

Contract Audit Report

Prepared for: <u>Ajay Chandhok</u>

Prepared by: Roman Golovay @ ICO Experts Agency

Classification

Defect Severity

- Minor A defect that does not have a material impact on the contract execution and is likely to be subjective.
- Moderate A defect that could impact the desired outcome of the contract execution in a specific scenario.
- Major A defect that impacts the desired outcome of the contract execution or introduces a weakness that may be exploited.
- Critical A defect that presents a significant security vulnerability or failure of the contract across a range of scenarios.

Audit Summary

Current state of audited smart contract is recognized to be so that it cannot be used for ICO purposes until the following issues are not eliminated:

- 1. Smart contract does not include about half of required logic (technical requirements).
- 2. Smart contract includes purchase logic which is not fully encapsulated from the owner's will. So contributors cannot be sure in getting tokens they paid for.
- 3. Smart contract has major and critical issues which cannot be ignored.



Findings

MINOR

1. Opportunity to simplify

address(0) and address(this) can be simplified as 0 and this.

2. Opportunity to reduce GAS usage

```
82 enum Stages {
83 NOTSTARTED,
84 ICO,
85 PAUSED,
86 ENDED
87 }
88 Stages public stage;
```

It is an uncommon practice to use enums for stages, but to date it works properly.

3. Redundant verification

```
require(balances[_from] >= _amount && allowed[_from][msg.sender] >= _amount && _amount >= 0);
```

```
require(<u>balances[ from]</u> >= <u>amount && allowed[ from]</u>
[msg.sender] >= <u>amount &&</u> _amount >= 0)
```

Underlined code is redundant, since it is checked using the SafeMath library.



4. Opportunity to simplify

can be simplified as:

```
modifier onlyOwner() {
    assert (msg.sender != owner)
    _;
}
```

in other analogous cases are the same.

5. Opportunity to simplify

```
balances[address(this)] = 50000000 * 10 **18; // 5 million to smart contract
```

can be simplified as:

balances[this] = 5000000 ether;

in other analogous cases are the same.



MODERATE

1. Imbalance of total supply and distribution:

```
56 uint public _totalsupply = 35000000 * 10 ** 18; // 35 Million IDM Coins
```

```
balances[social] = 7000000 *10**18; // 7 million given to owner
balances[ethFundMain] = 6300000 *10**18; // 6.3 million given to owner
```

Total supply is 35 000 000 Tokens, but only 13 300 000 Tokens are distributed.

2. Redundant variables and assignments:

line 143: `cp` is unused;

line 146: `c` is global variable, should be local. Also `c` is redundant;

line 148: repeated assignment;

```
//calculation for the bonus for 1 million tokens
142
143
       function bonuscal() private returns (uint cp)
144
145
          uint bon = 90;
             c = tokensold / 10**23;
146
147
             if(c == 0) {
148
                bon = 90;
149
150
             }
            else{
151
152
                 bon -= c * 10;
153
154
            return bon;
155
156
```



can be simplified:

```
function bonuscal() private returns (uint) {
    uint buffer = tokensold / 10**23;
    if(buffer == 0) {
        return 90;
    }
    return (90 - (buffer * 10));
}

Or:

function bonuscal() private returns (uint) {
    if(tokensold / 10**23 == 0)
        return 90;
        return (90 - (tokensold / 10**22));
}
```

3. Inconsistency with the requirements

There's no superadmin in technical task.



4. It is hard to check the correctness of this code due to absence of requirements for how it should work within provided documentation

```
function mint(address _to, uint256 _amount) onlyOwner public returns (bool)
162
163
164
        require(mintedtokens + _amount <= maxCap_MInt);
165
        require(mintowner[msg.sender] + _amount<=maxOwn_Mint);</pre>
166
        mintedtokens = mintedtokens.add(_amount);
167
        mintowner[msg.sender]=mintowner[msg.sender].add(_amount);
168
        balances[_to] = balances[_to].add(_amount);
        // Mint(_to, _amount);
170
        Transfer(address(0), _to, _amount);
171
         return true;
172
```

5. Inconsistency with the requirements

```
181 balances[address(this)] = 50000000 * 10 **18; // 5 million to smart contract
```

Condition is not presented in technical task.



MAJOR

1. Unused function

```
// Transfer the balance from owner's account to another account
function transferTokens(address _to, uint256 _amount) private returns(bool success) {
   require( _to != 0x0);
   require(balances[address(this)] >= _amount && _amount > 0);

   balances[address(this)] = (balances[address(this)]).sub(_amount);

   balances[_to] = (balances[_to]).add(_amount);

   Transfer(address(this), _to, _amount);

   return true;

}
```

Function is defined as Private, but it is never called.

2. Inconsistency with the requirements

Minimum Cap: ____100,000 IDM

Minimum Cap doesn't implemented.

3. Inconsistency with the requirements

```
58     uint256 constant public _price_tokn = 0.00075 ether;
```

Token Price in ETH: ___THE EQUIVALENT OF 75 CENTS

75 cents are not equal to 0.00075 ether. It is recommended to use Oraclize to be able to update currency rate.



4. Inconsistency with the requirements

First Duration: _____starting MARCH 5

This requirement is not implemented in the smart contract. All functions must be manually called by the owner.

5. Logic flow conflict

```
function drain() external onlyOwner {
    ethFundMain.transfer(this.balance);
}
```

This function can break all contract functionality because Owner can transfer all Ether to himself at any time.

6. Logic flow: exception ready

```
237
          function end_ICO() external superadmin atStage(Stages.ICO)
238
239
             // require(now > ico_end);
240
              stage = Stages.ENDED;
241
              uint256 leftTokens = (balances[address(this)]).sub(total_token_sold);
242
              _totalsupply = (_totalsupply).sub(leftTokens);
243
              balances[address(this)] = total_token_sold;
244
              Transfer(address(this), 0 , balances[address(this)]);
245
246
```

It may work incorrectly in a large number of cases and may call exception:

```
uint256 leftTokens = (balances[address(this)]).sub(total_token_sold);
```

i.e. Having 10 tokens on balance, customer will transfer 6 of them to another account. Then his balance will be equal 4 tokens and according to described logic leftTokens = 4 - 6 will invoke the exception.



CRITICAL

1. Significant security vulnerability or failure of the contract across a range of scenarios

```
//In case the ownership needs to be transferred
function transferOwnership(address newOwner)public superadmin
{
    require( newOwner != 0x0);
    balances[newOwner] = (balances[newOwner]).add(balances[owner]);
    balances[owner] = 0;
    owner = newOwner;
}
```

Superadmin is able to take away balances of any contributor. Use contract Ownable for identify owner.

