

# **Project Title – Delivery Agent System (Rohit Kumar Singh-UE203093, Shantnu Kumar-UE203101, Priyanshu Singh-UE203087, Priyanshu Bisht-UE203085)**

## **Table of Contents**

### **1. Identify project scope and objectives**

- 1.1 Identify objectives and measures of effectiveness in meeting them
- 1.2 Establish a project authority
- 1.3 Identifying Stake holders
- 1.4 Modifying objectives in the light of stakeholder analysis
- 1.5 Establishing methods of communication with all parties

### **2. Project Infrastructure**

- 2.1 Establishing relationship between project and strategic planning
- 2.2 Installation standards and procedures
- 2.3 Project Team Organization

### **3. Analysing Project Characteristics**

- 3.1 Distinguishing the project as either objective or product-driven
- 3.2 Analysing other project characteristics
- 3.3 Identifying high level project risks
- 3.4 Taking into account user requirements concerning implementation
- 3.5 Selecting general life-cycle approach

### **4. Identifying project product and activities**

- 4.1 Identifying and describing project products (including quality criteria)
- 4.2 Document generic product flows
- 4.3 Recognize product instances
- 4.4 Producing ideal activity network
- 4.5 Modifying idea to take into account need for stages and checkpoints

### **5. Estimating effort for each activity**

- 5.1 Carrying out bottom-up estimates
- 5.2 Revise plan to create controllable activities

## **6. Identifying Activity Risks**

- 6.1 Identifying and quantifying activity-based risks
- 6.2 Plan risk reduction and contingency measures where appropriate
- 6.3 Adjust plans and estimates to take account of risks

## **7. Allocating Resources**

- 7.1 Identifying and allocating resources
- 7.2 Revise plans and estimates to take account of resource constraints

## **8. Review/publicize plan**

- 8.1 Review quality aspects of project plan
- 8.2 Document plans and obtain agreement

## **9. Execute plan/lower levels of planning**

# **1. Introduction**

## **1.1 Identify project scope and Objectives**

In the context of implementing a Delivery Agent System (DAS), identifying the project scope and objectives is crucial. The project's scope defines what the DAS will encompass and the boundaries it will operate within. Objectives, on the other hand, specify the desired outcomes and goals that the project aims to achieve.

In this stage, we have established the project's scope and objectives for our Delivery Agent System (DAS) project. The project scope encompasses defining the geographical area for deliveries, the types of products or services to be delivered, and the channels of delivery. The project objectives we've identified include enhancing delivery efficiency, reducing delivery times, improving customer satisfaction, and optimizing the routes of our delivery agents.

## **1.2 Establish a Project Authority**

In software project management, establishing a project authority is essential for ensuring clear lines of responsibility and decision-making. In the case of the DAS project, a project authority could consist of a project manager or a project steering committee. This authority will be responsible for overseeing the project, making critical decisions, allocating resources, and ensuring that the project stays on track.

- Rohit Kumar Singh – UE203093
- Shantnu Kumar – UE203101
- Priyanhsu Bisht – UE203085
- Priyanshu Singh – UE203087

## **1.3 Identify Stakeholders**

We've identified a comprehensive list of stakeholders for the DAS project. These stakeholders encompass a wide range of individuals and groups, including customers, delivery agents, business owners, IT teams, and regulatory bodies. Recognizing these stakeholders allows us to gather and incorporate their input and needs into project requirements and objectives.

## **1.4 Modify Objectives in Light of Stakeholder Analysis**

Following our stakeholder analysis, we've revisited the project objectives and made adjustments to ensure alignment with stakeholder needs. For instance, we've prioritized real-time delivery tracking based on customer feedback, demonstrating our commitment to delivering a system that caters to their specific requirements.

## **1.5 Establishing Methods of Communication with All Parties**

To facilitate effective communication with all relevant parties, we've implemented methods such as regular status meetings, collaboration tools, and communication plans. These measures guarantee that all stakeholders are kept well-informed about the project's progress and goals.

# **2. Identifying Project Infrastructure**

## **2.1 Establishing relationship between project and strategic planning**

We have successfully established a strong relationship between our Delivery Agent System (DAS) project and our organization's strategic planning. This alignment ensures that the project objectives and outcomes are consistent with the organization's long-term goals. It's essential that the DAS project supports the strategic goals, such as improving customer service, expanding market reach, or enhancing operational efficiency, to maximize our competitive advantage.

## **2.2 Identifying Installation Standards and Procedures**

We've diligently identified installation standards and procedures to ensure a smooth deployment of the DAS. These standards cover the installation of software, hardware, and network configurations. Detailed procedures are in place for initial installations, updates, and maintenance, which are all well-documented to prevent technical issues and minimize downtime.

## **2.3 Identifying Project Team Organization**

Identifying the project team organization is a critical step in structuring the project for success. In the context of the DAS project, the project team may

include software developers, quality assurance engineers, business analysts, project managers, and other relevant roles. Task 2.3 involves defining the roles and responsibilities of team members, establishing reporting structures, and ensuring that the team is well-organized and aligned with project objectives.

The project team organization has been clearly identified for our DAS project. It includes various roles such as software developers, quality assurance engineers, business analysts, project managers, and more. We've defined the responsibilities of each team member, established reporting structures, and ensured that the team is well-organized and aligned with our project objectives. This structured organization of the project team is vital for effective project execution, as it ensures that resources are allocated efficiently and team members are aware of their roles and responsibilities.

### **3.     Analysing Project Characteristics**

#### **3.1   Distinguishing the Project as Object or Product Driven**

In the intricate landscape of our project management for the Delivery Agent System (DAS), a paramount step has been undertaken. We have meticulously classified our project as either objective-driven or product-driven. This crucial distinction has far-reaching implications on the project's trajectory.

For our DAS, which endeavours to revolutionize delivery operations, the project is decidedly objective-driven. It is the attainment of specific, measurable goals that propels our efforts. This includes optimizing delivery efficiency, reducing delivery times, enhancing customer satisfaction, and honing the precision of delivery agent routes. The project, therefore, centers around the accomplishment of these tangible objectives, which will redefine our operational landscape.

#### **3.2   Analyse Other Project Characteristics**

Beyond the project's core orientation, we've undertaken an analysis of other critical project characteristics. This analysis encompasses the project's size, complexity, duration, and dependencies. For our DAS project, we've considered factors such as the scale of delivery operations, the intricacy of delivery routes, and external dependencies like weather conditions and regulatory requirements.

Understanding these characteristics informs our project management approach, resource allocation, and risk management.

### **3.3 Identify High-Level Project Risks**

As part of our comprehensive project assessment, we've identified high-level project risks. These risks span a spectrum of potential challenges, including delays in software development, disruptions to delivery operations, shifts in customer demand, and regulatory changes. Identifying these risks proactively allows us to develop mitigation strategies and contingency plans, ensuring the project's resilience in the face of adversity.

### **3.4 Take into Account User Requirements Concerning Implementation**

In our project planning, we've given special attention to user requirements related to implementation. This user-centric approach has involved understanding and documenting specific requirements from customers, who may require real-time delivery tracking, and from delivery agents, who may need route optimization tools. These requirements are central to the project plan and guide the implementation process to ensure the DAS is tailored to meet user needs effectively.

### **3.5 Select General Life-Cycle Approach**

Our project management decisions have included the selection of a suitable life-cycle approach. This approach, such as Agile, Waterfall, or DevOps, governs the project's structure and execution. It impacts project planning, team organization, and resource allocation. Our choice ensures a coherent and efficient approach to project management, reflecting the methodology best suited to our goals.

This professional and succinct approach to Task 3 ensures that we navigate the project landscape with clarity and precision.

## **4. Identifying Project Product and Activities**

### **4.1 Identify Project Product and Activities**

In this phase, we've diligently identified the project product and related activities for the Delivery Agent System (DAS) project. The project product, in this case, is the DAS itself, which encompasses a range of components,

including the user interface, delivery scheduling algorithms, route optimization, real-time tracking, and more. Additionally, we have thoroughly documented the specific criteria that define the quality and functionality of each product component.

Regarding project activities, we've laid out a comprehensive list of tasks necessary for the creation, testing, and deployment of the DAS. These activities include software development, quality assurance testing, user acceptance testing, and deployment planning. This detailed list forms the basis for our project plan, ensuring that every necessary task is accounted for.

## **4.2 Document Generic Product Flows**

We have carefully documented generic product flows as part of our project planning. These flows depict how the various components of the DAS interact, conveying the seamless movement of information and actions within the system. This documentation is critical for gaining a clear understanding of the system's architecture and will serve as a valuable reference throughout the project's design and development phases.

## **4.3 Recognize Product Instances**

In our project, we've recognized the need to accommodate product instances within the DAS. These instances represent variations or customizations of the system to cater to specific client or regional requirements. This recognition ensures that the DAS can be adapted to a variety of customer needs. By acknowledging and planning for these product instances, we're prepared to provide tailored solutions and meet diverse customer expectations.

## **4.4 Product Ideal Activity Network**

We've developed an ideal activity network that outlines the sequence and dependencies of project activities. This network serves as the foundation for the project timeline, resource allocation, and task management. For instance, it defines the order of execution, such as software development preceding quality assurance testing and user acceptance testing leading to deployment planning. This network ensures a structured and organized project plan.

## **4.5 Modify Ideal to Take into Account Need for Stages and Checkpoints**

While the ideal activity network provides the overall sequence of tasks, we've introduced stages and checkpoints to enhance project quality and control. These stages represent distinct phases, such as development, testing, and deployment. The checkpoints serve as milestones for evaluating project progress and quality at critical junctures.

For example, after the development stage, a checkpoint may include a review of the software's functionality and code quality. If issues are identified, they can be addressed before advancing to the testing phase. These stages and checkpoints instill structure and control within the project, preventing issues from escalating and ensuring that project quality is upheld.

These steps within Task 4 establish a robust foundation for our project planning and execution, guaranteeing that the DAS project is well-structured and prepared for success.

## **5. Estimating Effort for Each Activity**

### **5.1 Carry out Bottom-Up Estimates**

We've meticulously conducted bottom-up estimates, a crucial aspect of project planning for the Delivery Agent System (DAS) project. This process involved breaking down project activities into granular, manageable tasks. Each task was evaluated in terms of the time, effort, and resources required for its successful execution. These detailed estimates have provided us with a comprehensive view of the project's resource needs and a precise understanding of the project timeline.

These estimates are instrumental in resource allocation and timeline planning, enabling us to ensure that the project remains on track and within the predefined constraints.



## **5.2 Revise Plan to Create Controllable Activities**

Following the bottom-up estimates, we've taken the necessary steps to revise the project plan. This revision is designed to create controllable activities, ensuring that the project remains within manageable bounds. We've refined the project schedule and resource allocation to accommodate the detailed estimates, allowing for a balanced and controllable plan.

For instance, if certain tasks were initially deemed resource-intensive or had unrealistic timeframes, we've adjusted them to achieve a more manageable and balanced project plan. This ensures that the project stays on track and maintains its quality.

These steps are instrumental in the development of a realistic project plan, aligning with project objectives and constraints, and increasing the likelihood of successful project execution.

## **6. Identify Activity Risks**

### **6.1 Identify and Quantify Activity-Based Risks**

Our project management for the Delivery Agent System (DAS) project includes a systematic approach to identifying and quantifying activity-based risks. This process is critical in our risk management strategy. It involves assessing potential risks associated with specific project activities.

We've thoroughly analyzed each activity to pinpoint possible risks, whether they are technical challenges, resource constraints, or external dependencies. Additionally, we've quantified these risks in terms of their potential impact and likelihood. This quantitative analysis empowers us to prioritize and plan risk mitigation strategies effectively.

For instance, we've identified the risk of key software development resources becoming unavailable. We've quantified this risk by assessing the potential delay it might cause and its likelihood. This insight enables us to allocate resources and develop contingency plans accordingly.

## **6.2 Plan Risk Reduction and Contingency Measures Where Appropriate**

In our proactive approach to risk management, we've planned risk reduction and contingency measures for the identified activity-based risks. These measures aim to mitigate risks and provide a safety net in case they materialize.

For instance, if we've identified the risk of resource unavailability, we've developed a risk reduction strategy that may involve cross-training team members or hiring additional resources to reduce dependency on specific individuals. In parallel, we've created contingency plans that outline steps to take if the risk materializes. These well-considered measures ensure that our project is equipped to navigate uncertainties effectively.

## **6.3 Adjust Plans and Estimates to Take Account of Risks**

Building on the identification and quantification of risks, we've made the necessary adjustments to project plans and estimates to account for potential risks. These adjustments include revising the project schedule, realigning resource allocation, and ensuring that budgets consider the potential impact of risks.

For instance, if there are risks related to external factors like regulatory changes affecting the delivery industry, we've revised our plans and timelines to accommodate potential delays or changes in requirements. These agile adjustments keep the project on track and responsive to the evolving risk landscape.

These steps within Task 6 empower our project management to navigate uncertainties and challenges effectively, ensuring that the DAS project remains resilient and adaptable.

# **7. Allocating Resources**

## **7.1 Identify and Allocate Resources**

In the project management for the Delivery Agent System (DAS) project, one of our primary responsibilities has been to identify and allocate resources. This

critical task involves determining the human, financial, and technical resources required for the project's successful execution.

We've conducted a comprehensive assessment of resource needs, which includes defining the roles and skills needed for the project team, budget allocation for hardware, software, and other project expenses, and the identification of tools, software licenses, and hardware required for development and testing.

Once identified, these resources are allocated judiciously to ensure that the right people with the right skills are available when needed, and that budget constraints are managed efficiently.

## **7.2 Revise Plan and Estimates to Take Account of Resource Constraints**

Following the identification and allocation of resources, we've revised the project plans and estimates to align with resource constraints. These constraints may arise due to limitations in human resources, budgetary constraints, or technological considerations.

For example, if budget limitations affect the scope of the DAS project, we've adjusted our plans accordingly. We may prioritize essential features or extend the project timeline to manage resource limitations effectively. This adaptation ensures that the project remains within its resource constraints while delivering value.

Effective resource management is paramount for the successful execution of the project, preventing resource bottlenecks and delays.

## **8. Review/Publicize Plans**

### **8.1 Review Quality Aspects of Project Plan**

As part of our project management for the Delivery Agent System (DAS) project, we've conducted a meticulous review of the quality aspects of the

project plan. This review is a critical step to ensure that the project is set up for success and adheres to best practices and quality standards.

Our thorough examination covered several key areas. We've assessed the plan's structure to ensure it is well-organized and easy to navigate. We've verified that it adequately addresses all project requirements, ensuring that no critical elements are overlooked. Additionally, we've examined the plan for potential risks and contingency plans, guaranteeing that it is prepared for unforeseen challenges.

This review process ensures that the project's quality is upheld, and that it aligns with the intended objectives and expectations.

## **8.2 Document Plans and Obtain Agreement**

nt for the project's scope, objectives, timeline, resource allocation, and risk management strategies.

Moreover, we've sought agreement and alignment from all relevant stakeholders. Stakeholders include the project team, senior management, project sponsors, and external partners involved in the DAS project. Obtaining this agreement ensures that everyone is on the same page regarding the project's goals, expectations, and execution strategies. It fosters a sense of commitment and shared purpose.

This task ensures that the project plan is well-documented and that all relevant stakeholders are aware of and aligned with the project's objectives and approach.

## **9. Execute Plan/Lowers Level of Planning**

In this critical phase, we have transitioned from the planning stage to the execution stage of our project management for the Delivery Agent System (DAS) project. It is here that all the meticulous planning and preparations are put into action.

We have taken the following steps:

**Execute Plan:** The project plan, which has undergone thorough review and alignment with quality standards, is now set in motion. This involves the actual implementation of project activities and tasks. Project team members are diligently working on software development, quality assurance, user acceptance testing, and all the other activities outlined in the plan. Regular status meetings and communication channels are ensuring that progress is monitored and issues are addressed promptly.

**Lower Levels of Planning:** As we delve into the execution phase, we are also aware that lower levels of planning are essential for precise task management. This involves breaking down project activities into detailed, manageable tasks, assigning responsibilities, and defining timelines. This granular approach ensures that each task is executed effectively and efficiently.

Throughout the execution phase, we remain vigilant, continuously monitoring progress, and making adjustments when necessary. Our goal is to ensure that the DAS project proceeds according to plan, meeting quality standards and timeline expectations