

Peer review, FP LPR Group 2

Tuomas Kylänlahti

Analyzation criteria for the following evaluation will be:

1. Accessibility

The application is based on the internet and is thus well available to anyone with an internet connection. The target audience being LUT-university students and faculty, this should not be a problem, but an application for mobile devices could be considered for offline use.

2. Clarity

The site is well laid out and presents a clear view for the user. The topic headers split the site into 3 subcategories making reading about them individually a less of a chore for the user, however the category headers could be presented more prominently, as for right now they get well overshadowed by the main header and are possible to overlook.

3. Learnability

The site is laid out in a simple fashion, which makes navigating it quite obvious.

4. Credibility

The site does well to provide users with an easy way to directly jump to the topic they want to read about when searching for information, but as for the information itself is yet absent it is hard to evaluate the contents of the site. In the video presentation it is however mentioned that on their resources page the site will present links to further information.

5. Relevance

Information regarding the corona-virus are unlikely to become irrelevant anytime soon, and a solid platform for sharing accurate and timely news is good for stopping the spread of misinformation.