

Beagle

Design and Architecture

Annika Berger, Joshua Gleitze, Roman Langrehr,
Christoph Michelbach, Ansgar Spiegler, Michael Vogt

10th of January 2016

at the Department of Informatics
Institute for Program Structures and Data Organization (IPD)

Reviewer:	Jun.-Prof. Dr.-Ing. Anne Koziolek
Advisor:	M.Sc. Axel Busch
Second advisor:	M.Sc. Michael Langhammer

Karlsruher Institut für Technologie
Fakultät für Informatik
Postfach 6980
76128 Karlsruhe

Contents

List of Figures	ii
1 Architectural Overview	1
1.1 Overview of the entire system	1
1.2 Components' interaction	1
1.3 Communication between Beagle and external tools	1
2 Component: Beagle Core	3
2.1 The most important classes	3
2.2 Reasons for chosen design	3
2.3 Chosen design patterns	3
2.4 Evaluable Expressions	3
2.5 Conversion from and to Palladio	3
3 Component: Beagle GUI	5
3.1 The most important classes	5
3.2 Reasons for chosen design	5
3.3 Chosen design patterns	5
4 Component: Measurement Tool	7
4.1 Reasons for chosen design	7
4.2 Adapter to Kieker	7
5 Component: Result Analyser	9
5.1 Reasons for chosen design	9
6 Component: Final Judge	11
6.1 Reasons for chosen design	11
6.2 "Averaging" Final Judge	11

List of Figures

2.1	Controller classes	4
-----	------------------------------	---

1 Architectural Overview

1.1 Overview of the entire system

1.2 Components' interaction

1.3 Communication between Beagle and external tools

2 Component: Beagle Core

2.1 The most important classes

The Controller classes. The classes Beagle Controller and Measurment Controller manage, when which measurement or result analyser component is working.

There is always only one measurement tool, one result analyser or the final judge working.

The Beagle Controller starts by asking the Measurment Controller weather it wants to measure something now, which will be usually the case, when there is something unmeasured, and if so invoking to . The Measurment Controller now decides, which tool to run. Usually it will tell each tool to measure, when there is anything left to measure.

After that the Beagle Controller checks for each result analyser, weather it can contribute to the blackboard and if so, let it work.


Finally a special result analyser, the final judge, always works. It decides wether enough information has been collected and Beagle can terminate. If so, it also creates or selects the final result for each measurment, which will be added in the PCM.

2.2 Reasons for chosen design

2.3 Chosen design patterns

2.4 Evaluable Expressions

2.5 Conversion from and to Palladio



Parts/D:/Meine Dateien/Uni/Semester B03 (WS1516)/PSE/Beagle/doc/Design/UML Diagrams

Figure 2.1: UML Class diagram for the controller classes.

3 Component: Beagle GUI

3.1 The most important classes

3.2 Reasons for chosen design

3.3 Chosen design patterns

4 Component: Measurement Tool

4.1 Reasons for chosen design

4.2 Adapter to Kieker

5 Component: Result Analyser

5.1 Reasons for chosen design

6 Component: Final Judge

6.1 Reasons for chosen design

6.2 “Averaging” Final Judge