#### **Prerequisites**

- sets (notation)
- strings (basic notation)

## Formal definition and proof of the normal form theorem

The previous two sections introduced negative n-gram grammars at great length and showed a basic normal form theorem: for every grammar with n-grams of mixed length, there is an equivalent grammar where all n-grams have the same length. The presentation was deliberately informal to focus on intuitions rather than mathematical rigor. This unit is very different. It gives the definitions in a mathematical format, rigorously states the normal form theorem, and states the proof of the theorem in a more standard mathematical style.

I admit that this might be a lot to take in for the newbie, but it is important for you to learn how to read mathematical notation. It really makes things a lot easier in the long run. Once you feel more comfortable with mathematical notation, I suggest that you come back to this unit and contrast it to the two preceding ones. Which one gives you more information in a short amount of time?

If you're suffering an acute case of symbol shock, don't worry. We will continue at a leisurely pace, with optional formal sections sprinkled in to give a succinct summary of the more informal sections.

### Formal definition of negative grammars

An **alphabet** is a finite set of symbols.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\Sigma$  be some alphabet, and  $\Sigma_{\$}$  its extension with a distinguished edge marker symbol  $\$ \notin \Sigma$ . An n-gram over  $\Sigma_{\$}$  is an element of  $\Sigma_{\$}^n$  ( $n \ge 1$ ). A **negative** n-**gram grammar** G over alphabet  $\Sigma$  is a finite set of n-grams over  $\Sigma_{\$}$ . A string s over  $\Sigma$  is well-formed with respect to G iff there are no u, v over  $\Sigma_{\$}$  and no  $g \in G$  such that  $\$^{n-1} \cdot s \cdot \$^{n-1} = u \cdot g \cdot v$ . The **language of** G, denoted L(G), contains all strings that are well-formed with respect to G, and only those.

# Example 1

Suppose  $\Sigma := \{C, V\}$ , where C represents consonants and V vowels. One string over  $\Sigma$  is CVCVCV, an instance of a very simple CV-syllable template. Assume G contains CC and VC and let's see if the string CVCVCV is well-formed with respect to G. The bigram CC is not a problem since there are no strings U and V such that CVCVV =  $U \cdot CC \cdot V$ , which means that CVCVCV does not contain the forbidden bigram CC. But clearly CVCV = CVCV is a component of CVCV, and as a result the string is ruled out by C.

**Definition 2.** A mixed negative n-gram grammar G is a finite set of strings over  $\Sigma$  such that n is the length of the longest string in G. A negative n-gram grammar that is not mixed is called **strict**.

#### Normal form theorem

**Theorem 3.** For every mixed negative *n*-gram grammar *G*, there is a strict negative *n*-gram grammar G' such that L(G) = L(G').

*Proof.* Let  $G' := \{u \cdot g \cdot v \mid g \in G, u, v \in \Sigma^*, \text{ and the length of } u \cdot g \cdot v \text{ is } n\}$ . Suppose  $s \notin L(G)$ . Then there must be some  $g \in G$  and  $u = u_1 \cdot u_2$  and  $v = v_1 \cdot v_2$  over  $\Sigma$  such that  $\$^{n-1} \cdot s \cdot \$^{n-1} = u \cdot g \cdot v$ . But then  $\$^{n-1} \cdot s \cdot \$^{n-1} = u_1 \cdot u_2 \cdot g \cdot v_1 \cdot v_2$ . As the length of  $\$^{n-1} \cdot s \cdot \$^{n-1}$  exceeds n, it holds that  $u_2 \cdot g \cdot v_1 \in G'$  for some choice of  $u_2$  and  $v_1$ . But then  $s \notin L(G')$ .

In the other direction, suppose  $s \notin L(G')$ . Then there is some  $g \in G'$  such that  $\$^{n-1} \cdot s \cdot \$^{n-1} = u \cdot g \cdot v$ . But then there must u', g' and v' over  $\Sigma$  such that  $g = u' \cdot g' \cdot v'$  and  $g' \in G$ . It follows that  $s \notin L(G)$ .

And there you have it. All the ground we've covered in dozens of pages so far, condensed into less than one page. That's the power of math.