## Homework 4. Due Wednesday, Sept. 25.

Additional reading for problems 2 and 3: L. N. Trefethen and D. Bau III, "Numerical Linear Algebra"

- 1. (5 pts) Find an upper bound for the condition number for eigenvector  $r_j$  of a non-symmetric matrix A assuming that all its eigenvalues are distinct. In what case will this condition number be large?
- 2. (4 pts) Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix. The Rayleigh quotient Q(x) is the following function defined on all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$Q(x) := \frac{x^{\top} A x}{x^{\top} x}.$$

- (a) Let A be symmetric. Prove that  $\nabla Q(x) = 0$  if and only if x is an eigenvector of A.
- (b) Let A be asymmetric. What are the vectors x at which  $\nabla Q = 0$ ?
- 3. Consider the Rayleigh Quotient Iteration, a very efficient algorithm for finding an eigenpair of a given matrix

```
Input: x_0 \neq 0 is the initial guess for an eigenvector v = x_0/\|x_0\| for k = 0, 1, 2, ... \mu_k = v^T A v Solve (A - \mu_k I)w = v for w v = w/\|w\|. end for
```

Here is Matlab program implementing the Rayleigh Quotient Iteration for finding an eigenpair of a random  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix starting from a random initial guess:

```
function RayleighQuotient()
n = 100;
A = rand(n);
A = A' + A;
v = rand(n,1);
v = v/norm(v);
k = 1;
mu(k) = v'*A*v;
tol = 1e-12;
I = eye(n);
res = abs(norm(A*v - mu(k)*v)/mu(k));
```

```
fprintf('k = %d: lam = %d\tres = %d\n',k,mu(k),res);
while res > tol
    w = (A - mu(k)*I)\v;
    k = k + 1;
    v = w/norm(w);
    mu(k) = v'*A*v;
    res = abs(norm(A*v - mu(k)*v)/mu(k));
    fprintf('k = %d: lam = %d\tres = %d\n',k,mu(k),res);
end
end
```

- (a) (2 pts)Let A be a symmetric matrix with all distinct eigenvalues. Let  $\mu$  be not an eigenvalue of A. Show that if  $(\lambda, v)$  is an eigenpair of A then  $((\lambda \mu)^{-1}, v)$  is an eigenpair of  $(A \mu I)^{-1}$ .
- (b) (4 pts) The Rayleigh Quotient iteration involves solving the system  $(A-\mu_k I)w = v$  for w. The matrix  $(A-\mu_k I)$  is closed to singular. Nevertheless, this problem is well-conditioned (in exact arithmetic). Explain this phenomenon. Proceed as follows. Without the loss of generality assume that v is an approximation for the eigenvector  $v_1$  of A, and  $\mu$  is an approximation to the corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ . Let ||v|| = 1. Write v as

$$v = \left(1 - \sum_{i=2}^{n} \delta_i^2\right)^{1/2} v_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \delta_i v_i,$$

where  $\delta_i$ ,  $i=2,\ldots,n$ , are small. Show that the condition number  $\kappa((A-\mu I)^{-1},v)$  (see page 88 in Bindel & Goodman) is approximately  $(1-\sum_{i=2}^n \delta_i^2)^{-1/2}$  which is close to 1 provided that  $\delta_i$  are small.

(c) (4 pts) It is known that the Rayleigh Quotient iteration converges cubically, which means that the error  $e_k := |\lambda - \mu_k|$  decays with k so that the limit

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{e_{k+1}}{e_k^3} = C \in (0, \infty).$$

This means, that the number of correct digits in  $\mu_k$  triples with each iteration. Try to check this fact experimentally and report your findings. Proceed as follows. Run the program. Treat the final  $\mu_k$  as the exact eigenvalue. Define  $e_j := |\mu_j - \mu_k|$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, k-1$ . Etc. Pick several values of n and make several runs for each n. Note that you might not observe the cubic rate of convergence due to too few iterations and floating point arithmetic.