


**Presentation Title**  
**Presentation Subtitle**

**Presented by**  
Professor Firstname Lastname  
Faculty, Centre, or Unit



THE UNIVERSITY OF  
**SYDNEY**



## Section Divider Heading

### Section Divider Subheading



THE UNIVERSITY OF  
SYDNEY

# Slide Heading A

Here is a list:

- ▶ Item X.
- ▶ Item Y.

A numbered list:

1. Point 1
2. Point 2

# Slide Heading B

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

## Theorem

*There is no largest prime number.*

1. Suppose  $p$  were the largest prime number.
2. Let  $q$  be the product of the first  $p$  numbers.
3. Then  $q + 1$  is not divisible by any of them.
4. But  $q + 1$  is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first  $p$  numbers.

# Slide Heading B

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

## Theorem

*There is no largest prime number.*

1. Suppose  $p$  were the largest prime number.
2. Let  $q$  be the product of the first  $p$  numbers.
3. Then  $q + 1$  is not divisible by any of them.
4. But  $q + 1$  is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first  $p$  numbers.

# Slide Heading B

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

## Theorem

*There is no largest prime number.*

1. Suppose  $p$  were the largest prime number.
2. Let  $q$  be the product of the first  $p$  numbers.
3. Then  $q + 1$  is not divisible by any of them.
4. But  $q + 1$  is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first  $p$  numbers.