Assault on *Jus Soli*: Trump's Bid to Undermine Birth■Right Citizenship and the Fragile Architecture of American Democracy

Ronald J. Botelho

Ph.D. Student, Systems Science &■Industrial Engineering, SUNY■Binghamton •■M.S. Applied Analytics, SUNY■Empire■State University •■20■years U.S. Army intelligence & counter■intelligence

Executive**■**Summary

Donald Trump's effort to revoke birth right citizenship is not an isolated culture war flourish; it is a central node in Project 2025, a Heritage crafted blueprint to collapse the feedback loops that keep American democracy resilient. Revoking *jus soli* by executive decree violates the plain text of the Fourteenth Amendment, defies settled precedent (*United States v. Wong Kim Ark*, 1898), and circumvents the Article v amendment process. Systems science analysis shows that short circuiting institutional redundancy around citizenship rights renders the republic brittle—precisely the outcome favored by authoritarian architects.

1 ■ Why *Jus Soli* Matters

"All persons born or naturalized in the United States ... are citizens." — U.S. Const. amend. XIV, §■1

Rooted in English common law soil citizenship, the Fourteenth Amendment's Citizenship Clause hard codes inclusion. In *United States v. Wong Kim Ark* (1898), the Supreme Court held that neither Congress nor the President can carve exceptions. Every administration honored that guard rail—until now.

2 ■ Trump's Order, Immunity Ruling & the Court's Temporary Hold On 17 April 2025 the Court granted a limited stay, allowing partial enforcement of Trump's birth■right order while briefing proceeds (Yang, 2025). This came six months after the same six■justice majority extended near■total criminal immunity to presidents for "official acts," effectively shielding Trump from January■6 liability (Roberts■et■al., 2024). That immunity decision was celebrated in Project 2025 memos as the "decisive legal predicate" for the executive■order strategy. Federal district courts in Washington, Maryland, and Massachusetts have enjoined the order for contradicting a century of precedent (Sherman & Whitehurst, 2025).

3 ■ Project 2025 — Blueprint for Concentrated Power Project 2025 (Heritage Foundation, 2023) proposes:

- 1. Personnel purge of career civil servants, replaced with "Schedule■F" loyalists.
- 2. Unitary executive doctrine: executive orders override statutory rule making.
- 3. Judicial backstop: the same six justices—former clerks or protégés of Heritage Council members—granting maximal deference to presidential decrees.

Stripping citizenship by fiat is the proof
concept: a live test of whether the institutional fuses (Congress, courts, public opinion) will blow or silently melt.

4 ■ Systems Complexity Lens: From Resilience to Fragility Systems thinking benchmarks (Meadows, 2008; Taleb, 2012) identify three pillars of institutional resilience: distributed control, redundant feedback loops, and high shock absorption. Project 2025 inverts each dial: it installs a hub and spoke executive, funnels feedback through a single node, and leaves the system exposed to shocks.

Agent ■ based simulations show that eliminating a single high ■ redundancy node—birth ■ right citizenship—reduces democratic survivability by **38 ■ %** within three legislative cycles, triggering cascade failures across voting ■ rights and equal ■ protection layers.

(Article continues...)