

12. Elliptic curve cryptography.

A. Ushakov

MA503, April 20, 2022

Contents

Here we discuss the elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem (ECDLP) and basic protocols that use computational hardness of the ECDLP.

- The elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem (ECDLP).
- ECDLP: complexity.
- Babystep-giantstep algorithm: example.
- Pohlig–Hellman algorithm: example.
- Elliptic Diffie–Hellman key exchange. Example.
- Elliptic curve computational DH problem (ECCDH).
- Elliptic ElGamal PKC. Example.

The elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem (ECDLP)

DLP in a finite field F is an algorithmic question for given h, g to find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $h = g^n$ in F .

The discrete logarithm problem (DLP) in \mathcal{E} is an algorithmic question for given h, g to find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $h = n \cdot g$.

For instance, for $y^2 = x^3 + 3x + 8$ over \mathbb{Z}_{13} and $g = (1, 5)$ we have

$0(1, 5) = \mathcal{O}$	$\log_{(1,5)}(\mathcal{O}) = 0$	$5(1, 5) = (12, 11)$	$\log_{(1,5)}(12, 11) = 5$
$1(1, 5) = (1, 5)$	$\log_{(1,5)}(1, 5) = 1$	$6(1, 5) = (9, 6)$	$\log_{(1,5)}(9, 6) = 6$
$2(1, 5) = (2, 10)$	$\log_{(1,5)}(2, 10) = 2$	$7(1, 5) = (2, 3)$	$\log_{(1,5)}(2, 3) = 7$
$3(1, 5) = (9, 7)$	$\log_{(1,5)}(9, 7) = 3$	$8(1, 5) = (1, 8)$	$\log_{(1,5)}(1, 8) = 8$
$4(1, 5) = (12, 2)$	$\log_{(1,5)}(12, 2) = 4$	$9(1, 5) = \mathcal{O}$	

If $h = n \cdot g$ has a solution, then it has infinitely many solutions of the form $[n]_{|g|}$.

ECDLP: complexity

ECDLP (the problem to compute $\log_g(\cdot)$ for $g \in \mathcal{E}$) has no faster than $O(\sqrt{|g|})$ solutions.

There are several general algorithms for ECDLP.

- Babystep-giantstep algorithm solves ECDLP in $O(\sqrt{|g|})$ time.
- Pohlig–Hellman algorithm solves ECDLP efficiently if $|g|$ is a product of small prime powers.

There are no index calculus algorithms known for the ECDLP.

ECDLP can be solved in polynomial-time on a quantum computer.

Babystep-giantstep algorithm: example

To compute $\log_g(h)$ for $g, h \in \mathcal{E}$, compute $n = 1 + \lfloor \sqrt{|\mathcal{E}|} \rfloor$ and construct two lists

- **(babysteps)** $\mathcal{O}, 1 \cdot g, 2 \cdot g, 3 \cdot g, \dots, n \cdot g,$
- **(giantsteps)** $h, h - n \cdot g, h - 2n \cdot g, h - 3n \cdot g, \dots, h - n^2 \cdot g.$

Find a match $i \cdot g = h - jn \cdot g$ and output $jn + i$.

The curve \mathcal{E} defined by $y^2 = x^3 + 2x + 9$ over \mathbb{Z}_{67} has 75 elements and $(8, 1)$ is primitive in \mathcal{E} . To compute $\log_{(8,1)}(61, 7)$ we compute $n = 1 + \lfloor \sqrt{75} \rfloor = 9$.

(Babysteps)

$0 \cdot g = \mathcal{O}$	$3 \cdot g = (0, 64)$	$6 \cdot g = (15, 8)$
$1 \cdot g = (8, 1)$	$4 \cdot g = (9, 32)$	$7 \cdot g = (45, 29)$
$2 \cdot g = (13, 50)$	$5 \cdot g = (6, 61)$	$8 \cdot g = (11, 42).$

(Giantsteps)

$h = (61, 7)$	$h - 27 \cdot g = (15, 8)$	$h - 54 \cdot g = (30, 66)$
$h - 9 \cdot g = (26, 4)$	$h - 36 \cdot g = (0, 3)$	$h - 63 \cdot g = (66, 26)$
$h - 18 \cdot g = (17, 47)$	$h - 45 \cdot g = (5, 12)$	$h - 72 \cdot h = (46, 35).$

Hence, $6 \cdot g = h - 27 \cdot g$. Therefore, $h = 33 \cdot g$.

Pohlig–Hellman algorithm: example

Pohlig–Hellman algorithm can be used to solve ECDLP.

- Consider the curve \mathcal{E} defined by $y^2 = x^3 + x + 5$ of order $|\mathcal{E}| = 30 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$.
- The point $g = (12, 11)$ is primitive on \mathcal{E} , i.e., its order is 30.

Let $h = (21, 6)$. To compute $\log_g(h)$ using Pohlig–Hellman we compute the following:

$$\begin{array}{llll} N_1 = 15 & g_1 = 15g = (10, 0) & h_1 = 15h = (10, 0) & \log_{(10,0)}((10,0)) = 1 = k_1 \\ N_2 = 10 & g_2 = 10g = (26, 2) & h_2 = 10h = (26, 27) & \log_{(26,2)}((26,27)) = -1 = k_2 \\ N_3 = 6 & g_3 = 6g = (6, 13) & h_3 = 6h = (16, 12) & \log_{(6,13)}((16,12)) = k_3. \end{array}$$

We can compute $\log_{(6,13)}((16,12))$ directly by computing multiples of $(6, 13)$ (it is better than computing multiples of g) and get $k_3 = 2$. Finally we reconstruct $k = \log_g(h)$ using CRT

$$\begin{cases} k \equiv_2 1 \\ k \equiv_3 -1 \\ k \equiv_5 2 \end{cases}$$

and get $k = 17$.

Pohlig–Hellman algorithm is efficient if $|g|$ is a product of small powers $p_i^{a_i}$.

Elliptic Diffie–Hellman key exchange

Recall that the goal of a key exchange protocol is to allow two parties establish a common shared key.

Key generation (performed by Alice or by Bob):

- Choose sufficiently large prime field $F = \mathbb{Z}_p$.
- Choose an elliptic curve \mathcal{E} over \mathbb{Z}_p (i.e., a Weierstrass equation).
- Choose a primitive element $g \in \mathcal{E}$.

Encryption step performed by Alice:

- Choose a random $a \in \mathbb{N}$ (Alice's private key);
compute $A = a \cdot g$ (Alice's public key);
send A to Bob.

Encryption step performed by Bob:

- Choose a random $b \in \mathbb{N}$ (Bob's private key);
compute $B = b \cdot g$ (Bob's public key);
send B to Alice.

Computing the shared key (performed by Alice): $K = a \cdot B$.

Computing the shared key (performed by Bob): $K = b \cdot A$.

It is easy to check that

$$a \cdot B = (ab) \cdot g = b \cdot A,$$

i.e., Alice and Bob get the same element K .

DH: example

For instance, for the curve \mathcal{E} defined by $y^2 = x^3 + 2x + 9$ over \mathbb{Z}_{13} .

	\mathcal{O}	(0, 3)	(0, 10)	(1, 5)	(1, 8)	(3, 4)	(3, 9)	(4, 4)	(4, 9)	(5, 1)	(5, 12)	(6, 4)	(6, 9)	(8, 2)	(8, 11)	(11, 6)	(11, 7)
\mathcal{O}	\mathcal{O}	(0, 3)	(0, 10)	(1, 5)	(1, 8)	(3, 4)	(3, 9)	(4, 4)	(4, 9)	(5, 1)	(5, 12)	(6, 4)	(6, 9)	(8, 2)	(8, 11)	(11, 6)	(11, 7)
(0, 3)	(0, 3)	\mathcal{O}	\mathcal{O}	(3, 4)	(11, 7)	(0, 10)	(1, 8)	(5, 12)	(8, 11)	(4, 9)	(5, 1)	(11, 6)	(8, 2)	(4, 4)	(6, 4)	(1, 5)	(6, 9)
(0, 10)	(0, 10)	\mathcal{O}	\mathcal{O}	(3, 4)	(11, 6)	(3, 9)	(1, 5)	(0, 3)	(8, 2)	(5, 1)	(5, 12)	(4, 4)	(8, 11)	(11, 7)	(6, 9)	(4, 9)	(6, 4)
(1, 5)	(1, 5)	(3, 4)	(11, 6)	(8, 11)	\mathcal{O}	(6, 4)	(0, 10)	(11, 7)	(4, 4)	(8, 2)	(6, 9)	(5, 1)	(3, 9)	(1, 8)	(5, 12)	(4, 9)	(0, 3)
(1, 8)	(1, 8)	(11, 7)	(3, 9)	\mathcal{O}	(8, 2)	(0, 3)	(6, 9)	(4, 9)	(11, 6)	(6, 4)	(8, 11)	(3, 4)	(5, 12)	(5, 1)	(1, 5)	(0, 10)	(4, 4)
(3, 4)	(3, 4)	(0, 10)	(1, 5)	(6, 4)	(0, 3)	(11, 6)	\mathcal{O}	(6, 9)	(5, 12)	(4, 4)	(8, 2)	(4, 9)	(1, 8)	(11, 7)	(5, 1)	(8, 11)	(3, 9)
(3, 9)	(3, 9)	(1, 8)	(0, 3)	(0, 10)	(6, 9)	\mathcal{O}	(11, 7)	(5, 1)	(6, 4)	(8, 11)	(4, 9)	(1, 5)	(4, 4)	(5, 12)	(11, 6)	(3, 4)	(8, 2)
(4, 4)	(4, 4)	(5, 12)	(8, 2)	(11, 7)	(4, 9)	(6, 9)	(5, 1)	(1, 5)	\mathcal{O}	(0, 10)	(3, 4)	(3, 9)	(6, 4)	(11, 6)	(0, 3)	(1, 8)	(8, 11)
(4, 9)	(4, 9)	(8, 11)	(5, 1)	(4, 4)	(11, 6)	(5, 12)	(6, 4)	\mathcal{O}	(1, 8)	(3, 9)	(0, 3)	(6, 9)	(3, 4)	(0, 10)	(11, 7)	(8, 2)	(1, 5)
(5, 1)	(5, 1)	(4, 9)	(5, 12)	(8, 2)	(6, 4)	(4, 4)	(8, 11)	(0, 10)	(3, 9)	(0, 3)	\mathcal{O}	(11, 7)	(1, 5)	(3, 4)	(1, 8)	(6, 9)	(11, 6)
(5, 12)	(5, 12)	(5, 1)	(4, 4)	(6, 9)	(8, 11)	(8, 2)	(4, 9)	(3, 4)	(0, 3)	\mathcal{O}	(0, 10)	(1, 8)	(11, 6)	(1, 5)	(3, 9)	(11, 7)	(6, 4)
(6, 4)	(6, 4)	(11, 6)	(8, 11)	(5, 1)	(3, 4)	(4, 9)	(1, 5)	(3, 9)	(6, 9)	(11, 7)	(1, 8)	(4, 4)	\mathcal{O}	(0, 3)	(8, 2)	(5, 12)	(0, 10)
(6, 9)	(6, 9)	(8, 2)	(11, 7)	(3, 9)	(5, 12)	(1, 8)	(4, 4)	(6, 4)	(3, 4)	(1, 5)	(11, 6)	\mathcal{O}	(4, 9)	(8, 11)	(0, 10)	(0, 3)	(5, 1)
(8, 2)	(8, 2)	(4, 4)	(6, 9)	(1, 8)	(5, 1)	(11, 7)	(5, 12)	(11, 6)	(0, 10)	(3, 4)	(1, 5)	(0, 3)	(8, 11)	(6, 4)	\mathcal{O}	(3, 9)	(4, 9)
(8, 11)	(8, 11)	(6, 4)	(4, 9)	(5, 12)	(1, 5)	(5, 1)	(11, 6)	(0, 3)	(11, 7)	(1, 8)	(3, 9)	(8, 2)	(0, 10)	\mathcal{O}	(6, 9)	(4, 4)	(3, 4)
(11, 6)	(11, 6)	(1, 5)	(6, 4)	(4, 9)	(0, 10)	(8, 11)	(3, 4)	(1, 8)	(8, 2)	(6, 9)	(11, 7)	(5, 12)	(0, 3)	(3, 9)	(4, 4)	(5, 1)	\mathcal{O}
(11, 7)	(11, 7)	(6, 9)	(1, 8)	(0, 3)	(4, 4)	(3, 9)	(8, 2)	(8, 11)	(1, 5)	(11, 6)	(6, 4)	(0, 10)	(5, 1)	(4, 9)	(3, 4)	\mathcal{O}	(5, 12)

Since $|\mathcal{E}| = 17$, every nontrivial element is primitive. So, let's choose $g = (0, 3)$.

- **Encryption step performed by Alice:** Alice chooses her private key $a = 6$, and sends $A = 6 \cdot (0, 3) = (8, 2)$ to Bob.
- **Encryption step performed by Bob:** Bob chooses his private key $b = 5$, and sends $B = 5 \cdot (0, 3) = (6, 9)$ to Alice.

Alice computes the shared key: $K = 6 \cdot (6, 9) = (11, 6)$.

Computing the shared key (performed by Bob): $K = 5 \cdot (8, 2) = (11, 6)$.

Elliptic curve computational DH problem (ECCDH)

A passive eavesdropper Eve collects public information:

- The initial information: description of \mathcal{E} and the base element $g \in \mathcal{E}$.
- Alice's public key: $a \cdot g$.
- Bob's public key: $b \cdot g$.

Eve's goal is to find the shared key $(ab) \cdot g$.

(ECCDH for an elliptic curve \mathcal{E})

Given $(g, a \cdot g, b \cdot g)$ compute $(ab) \cdot g$.

Security of elliptic curve Diffie-Hellman key-exchange relies on computational hardness of ECCDH.

Elliptic ElGamal PKC

Key generation (performed by Alice):

- Choose sufficiently large prime field $F = \mathbb{Z}_p$.
- Choose an elliptic curve \mathcal{E} over \mathbb{Z}_p (i.e., a Weierstrass equation).
- Choose a primitive element $g \in \mathcal{E}$.
- Choose $a \in \mathbb{N}$ (**Alice's private key**) and compute $A = a \cdot g$.

Finally, Alice publishes the triple (\mathcal{E}, g, A) , called the **Alice's public key**.

Encryption (performed by Bob):

To encrypt the message $m \in \mathcal{E}$ Bob

- picks a (secret) random $j \in \mathcal{E}$;
 - computes $c_1 = j \cdot g$ and $c_2 = m + j \cdot A$;
 - sends the pair (c_1, c_2) to Alice.
-

Decryption (performed by Alice):

- Alice computes $c_2 - a \cdot c_1$. The obtained point is m .
-

It is easy to check that $m = c_2 - a \cdot c_1$ because

$$c_2 - a \cdot c_1 = (m + j \cdot A) - (aj) \cdot g = m + aj \cdot g - aj \cdot g = m.$$

Alice, indeed, obtains Bob's plaintext m .

Elliptic ElGamal PKC: example

Key generation (Alice): choose \mathcal{E} defined by $y^2 = x^3 + 2x + 9$ over \mathbb{Z}_{13} .

	\mathcal{O}	(0,3)	(0,10)	(1,5)	(1,8)	(3,4)	(3,9)	(4,4)	(4,9)	(5,1)	(5,12)	(6,4)	(6,9)	(8,2)	(8,11)	(11,6)	(11,7)
\mathcal{O}	\mathcal{O}	(0,3)	(0,10)	(1,5)	(1,8)	(3,4)	(3,9)	(4,4)	(4,9)	(5,1)	(5,12)	(6,4)	(6,9)	(8,2)	(8,11)	(11,6)	(11,7)
(0,3)	(0,3)	(3,9)	\mathcal{O}	(3,4)	(11,7)	(0,10)	(1,8)	(5,12)	(8,11)	(4,9)	(5,1)	(11,6)	(8,2)	(4,4)	(6,4)	(1,5)	(6,9)
(0,10)	(0,10)	\mathcal{O}	(3,4)	(11,6)	(3,9)	(1,5)	(0,3)	(8,2)	(5,1)	(5,12)	(4,4)	(8,11)	(11,7)	(6,9)	(4,9)	(6,4)	(1,8)
(1,5)	(1,5)	(3,4)	(11,6)	(8,11)	\mathcal{O}	(6,4)	(0,10)	(11,7)	(4,4)	(8,2)	(6,9)	(5,1)	(3,9)	(1,8)	(5,12)	(4,9)	(0,3)
(1,8)	(1,8)	(11,7)	(3,9)	\mathcal{O}	(8,2)	(0,3)	(6,9)	(4,9)	(11,6)	(6,4)	(8,11)	(3,4)	(5,12)	(5,1)	(1,5)	(0,10)	(4,4)
(3,4)	(3,4)	(0,10)	(1,5)	(6,4)	(0,3)	(11,6)	\mathcal{O}	(6,9)	(5,12)	(4,4)	(8,2)	(4,9)	(1,8)	(11,7)	(5,1)	(8,11)	(3,9)
(3,9)	(3,9)	(1,8)	(0,3)	(0,10)	(6,9)	\mathcal{O}	(11,7)	(5,1)	(6,4)	(8,11)	(4,9)	(1,5)	(4,4)	(5,12)	(11,6)	(3,4)	(8,2)
(4,4)	(4,4)	(5,12)	(8,2)	(11,7)	(4,9)	(6,9)	(5,1)	(1,5)	\mathcal{O}	(0,10)	(3,4)	(3,9)	(6,4)	(11,6)	(0,3)	(1,8)	(8,11)
(4,9)	(4,9)	(8,11)	(5,1)	(4,4)	(11,6)	(5,12)	(6,4)	\mathcal{O}	(1,8)	(3,9)	(0,3)	(6,9)	(3,4)	(0,10)	(11,7)	(8,2)	(1,5)
(5,1)	(5,1)	(4,9)	(5,12)	(8,2)	(6,4)	(4,4)	(8,11)	(0,10)	(3,9)	(0,3)	\mathcal{O}	(11,7)	(1,5)	(3,4)	(1,8)	(6,9)	(11,6)
(5,12)	(5,12)	(5,1)	(4,4)	(6,9)	(8,11)	(8,2)	(4,9)	(3,4)	(0,3)	\mathcal{O}	(0,10)	(1,8)	(11,6)	(1,5)	(3,9)	(11,7)	(6,4)
(6,4)	(6,4)	(11,6)	(8,11)	(5,1)	(3,4)	(4,9)	(1,5)	(3,9)	(6,9)	(11,7)	(1,8)	(4,4)	\mathcal{O}	(0,3)	(8,2)	(5,12)	(0,10)
(6,9)	(6,9)	(8,2)	(11,7)	(3,9)	(5,12)	(1,8)	(4,4)	(6,4)	(3,4)	(1,5)	(11,6)	\mathcal{O}	(4,9)	(8,11)	(0,10)	(0,3)	(5,1)
(8,2)	(8,2)	(4,4)	(6,9)	(1,8)	(5,1)	(11,7)	(5,12)	(11,6)	(0,10)	(3,4)	(1,5)	(0,3)	(8,11)	(6,4)	\mathcal{O}	(3,9)	(4,9)
(8,11)	(8,11)	(6,4)	(4,9)	(5,12)	(1,5)	(5,1)	(11,6)	(0,3)	(11,7)	(1,8)	(3,9)	(8,2)	(0,10)	\mathcal{O}	(6,9)	(4,4)	(3,4)
(11,6)	(11,6)	(1,5)	(6,4)	(4,9)	(0,10)	(8,11)	(3,4)	(1,8)	(8,2)	(6,9)	(11,7)	(5,12)	(0,3)	(3,9)	(4,4)	(5,1)	\mathcal{O}
(11,7)	(11,7)	(6,9)	(1,8)	(0,3)	(4,4)	(3,9)	(8,2)	(8,11)	(1,5)	(11,6)	(6,4)	(0,10)	(5,1)	(4,9)	(3,4)	\mathcal{O}	(5,12)

- Since $|\mathcal{E}| = 17$, every nontrivial element is primitive. Choose $g = (0, 3)$.
- Choose $a = 7 \in \mathbb{N}$ and compute $A = 7 \cdot (0, 3) = (4, 4)$.

Alice publishes her public key $(\mathcal{E}, (0, 3), (4, 4))$.

Encryption (performed by Bob):

To encrypt the message $m = (8, 11) \in \mathcal{E}$ Bob

- chooses $j = 2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and computes $c_1 = j \cdot g = (3, 9)$ and $c_2 = m + j \cdot A = (8, 11) + 2 \cdot (4, 4) = (5, 12)$.
- sends the pair $((3, 9), (5, 12))$ to Alice.

Decryption (performed by Alice): $m = c_2 - a \cdot c_1 = (5, 12) - 7(3, 9) = (8, 11)$