# Test Report

### Result for manual test cases

Here follows a table with results of the Test cases along with a more detailed explanation of the failing tests.

Test Case	Use Case / Requirement	Result		
1.1	UC1 / Req.3	ОК		
1.2	UC1 / Req.3	ОК		
1.3	UC1(4a)	NOT OK		
1.4	UC1(4b)	NOT OK		
1.5	UC1(4c)	NOT OK		
2.1	UC2	ОК		
3.1	UC3 / Req.2	NOT OK		
3.2	UC3(2a) / Req.2	ОК		
3.3	UC3(2b) / Req.2	NOT OK		
3.4	UC3(2c) / Req.2	ОК		
4.1	N/A / Req.1	NOT OK		
4.2	N/A / Req.1	OK		
5.1	N/A / Req.5	NOT OK		
6.1	N/A / Req.4	ОК		
Total: 14 st	coverage: ca 80% *	7/14		

<sup>\*</sup>Covers about 80% of the given requirements and use cases(linux, mac, internal server error are not tested)

#### Test case 1.3

#### expected:

- The system should present the message:
  - "Socket 9000 was taken"
- Server stops

#### actual:

- The system presents only the message:
  - "Port is taken"

#### Test case 1.4

#### expected:

• The system should present the error message:

"No access to folder /resources"

#### actual:

• There is no such error message, the server starts without issues

#### Test case 1.5

#### expected:

 The system should present the error message. "Cannot write to server log file log.txt"

#### actual:

• There is no such message, also the log.txt does not exist.

#### Test case 3.1

#### expected:

- The shared resource is shown in the browser and a success message is written to the access log
- In network section in your browser get the status code 200 OK

#### actual:

 There is no message written to the access log, due to that there is no existing log.

#### Test case 3.3

#### expected:

- The system presents that the resource is forbidden
- In your browser you will receive the message: "403 Forbidden"

#### actual:

• Instead the message given is "404 Not found"

### Test case 4.1

#### expected:

• The test should go through with no crashes or latency over 200 m/s

#### actual:

• There is latency over 200

Thread Name	Label	Sample Time(ms)	Status	Bytes	Sent Bytes	Latency	Connect Time(ms)
user 1-468	HTTP Request		24 🤡				1
user 1-469	HTTP Request		27 🕏				1
user 1-467	HTTP Request		31 🕏				1
user 1-470	HTTP Request		27 😺				1
user 1-471	HTTP Request		28				1
user 1-500	HTTP Request		30 😺				1
user 1-472	HTTP Request		30 🕏				1
user 1-474	HTTP Request		29 😺				1
user 1-475	HTTP Request		29 🕏				1
user 1-476	HTTP Request						1
user 1-477	HTTP Request		34 📀				1
user 1-499	HTTP Request		92 📀				1
user 1-411	HTTP Request		05 📀				503
user 1-409	HTTP Request						508
user 1-410	HTTP Request		10 📀				506
user 1-412	HTTP Request		D8 👻				503
user 1-413	HTTP Request		20 📀				517
user 1-415	HTTP Request		14 📀				511
user 1-417	HTTP Request		10 😌				506
user 1-418	HTTP Request		13 🦁				507
user 1-419	HTTP Request		10 🤡				503
user 1-414	HTTP Request		23 🤡				514
user 1-416	HTTP Request		23 🤡				511
user 1-420	HTTP Request		18 📀				517
user 1-421	HTTP Request						515
user 1-437	HTTP Request		D4 <b>⊙</b>				502
user 1-436	HTTP Request						504
user 1-440	HTTP Request		14 🤡				512
user 1-445	HTTP Request		05 🤡				503
user 1-442	HTTP Request						508
user 1-444	HTTP Request						504
user 1-441	HTTP Request		17 🕏				510
user 1-439	HTTP Request		24 🕏				514
user 1-443	HTTP Request		25 👻				507
user 1-435	HTTP Request		22 🕏				503
user 1-438	HTTP Request		36				515
user 1-446	HTTP Request		23 👻				501
user 1-452	HTTP Request		14 👻				506
user 1-450	HTTP Request		18 🕏				509
user 1-448	HTTP Request		22				512
user 1-449	HTTP Request						511
user 1-447	HTTP Request	5	26				515 503
user 1-453 user 1-451	HTTP Request		20 <b>©</b> 25 <b>©</b>				503
user 1-451 user 1-454	HTTP Request		23 👻				507
user 1-478	HTTP Request HTTP Request		23 <b>V</b> 34 <b>V</b>				502
user 1-4/8 user 1-4/3	HTTP Request		54 <b>©</b>				502
user 1-4/5 user 1-480	HTTP Request		18			518	515
user 1-483	HTTP Request		12 🕏				510
user 1-482	HTTP Request		15 🕏				512
user 1-486	HTTP Request						504
user 1-479	HTTP Request		22 🕏				517
user 1-484	HTTP Request		15 🕏				509
user 1-481	HTTP Request						515
1.00	· · · · ·	:	×	- 114	- 110	321	313

The heavy load test is dependent on the computer's hardware and can give different results. The testers have used two different computers with different specs to determine the result.

### Test case 5.1

#### expected:

• The access log should have some content

#### actual:

• There is no access log

## Result for automated tests

Tests	Coverage(methods being tested)	Results (passing/all)		
AcceptThreadTest	100%	3/3		
ClientFactory	0%(no test sut)	0/0		
ClientSocketTest	75%	3/3		
ClientThreadTest	100%	3/3		
HeaderTest	100%	4/4		
HTTPReaderTest	100%	4/4		
HTTPRequestTest	0%	1/1		
HTTPRequestParserTest	100%	5/5		
HTTPServerTest	100%	4/4		
HTTPServerConsoleTest	100%	3/3		
PortTest	100%	3/3		
ResponseFactoryTest	100%	5/5		
ServerFactoryTest	100%	1/1		
SharedFolderTest	100%	3/3		
HTTPGetProtocoll	0%(no test sut)	0/0		
SocketClientTest	100%	0/1		
ContentTypeTest	100%	1/1		
ErrorResponses	100%	1/1		
HTMLFileResponseTest	100%	1/1		
StressTest	100%	1/1		
ConsoleViewTest	100%	9/10		
	total: 84,5 %	total: 55/57		

## Traceability-Matrix

Requirement Identifiers	reqs tested	Req 1	Req 2	Req 3	Req 4	Req 5	UC 1	UC 2	UC 3
Test cases	14	2	4	2	1	1	5	1	4
1.1	2			Х			Х		
1.2	2			Х			Х		
1.3	1						Х		
1.4	1						Х		
1.5	1						Х		
2.1	1							Х	
3.1	2		Х						Х
3.2	2		Х						Х
3.3	2		Х						Х
3.4	2		Х						Х
4.1	1	Х							
4.2	1	Х							
5.1	1					Х			
6.1	1				Х				

## Conclusion

The Tests have under 90% coverage in total, and only 50% of the manual test cases are passing, therefore the SDC management are not pleased with the result and the testers evaluate the web server to be unusable in its current state.

# Timelog

Task	time spent
Writing Strategy	3h
Writing Test Plan	4h
Exploratory testing	4h
Writing Test Cases	20h
Executing Tests	5h
Writing Test Report	8h
Total:	44h