

## Answers to Reviewer #1

Ms. Ref. No. JCOMP-D-13-01486

Title: Discontinuous Diffusion Synthetic Acceleration for Sn Transport on 2D Arbitrary Polygonal Meshes

*Journal of Computational Physics,*

Combination of MIP, PWLG and AMG is interesting and could have good applications for radiation transport. The contents are sufficiently novel and interesting to warrant publication. The manuscript is well-written. The authors gave appropriate references to the previous works.

Thank you.

However, I want the authors address the following issues before a publication:

1. The statement that 'polygonal grids allow for a reduced numbers of unknowns' is not complete. It is meaningful only in the condition of not sacrificing the accuracy. The authors need to provide numerical supports or give up the statement completely. The first part in the third paragraph of Introduction is superficial in this sense.

We agree. We had this "information" first-hand from Marv Adams but asked him about a Reference to back-up this statement. It turns out we have no numerical results to support this conjecture so far. The testbed code we developed for this paper does not implement LD nor can handle a mix of LD and BLD discretized cells which would also be required. Thus, we have followed your suggestion to give up the statement completely but will keep in mind that this study needs to be carried out.

2. The authors indeed provided several different grid types but few important types are missing in my opinion: a) a polygon with number of vertices greater than 4 with bad aspect ratios (Section 5.2.4 is only quadrilateral!). The assumption that 'the polygonal cells do not deviate significantly from regular polygons' on Line 157 weakens the conclusion 'effective for cells with high-aspect ratios'. It seems to me the same  $h$  perpendicular for all sides for a distorted polygon is not good; b) a degenerated polygons with more than one hanging node from AMR. The authors imply the solver works with arbitrary shapes of polygons, but I think there ought to be constraints on the grid. For example, any polygonal cell in the grid has to be convex.

Added a test case with large aspect ratio on mesh consisting of degenerated polygons (rectangle-shaped cells with 23 vertices treated as a "23-gon" –a polygon with 23 sides). That mesh has many hanging nodes.

We were limited to convex cells because our transport sweep algorithm did not allow for cycle in the sweep. We added Reference [copy number form final](#)

manuscript where PWLD was employed to carry out  $S_n$  transport sweeps for non-convex polygonal cells. The DSA solver works on arbitrary distorted cells.

3. The authors need to carefully examine the control parameters they used for AMG, like the upper bound of the two-grid condition number (line 228), and etc., so that the readers can have a better understanding on the performances of different AMG packages.

The AMG algorithms (Trilinos ML and AGMG) was used with their default options. Unless stated otherwise, ML is used with all the parameters set to the values corresponding to the “SA” (smoothed aggregation) options. Bruno: you said this was the recommended options for diffusion problem. Can you confirm that and maybe give me a reference for that, in the manual or online? AGMG is used with default parameters. Added that “SA” is recommended for symmetric positive definite systems. do they mention anything, in papers or in the manual, about what are recommended options for diffusion? I'd like to reply with a few more sentence to the reviewer's question. Thanks To change the parameters, you need to change them in the code and recompile everything... The default parameters are always recommended except if you are an "expert".

4. Missing results of CPU-times and memory usages especially memory consumed by the AMG packages.

ME

Some minor problems or typos are listed:

1. GMRes equations are given and mentioned in Introduction but numerical results are not presented;
2. MIP appeared in Abstract is not defined;
3. Line 67 an incomplete sentence;
4. Add 'with spatial discretization' after 'Equation (1)' above Eq. (3);
5. Line 81,  $L$  is the streaming plus collision operator;
6. Check the grammar on Line 177;
7. Line 192-194, what is the different between  $n$  gamma and gamma?
8. Line 205-206, what is the subscript  $k$ ?
9. To my knowledge, Gauss-Legendre-Chebyshev is a product quadrature, then why is there only one parameter  $S_n$ ?
10. In the results section, what quantity those iteration tolerances are applied on?
11. Line 295, remove extra 'of';
12. Line 298, typo PGC should be PCG;
13. Line 340-341, what is the 'additional elementary matrices'?
14. Line 343, remove extra 'for'.

1. Added a table for GMRes results with polygonal cells.
2. Corrected.
3. Corrected.

4. Added.
  5. Corrected.
  6. Corrected.
  7. They both should be  $n_\gamma$ , corrected
  8. Corrected.
  9. It is the triangular GLC quadrature. We have added reference to it (Ref. [copy number form final manuscript](#)).
  10. Corrected.
  11. Corrected.
  12. Corrected.
  13. Added a paragraph at the end of Section 3, so that the statement in the conclusion is clearer.
  14. We didn't find an extra 'for'.
- Thank you for pointing these out.