



DLP based Cryptography

Prof. Ashok K Bhateja

IIT Delhi

Discrete logarithm

- ▶ Let G be a finite cyclic group of order n . Let α be a generator of G , and let $\beta \in G$. The discrete logarithm of β to the base α , denoted $\log_{\alpha} \beta$, is the unique integer x , $0 \leq x \leq n - 1$, s.t. $\beta = \alpha^x$.
- ▶ Ex: $\alpha = 3$ and $\beta = 19683$, since $3^9 = 19683$
 $\therefore \log_3 19683 = 9$

Discrete logarithm problem

- Definition DLP: Example: Given a prime p , a generator α of Z_p^* , and an element $\beta \in Z_p^*$, find the integer x , $0 \leq x \leq p - 2$, such that

$$\alpha^x \equiv \beta \pmod{p}.$$

- Let $p = 97$. Z_{97}^* is a cyclic group of order 96.

A generator of Z_{97}^* is $\alpha = 5$.

Since $5^{32} \equiv 35 \pmod{97}$ therefore $\log_5 35 = 32$ in Z_{97}^* .

- Definition GDLP: Given a finite cyclic group G of order n , a generator α of G , and an element $\beta \in G$, find the integer x , $0 \leq x \leq n - 1$, such that $\alpha^x \equiv \beta$.

ElGamal public-key cryptosystem

- The security of the ElGamal public-key encryption scheme is based on the intractability of the discrete logarithm problem.
- It has the advantage the same plaintext gives a different ciphertext (with near certainty) each time it is encrypted.
- ElGamal has the disadvantage that the ciphertext is twice as long as the plaintext.

Key generation for ElGamal public-key encryption

- Each entity creates a public key and a corresponding private key
- Generate a large random prime p and a generator α of the multiplicative group Z_p^* of the integers modulo p .
- Select a random integer d , $1 \leq d \leq p - 2$, and compute $\beta = \alpha^d \bmod p$
- A's public key is (p, α, β)
- A's private key is d .

ElGamal Encryption & Decryption

➤ Encryption:

- To encrypts a message m ($0 \leq m \leq p$)
- choose a random integer k , $1 \leq k \leq p - 2$
- find $r \equiv \alpha^k \pmod{p}$ & $t \equiv \beta^k \cdot m \pmod{p}$

The encrypted message $c = (r, t)$

➤ Decryption:

- Compute $r^{p-1-d} \pmod{p}$
- Compute $m = t \cdot r^{p-1-d} \pmod{p}$

ElGamal Cryptosystem: Justification

$$\beta = \alpha^d \bmod p$$

$$r \equiv \alpha^k \bmod p \quad \& \quad t \equiv \beta^k \cdot m \bmod p$$

$$\text{Claim: } m = t \cdot r^{p-1-d} \bmod p$$

$$\begin{aligned} t \cdot r^{p-1-d} \bmod p &= \alpha^{kd} m \alpha^{k(p-1-d)} \bmod p \\ &= \alpha^{k(p-1)} m \bmod p \end{aligned}$$

$$= (\alpha^{p-1})^k m \bmod p$$

$$\equiv m \bmod p \quad \text{using Fermat's theorem}$$

Example

Entity A selects prime $p = 107$, generator $\alpha = 2$, and private key $d = 67$

Compute $\beta = \alpha^d \bmod p = 2^{67} \bmod 107 \equiv 94$.

A's public key: $(p, \alpha, \beta) = (107, 2, 94)$

A's private key is $d = 67$.

Encryption: To encrypt a message $m = 66$

B selects a random integer $k = 45$

Find $(r, t) = (\alpha^k \bmod p, \beta^k m)$
 $\equiv (2^{45} \bmod 107, 94^{45} \cdot 66 \bmod 107) \equiv (28, 9)$

B sends the encrypted message $(28, 9)$ to A.

Example

A receives the message $(r, t) = (28, 9)$

Decryption (by A):

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Compute } r^{(p-1-d)} \pmod{p} &= 28^{107-1-67} \pmod{107} \\ &= 43\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Compute } m = t \cdot r^{p-1-d} \pmod{p} &= 9 \times 43 \pmod{107} \\ &= 66\end{aligned}$$

Security of ElGamal Encryption

- An eavesdropper knows p, α, β, r, t where $\beta \equiv \alpha^d \pmod{p}$ and $r \equiv \alpha^k \pmod{p}$.
- Determining m from (r, t) is equivalent to computing $\alpha^{d \cdot k} \pmod{p}$, since $t \equiv \beta^k \cdot m \pmod{p}$.
- Here, m is masked by the quantity $\alpha^{d \cdot k} \pmod{p}$.
- Both d, k are unknown to the attacker.
- So, the ability to solve the Discrete Logarithm problem lets the eavesdropper break ElGamal encryption.
- Practically, we require p to be of size ≥ 1024 bits for achieving a good level of security.

Common System-wide parameters

- All entities may use the same prime p and generator α , in which case p and α need not be published as part of the public key.
- Advantage:
 - Size of public keys will be small
 - Exponentiation can then be expedited via precomputations
- Disadvantage:
 - Precomputation of a database of factor base logarithms
 - requirement of Index Calculus algorithm
 - will compromise the secrecy of all private keys derived using p .

Fixed-base exponentiation algorithms

- To find α^e , write exponent e in a base- b representation, i.e.

$$e = e_0b^0 + e_1b^1 + e_2b^2 + \dots + e_tb^t$$

e is a $(t+1)$ - digit base b integer with $b \geq 2$

- The look-up table of $\alpha_i = \alpha^{b^i}$, $i = 0, \dots, t$ precomputed

- Example: Compute α^{862}

$$\text{Base } b = 4, e = (862)_{10} = (31132)_4$$

$$= 2 + 3 \cdot 4^1 + 1 \cdot 4^2 + 1 \cdot 4^3 + 3 \cdot 4^4$$

- The needed precomputations are $\alpha^{4^0}, \alpha^{4^1}, \alpha^{4^2}, \alpha^{4^4}$

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- Discovered by Whitfield Diffie and Martin Hellman in 1976 and published in “New Directions in Cryptography.”
- Diffie-Hellman key agreement provided the first practical solution to the key distribution problem.
- The protocol allows two users to exchange a secret key over an insecure medium without any prior secrets.
- Security – Intractability of Discrete Logarithm problem
- This key can then be used to encrypt subsequent communications using a symmetric key cipher.

Introduction: Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- Security of transmission is critical for many network and Internet applications
- Requires users to share information in a way that others can't decipher the flow of information

“It is insufficient to protect ourselves with laws; we need to protect ourselves with mathematics.”

-Bruce Schneier

Introduction: Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- Let Z_p^* be a cyclic group, with a generator $\alpha \in Z_p^*$
- p and α are both publicly available numbers
 - p is at least 512 bits
- Users pick private values a and b may be randomly.

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange Protocol

- Alice and Bob agree upon and make public two numbers α and p , where p is a prime and α is a generator of Z_p^* .

Alice

Bob

choose a random number a

compute $u = \alpha^a \pmod{p}$

\xrightarrow{u}

u

choose a random number b

v

\xleftarrow{v}

compute $v = \alpha^b \pmod{p}$

Compute v^a

compute u^b

i.e. $v^a = (\alpha^b)^a \pmod{p}$

$u^b = (\alpha^a)^b \pmod{p}$

The key $k = \alpha^{a \cdot b} \pmod{p}$

key $k = \alpha^{a \cdot b} \pmod{p}$

Example: Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange Protocol

- Alice and Bob get public numbers
 - $p = 23, \alpha = 9$
 - Alice private number $a = 4$
 - Bob private number $b = 3$
- Alice and Bob compute public values
 - $u = 9^4 \bmod 23 = 6561 \bmod 23 = 6$
 - $v = 9^3 \bmod 23 = 729 \bmod 23 = 16$
- Alice and Bob exchange public numbers

Example: Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange Protocol

- Alice and Bob compute symmetric keys
 - $k = v^a \bmod p = 16^4 \bmod 23 = 9$
 - $k = u^b \bmod p = 6^3 \bmod 23 = 9$
- Alice and Bob now can talk securely!

Diffie-Hellman in other groups

- The Diffie-Hellman protocol, and those based on it, can be carried out in any group in which both the discrete logarithm problem is hard, and exponentiation is efficient.
- The most common examples of such groups used in practice are
 - the multiplicative group Z_p^*
 - the multiplicative group of F_{2^m}
 - the group of points defined by an elliptic curve over a finite field.

Choice of prime p

- Sophie Germain prime: a prime number p is a Sophie Germain prime if $2p + 1$ is also prime. The number $2p + 1$ associated with a Sophie Germain prime is called a safe prime.
- Example: 11 is a Sophie Germain prime and $2 \times 11 + 1 = 23$ is its associated safe prime.
 $2, 3, 5, 11, 23, 29, 41, 53, 83, 89, 113$ are SG primes
- The order of group should have a large prime factor to prevent use of the Pohlig–Hellman algorithm to obtain discrete log.