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# Synopsis

This study will focus on finding what defines an appropriate moment in regards to providing support through a Social Adaptive Electronic Partner (SAEP).

# 1 Project fundamentals

## Introduction

The use of technology to support the daily lives of people is an ever prevalent topic. Through applications in smart homes, wearables, virtual coaches and many others, we can improve our health, efficiency and be more connected. Conversely, the abundance of apps and notifications cause us to grow immune to the constant stream of information that is presented to us in a daily basis. In order to create a truly effective support agent, it is crucial to not only generate feedback in relation to the user’s actions, but provide this feedback at an appropriate time.

But what actually is an appropriate time? The appropriate time for feedback is inherently linked to the nature of the user’s action. To illustrate this, consider the following example.

Our user, Joanna, forgets to call an important client during the day. She wants to be reminded the next day at work.

In the first example, a simple reminder notification will suffice. A naïve solution would be to use a simple alarm or reminder app in which she sets a time that deemed appropriate. However, what happens if the next day, that time is no longer appropriate because, for example, a meeting is planned. Most likely, she will ignore the phone and forget about it once again, because at that moment, the meeting has a higher value to her. Ideally, her phone would analyze her schedule and remind her outside of meetings and before the end the day.

The difficulty of this lies in the generalization. While the above example can be implemented relatively easy at design time, it is likely not to be able to handle diversions from normal behavior. Existing technologies are often made by hardwiring norms and as such are very rigid und unable to adapt to evolving norms. [1] Furthermore, dealing with different problems, such as remembering to turn on the alarm system before leaving work, would require a completely different implementation.

## State of the art

The concept of a Socially Adaptive Electronic Partner (SAEP) has been previously introduced by van Riemsdijk. [1] It follows the ideology that technology should adapt to the user and not vice versa. As such, its logic incorporates the norms and values of the social context. Subsequent work has been done expanding on this, including temporal logic and analyzing actions and habits. [2]–[4]
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