EE382C-3: Verification and Validation of Software Problem Set 1 – Modeling in Alloy*

Out: September 12, 2018; **Due: September 23, 2018 11:59pm**Submission: *.zip via Canvas
Maximum points: 50

Instructions. Complete the Alloy models for 3 out of the 4 questions in this problem set and submit your solutions as a tarball on Canvas. You may choose to answer all 4, in which case the best 3 will count for the homework grade. For each question you are given a skeletal Alloy model, which you need to complete following the instructions given. You must use Alloy 4.2 (or newer), which you can download from the Alloy website: "http://alloy.mit.edu". The code you write should only be inside the given predicate bodies as described in the comments and TODOs.

Question 1: Array

Consider modeling an array using two relations: one that maps array indices to elements in the array, and the other that represents the array length. The signature (sig) Element declares a set of atoms, which represent the array elements. The signature Array declares a set of atoms, which represent the arrays. The qualifier one declares the set to contain exactly one atom, i.e., any instance created by the Alloy analyzer will contain exactly one array. The field i2e declares a ternary relation of type Array -> Int -> Element, which relates array atoms, integer indices, and array elements. The field length declares a binary relation of type Array -> Int, and maps array atoms to their lengths.

Fact Reachable requires that all elements are in the array, which we write to simplify our model. The expression Array.i2e[Int] is equivalent to Int.(Array.i2e), which represents the set of all array elements.

```
sig Element {}
one sig Array {
   // Maps indexes to elements of Element.
   i2e: Int -> Element,
   // Represents the length of the array.
   length: Int
}
// Assume all elements are in the array.
fact Reachable {
   Element = Array.i2e[Int]
}
```

Complete the predicate/fact bodies in the file Array.als as described in parts (a) and (b) below.

Part (a) Bound Constraints

Complete the fact InBound such that it constrains i2e and length as specified in the comments.

```
fact InBound() {
   // All indexes should be greater than or equal to 0 and less than the array length.
   -- TODO: Your code starts here.
```

^{*}Many thanks to Kaiyuan Wang and Darko Marinov for their help in defining these models.

```
// Array length should be greater than or equal to 0.
-- TODO: Your code starts here.
}
```

Part (b) No Conflict Constraints

Complete the predicate NoConflict to constrain i2e such that any index in the array maps to at most one element.

```
pred NoConflict() {
    // Each index maps to at most one element.
    -- TODO: Your code starts here.
}
```

Question 2: Balanced Binary Search Tree

Consider modeling a binary tree, where each tree has a root node and each node has a left child, a right child, and an integer element. The signature BinaryTree declares a set of atoms, which represent the binary trees. The signature Node declares a set of atoms, which represent the nodes in trees. The qualifier one declares the set to contain exactly one atom. The field root declares a binary relation from trees to nodes; the qualifier lone restricts the relation to be a partial function, i.e., each tree has at most one root node. The fields left and right likewise introduce partial functions from nodes to nodes. The field elem maps each node to exactly one integer value.

The fact Reachable requires that all nodes are in the binary tree, which we write to simplify the model.

```
one sig BinaryTree {
  root: lone Node
}
sig Node {
  left, right: lone Node,
  elem: Int
}
// All nodes are in the tree.
fact Reachable {
  Node = BinaryTree.root.*(left + right)
}
```

Complete the predicate/fact bodies in the file BalancedBST.als as described in parts (a), (b) and (c) below.

Part (a) Acyclicity

Implement the following Acyclic fact for binary tree:

```
fact Acyclic {
   all n : Node {
      // There are no directed cycles, i.e., a node is not reachable
      // from itself along one or more traversals of left or right.
      -- TODD: Your code starts here.

      // A node cannot have more than one parent.
      -- TODD: Your code starts here.

      // A node cannot have another node as both its left child and
      // right child.
      -- TODD: Your code starts here.
   }
}
```

Part (b) Sorted

Implement the following Sorted predicate for binary search tree:

```
pred Sorted() {
   all n: Node {
     // All elements in the n's left subtree are smaller than the n's elem.
     -- TODO: Your code starts here.

     // All elements in the n's right subtree are bigger than the n's elem.
     -- TODO: Your code starts here.
}
```

Part (c) Balanced

Implement the following helper predicate HasAtMostOneChild and function Depth as well as the Balanced predicate:

```
pred HasAtMostOneChild(n: Node) {
    // Node n has at most one child.
    -- TODO: Your code starts here.
}

fun Depth(n: Node): one Int {
    // The number of nodes from the tree's root to n.
    -- TODO: Your code starts here.
}

pred Balanced() {
    all n1, n2: Node {
        // If n1 has at most one child and n2 has at most one child,
        // then the depths of n1 and n2 differ by at most 1.
        -- TODO: Your code starts here.
    }
}
```

Question 3: Doubly Linked List

Consider modeling a doubly linked list, where each list has a header node and each node has a previous node, a next node, and an integer element. The signature DLL declares a set of atoms, which represent the doubly linked lists. The signature Node declares a set of atoms, which represent the nodes in lists. The qualifier one declares the set to contain exactly one atom. The field header declares a partial function from lists to nodes. The fields prev and link introduce partial functions from nodes to nodes. The field elem maps each node to exactly one integer value.

The fact Reachable requires that all nodes are in the doubly linked list, which we write to simplify the model.

```
one sig DLL {
  header: lone Node
}

sig Node {
  prev, link: lone Node,
  elem: Int
}

// All nodes should be reachable from the header along the link.
fact Reachable {
  Node = DLL.header.*link
}
```

Complete the predicate/fact bodies in the file DLL als as described in parts (a), (b), (c) and (d) below.

Part (a) Acyclicity

Implement the Acyclic fact below:

```
fact Acyclic {
   // The list has no directed cycle along link, i.e., no node is
   // reachable from itself following one or more traversals along link.
   -- TODO: Your code starts here.
}
```

Part (b) Unique Element

Implement the UniqueElem predicate below:

```
pred UniqueElem() {
   // Unique nodes contain unique elements.
   -- TODO: Your code starts here.
}
```

Part (c) Sorted

Implement the Sorted predicate below:

```
pred Sorted() {
   // The list is sorted in ascending order (<=) along link.
   -- TODO: Your code starts here.
}</pre>
```

Part (d) Consistent Prev and Link

Implement the ConsistentPrevAndLink predicate below:

```
pred ConsistentLinkAndPrev() {
    // For any node n1 and n2, if n1.link = n2, then n2.prev = n1; and vice versa.
    -- TODO: Your code starts here.
}
```

Question 4: Finite State Machine

Consider modeling a finite state machine (FSM), where each FSM has a start state and a stop state, and each state has a set of subsequent states. The signature FSM declares a set of atoms, which represent the finite state machine. The signature State declares a set of atoms, which represent the FSM states. The qualifier one declares the set to contain exactly one atom. The field start declares a binary relation, and requires that each FSM has exactly one start state. The field stop declares a binary relation, and requires that each FSM has exactly one stop state. The field transition maps a state to a set of states.

```
one sig FSM {
   start: set State,
   stop: set State
}
sig State {
   transition: set State
}
```

Complete the predicate bodies in the file FSM.als as described in parts (a), (b) and (c) below.

Part (a) One Start State and One Stop State

Implement the OneStartAndStop predicate below:

```
pred OneStartAndStop {
    // FSM only has one start state.
    -- TODO: Your code starts here.

    // FSM only has one stop state.
    -- TODO: Your code starts here.
}
```

Part (b) Valid Start State and Stop State

 $Implement\ the\ {\tt ValidStartAndStop}\ predicate\ below:$

```
pred ValidStartAndStop() {
    // The start state is different from the stop state.
    -- TODO: Your code starts here.

    // No transition ends at the start state.
    -- TODO: Your code starts here.

    // No transition begins at the stop state.
    -- TODO: Your code starts here.
}
```

Part (c) Reachability

Implement the Reachability predicate below:

```
pred Reachability() {
    // All states are reachable from the start state.
    -- TODO: Your code starts here.

// The stop state is reachable from any state.
    -- TODO: Your code starts here.
}
```