Upload your file   Review   Give Feedback on Reviews   Check scores

Introduction   List of reviews   Read Review 2   Give Feedback on 2

View Reviews and Give Feedback on Reviews

During this phase you are to view the reviews your file has generated.

Warning: You need to submit feedback for this review.

Introduction

During this phase you are to view the reviews your file has generated. You should also grade these reviews and provide a comment on the reasoning behind your grading. Note that you should not provide personal information in these comments and you are anonymous for the student that reviewed your file. However, you are not anonymous to the teacher.

Upload your file   Review   Give Feedback on Reviews   Check scores

Introduction   List of reviews   Read Review 2   Give Feedback on 2

Your reviews

- Review # 0 (You have not given feedback on this review)
- Review # 1 (You have not given feedback on this review)
- Review # 2 (You have not given feedback on this review)
- Review # 3 (You have not given feedback on this review)

Review # 2 on your file

Comment on Clarity

The notation seems good to me. Names are specified

Grade

3. Good - Good UML notation, layout and naming.

Comment on Completeness

Application is runnable and all requirements are working. But you didn't pay attention to exceptions so that will crash your application when you don't have a member and someone want to check members for example! So pay attention to making objects or array list new! I couldn't see your readme file

minor defects, and the system could be run with most
requirements working.

Comment on Content

Model classes have more responsibility than just saving data's
and making objects. Codes seems a little messy to me and I
felt lots of unnecessary classes. I couldn't understand why you
have numbers like 1.3.2.3 in you sequence diagram.

Grade

3. Good - A most of points mentioned are very well covered, but
some are lacking.

Give feedback on the review:

You should respond to the review you are given.

- A good review should be truthful (correct)
- A good review should helpful give clues to what is good and
  what is not and suggest changes.
- A good review should be thorough and complete
- A good review may still be of a different oppinion than yours.

comments. Be polite. You are anonymous to the other student but not to the teaching assistants. Remember different people have different views and may interpret the same information differently. Learn from this, how could you have written your file in a way that this reviewer would have liked?

Warning: This Feedback is not complete.

Comment on what you learned from this review also motivate your grading of this review.

(✓) Not graded yet

◯ 0. Not even an attempt - The review has no comments and grading is wrong.

◯ 1. Failed - Most of the review is not correct and fail to motivate the grading.

◯ 2. Sufficient - The review is truthful and motivates grading but the text is sparse, or the review does not seem thourough.

◯ 3. Good - The review is truthful and motivates the grading in a good way. A proper review!

Upload your file   Review   Give Feedback on Reviews   Check scores

Introduction   List of reviews   Read Review 2   Give Feedback on 2

artifacts(strategy/plan/cases/report) from it

Save review feedback

Peer review system

Developed in 2016 by Daniel Toll
in order to allow students to
share and review documents
anonymously.

Contact

Email   daniel.toll@lnu.se

Slack   https://coursepress.slack.com/messa

© Untitled. Design: HTML5 UP.