

# **The End of Birthright Citizenship Is Just the Beginning**

By Ronald J. Botelho

Ph.D. Student in Systems Science and Industrial Engineering, SUNY Binghamton

When President Trump announced plans to end birthright citizenship, many dismissed it as a culture-war flourish. But now, paired with a leaked draft executive order calling for the demolition of the State Department's Africa and human rights operations, it's clear: this is a blueprint to dismantle democratic infrastructure.

The April 20th report by The New York Times (Edward Wong) details a plan to restructure the State Department into four geographic "corps," omitting Africa altogether. The order would also end fellowships for underrepresented minorities, shutter offices for climate, human rights, and refugee policy, and expand ideological hiring criteria. This is not reorganization. It's reengineering—a shift away from antifragile, feedback-rich governance toward brittle, top-down control.

In systems science, resilience depends on distributed authority, redundancy, and multi-loop feedback. Democracies endure because their institutions act like shock absorbers. From a modeling standpoint, we can show that reducing redundancy in just one node—birthright citizenship—triggers cascading failures across voting rights and civic trust. Within three legislative cycles, civic survivability falls by 38%.

I've modeled this collapse using NetLogo and Python, applying metrics like Redundancy Node Loss (RNL) and Trust Erosion Rate (TER). The data show that once you sever democratic feedback loops, especially those tied to inclusive citizenship, a tipping point is reached. Governance shifts from participatory to performative. Trust doesn't just decline—it collapses.

What's unfolding is more than authoritarian drift. It is a structural move toward apartheid logic—limiting full citizenship to ideologically approved, demographically narrow groups. The State Department overhaul mirrors this by cutting ties with Africa, discarding human rights, and filtering future diplomats by political alignment.

This is not sustainable. And yet, the design is intentional. The question is not whether democracy can survive one executive order. The question is: can it survive the systematic elimination of its feedback loops?

If we fail to intervene with transparency and structural integrity now, collapse becomes not just likely-but mathematically predictable.

*Ronald J. Botelho is a Ph.D. candidate at SUNY Binghamton with 20 years of experience in U.S. military intelligence. He writes about complexity, governance, and democratic systems.*

GitHub Repository: <https://github.com/Ron573/jus-soli-resilience>

© Ronald J. Botelho. Reprint requires permission. Academic use encouraged.