

Submitted for the Degree of MEng in Computer Science
for the academic year of 2016/17.

Run Frank in Browser

Student Registration Number: 201349799

Student Name: Rokas Labeikis

Except where explicitly stated all the work in this report, including appendices, is my own and was carried out during my final year. It has not been submitted for assessment in any other context.

I agree to this material being made available in whole or in part to benefit the education of future students.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Supervised by:
Professor Conor McBride

University of Strathclyde, UK
February 2017

Abstract

Frank is strongly typed, strict functional programming language invented by Sam Lindley and Conor McBride and it is influenced by Paul Blain Levy's call-by-push-value calculus. Featuring a bidirectional effect type system, effect polymorphism, and effect handlers. This means that Frank supports type-checked side-effects which only occur where permitted. Side-effects are comparable to exceptions which suspend the evaluation of the expression where they occur and give control to a handler which interprets the command. However, when command is complete depending on the handler the system could resume from the point it was suspended. Handlers are very similar to typical functions but their argument processes can communicate in more advanced ways. So the idea is to utilize this functionality in the web. Side-effects might be various events such as mouse actions, http requests etc. and the handler would be the application in the web page.

In this project the main goal is to compile Frank to JavaScript and run it in the browser. So, for example, user would be able to edit their MyPlace pages using Frank language. This involves creating a Compiler and Virtual Machine (abstract machine) which can support compiled Frank structure.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my special thanks of gratitude to my supervisor, Conor McBride for his guidance throughout this project.

Also, I would like to thank my friends for helping me think with our numerous technical discussions.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| Abstract | i |
| Acknowledgements | ii |
| List of figures | iii |
| List of tables | iv |
| Abbreviations | v |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Objectives | 1 |
| 1.3 Project Outcome | 1 |
| 1.4 Summary of chapters | 1 |
| 2 Related Work | 2 |
| 2.1 Vole | 2 |
| 2.2 Shonky | 2 |
| 2.3 Frankjnr | 3 |
| 2.3.1 Frankjnr limitations | 3 |
| 2.4 Ocaml | 3 |
| 2.5 Haste | 3 |
| 2.6 Conclusion | 3 |
| 3 Initial development & Simple system | 4 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 4 |
| 3.2 Simple system | 4 |
| 3.2.1 Language | 4 |
| 3.2.2 Compiler | 4 |

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| 3.2.3 | Abstract machine | 4 |
| 3.2.4 | Testing framework | 4 |
| 3.3 | Conclusion | 4 |
| 4 | Research containing a figure | 5 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 5 |
| 4.2 | Method | 5 |
| 4.2.1 | Subsection 1 | 5 |
| 4.2.2 | Subsection 2 | 6 |
| 4.3 | Results | 6 |
| 4.4 | Discussion | 6 |
| 4.5 | Conclusion | 6 |
| 5 | Research containing a table | 8 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 8 |
| 5.2 | Method | 8 |
| 5.2.1 | Subsection 1 | 8 |
| 5.2.2 | Subsection 2 | 9 |
| 5.3 | Results | 9 |
| 5.4 | Discussion | 10 |
| 5.5 | Conclusion | 10 |
| 6 | Final research study | 11 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 11 |
| 6.2 | Method | 11 |
| 6.2.1 | Subsection 1 | 11 |
| 6.2.2 | Subsection 2 | 12 |
| 6.3 | Results | 12 |
| 6.4 | Discussion | 12 |
| 6.5 | Conclusion | 12 |
| 7 | Conclusion | 13 |
| 7.1 | Thesis summary | 13 |
| 7.2 | Future work | 13 |
| Appendix 1: Some extra stuff | | 14 |

Appendix 2: Some more extra stuff 15

References 16

List of figures

List of tables

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 5.1 This is an example table . . . | pp |
| Table x.x Short title of the figure . . . | pp |

Abbreviations

| | |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|
| API | Application Programming Interface |
| JSON | JavaScript Object Notation |

Chapter 1

Introduction

This chapter focuses on explaining the project motivation, objectives and outcome. Furthermore, last section, explains the report structure.

1.1 Background

1.2 Objectives

- Develop Code Compiler which compiles Frank code to JavaScript program.
- Develop Abstract Machine implementation which supports the output of the Compiler.
- End result must facilitate client-side communication of events and DOM updates between Frank code and the browser.

1.3 Project Outcome

1.4 Summary of chapters

Chapter 2

Related Work

2.1 Vole

Vole is lightweight functional programming language with its own Compiler and Abstract Machine. Compiler compiles the Vole code to JavaScript, which can be used by Vole.js (Abstract Machine) and run it on the browser. It has some support for effects and handlers.

2.2 Shonky

Shonky is untyped and impure functional programming language. The key feature of Shonky is that it supports local handling of computational effect, using the regular application syntax. This means one process can coroutine many other subprocesses. In that sense it is very similar to Frank, just without type support. Its interpreter is written in Haskell, although it has potential to be ported to JavaScript or PHP to support web operations.

2.3 Frankjnr

Frankjnr is an implementation of Frank programming language described in “*Do be do be do*” (Sam Lindley, Conor McBride & Craig McLaughlin 2016).

2.3.1 FRANKJNR LIMITATIONS

- Only top-level mutually recursive computation bindings are supported;
- Coverage checking is not implemented;

2.4 Ocaml

2.5 Haste

Haste is an implementation of the Haskell functional programming language, designed for web applications and it is being used in the industry. It supports the full Haskell language, including GHC extensions because it is based on GHC compiler. Haste support modern web technologies such as WebSockets, LocalStorage, Canvas, etc. . Haste, also, has support for effects and handlers. Furthermore, Haste programs can be compiled to a single JavaScript file.

2.6 Conclusion

Chapter 3

Initial development & Simple system

3.1 Introduction

3.2 Simple system

3.2.1 LANGUAGE

3.2.2 COMPILER

3.2.3 ABSTRACT MACHINE

3.2.4 TESTING FRAMEWORK

3.3 Conclusion

Chapter 4

Research containing a figure

4.1 Introduction

This is the introduction. Sed vulputate tortor at nisl blandit interdum. Cras sagittis massa ex, quis eleifend purus condimentum congue. Maecenas tristique, justo vitae efficitur mollis, mi nulla varius elit, in consequat ligula nulla ut augue. Phasellus diam sapien, placerat sit amet tempor non, lobortis tempus ante.

4.2 Method

Donec imperdiet, lectus vestibulum sagittis tempus, turpis dolor euismod justo, vel tempus neque libero sit amet tortor. Nam cursus commodo tincidunt.

4.2.1 SUBSECTION 1

This is the first part of the methodology. Duis tempor sapien sed tellus ultrices blandit. Sed porta mauris tortor, eu vulputate arcu dapibus ac. Curabitur sodales at felis efficitur sollicitudin. Quisque at neque sollicitudin, mollis arcu vitae, faucibus tellus.

4.2.2 SUBSECTION 2

This is the second part of the methodology. Sed ut ipsum ultrices, interdum ipsum vel, lobortis diam. Curabitur sit amet massa quis tortor molestie dapibus a at libero. Mauris mollis magna quis ante vulputate consequat. Integer leo turpis, suscipit ac venenatis pellentesque, efficitur non sem. Pellentesque eget vulputate turpis. Etiam id nibh at elit fermentum interdum.

4.3 Results

These are the results. In vitae odio at libero elementum fermentum vel iaculis enim. Nullam finibus sapien in congue condimentum. Curabitur et ligula et ipsum mollis fringilla.

4.4 Discussion

Figure 4.1 shows how to add a figure. Donec ut lacinia nibh. Nam tincidunt augue et tristique cursus. Vestibulum sagittis odio nisl, a malesuada turpis blandit quis. Cras ultrices metus tempor laoreet sodales. Nam molestie ipsum ac imperdiet laoreet. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas.

4.5 Conclusion

This is the conclusion to the chapter. Quisque nec purus a quam consectetur volutpat. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. In lorem justo, convallis quis lacinia eget, laoreet eu metus. Fusce blandit tellus tellus. Curabitur nec cursus odio. Quisque tristique eros nulla, vitae finibus lorem aliquam quis. Interdum et malesuada fames ac ante ipsum primis in faucibus.



Figure 4.1: RV Calypso is a former British Royal Navy minesweeper converted into a research vessel for the oceanographic researcher Jacques-Yves Cousteau. It was equipped with a mobile laboratory for underwater field research.

Chapter 5

Research containing a table

5.1 Introduction

This is the introduction. Phasellus non purus id mauris aliquam rutrum vitae quis tellus. Maecenas rhoncus ligula nulla, fringilla placerat mi consectetur eu. Aenean nec metus ac est ornare posuere. Nunc ipsum lacus, gravida commodo turpis quis, rutrum eleifend erat. Pellentesque id lorem eget ante porta tincidunt nec nec tellus.

5.2 Method

Vivamus consectetur, velit in congue lobortis, massa massa lacinia urna, sollicitudin semper ipsum augue quis tortor. Donec quis nisl at arcu volutpat ultrices. Maecenas ex nibh, consequat ac blandit sit amet, molestie in odio. Morbi finibus libero et nisl dignissim, at ultricies ligula pulvinar.

5.2.1 SUBSECTION 1

This is the first part of the methodology. Integer leo erat, commodo in lacus vel, egestas varius elit. Nulla eget magna quam. Nullam sollicitudin dolor ut ipsum varius tincidunt. Duis dignissim massa in ipsum accumsan imperdiet.

Maecenas suscipit sapien sed dui pharetra blandit. Morbi fermentum est vel quam pretium maximus.

5.2.2 SUBSECTION 2

This is the second part of the methodology. Nullam accumsan condimentum eros eu volutpat. Maecenas quis ligula tempor, interdum ante sit amet, aliquet sem. Fusce tellus massa, blandit id tempus at, cursus in tortor. Nunc nec volutpat ante. Phasellus dignissim ut lectus quis porta. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

5.3 Results

Table 5.1 shows us how to add a table. Integer tincidunt sed nisl eget pellentesque. Mauris eleifend, nisl non lobortis fringilla, sapien eros aliquet orci, vitae pretium massa neque eu turpis. Pellentesque tincidunt aliquet volutpat. Ut ornare dui id ex sodales laoreet.

Table 5.1: This is the table caption. Suspendisse blandit dolor sed tellus venenatis, venenatis fringilla turpis pretium.

| Column 1 | Column 2 | Column 3 |
|----------|----------|----------|
| Row 1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Row 2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Row 3 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Row 4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

5.4 Discussion

This is the discussion. Etiam sit amet mi eros. Donec vel nisi sed purus gravida fermentum at quis odio. Vestibulum quis nisl sit amet justo maximus molestie. Maecenas vitae arcu erat. Nulla facilisi. Nam pretium mauris eu enim porttitor, a mattis velit dictum. Nulla sit amet ligula non mauris volutpat fermentum quis vitae sapien.

5.5 Conclusion

This is the conclusion to the chapter. Nullam porta tortor id vehicula interdum. Quisque pharetra, neque ut accumsan suscipit, orci orci commodo tortor, ac finibus est turpis eget justo. Cras sodales nibh nec mauris laoreet iaculis. Morbi volutpat orci felis, id condimentum nulla suscipit eu. Fusce in turpis quis ligula tempus scelerisque eget quis odio. Vestibulum et dolor id erat lobortis ullamcorper quis at sem.

Chapter 6

Final research study

6.1 Introduction

This is the introduction. Nunc lorem odio, laoreet eu turpis at, condimentum sagittis diam. Phasellus metus ligula, auctor ac nunc vel, molestie mattis libero. Praesent id posuere ex, vel efficitur nibh. Quisque vestibulum accumsan lacus vitae mattis.

6.2 Method

In tincidunt viverra dolor, ac pharetra tellus faucibus eget. Pellentesque tempor a enim nec venenatis. Morbi blandit magna imperdiet posuere auctor. Maecenas in maximus est.

6.2.1 SUBSECTION 1

This is the first part of the methodology. Praesent mollis sem diam, sit amet tristique lacus vulputate quis. Vivamus rhoncus est rhoncus tellus lacinia, a interdum sem egestas. Curabitur quis urna vel quam blandit semper vitae a leo. Nam vel lectus lectus.

6.2.2 SUBSECTION 2

This is the second part of the methodology. Aenean vel pretium tortor. Aliquam erat volutpat. Quisque quis lobortis mi. Nulla turpis leo, ultrices nec nulla non, ullamcorper laoreet risus.

6.3 Results

These are the results. Curabitur vulputate nisl non ante tincidunt tempor. Aenean porta nisi quam, sed ornare urna congue sed. Curabitur in sapien justo. Quisque pulvinar ullamcorper metus, eu varius mauris pellentesque et. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Pellentesque nec porttitor libero. Duis et magna a massa lacinia cursus.

6.4 Discussion

This is the discussion. Curabitur gravida nisl id gravida congue. Duis est nisi, sagittis eget accumsan ullamcorper, semper quis turpis. Mauris ultricies diam metus, sollicitudin ultricies turpis lobortis vitae. Ut egestas vehicula enim, porta molestie neque consectetur placerat. Integer iaculis sapien dolor, non porta nibh condimentum ut.

6.5 Conclusion

This is the conclusion to the chapter. Nulla sed condimentum lectus. Duis sed tempor erat, at cursus lacus. Nam vitae tempus arcu, id vestibulum sapien. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus.

Chapter 7

Conclusion

7.1 Thesis summary

In summary, pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Nunc eleifend, ex a luctus porttitor, felis ex suscipit tellus, ut sollicitudin sapien purus in libero. Nulla blandit eget urna vel tempus. Praesent fringilla dui sapien, sit amet egestas leo sollicitudin at.

7.2 Future work

There are several potential directions for extending this thesis. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam gravida ipsum at tempor tincidunt. Aliquam ligula nisl, blandit et dui eu, eleifend tempus nibh. Nullam eleifend sapien eget ante hendrerit commodo. Pellentesque pharetra erat sit amet dapibus scelerisque.

Vestibulum suscipit tellus risus, faucibus vulputate orci lobortis eget. Nunc varius sem nisi. Nunc tempor magna sapien, euismod blandit elit pharetra sed. In dapibus magna convallis lectus sodales, a consequat sem euismod. Curabitur in interdum purus. Integer ultrices laoreet aliquet. Nulla vel dapibus urna. Nunc efficitur erat ac nisi auctor sodales.

Appendix 1: Some extra stuff

Add appendix 1 here. Vivamus hendrerit rhoncus interdum. Sed ullamcorper et augue at porta. Suspendisse facilisis imperdiet urna, eu pellentesque purus suscipit in. Integer dignissim mattis ex aliquam blandit. Curabitur lobortis quam varius turpis ultrices egestas.

Appendix 2: Some more extra stuff

Add appendix 2 here. Aliquam rhoncus mauris ac neque imperdiet, in mattis eros aliquam. Etiam sed massa et risus posuere rutrum vel et mauris. Integer id mauris sed arcu venenatis finibus. Etiam nec hendrerit purus, sed cursus nunc. Pellentesque ac luctus magna. Aenean non posuere enim, nec hendrerit lacus. Etiam lacinia facilisis tempor. Aenean dictum nunc id felis rhoncus aliquam.

References

Sam Lindley, Conor McBride & Craig McLaughlin, 2016. *Do be do be do,*