# Lecture 20

### MAC-then-Encrypt or Encrypt-then-MAC?

- Method 1: Encrypt-then-MAC
  - First compute Enc(K<sub>1</sub>, M)
  - Then MAC the ciphertext: MAC(K<sub>2</sub>, Enc(K<sub>1</sub>, M))
- Method 2: MAC-then-encrypt
  - First compute MAC(K<sub>2</sub>, M)
  - Then encrypt the message and the MAC together:  $Enc(k_1, M \mid MAC(K_2, M))$
- Which is better?
  - In theory, both are secure if applied properly
  - MAC-then-encrypt has a flaw: You don't know if tampering has occurred until after decrypting
    - Attacker can supply arbitrary tampered input, and you always have to decrypt it
    - Passing attacker-chosen input through the decryption function can cause side-channel leaks
- Always use encrypt-then-MAC because it's more robust to mistakes

## TLS 1.0 "Lucky 13" Attack

- TLS: A protocol for sending encrypted and authenticated messages over the Internet
- TLS 1.0 uses MAC-then-encrypt: Enc(k<sub>1</sub>, M | MAC(k<sub>2</sub>, M))
  - The encryption algorithm is AES-CBC
- The Lucky 13 attack abuses MAC-then-encrypt to read encrypted messages
  - Guess a byte of plaintext and change the ciphertext accordingly
  - The MAC will error, but the time it takes to error is different depending on if the guess is correct
  - Attacker measures how long it takes to error in order to learn information about plaintext
  - TLS will send the message again if the MAC errors, so the attacker can guess repeatedly
- Takeaways
  - Side channel attack: The algorithm is proved secure, but poor implementation made it vulnerable
  - Always encrypt-then-MAC
- https://medium.com/@c0D3M/lucky-13-attack-explained-dd9a9fd42fa6

#### Authenticated Encryption: Summary

- Authenticated encryption: A scheme that simultaneously guarantees confidentiality and integrity (and authenticity) on a message
- First approach: Combine schemes that provide confidentiality with schemes that provide integrity and authenticity
  - MAC-then-encrypt: Enc(K<sub>1</sub>, M | MAC(K<sub>2</sub>, M))
  - Encrypt-then-MAC: MAC(K<sub>2</sub>, Enc(K<sub>1</sub>, M))
  - Always use Encrypt-then-MAC because it's more robust to mistakes

Digital Signature

#### Digital Signatures

- NIST FIPS PUB 186-4 the result of a cryptographic transformation of data that, when properly implemented, provides a mechanism for verifying origin authentication, data integrity, and signatory nonrepudiation
- Based on asymmetric keys

### Digital Signatures

- Asymmetric cryptography is good because we don't need to share a secret key
- Digital signatures are the asymmetric way of providing integrity/authenticity to data
- Assume that Alice and Bob can communicate public keys without David interfering

### Digital Signatures: Definition

#### Three parts:

- KeyGen() → PK, SK: Generate a public/private keypair, where PK is the verify (public) key, and SK is the signing (secret) key
- Sign(SK, M)  $\rightarrow$  sig: Sign the message M using the signing key SK to produce the signature sig
- Verify(PK, M, sig)  $\rightarrow$  {0, 1}: Verify the signature sig on message M using the verify key PK and output 1 if valid and 0 if invalid

#### Properties:

- Correctness: Verification should be successful for a signature generated over any message
  - Verify(PK, M, Sign(SK, M)) = 1 for all PK, SK ← KeyGen() and M
- **Efficiency**: Signing/verifying should be fast
- **Security**: Same as for MACs except that the attacker also receives PK
  - Namely, no attacker can forge a signature for a message