Plagiarism Scan Report

Summary	
Report Genrated Date	14 Mar, 2018
Plagiarism Status	78% Unique
Total Words	946
Total Characters	5675
Any Ignore Url Used	

Content Checked For Plagiarism:

You must have come across virtual machines and probably used one \square or running a Linux machine inside windows. The good old days o \square virtual box and VMware when running a virtual machine needed considerable hardware resources, and once you switch to the Guest OS, you could barely do anything on the Host OS. Multiple VMs running together was a \square ar stretched dream.

In the early days, virtual machines served the purpose o stable development environment over a team. A virtual machine with all the required so tware was made and passed on to each team member. Download the multi-gigabyte OS image that you want, install it, then download and con igure the stack you'll be working with: let's say Apache, MySQL, PHP, then install some libraries, install an FTP server. Once this is all done, copy the code over, import the database, con igure Apache's virtual host, restart and cross your ingers. Pretty easy, right?

This set up was an overhead. Even \square or a standard web development environment, the devs had to go through all this pain. We needed something better.

Enter vagrant.

Vagrant brought the concept o boxes, which were VM images with standard dev environments pre-installed. Setting up the new tech stack was now a command away. Just ind the right box, and start working. Vagrant leverages a declarative con iguration ile which describes all your so tware requirements. Instead o passing around VM's between team members, this con ig ile could be version controlled, everyone could just pull and get ready to work. Starting a con igured VM was as easy as typing `vagrant up`.

Vagrant, \Box or long, gave us consistent environments to develop, test and deploy our app. But VM's were inherently slow, \Box iring up the smallest o \Box them would take a \Box ew minutes. Just because I wanted to try a so \Box tware with a clean slate, I had to install an OS, along with all o \Box its \Box eatures, the \Box ile system, the drivers. A lot o \Box this goes utilized. We wanted something lighter, which would just do just what we wanted, and nothing more.

Enter Docker.

Docker Inc is the company which popularised the concept o \Box containers. Think o \Box a container to be same as a container \Box rom a cargo ship. we don't know what's inside o each box, and \Box rom outside they all look same. what's inside them is limited to them. They are signi \Box icantly lighter than VMs.

A virtual machine packs together a guest OS, its kernel, []ile system, device drives, then our tools, runtimes, and more. This makes them heavy.

Docker used the <code>[act</code> that we don't really want an OS. All we want is a dev environment. It leverages this <code>[act</code>, makes use <code>o[]</code> the Host OS kernel, drivers and more instead <code>o[]</code> packing everything <code>[resh</code> in Guest OS. This results in a smaller size and much <code>[aster deployment o containers.]</code>

Like vagrant boxes, Docker also utilizes the concept o centrally hosted images. Docker Hub is the play store equivalent or dev environments. You one `images` which oit your need, pull them, and then spin out containers on them. Containers are like runtimes of the images. So anything you do in the container gets lost as soon as you take them down. But that's not what we wanted, right? For this, Docker gives us Volumes, which are just shared olders between host OS and container. This gives us data persistence over container runs. Any changes made or within the container are saved to this volume.

Docker utilizes AuFS.

AuFS is a layered [ile system, so you can have a read-only part and a write part which are merged together. The common parts o[] the operating system can be marked as read-only and shared amongst all o[] your containers and each container can be given its own mount []or writing.

one process per container Approach

With Docker, a rather new approach came into the picture, `one process per container`. Each container with a specilic process within, so while building our custom app, we could just pick the images we want \(\| \text{rom docker hub.} \)

Each image serving only one need, may it be database, or server, and so on.

This `one process per container` approach gives us horizontal scalability. Too many server requests? Need one more apache server? Just spin up one more container [rom the same image. It keeps the containers light, and in a state o[] []ailure, another one with same specs could be brought up within seconds.

This unveils the real power o Docker. Hundreds o containers, serving rom the same image.

But, what in your application keeps growing? Let's say you keep adding more and more nunctionality until it becomes a massive monolith that is almost impossible to maintain and eats way too much CPU and RAM.

What we do is split it into smaller chunks, each responsible \Box or one speci \Box ic task, maintained by a team, aka. microservices.

We can run multiple instances on each microservice spanning across multiple servers to make it highly available in a production environment. We now have to deal with Load Balancing, storage management, Health checks, Auto-[scaling/restart/healing] o containers and nodes. Orchestration tools make this possible.

Container Orchestration

Container Orchestration re ers to the automated arrangement, coordination, and management o so tware containers. Kubernetes, AWS ECS, and Docker Swarm are some o the leaders in Orchestration. Kubernetes has the largest community and is the most popular. It is based on Google's experience o running workloads at a huge scale in

production over the past 15 years.

The availability o \square such tools makes the ideology behind containers much more power \square ul and practical \square or real-li \square e applications. Sel \square Healing o \square \square ailed nodes, load balancing, scaling, updates, rollbacks, you name.

Report generated by smallseotools.com