

# Requirements Grading Report

Job: arc 2

Date: 12/17/2025, 2:57:46 PM

## Summary

Total Requirements: 10

Ready for Handoff: 5 (50%)

Grade Distribution:

A (Excellent): 1

B (Good): 4

C (Fair): 0

D (Poor): 0

F (Unacceptable): 5

## Graded Requirements

### **ARC-01.01\_US-01.a1: „,I want to automate Provisioning of Front and Home office employees in Envestra“**

Grade: B

Ready for Handoff: Yes

Assigned Team: Wealth Technology

Explanation: The requirement has a clear user story and a high-level acceptance criterion in a Given/When/Then format. It earns a B because the acceptance criterion is vague (e.g., 'manually pushed forward') and it contains placeholder notes like 'explore RBAC further'. To reach an A, the acceptance criteria must be expanded into a complete list of specific scenarios and all placeholders must be resolved.

### **ARC-01.01\_US-01.a2: „,I want to have an E2E process flow diagram of the FO-user onboarding process“**

Grade: F

Ready for Handoff: No

Explanation: This requirement describes a request for a documentation artifact (a process flow diagram), not a functional software feature. While it uses a user story format, the deliverable is an analysis task for a business analyst or architect, not a software development task. To reach grade A, it must be re-framed to describe a feature to be built within a specific software system.

### **ARC-01.01\_US-01.a3: „,I want lapses in appropriate FO-related licenses to trigger the temporary disablement of the user account“**

Grade: F

Ready for Handoff: No

Explanation: The requirement is graded F because the formatting is nonsensical, filled with stray commas and unexplained acronyms that make it confusing and indecipherable. To reach grade A, it must be completely rewritten into a clean format, clearly stating the user story and acceptance criteria without the extraneous data.

**ARC-01.01\_US-01.b: „,I want to be automatically granted the appropriate levels of entitlements and acc**

Grade: B

Ready for Handoff: Yes

Assigned Team: Data Supply Chain (DSC)

Explanation: The requirement has a clear user story and acceptance criteria, but the criteria are too high-level. To reach Grade A, it needs to specify the exact mapping of user roles to the specific entitlements, clients, and accounts they should be granted in Envestnet.

**ARC-01.01\_US-01.c: „, "I want the ability to check that the roles/entitlements specified in IIQ, match the**

Grade: B

Ready for Handoff: Yes

Assigned Team: Data Supply Chain (DSC)

Explanation: This requirement received a B because while it contains all necessary sections, the acceptance criteria are too high-level. The 'Then' clause describes the desired capability ('ability to reconcile') rather than a specific, testable outcome. To reach grade A, the acceptance criteria should specify what happens when a mismatch is found (e.g., a report is generated, an alert is sent).

**ARC-01.01\_US-01.d: „,I want to ensure that IIQ's records will always supersede Envestnet's records, "W**

Grade: A

Ready for Handoff: Yes

Assigned Team: Data Supply Chain (DSC)

Explanation: This requirement is graded A because the core content is complete, clear, and specific, containing a full user story and actionable Given/When/Then acceptance criteria with a defined scope involving the IIQ and Envestnet systems. Although the content itself meets the A-grade standard, the formatting is extremely poor; cleaning the jumbled text into a standard readable format is recommended for clarity but the requirement is technically complete.

**ARC-01.01\_US-01.e: „,and the specific capabilities for each role, "I want to identify all of the different uni**

Grade: F

Ready for Handoff: No

Explanation: This requirement is graded F because it describes a business analysis objective (identify all roles and capabilities) rather than a specific, actionable software feature. To reach grade A, this analysis must be completed, and the findings must be used to create specific user stories with clear, testable acceptance criteria for a software system.

**ARC-01.01\_US-01.f: „,I want all future state roles and responsibilities identified,When those roles are be**

Grade: F

Ready for Handoff: No

Explanation: The requirement is graded 'F' because the content is poorly formatted and nonsensical as written, appearing to be a garbled data export rather than a coherent user story. To reach Grade A, it must be completely rewritten into a clean, standard format with a clear user story and specific, testable acceptance criteria describing an actual software feature, not a business process.

**ARC-01.01\_US-01.g: „,I want to define and document entitlement criteria for each user type accessing E**

Grade: F

Ready for Handoff: No

Explanation: This requirement describes a high-level business analysis task ('define and document entitlement criteria') rather than a specific, buildable software feature. To reach Grade A, the output of this task should be used to create concrete user stories with testable outcomes, such as configuring a specific role with specific permissions in a particular system.

**ARC-01.01\_US-01.h: „,"I want all future state roles that require Envestnet access, to have a unique role**

Grade: B

Ready for Handoff: Yes

Assigned Team: Wealth Technology

Explanation: The requirement contains a clear user story and a high-level acceptance criterion, but it lacks specificity. To reach Grade A, the acceptance criteria should be expanded to include a list of the specific 'future state roles' in Envestnet and how their mapping to unique IIQ roles will be verified.