

# Machine-assisted Formalisation of Parametrised Graph Algebra

Arseniy Alekseyev  
EECE, Newcastle University

**Abstract**—The paper shows a machine-assisted approach to formal modelling by considering a graph-based model used to describe parametrised systems of causally related events, such as microcontrol circuits. The paper gives a formal description of the model encoded with the type system of Agda programming language, defining it as an arbitrary set with specific operations on its members, forming an algebra. The data structure for formulae of this algebra is then introduced to be used as a representation type for the model. An example of an algorithm manipulating the formulae is shown. The algorithm correctness and termination are proven with each proof being checked by the compiler. The result is both a machine-verifiable formal proof of the theorems and a runnable tool for the formula manipulation.

## I. INTRODUCTION

hello

## II. INTRODUCTION

blah blah blah

## III. GRAPH ALGEBRA

### A. Algebra definition

We define a graph algebra as an algebraic structure over a set  $G$  supporting the following operations:

- An empty graph, denoting no actions.  $\varepsilon : G$
- Graph overlay, denoting the parallel composition of actions from both graphs.  $\_ + \_ : G \rightarrow G \rightarrow G$
- Graph sequencing, denoting the causal dependency between actions in the first graph and in the second graph.  $\_ \Rightarrow \_ : G \rightarrow G \rightarrow G$

Additionally, the operations must conform to the following rules:

- Overlay is commutative and associative. 1.  $a + b = b + a$  2.  $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$
- Empty graph is a no-op in relation to sequencing. 3.  $1 * a = a$  4.  $a * 1 = a$
- Sequencing is associative. 5.  $(a * b) * c = a * (b * c)$
- Sequencing distributes over addition. 6.  $a * (b + c) = a * b + a * c$  7.  $(a + b) * c = a * c + b * c$
- Decomposition. 8.  $a * b * c = a * b + a * c + b * c$   
This axiom allows one to decompose any causality graph into smaller components.

\*\* Derived theorems

\* Parametrised Graphs

The graph algebra introduced in the previous section can only describe static action dependencies. To describe complex systems one has to consider the conditional behaviour as well.

To do this, we've extended the graph algebra by annotating the graphs with conditions. Given a set  $G$  of the parametrised graphs and a set  $B$  of all the possible boolean conditions, together with the following operations:

$$\begin{aligned} \_ \wedge \_ &: B \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \\ \_ \vee \_ &: B \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \\ \top &: B \end{aligned}$$

we introduce a new operation called *condition*:

$$[\_] : B \rightarrow G \rightarrow G$$

The condition operation must have the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} [x]x &= x \\ [bc]x &= [b][c]x \\ [b]x + [c]x &= [bc]x \\ [b](x + y) &= [b]x + [b]y \\ [b](x * y) &= [b]x * [b]y \end{aligned}$$

We say that there is a *\*parametrised graph algebra\** on a set  $G$  with a condition set  $B$  if there is a graph algebra on  $G$ , a boolean algebra on  $B$  and a condition operator satisfying the requirements above.

\*\* Theorems

\* Parametrised Graph Formulae

To do automated manipulations with PG algebra formulae, we describe the formulae as a data structure in the following way.

$$\begin{aligned} dataPGFormula &: Setwhere \\ \_ + \_ &: (x\ y : PGFormula) \rightarrow PGFormula \\ \_ \Rightarrow \_ &: (x\ y : PGFormula) \rightarrow PGFormula \\ \varepsilon &: PGFormula \\ var &: (v : V) \rightarrow PGFormula \\ cond &: (cond : B) \rightarrow PGFormula \rightarrow PGFormula \end{aligned}$$

Here  $A$  is a set of graph variables and  $B$  is a set of condition variables. Next, we have a constructor for each of the algebra operations with an additional constructor to reference the variables. This way we can construct the formulae in a straightforward way ( $var\ "x" + var\ "y" \ var\ "z"$ ). Formula evaluation then is catamorphism of  $PGFormula$ , replacing constructor applications with the corresponding algebra operations and 'var' constructors with the actual variable values.

$$pg - eval : \{ABG : Set\} \rightarrow (\_ + \_ \Rightarrow \_ : G \rightarrow G \rightarrow G) \rightarrow (\varepsilon : G) \rightarrow (var : A \rightarrow G) \rightarrow (cond : B \rightarrow G \rightarrow G) \rightarrow PGFormulaAB \rightarrow G$$

\* Formula equivalence

One can notice that it is possible to write the same mathematical function in many different ways, creating a formulae may be equivalent

\* Canonical Form

\* Conclusion

As a first step, we construct a model of a single system configuration as a partially ordered. We model the graph mo