

# Introduction to Program Synthesis (SS 2025)

## Chapter 1 - Introduction

Dr. rer. nat. Roman Kalkreuth

Chair for AI Methodology (**AIM**), Department of Computer Science,  
RWTH Aachen University, Germany



Center for  
Artificial Intelligence



- ▶ Discovery of algorithms with proofs → early in the history of **constructive mathematics**
  - ↪ Explicitly avoids non-constructive proofs
  - ↪ Constructive mathematics → proof should be algorithmic
  - ↪ Proof design → based on examples
- ▶ Origin of the *proofs-as-programs* paradigm
- ▶ Follows **intuitionistic logic**
- ▶ Sometimes generally called constructive logic
- ▶ Does not allow non-constructive proofs

Zur Deutung der intuitionistischen Logik.

Von

A. Kolmogoroff in Moskau.

Figure: Kolmogorov's 1932 work on intuitionistic logic [Kol32]

## Definition (Intuitionism)

- ▶ Fundamental idea  $\rightarrow$  mathematics is a creation of the mind
- ▶ Truth of a mathematical statement  $\rightarrow$  conceived via mental construction that proves it to be true
- ▶ Introduced by Brouwer
- ▶ Mathematical objects must be accessible to intuition
- ▶ Rejects non-constructive proofs

## Definition (Intuitionistic logic)

- ▶ Form of logic studied and proposed by Gödel and Kolmogorov
- ▶ Formalises the only-constructive aspect of intuitionism

## Proposition

*There exist non-rational numbers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $a^b$  is rational.*

## Proof.

We can prove that the above statement is true by considering two cases:

- ▶ Case 1:  $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  is rational. Choose  $a = \sqrt{2}$  and  $b = \sqrt{2}$ . Then  $a, b$  are irrational, and by assumption  $a^b$  is rational.
- ▶ Case 2:  $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  is irrational. Choose  $a = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $b = \sqrt{2}$ . Then by assumption  $a, b$  are irrational and

$$a^b = \left(\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\sqrt{2}} = (\sqrt{2})^{\sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{2}} = (\sqrt{2})^2 = 2 \text{ is rational.}$$



- ▶ Existence proof  $\rightarrow$  non-constructive proof
  - $\leadsto$  Shows that a mathematical object exists without giving a concrete example
- ▶ Law of excluded middle
  - $\leadsto$  Means that there is no middle ground
- ▶ Minimalistic and simple constructive *proof*:
  - $\leadsto$  Set  $a = \sqrt{2}$  and  $b = \log_2 9$ .
- ▶ Constructive proofs
  - $\leadsto$  Proving the existence of a mathematical object by showing **how to create** the object

- ▶ **Curry-Howard correspondence** [Cur34; How80; CFC59]:  
Proofs can be considered programs and programs can be considered proofs
  - ↪ Isomorphism between **proof systems** and **computation models**
  - ↪ Proofs-as-programs paradigm
  - ↪ Isomorphism between **intuitionistic logic** and  **$\lambda$ -calculus**
  - ↪  $\lambda$ -calculus  $\rightarrow$  minimalistic formal system
  - ↪ Expression of algorithms as **compositions of functions**
- ▶ Transformation between theoretical and implementation level
- ▶ **Proof  $\cong$  Program**  $\rightarrow$  Formal systems
  - ↪ **Formal language**  $\rightarrow$  constructed by a formal grammar
  - ↪ **Deductive system**  $\rightarrow$  proof system
  - ↪ Natural deduction  $\rightarrow$  logical reasoning
  - ↪ Frameworks for formal construction and reasoning

## Lemma

*If  $a$  and  $b$  are odd numbers, then  $a + b$  is even.*

## Proof.

- ▶ Any odd number can be represented by  $2n + 1$  and by definition and any even number can be represented as  $2n$  where  $n$  can be any integer
- ▶ Hence, by adding two odd numbers we obtain:  
 $(2x + 1) + (2y + 1) = 2x + 2y + 2 = 2(x + y + 1)$
- ▶ Since the sum of  $x, y, 1$  is an integer we can define  $n = x + y + 1$
- ▶ Thus, the calculation leads then to an even number:

$$\begin{aligned}a + b &= (2x + 1) + (2y + 1) \\&= 2x + 2y + 1 \\&= 2(x + y + 1) \\&= 2n\end{aligned}$$



- ▶ **Direct proof** that is algorithmic
- ▶ The **proof** can be considered as a **function** that has to be **implemented**
- ▶ We can derive and implement the definitions for odd and even numbers
  - ↪ Use of **compound data types** and **abstraction**



- ▶ **Inductive Proof**  $\cong$  Iterative or recursive function
- ▶ For all integers  $n \geq a$ , a property  $P(n)$  is true

Induction Proof	Recursive Function	Iterative Function
Proof $P(a)$	Base case definition	Initialisation statement definition
Assumption that $P(k)$ is true if $k \geq a \rightarrow$ inductive hypothesis	Recursive use of function $f(x)$ . Assumption: It works with any value $k \geq a$	Condition holds for any $k \geq a$ and statement $S(k)$ yields a valid result
Show that if $P(k)$ is true then $P(k+1)$ is also true	Show that the result of $f(k)$ produces a valid result for $f(k+1)$	If the condition is true the expression leads to $k+1$

## Proposition

$$| [\text{None}] * n | = n$$

## Proof.

- ▶ Let  $P(n)$  be the statement  $| [\text{None}] * n | = n$
- ▶  $\exists n P(n)$
- ▶  $P(a) = P(0) \Rightarrow | [ ] | = |\emptyset| = 0$
- ▶ **Inductive hypothesis:**  $P(k) = P(1) \Rightarrow | [\text{None}] | = 1 = | [\text{None}] | + | [ ] |$
- ▶ **Inductive step:**  $P(k+1) = P(2) \Rightarrow | [\text{None}, \text{None}] | = | [\text{None}] | + | [\text{None}] | = 2$

□

## Proposition

$$2^n > 2n, n > 2 \wedge n \in \mathbb{N}^+$$

## Proof.

- ▶ Let  $P(n)$  be the statement  $2^n > 2n$
- ▶  $P(a) = P(3) \Rightarrow 2^3 > 2 * 3 \Rightarrow 8 > 6$
- ▶ **Inductive hypothesis:**  $P(k)$  holds for  $2^k > 2k$
- ▶ **Inductive step:**  $P(k + 1) \Rightarrow 2^{k+1} = 2 * 2^k > 2 * 2k \Leftrightarrow 2 * 2^k > 2 * (k + 1)$



- ▶ Later more:
  - ▶ Recursion vs iteration
  - ▶ Inductive vs deductive approach
  - ▶ Tabulation vs memoisation  $\leadsto$  dynamic programming

## References I

- [Kol32] A. Kolmogoroff. “Zur Deutung der intuitionistischen Logik”. In: *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 35.1 (1932), pp. 58–65. DOI: [10.1007/BF01186549](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01186549). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01186549>.
- [Cur34] H. B. Curry. “Functionality in Combinatory Logic”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 20.11 (1934), pp. 584–590. DOI: [10.1073/pnas.20.11.584](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.20.11.584). eprint: <https://www.pnas.org/doi/pdf/10.1073/pnas.20.11.584>. URL: <https://www.pnas.org/doi/abs/10.1073/pnas.20.11.584>.
- [How80] William Alvin Howard. “The Formulae-as-Types Notion of Construction”. In: *To H. B. Curry: Essays on Combinatory Logic, Lambda Calculus, and Formalism*. Ed. by Haskell Curry et al. Academic Press, 1980.
- [CFC59] Haskell B. Curry, Robert Feys, and William Craig. “Combinatory Logic, Volume I”. In: *Philosophical Review* 68.4 (1959), pp. 548–550. DOI: [10.2307/2182503](https://doi.org/10.2307/2182503).