

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1417740-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 75

Page 5 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 6 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 7 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 8 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 9 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 10 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 11 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 12 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 13 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 14 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 15 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 16 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 17 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 18 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 19 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 20 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 21 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 22 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 23 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 24 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 26 ~ Duplicate;
Page 29 ~ Duplicate;
Page 30 ~ Duplicate;
Page 32 ~ Duplicate;
Page 34 ~ Duplicate;
Page 37 ~ Duplicate;
Page 38 ~ Duplicate;
Page 40 ~ Duplicate;
Page 42 ~ Duplicate;
Page 45 ~ Duplicate;
Page 46 ~ Duplicate;
Page 48 ~ Duplicate;
Page 50 ~ Duplicate;
Page 52 ~ Duplicate;
Page 53 ~ Duplicate;
Page 56 ~ Duplicate;
Page 57 ~ Duplicate;
Page 59 ~ Duplicate;
Page 60 ~ Duplicate;
Page 64 ~ Duplicate;
Page 65 ~ Duplicate;
Page 67 ~ Duplicate;
Page 69 ~ Duplicate;
Page 71 ~ Duplicate;
Page 72 ~ Duplicate;
Page 75 ~ Duplicate;
Page 76 ~ Duplicate;
Page 81 ~ b6 - -5,-6; b7C - -5,-6;

Page 82 ~ b6 - -5,-6; b7C - -5,-6;
Page 83 ~ b6 - 6; b7C - 6;
Page 84 ~ b6 - -5,-6; b7C - -5,-6;
Page 85 ~ b6 - -5,-6; b7C - -5,-6;
Page 86 ~ b6 - 5,-6; b7C - 5,-6;
Page 87 ~ b6 - 5,-6; b7C - 5,-6;
Page 88 ~ b6 - 5; b7C - 5;
Page 89 ~ b6 - 5; b7C - 5;
Page 90 ~ b6 - 6; b7C - 6;
Page 91 ~ b6 - 6; b7C - 6;
Page 92 ~ b6 - 6; b7C - 6;
Page 93 ~ b6 - 6; b7C - 6;
Page 94 ~ b6 - 6; b7C - 6;
Page 95 ~ b6 - 6; b7C - 6;
Page 96 ~ b6 - 6; b7C - 6;
Page 97 ~ b6 - 6; b7C - 6;
Page 98 ~ b6 - 6; b7C - 6;
Page 99 ~ b6 - 6; b7C - 6;
Page 100 ~ b6 - 6; b7C - 6;
Page 101 ~ b6 - 6; b7C - 6;
Page 102 ~ b6 - 5,-6; b7C - 5,-6;
Page 105 ~ Duplicate;
Page 106 ~ Duplicate;
Page 107 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 108 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 109 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 110 ~ Referral/Consult;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X For this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

UNCLASSIFIED

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Precedence: ROUTINE

Date: 07/30/2009

To: Chicago

From: Chicago

WC-1

Contact: [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Approved By: [REDACTED] ✓

Drafted By: [REDACTED] jmf

Case ID #: 74-CG-130688 (~~Pending~~)

Title: Unsub(s);
Office of Professional Standards,
Chicago Police Department;
Perjury
SENSITIVE INVESTIGATIVE MATTER

Synopsis: To request SA [REDACTED] assist SA [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] in investigating captioned case.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Details: It is requested that SA [REDACTED] be assigned to assist
SA [REDACTED] in investigating the captioned matter. The request to
assign SA [REDACTED] is due to the possibility of extended medical
leave by SA [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

UNCLASSIFIED

Long
7/31/09

set lead to

b6 -1
b7C -1

UNCLASSIFIED

To: Chicago From: Chicago
Re: 74-CG-130688, 07/30/2009

LEAD(s):

Set Lead 1: (Action)

CHICAGO

AT CHICAGO, IL

It is requested that SA [redacted] be
assigned to assist SA [redacted] in investigating the captioned
case.

b6 -1
b7C -1

♦♦

UNCLASSIFIED

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 09/25/2009

[redacted]
[redacted] Telephone number [redacted] Date of Birth: [redacted]
SSAN: [redacted] was interviewed at his residence. He was advised of the identities of the interviewing Agents and the purpose of the interview and provided the following information:

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] was employed by the Office of Professional Standards (OPS) from [redacted]. He was hired as an Investigator [redacted]. As part of his duties he investigated allegations of police brutality and torture as well as police shootings and domestic abuse. Eventually he supervised a group of investigators.

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] advised that he has worked for quite a few Administrators and Coordinators over the years. He specifically mentioned [redacted]. [redacted] He mentioned [redacted] as a Coordinator that he worked under.

b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5

In response to questions about whether he had ever experienced a situation where he felt that his investigations were being stifled or manipulated by anyone, he advised that he never had that experience and was always allowed to complete his investigations without any outside pressure. He has never been asked to change facts reported in his reports or to withhold information. He was never pressured in any way to cover up any information.

In response to questions about whether OPS management was being pressured by the Mayor's office, the Chicago Police Department (CPD) management or the State's Attorneys Office, he advised that he was not aware of any manipulation or pressure from outside agencies.

Investigation on 09/22/2009 at Chicago, ILFile # 74-CG-130688 - 3

Date dictated _____

by SA [redacted]

SA [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 09/16/2009

[redacted]
[redacted] Date of Birth: [redacted] SSAN: [redacted] was interviewed at [redacted] She was advised of the identities of the interviewing Agents and the purpose of the interview and provided the following information:

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] was employed by the Office of Professional Standards (OPS) from [redacted] She was an Investigator, and as part of her duties investigated allegations of police brutality and torture as well as police shootings. She advised that [redacted] Sam Morris [redacted] Morris was assigned larger, more complex cases, and [redacted] Morris is now deceased.

b6 -5
b7C -5

While employed at OPS she had several Supervisors [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

In response to questions about whether she had ever experienced a situation where she felt that her investigations were being stifled or manipulated by anyone from OPS, she advised that she never had this experience and was always allowed to complete her investigations without any pressure. Her sustained investigations went up the chain of command, specifically to her Supervisor, the Coordinator and then the Administrator.

They were subsequently sent to the Chicago Police Department for review by the Officers Supervisor, and the Superintendent. She never felt as if the State's Attorneys Office was influencing the investigations or the disciplinary actions taken against Police Officers.

She has never been asked to change facts reported in her reports or to withhold information.

b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5

She acknowledged that she was [redacted] the allegations of Police torture by Jon Burge and others [redacted]

[redacted] advised that she always tried to be fair, impartial and accurate in her investigations.

Investigation on 08/10/2009 at Chicago, IL

File # 74-CG-130688

Date dictated [signature]

b6 -1
b7C -1

by SA [redacted] SA [redacted]

b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5

74-CG-130688

Continuation of FD-302 of [redacted], On 08/10/2009, Page 2

[redacted] advised that the writer should talk to [redacted]
[redacted] as a white female in
[redacted]

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 09/16/2009

[redacted]
[redacted] Telephone number [redacted] Date of Birth: [redacted]
SSAN: [redacted] was interviewed at his residence. He was advised of the identities of the interviewing Agents and the purpose of the interview and provided the following information:

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] has been employed by the Office of Professional Standards (OPS) from [redacted] In 2007, OPS's name was changed to the Independent Police Review Board but their function remained the same. He is an Investigator, and as part of his duties investigates allegations of police brutality and torture as well as police shootings.

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] advised that he has worked for quite a few Administrators and Supervisors over the years. He specifically mentioned [redacted] First name unknown (fnu) [redacted] and [redacted]

b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5

In response to questions about whether he had ever experienced a situation where he felt that his investigations were being stifled or manipulated by anyone, he advised that he never had this experience and was always allowed to complete his investigations without any outside pressure. He tried to be fair and objective in his investigations.

In response to questions about whether OPS management was being pressured either by the Chicago Police Department (CPD) management or the State's Attorneys Office, he advised that he was not aware of any manipulation or pressure from outside agencies.

He has never been asked to change facts reported in his reports or to withhold information. He was never pressured in any way to cover up any information.

[redacted] was asked if he follows his cases or investigations through to the disciplinary action taken by CPD, he advised that he has always had a heavy case load. He would occasionally follow up on a sustained case to see what the final result was, but typically he would ignore cases once his investigation was complete.

b6 -5
b7C -5Investigation on 08/10/2009 at Chicago, ILFile # 74-CG-130688-5 Date dictated _____

by SA [redacted] SA [redacted]

b6 -5
b7C -5

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 09/16/2009

[redacted]
 Telephone number [redacted] Date of Birth [redacted] SSAN: [redacted]

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] was interviewed at his residence. He was advised of the identity of the interviewing Agent and the purpose of the interview and provided the following information:

[redacted] has been employed by the Office of Professional Standards (OPS) from [redacted]. He was hired as an Investigator, and as part of his duties investigates allegations of police brutality and torture as well as police shootings. He was [redacted]
 [redacted]

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] advised that he has worked for quite a few Administrators and Supervisors over his [redacted]. He specifically mentioned two Administrators, [redacted] and [redacted]
 [redacted]

b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5

In response to questions about whether he had ever experienced a situation where he felt that his investigations were being stifled or manipulated by anyone from OPS, he advised that he never had this experience and was always allowed to complete his investigations without any outside pressure. He tried to be fair and objective in his investigations.

In response to questions about whether [redacted] he was ever pressured to manipulate investigative reports or to cover up or remove information, he advised that this never happened. He was never pressured in any way by upper management. He was not aware of any instances where OPS management was being pressured either by Chicago Police Department (CPD) management or the State's Attorneys Office or the Mayor's office. He has never been asked to change facts reported in any reports or to withhold any information.

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] was aware of the fact that [redacted]
 [redacted]
 [redacted] He had no idea [redacted]
 [redacted]
 [redacted]

b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5

Investigation on 08/04/2009 at Chicago, IL

File # 74-CG-130688 -6

Date dictated _____

by SA [redacted] *JW*

b6 -1
b7C -1

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 10/15/2009

[redacted]
 [redacted] Telephone number [redacted] Date of Birth: [redacted]
 SSAN: [redacted] was interviewed at his place of employment,
 Chicago Police Department, 3510 S. Michigan Avenue, Chicago, IL,
 Work telephone [redacted] He was advised of the identities of
 the interviewing Agents and the purpose of the interview and
 provided the following information:

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] was employed by the Office of Professional
 Standards (OPS) from [redacted]
 [redacted] He was hired as an Investigator,
 and as part of his duties he investigated allegations of police
 brutality or excessive force and torture as well as police
 shootings and domestic disputes. [redacted]
 [redacted]

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] advised that he has worked for quite a few
 Administrators and Supervisors over the years. He specifically
 mentioned [redacted] and [redacted]
 [redacted] Bill Zylstra, who is now deceased. When he first
 started he had very little Supervision but as OPS evolved more
 supervision was put in place. In [redacted] he was tasked with doing case
 reviews, [redacted] as well
 as [redacted]

b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5

In response to questions about whether he had ever been
 pressured by anyone to change the findings in his investigative
 reports, he advised that he was never pressured in any way. He has
 never been asked to change facts reported in his reports or to
 withhold information. He was never pressured in any way to cover up
 any information. [redacted] advised that he had no stake in any of
 the investigations and typically he didn't follow the case to see
 if the officer(s) were ever disciplined. He is not aware of anyone
 else in OPS who was pressured either.

b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5

[redacted] worked at OPS when [redacted] was there. He
 knew that [redacted] was tasked with [redacted]
 [redacted] advised that the disciplinary
 process was very slow moving. Cases sometimes took 4 to 5 years to
 complete.

Investigation on 10/09/2009 at Chicago, ILFile # 74-CG-130688 - 7Date dictated 10/15/2009b6 -1
b7C -1

by SA [redacted] SA [redacted]

74-CG-130688

Continuation of FD-302 of

[Redacted]

, On 10/09/2009 , Page 2

b6 -5

b7C -5

In response to questions about whether OPS management was being pressured either by the Mayor, the Chicago Police Department (CPD) management or the State's Attorneys Office, he advised that he was not aware of any manipulation or pressure from outside agencies.

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 10/15/2009

[redacted] b6 -5, -6
Telephone number [redacted] Date of Birth: [redacted] SSAN: [redacted] b7C -5, -6
[redacted] was interviewed in the offices of the City of Chicago, .
[redacted] Present throughout
the entire interview was Attorney [redacted] They were advised of
the identities of the interviewing Agents and the purpose of the
interview and provided the following information:

[redacted] was hired by the Office of Professional Standards b6 -5
(OPS) in [redacted] He was hired b7C -5
as an Investigator, and as part of his duties he investigated
allegations of police brutality or excessive force and torture as
well as police shootings and domestic violence. [redacted]
[redacted]

In response to questions about whether he had ever been
pressured by anyone to alter his investigative reports or withhold
information, he advised that he never had this experience and was
always allowed to complete his investigations without any outside
pressure. He always tried to be fair and objective in his
investigations.

The writer inquired about the review process that
sustained investigative cases are subjected to, he advised that the
Investigator's Supervisor would review the report and sign off on
it. It was then passed to the Coordinator who reviewed it, and then
sent to the Administrators. When they were satisfied, it was sent
to the Police Department for Command Channel Review which included
review by the Police Superintendent's Office and the Police Review
Board. Any disciplinary action was then administered.

In response to questions about whether OPS management was
being pressured either by the Mayor, the Chicago Police Department
(CPD) management or the State's Attorneys Office, he advised that
he was not aware of any manipulation or pressure from outside
agencies.

Investigation on 10/08/2009 at Chicago, IL

File # 74-CG-130688 *WJD*

Date dictated _____

by SA [redacted] SA [redacted] *WJD*

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 08/04/2009

[redacted] home address [redacted]
[redacted] home telephone number [redacted] Date
of Birth [redacted] social security account number [redacted] was
interviewed at her residence. After being advised as to the
identities of the interviewing Agents and the nature of the
interview [redacted] provided the following information:

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] was an investigator at the OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL
STANDARDS (OPS) [redacted] When [redacted]

b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5

[redacted] never had any of her investigations [redacted] at
the investigator level, but it may have happened at a higher level.
She is not aware of anything being altered in her case files. For
[redacted]
[redacted]

b6 -5
b7C -5Investigation on 08/04/2009 at Chicago, ILFile # 74-CG-130688 - 9 Date dictated 08/14/2009by [redacted] *SW*
*uo*b6 -1
b7C -1

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 08/06/2009

[redacted] home address [redacted]
 [redacted] home telephone number [redacted] Date
 of Birth [redacted] social security account number [redacted] was
 interviewed at her residence. After being advised as to the
 identities of the interviewing Agents and the nature of the
 interview [redacted] provided the following information:

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] worked for the OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS
 (OPS) [redacted] She was an Investigator for approximately
 [redacted] and then [redacted]
 [redacted]

b6 -5
b7C -5

If an investigation is sustained then it goes to a panel
 of three CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT (CPD) officers, the commanding
 officer (of the accused officer), Deputy (Commander's supervisor),
 CPD superintendent, back to OPS and finally to the CPD Police Board
 who makes the final decision. The investigator makes a suggestion
 for punishment, but it can be changed by the higher ranking
 officers.

[redacted] never knew of any pressure or influence being
 exerted to change or alter the outcome of an investigation. She
 advised that it was possible that pressure or influence was
 utilized to change the outcome of a case but she was not aware of
 any specific instance. She knows that sometimes the CPD chain of
 command would change the findings or punishment, but does not think
 they changed the facts of the case.

b6 -5
b7C -5b6 -2,-4,-5
b7C -2,-4,-5

[redacted] advised that [redacted] OPS k1
 [redacted]
 [redacted] asked about them but [redacted] would
 [redacted] One of the complainants was named
 First Name Unknown (FNU) [redacted] Some of the cases may have been
 slightly delayed by the administrators as they traveled up the
 chain of command but they were not stopped.

[redacted] was very interested in the BURGE case because she
 indicated that she wanted justice to be done. The room that the
 prisoners were tortured in was in the lower level of Area Two. OPS

b6 -4
b7C -4Investigation on 08/06/2009 at Chicago, ILFile # 74-CG-130688 -10 Date dictated 08/14/2009by [redacted] *SWA*
*WB*b6 -1
b7C -1

74-CG-130688

Continuation of FD-302 of , On 08/06/2009, Page 2 b6 -5
b7C -5

investigators were never allowed to see the room because it was
always "inconvenient."

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 08/04/2009

[redacted] home address [redacted]
[redacted] home telephone number [redacted] Date
of Birth [redacted] social security account number [redacted]
was interviewed at his residence. After being advised as to the
identities of the interviewing Agents and the nature of the
interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] worked for OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS
(OPS) for [redacted]
[redacted]

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] never felt any pressure to change the substance
or findings of any reports. [redacted] stated that [redacted]
[redacted] any pressure or
influence as it relates to manipulating investigative findings or
disciplinary recommendations.

[redacted] gave him some guidance on
some investigations. The management structure under [redacted]
involved having a supervisor oversee the investigators. The
supervisor reported to the OPS Coordinator. [redacted] was one
of the [redacted]

b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5

[redacted] does not know if anyone at OPS felt any pressure
to alter there findings or the facts of the cases. [redacted]
[redacted]

b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5

The [redacted]
[redacted] the
torture cases, including the one on Detective BURGE. The
investigations were limited because the more recent investigations
took precedent.

Investigation on 08/04/2009 at Chicago, ILFile # 74-CG-130688 -11 Date dictated 08/12/2009by [redacted] *SW*b6 -1
b7C -1

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 08/04/2009

[redacted] home address [redacted] b6 -5
[redacted] home telephone number [redacted] Date of Birth [redacted] b7C -5
[redacted] social security account number [redacted] was
interviewed at his residence. After being advised as to the
identities of the interviewing Agents and the nature of the
interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

[redacted] worked as [redacted] for the OFFICE OF
PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS (OPS) from [redacted] from
OPS [redacted] He currently works as [redacted] for the b6 -5
[redacted] b7C -5

[redacted] does not recall ever being pressured to sustain or
not sustain an investigation. Occasionally, [redacted] would recommend
a particular level of punishment and the officer would get [redacted]

[redacted] If investigations were
being influenced by outside sources then they are being influenced
at a higher level than that of an investigator.

[redacted] recalled working one case involving JON BURGE and b6 -4,-5
police torture. b7C -4,-5

[redacted] LNU, [redacted] and [redacted] were the
[redacted] during [redacted]

[redacted] was the [redacted] b6 -4,-5
[redacted] was a [redacted] who was later promoted to the position of b7C -4,-5
[redacted]

Investigation on 08/04/2009 at Chicago, IL

File # 74-CG-130688 -18 Date dictated 08/12/2009

by [redacted] b6 -1
[redacted] b7C -1

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 08/03/2009

[redacted] home address [redacted]
[redacted] home telephone number [redacted] Date
of Birth [redacted] social security account number [redacted]
was interviewed at her residence. After being advised as to the
identities of the interviewing Agents and the nature of the
interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] worked for the OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS
(OPS) [redacted]

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] never felt pressure to find for or against any
officer. Her supervisors always supported her decisions and
findings. [redacted] never protected any officers by withholding any
information in her investigations. She does not feel like the
State's Attorney's Office influenced her decisions in any cases.
[redacted] does not recall anyone getting their cases stifled by
management.

After a report was finished by an Investigator, it was
sent to the Supervisor for review, then it was forwarded to the OPS
Coordinator and then on to the CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT (CPD)
senior chain of command for further review.

On occasion, police management was very supportive of
having officers fired for their alleged violations.

Investigation on 08/04/2009 at Chicago, IL

File # 74-CG-130688-13 Date dictated 08/12/2009

by [redacted] *SD*b6 -1
b7C -1

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 08/03/2009

[redacted] home address [redacted]
 [redacted] home telephone number [redacted] Date
 of Birth [redacted] was interviewed at his residence. After being
 advised as to the identities of the interviewing Agents and the
 nature of the interview, [redacted] provided the following
 information:

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] retired from the OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL
 STANDARDS (OPS) [redacted] of service. During his
 career, [redacted] recalled taking a statement from someone who
 alleged torture in the Third District. He did not investigate the
 allegations nor does he recall it involving JON BURGE.

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted]
 [redacted] The OPS Commander would then sustain or not sustain
 [redacted] The findings and recommendations are
 then forwarded to the superintendent of the CHICAGO POLICE
 DEPARTMENT (CPD).

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] heard rumors that some investigators felt
 pressure [redacted] but he does not remember the specific
 investigators or investigations.

b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5

[redacted] and FIRST NAME UNKNOWN (FNU) [redacted] were the
 OPS Administrators while [redacted] was working there.
 [redacted]
 the [redacted] There were no obvious signs that [redacted]
 was stifling investigations.

/ The CPD [redacted] and then [redacted]
 [redacted] heard rumors that [redacted] was involved in the
 BURGE investigation. [redacted] was the OPS [redacted] for the
 BURGE investigation. In [redacted] opinion, [redacted] and
 [redacted] were honest and ethical individuals.

b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5Investigation on 08/03/2009 at Chicago, ILFile # 74-CG-130688 -14Date dictated 08/05/2009

by [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 08/04/2009

[redacted] home address [redacted]
 [redacted] cellular telephone number [redacted] Date of
 Birth [redacted] social security account number [redacted] was
 interviewed at her residence. After being advised as to the
 identities of the interviewing Agents and the nature of the
 interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] worked as [redacted] for the OFFICE OF
 PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS (OPS) [redacted] She investigated
 shooting cases and incidents of police brutality involving the
 CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT (CPD). [redacted]

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] did not feel pressure to alter any investigation or
 findings.

[redacted]
 There was no precedence and the rules were constantly changing.
 Mayors JANE BYRNE and HAROLD WASHINGTON were making all the
 changes.

b6 -5
b7C -5

During [redacted] tenure, [redacted] was the
 [redacted] was her [redacted] She recalled that
 [redacted] was also in a management position.

b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5Investigation on 08/04/2009 at Chicago, ILFile # 74-CG-130688 -15 Date dictated 08/14/2009

by [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 10/07/2009

[redacted]
 [redacted] Telephone number [redacted] Date of Birth: [redacted]
 SSAN: [redacted] was interviewed at [redacted]
 [redacted] He was advised of the identities of
 the interviewing Agents and the purpose of the interview and
 provided the following information:

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] was employed by the Office of Professional
 Standards (OPS) [redacted] He was hired as an Investigator,
 and as part of his duties he investigated allegations of police
 brutality or excessive force and torture as well as police
 shootings. [redacted]
 the position he held when he left OPS. In response to questions
 about what [redacted] was, he advised that it was usually a
 [redacted]

b6 -4, -5
b7C -4, -5

At the time, the Administrator for OPS was [redacted]
 [redacted] was trained by his [redacted] He stated that
 he carried a caseload of approximately [redacted]

In response to questions about whether he had ever
 experienced a situation where he felt that his investigations were
 being stifled or manipulated by anyone, he advised that he never
 had this experience and was always allowed to complete his
 investigations without any outside pressure. He always tried to be
 fair and objective in his investigations.

The writer inquired about the review process that
 sustained investigative cases are subjected to, he advised that the
 Investigator's Supervisor would review the report and sign off on
 it. Then the report was passed to the Administrators, possibly
 [redacted] and [redacted] to review. When they were satisfied, it
 was passed to the Police Superintendent's Office and the Police
 Review Board. Any disciplinary action was then administered.

b6 -4
b7C -4

In response to questions about whether OPS management was
 being pressured either by the Mayor, the Chicago Police Department
 (CPD) management or the State's Attorneys Office, he advised that
 he was not aware of any manipulation or pressure from outside
 agencies.

Investigation on 10/06/2009 at Des Plaines, ILFile # 74-CG-130688 -16

Date dictated _____

by

SA [redacted]

SA [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 10/15/2009

[redacted] b6 -5, -6
 [redacted] Date of Birth: [redacted] SSAN: [redacted] was b7C -5, -6
 interviewed in the [redacted]

[redacted] Present throughout the entire interview was Attorney [redacted] They were advised of the identities of the interviewing Agents and the purpose of the interview and provided the following information:

[redacted] was hired by the Office of Professional Standards (OPS) [redacted] He was hired as an Investigator, and as part of his duties he investigated allegations of police brutality, excessive force and torture as well as police shootings and domestic violence, verbal abuse and deaths in custody. He was [redacted] and was [redacted] He advised that OPS changed it's name to Independent Police Review Authority in 2007. b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] was questioned about his former Coordinators and Administrators and advised that [redacted] b6 -4, -5
 and he has worked for numerous Administrators including [redacted] b7C -4, -5
 [redacted] and [redacted]

In response to questions about whether he had ever been pressured by anyone to alter his investigative reports or withhold information, he advised that he has never been pressured in any way to change the outcome of his investigations.

The writer inquired about the review process that sustained investigative cases are subjected to, he advised that he was not familiar with the process beyond their review by the OPS Administrator.

[redacted] acknowledged that in the [redacted] he was given [redacted] b6 -5
 [redacted] He systematically b7C -5
 and methodically reviewed the files. He advised that [redacted]
 [redacted]
 [redacted]

Investigation on 10/08/2009 at Chicago, IL

File # 74-CG-130688 - 17

Date dictated _____

by SA [redacted] SA [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

74-66-130688

JMS (1)

AK

74-CG-130688

Continuation of FD-302 of

[Redacted]

, On 10/08/2009 , Page 2

b6 -5
b7C -5

In response to questions about whether OPS management was being pressured either by the Mayor, the Chicago Police Department (CPD) management or the State's Attorneys Office, he advised that he was not aware of any manipulation or pressure from outside agencies to affect disciplinary actions.

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 09/25/2009

[redacted]
 [redacted] Home telephone: [redacted] Date of Birth: [redacted]
 SSAN: [redacted] was interviewed at her place of employment, [redacted]

b6 -5
b7C -5

She was advised of the identities of the interviewing Agents and the purpose of the interview and provided the following information:

[redacted] was employed by the Office of Professional Standards (OPS) [redacted] She was an Investigator, and as part of her duties investigated allegations of police brutality and torture as well as police shootings. [redacted]
 [redacted] the position she held when she left OPS.

b6 -5
b7C -5

While employed at OPS she had several Supervisors including [redacted] and [redacted]
 [redacted] In response to questions about Coordinators she worked under, she advised that [redacted]
 She recalled that she worked for at least two Administrators, [redacted]
 [redacted] and [redacted]

b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5

In response to questions about whether she had ever experienced a situation where she felt that she was being pressured by OPS management to have certain findings in her investigations, she stated that she usually conducted her investigations without any outside influence. She recalled that [redacted]
 [redacted] told her on a few occasions. [redacted]
 [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

[redacted] She advised that occasionally he might change her reports but the changes were not to factual issues.

[redacted] advised that she always tried to be fair, impartial and accurate in her investigations. She advised that many of the accused Police Officers were rude, condescending and disrespectful to the OPS investigators. She suspected that [redacted]
 [redacted]

b6 -5
b7C -5

In response to questions about whether she was ever aware of cases where outside pressure was exerted to sway the findings, she stated that if that happened, it happened at a much higher

Investigation on 09/24/2009 at Chicago, IL

File # 74-CG-130688 -18

Date dictated

by SA [redacted]

SA [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

74-CG-130688

Continuation of FD-302 of , On 09/24/2009, Page 2 b6 -5
b7C -5

level than hers, and she was not aware of it.

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 09/30/2009[redacted]
Telephone number [redacted] Date of Birth: [redacted] SSAN: [redacted]b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] was interviewed at his residence. He was advised of the identities of the interviewing Agents and the purpose of the interview and provided the following information:

[redacted] was employed by the Office of Professional Standards (OPS) from [redacted]. He was hired as an Investigator, and as part of his duties he investigated allegations of police brutality or excessive force and torture as well as police shootings.

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] advised that he has worked for quite a few Administrators and Supervisors over the years. He specifically mentioned [redacted]

b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5

In response to questions about whether he had ever experienced a situation where he felt that his investigations were being stifled or manipulated by anyone, he advised that he never had this experience and was always allowed to complete his investigations without any outside pressure. He always tried to be objective in his investigations.

In response to questions about whether OPS management was being pressured either by the Mayor, the Chicago Police Department (CPD) management or the State's Attorneys Office, he advised that he was not aware of any manipulation or pressure from outside agencies.

He has never been asked to change facts reported in his reports or to withhold information. He was never pressured in any way to cover up any information.

The writer asked [redacted] if he was aware of the fact that [redacted]

b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5

[redacted] He advised that he was not aware of this.

Investigation on 09/30/2009 at Chicago, ILFile # 74-CG-130688 -19

Date dictated _____

by SA [redacted] SA [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

74-66-130688

gms

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 10/07/2009

[redacted]
 Home telephone: [redacted] Date of Birth: [redacted] SSAN: [redacted]
 [redacted] was interviewed at her residence. She was advised of the identities of the interviewing Agents and the purpose of the interview and provided the following information:

b6 -5
b7C -5

[redacted] was employed by the Office of Professional Standards (OPS) from [redacted]. She was hired as an Investigator, and as part of her duties investigated allegations of police brutality and torture as well as police shootings. She also investigated [redacted]. She was [redacted] and [redacted] or so.

b6 -5
b7C -5

In response to questions about whether she had ever experienced a situation where she felt that she was being pressured by OPS management to have certain findings in her investigations, she stated that she conducted her investigations without any outside influence.

[redacted] advised that she tried to be fair and impartial in her investigations. She advised that many of the accused Police Officers were disrespectful to the OPS investigators. In general, the OPS investigators were not very well liked by the Police Officers.

b6 -5
b7C -5

The writer advised [redacted] that when [redacted]

b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5

[redacted]
 the disciplinary process. [redacted] was not aware of any ongoing attempt to stifle the disciplinary process by any OPS employee

She stated that on certain occasions, Administrators would keep certain investigations and work them with the best, most experienced investigators.

She also advised that in some instances, investigative cases could be closed administratively. Typically these were old cases.

Investigation on 10/07/2009 at Chicago, IL

File # 74-CG-130688 - 20

Date dictated _____

by SA [redacted]

SA [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 09/16/2009

[redacted] b6 -5,-6
[redacted] Date of Birth: [redacted] SSAN: [redacted] was interviewed b7C -5,-6
at the offices of the [redacted]

[redacted] Present during the entire
interview was Attorney [redacted] She was advised of the
identities of the interviewing Agents and the purpose of the
interview and provided the following information:

[redacted] has been employed by the Office of Professional Standards (OPS) from [redacted] She was
initially hired as [redacted] At some point in [redacted]
[redacted] she [redacted] In [redacted]
[redacted] the position she currently holds. It should be
noted that OPS changed its name to "The Independent Police Review
Board" in January of 2008.

b6 -5
b7C -5

As part of her duties, she investigated allegations of
police brutality and torture as well as police shootings. Currently
she [redacted] She
has done this for the [redacted] As [redacted] she
occasionally [redacted] and at these times
she also [redacted]

b6 -5
b7C -5

In response to questions about whether she had ever
experienced a situation where she felt that her investigations were
being stifled or manipulated by anyone from OPS, she advised that
she never had this experience and was always allowed to complete
her investigations without any pressure. Her sustained
investigative reports went up her chain of command, specifically to
her Supervisor, the Coordinator and then the Administrator.

Her sustained investigative reports were subsequently
sent to "Command Channel Review" for review by the Officer's
Supervisor, Commander and other high ranking police personnel. The
Police Superintendent then reviews the report and recommendations.
If the recommendation is to discharge the officer, the report is
then sent to the Police Board. The Board would make the final
decision.

Investigation on 03/24/2010 at Chicago, IL

File # 74-CG-130688 2

Date dictated _____

by SA [redacted] SA [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

74-66-130628

gms (1)

74-CG-130688

Continuation of FD-302 of [REDACTED], On 03/24/2010, Page 2 b6 -5
b7C -5

[REDACTED] advised that she has never been pressured by anyone to suppress information or change her investigative findings.

[REDACTED] was questioned about an investigation she worked on involving a complainant named [REDACTED]. She stated that she remembered the case. At this point in the interview she was questioned about [REDACTED] gave in which [REDACTED] told her [REDACTED] b6 -2,-4,-5
b7C -2,-4,-5

[REDACTED] The records [REDACTED] reviewed apparently reflected that [REDACTED] was asked if she thought that stopping an investigation of police torture was appropriate, and if she ever did anything to get the case opened again. She stated that she never did anything to get it re-opened.





The writer questioned her about [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Specifically, she was asked if she thought the OPS system was working properly when [REDACTED] declined to answer this question. b6 -4,-5
b7C -4,-5

[REDACTED] was questioned about whether [REDACTED] had any close personal relationships with any investigators, and if those relationships affected the investigative results. She responded negatively to both.

74-66-130688

122 JMD (1)
(PBA)

-  High Risk Indicator. These symbols may prompt you to investigate further.
-  Moderate Risk Indicator. These symbols may prompt you to investigate further.
-  General Information Indicator. These symbols inform you that additional information is provided.
-  The most recent telephone listing as reported by Electronic Directory Assistance.



Copyright © 2010 LexisNexis. All rights reserved.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Precedence: ROUTINE

Date: 08/13/2010

To: Chicago

Attn: SSA [REDACTED]

From: Chicago

WC-1

Contact: SA [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Approved By: [REDACTED] *RFA*

Drafted By: [REDACTED] *JWD*

Case ID #: 74-CG-130688 (Closed) - *23*

1886-CG-C125349-K-2331
Title: CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT;
OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS;
PERJURY

Synopsis: EC to close the captioned case.

Details: Former Chicago Police Officer Jon Burge was convicted at trial of committing perjury. AUSA [REDACTED] advised the writer that he was declining the captioned matter and has prepared a Declination Letter. The letter has been sent to the FBI via inter-office mail. The writer now requests that this case be closed.

b6 -3
b7C -3

♦♦

*closed
8/17/10
BT*