# Test Plan

# My Web Server

# 1. Purpose

The purpose with this document is to describe which requirements that are being tested and not, how important they are and how the project will test the requirements. The document will also provide potential risks in this test project that need a mitigations strategy and what deliverables the project has.

Requirement				
Start server				
Requirement / Use Case ID	Prioritization	Type of requirement		
UC1	High	Functional requirement		
Parata and a				
Requirement				
Stop server  Requirement / Use Case ID	Prioritization	Type of requirement		
UC2	High	Functional requirement		
	111811	- anctional requirement		
Requirement				
Request shared resource	2			
Requirement / Use Case ID	Prioritization	Type of requirement		
UC3	High	Functional requirement		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Requirement				
Simple to deploy on IOT	-devices			
Requirement ID	Prioritization	Type of requirement		
REQ4	Medium	Non-functional requirement		
Requirement				
Compatibility with IOT-d	evices			
Requirement ID Prioritization Type of requirement				
Requirement ib	111011112411011	1/200110441101110110		

Requirement		
Web server should be able to handle 25 request per seconds		
Requirement ID Prioritization Type of requirement		Type of requirement
REQ6	Medium	Non-functional requirement

Requirement		
Must follow minimum requirements for HTTP 1.1		
Requirement ID Prioritization Type of requirement		Type of requirement
REQ7	Medium	Non-functional requirement

Requirement		
Source code released under GPL 2.0		
Requirement ID Prioritization Type of requirement		Type of requirement
REQ8	Low	Non-functional requirement

Requirement		
Access log viewable from text-editor		
Requirement ID Prioritization Type of requirement		Type of requirement
REQ9	Low	Non-functional requirement

REQ10	Low	Non-functional requirement
Requirement ID Prioritization Type of requirement		Type of requirement
without crashing		
Web server should be able to handle massive request load with less than 20% error responses and		
Requirement		

# 3. Testing techniques

Type of testing

**Exploratory testing** 

Test level

System-test

Description

Exploratory testing will be performed to get a better understanding of the system and what the functionality it has. Different inputs will be tested to see how the system responds. Also randomly clicking on mouse and keyboard will be performed to see if some sort of reaction is received.

Tester

Test lead

Type of testing

#### Manual testing

Test level

System-test

Requirements

**UC1 Start server** 

**UC2 Stop server** 

**UC3** Request shared resource

Description

To test the functional requirements manual testing of the system will be performed to see if any defects from the scenarios in the use cases can be found.

Tester

Test lead

Type of testing

## Automated testing

Test level

Unit-test

Requirements

**UC1 Start server** 

**UC2 Stop server** 

UC3 Request shared resource

Description

The already existing automated tests in will be regression tested. In this iteration the quality of the existing automated test will not be examined, and the tests will be viewed as correctly done. Unless there are a failure the automated tests will not be read, and therefore they will not be traceable to a specific requirement. A specific test will however act as a starter for further investigations if a failure occurs in it, so the tests still fill a role in this test iteration.

Tester

Test lead

Type of testing

#### Conformance testing

Test level

System-test

Requirements

REQ7 Must follow minimum requirements for HTTP 1.1

REQ8 Source code released under GPL 2.0

REQ9 Access log viewable from text-editor

Description

Conformance tests will be done to evaluate some of the non-functional requirements such as server follow minimum requirements for HTTP 1.1 and source code released under GPL-2.0.

Tester

Test lead

Type of testing

#### Compatibility testing

Test level

System-test

Requirements

**REQ5 Compatibility with IOT-devices** 

Description

Conformance tests will be done to evaluate if the system is compatible on IOT-devices.

Tester

Test lead

Type of testing

#### Performance testing

Test level

System-test

Requirements

REQ6 Web server should be able to handle 50 request per seconds

REQ10 Web server should be able to handle massive request load with less than 20% error responses and without crashing

Description

Performance test to the non-functional requirements of web server should be able to handle 50 request per seconds and web server should be able to handle massive request load with less than 20% error responses and without crashing. This include both load tests and stress tests.

Tester

Test lead

### 4. What is not tested in this iteration

- Other versions of Windows than Windows 10 (that is the main desktop OS for the test lead), and all MacOS will not be tested since it seems very unlikely to be used in an IOT-device. If this is a needed requirement this can be tested in later iterations.
- Easy access for end users will not be tested in this iteration since there is no time and this is not prioritized at this stage to test.
- No software security testing will be done in this iteration except testing that no file is accessible outside the shared container. This is due to the limit of time and resources given.

## 5. Risk and mitigation strategy

Risk	Probability	Effects
Understanding IOT domain	High	Moderate

#### Mitigation strategy

Since the test lead have no prior knowledge of developing software's for IOT devices there is a risk that this project will miss to test for things that is important for IOT-developers. To address this the test lead must read up on this domain before starting to perform test. For future iterations the test lead suggests to performing interviews with IOT-developers and more in-depth analysis to fully grasp the domain.

Risk	Probability	Effects
Access to IOT-devices	Moderate	Serious
Batalonation sandana.		

The test project lacks resources in different types of IOT-devices that the server can be tested on and only have an old Raspberry Pi as a resource. This can cause potential trouble to test the server on real types of devices and see the deploy ability and the performance "My Web Server" really have. To alert this alert the SDC Management of risk and suggest investments in a couple of new devices that can be used for testing a later iteration.

Risk	Probability	Effects
Hardware crash	Low	Serious
Mitigation strategy		

If for example the Raspberry Pi 3 Model B that the test lead has as a resource crashes when performing stress tests etc or it for other reasons does not work this can be a serious problem for this test if there is no type of device that can be seen as an potential IOT-device as recourse for the test lead. To handle this, alert the SDC management of risk and ask for a ready investment approvement for a new Raspberry Pi 4.

Risk	Probability	Effects
Test lead lack of knowledge in	High	Serious
developing in Java will slow		
down the testing effort.		

The test lead has little to none experience in developing in Java. Test lead will have to view tutorials on how to work with Java SDK, how to compile projects, how to create jar files and how to run them, before starting the testing process.

Risk	Probability	Effects
Illness of developer	Moderate	Serious
Mitigation strategy		

Since this project only has one human resource it is very fragile. If the developer gets a serious illness and can't work, the project deadlines can be missed, and the project will be postponed. To handle this the developer must strive to be ready many days in advance to have a safer approach. Also, alert SDC management of risk.

## 6. Deliverables

The artefacts below should be handed to the SDC management after one week of testing, latest 2020-12-11.

- Test strategy document
- Test plan document
- Test cases document
- Test report document
- Load Test.jmx for Jmeter load test
- Stress Test.jmx for Jmeter stress test

## 7. After this iteration

The test report will show the outcome of the tests performed in this iteration and have a traceability to the requirements in this document. It will also contain a summary of the state of "My Web Server" and will have the test leads recommendation if SDC should proceed further with "My Web Server" or not. It is then up to the SDC management to make the decision to give it at go for a new test and development iteration or a no go.

To get a recommendation more than 90% manual test cases must pass and more than 90% of the automated test must pass.