Use Euclidean lemma to show that  $\gcd(f_n, f_{n+1}) = 1$ . Solution: Induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  we have: 
$$\gcd(f_1, f_2) = 1,$$
 which is true. Assume the result holds for  $k$ :

$$lcm(1485, 1745) = \frac{1485 \cdot 1745}{\gcd(1485, 1745)} = 518265.$$

$$\begin{cases} f_1 = 1; \\ f_2 = 1; \\ f_3 = f_1 + f_2; \\ \dots \\ f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}. \end{cases}$$

$$\gcd(f_1, f_2) = 1,$$

which is true. Assume the result holds for k:

$$\gcd(f_k, f_{k+1}) = 1,$$

and prove that  $gcd(f_{k+1}, f_{k+2}) = 1$ . Note that dividing  $f_{k+2}$  by  $f_{k+1}$  gives:

$$f_{k+2} = 1 \cdot f_{k+1} + f_k,$$

and, hence, by Euclidean Lemma:

$$\gcd(f_{k+1}, f_{k+2}) = \gcd(f_{k+1}, f_k) = 1.$$

Thus, the statement holds by induction on n.

and, hence, by Thus, the state Exercise 1.3. for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Exercise 1.3. [5pt] Use mathematical induction to prove that

$$6 \mid 7^n - 1$$

Solution: For n = 1 we have  $6 \mid 7 - 1$  which is true.

Assume that statement holds for some k, i.e.

$$6 \mid 7^k - 1,$$

which means that  $7^k - 1 = 6q$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ . We need to prove that  $6 \mid 7^{k+1} - 1$ . Indeed,

$$7^{k+1} - 1 = 7 \cdot 7^k - 1 = 7 \cdot (6q + 1) - 1 = 42q + 6 = 6(7q + 1),$$

which means that  $7^{k+1} - 1$  is divisible by 6.

**Exercise 1.4.** [5pts] Compute the remainder of division of  $3^{100}$  by 7.

Solution: Notice that,  $3^6 \equiv_7 1$ . Therefore,

$$3^{100} = (3^6)^{16} 3^4 \equiv_7 1^{16} 3^4 = 81 \equiv_7 4.$$

We can use induction to prove that  $6 \mid n(n+1)(2n+1)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . But a much easier approach is to notice that

$$6 \mid n(n+1)(2n+1) \iff n(n+1)(2n+1) \equiv_{6} 0$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow [n(n+1)(2n+1)]_{6} = [0]_{6}$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow [n] \cdot [n+1] \cdot [2n+1]_{6} = [0]_{6}.$$

The last equality is easy to check for every n, because there are just 6 congruence classes modulo 6.

**Exercise 1.5.** [5pts] Prove that  $6 \mid n(n+1)(2n+1)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by checking that  $[n]_6 \cdot [n+1]_6 \cdot [2n+1]_6 = [0]$  for each congruence class  $[n]_6$ .

Solution:

- For [n] = [0] we have  $[0] \cdot [1] \cdot [1] = [0]$ ;
- For [n] = [1] we have  $[1] \cdot [2] \cdot [3] = [0]$ ;
- For [n] = [2] we have  $[2] \cdot [3] \cdot [5] = [0]$ ;
- For [n] = [3] we have  $[3] \cdot [4] \cdot [1] = [0]$ ;
- For [n] = [4] we have  $[4] \cdot [5] \cdot [3] = [0]$ ;
- For [n] = [5] we have  $[5] \cdot [0] \cdot [5] = [0]$ .

Let X be a set. A function  $f: X \times X \to X$  is called a **binary function** on X. If there is no ambiguity (f is the only binary function) instead of writing f(a,b) we write  $a \cdot b$  or simply ab.

**Definition 1.1.** A binary function  $\cdot$  on a set X is

- commutative if ab = ba for every  $a, b \in X$ ;
- associative if (ab)c = a(bc) for every  $a, b, c \in X$ ;
- closed on a subset  $S \subset X$  if  $ab \in S$  for every  $a, b \in S$ ; in this event we also say that S is closed under  $\cdot$ . A restriction of  $\cdot$  of  $S \times S$  is a binary operation too.
- We say that  $x \in X$  is a multiplicative identity in  $(X, \cdot)$  if xy = yx = y for every  $y \in X$ .

We say that a and b **commute** in G if ab = ba.

**Exercise 1.6.** [+3pts] Consider the set of all complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  equipped with the standard multiplication  $\cdot$ . Which of the following subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$  are closed under  $\cdot$ ? Just circle appropriate sets, no explanation is required in this problem.

- $(1) \mathbb{R}$
- (2) The set of purely imaginary numbers  $\mathbb{R}i = \{ ai \mid a \in \mathbb{R} \}.$
- $(3) \{1, -1, i, -i\}.$
- (4) N.
- (5)  $\{a+b\sqrt{2}i \mid a,b \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$
- (6)  $\{-1,0,1\}$ .

Solution:

- (1) Yes.
- (2) No.
- (3) Yes.
- (4) Yes.
- (5) Yes.
- (6) Yes.

A binary function  $\cdot$  on a small set  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  can be defined by a table, called a composition (or multiplication) table

**Exercise 1.7.** [+4pts] Define  $\cdot$  on  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  using the table

- (1) Is  $\cdot$  commutative?
- (2) Is  $\cdot$  associative?
- (3) Is  $\cdot$  closed on  $\{a, b\}$ ?
- (4) Is there a multiplicative identity in  $(X, \cdot)$ ?

Explain your answers!

Solution:

- (1)  $\cdot$  is not commutative because  $a \cdot b = a \neq b = b \cdot a$ .
- (2)  $\cdot$  is not associative because  $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = a \cdot a = b \neq c = a \cdot c = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$ .

- (3)  $\cdot$  is not closed on  $\{a,b\}$  because  $b\cdot b=c\notin\{a,b\}$ . (4) No, we do not have a multiplicative identity:
- - -a is not an identity because  $a \cdot a \neq a$ ;
  - b is not an identity because  $a \cdot b \neq a$ ; c is not an identity because  $a \cdot c \neq b$ .