Upload your file Review Give Feedback on Reviews Check scores

Introduction List of reviews Read Review 2 Give Feedback on 2

View Reviews and Give Feedback on Reviews

During this phase you are to view the reviews your file has generated.

Warning: You need to submit feedback for this review.

Introduction

During this phase you are to view the reviews your file has generated. You should also grade these reviews and provide a comment on the reasoning behind your grading. Note that you should not provide personal information in these comments and you are anonymous for the student that reviewed your file. However, you are not anonymous to the teacher.

Upload your file Review Give Feedback on Reviews Check scores

Introduction List of reviews Read Review 2 Give Feedback on 2

Your reviews

- Review # 0 (You have not given feedback on this review)
- Review # 1 (You have not given feedback on this review)
- Review # 2 (You have not given feedback on this review)
- Review # 3 (You have not given feedback on this review)

Review # 2 on your file

Comment on Clarity

The notation seems good to me. Names are specified

Grade

3. Good - Good UML notation, layout and naming.

Comment on Completeness

Upload your file Review Give Feedback on Reviews Check scores

Introduction List of reviews Read Review 2 Give Feedback on 2

to check members for example! So pay attention to making objects or array list new! I couldn't see your readme file

Grade

3. Good - All artifacts contain what they should with only some minor defects, and the system could be run with most requirements working.

Comment on Content

Model classes have more responsibility than just saving data's and making objects. Codes seems a little messy to me and I felt lots of unnecessary classes. I couldn't understand why you have numbers like 1.3.2.3 in you sequence diagram.

Grade

3. Good - A most of points mentioned are very well covered, but some are lacking.

Give feedback on the review:

Upload your file Review Give Feedback on Reviews Check scores

Introduction List of reviews Read Review 2 Give Feedback on 2

• A good review should helpful give clues to what is good and what is not and suggest changes.

- A good review should be thorough and complete
- A good review may still be of a different oppinion than yours.

A bad grade does not automatically mean that you or the reviewer gets a low grade, it is an indication of that something is not right. If you think something is wrong with the review, state your view in the comments. Be polite. You are anonymous to the other student but not to the teaching assistants. Remember different people have different views and may interpret the same information differently. Learn from this, how could you have written your file in a way that this reviewer would have liked?

Warning: This Feedback is not complete.

Comment on what you learned from this review also motivate your grading of this review.

Not graded yet				
0. Not even an attempt - The review has no comments and				
grading is wrong.				

Upload your file		Review	Give Feedback on Review	vs Check scores			
Introduction		List of reviews	Read Review 2	Give Feedback on 2			
			v is trutiliut and motivat review does not seem t	3 3			
	3. Good - The review is truthful and motivates the grading in a good way. A proper review!						
	4. Excellent - The review is truthful, thourough and motivates the grading in a good way. Also the review was helpful and I learned how to write better artifacts(strategy/plan/cases/report) from it						

Save review feedback

Peer review system Contact

Developed in 2016 by Daniel Toll in order to allow students to share and review documents Email daniel.toll@lnu.se

Slack https://coursepress.slack.com/messa

© Untitled Design: HTML5 UP

Upload your file Review Give Feedback on Reviews Check scores

Introduction List of reviews Read Review 2 Give Feedback on 2