

# CS687 2023 HW1

Due: February 17, 2024

**Notation.**  $M(i) \downarrow$  means that the Turing machine  $M$  halts on input  $i$ .

1. Recall that in the notes, we defined a language  $A$  to be decidable if  $A$  and  $A^c$  are Turing acceptable. Show that this is equivalent to saying that language  $A$  is decidable if and only if there is a Turing machine that accepts every string in  $A$  and halts, and rejects every string not in  $A$  and halts. [10]
2. Prove that every infinite computably enumerable language contains an infinite decidable subset. [10]
3. (Data Processing Inequality) Show that if  $x$  is any finite string, and  $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  is any total computable function, then  $C(f(x)) \leq C(x) + O(1)$ . This says that you can never *increase* information through computation, you can either preserve it or decrease it. [10]
4. (Upsetting the apple cart) Imagine that you have a hard-drive filled with useful data. You place it on a stick of dynamite and light the fuse. Making the stick of dynamite, lighting the fuse etc. are all computable processes. Yet, in a few seconds, your “disorder” in the data will increase. How does this relate to the data processing inequality? [5]
5. Let  $x$  be an arbitrary finite binary string. Do all permutations of  $x$  have the same plain Kolmogorov complexity of  $x$ ? If so, prove your claim. Otherwise, construct a counterexample, and prove that your string has some permutation which has a significantly different Kolmogorov complexity. [10]
6. (Characteristic sequence of the halting problem) Let  $\chi_H$  be the infinite binary sequence defined as follows. For any number  $i$ , the  $i^{\text{th}}$  bit of  $\chi_H$  is 1 if the  $i^{\text{th}}$  Turing machine halts, and 0 otherwise. Since the Halting problem is uncomputable,  $\chi_H$  is uncomputable. However, show that prefixes of  $\chi_H$  are compressible: for all sufficiently large  $n$ , show that  $C(\chi_H[0 \dots n-1]) \leq \log n + O(1)$ , where  $\chi[0 \dots n-1]$  denotes the  $n$ -length prefix of  $\chi_H$ . (This shows that even when a string is uncomputable, it may be highly compressible.) [10]
7. (Chaitin’s Omega, modified version) Let  $P$  be a prefix-free set of strings, which form the domain of the universal prefix-free machine  $M$ . Let  $\omega$  be an infinite binary sequence defined by

$$\omega = \sum_{\substack{p \in P, \\ M(p) \downarrow}} \frac{1}{2^{|p|}}.$$

Show that prefixes of  $\omega$  are incompressible: for all sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $C(\omega[0 \dots n-1]) \geq n - O(1)$ . (The purpose of this question is to show that there are uncomputable strings which are also incompressible, in contrast to the previous question.) [10]

8. Show, using an argument using plain Kolmogorov complexity, that for all sufficiently large  $n$ , most strings of length  $n$  will not have a contiguous stretch of more than  $\log^2 n$  zeroes anywhere in the string. (This shows how you can prove that some event happens with very high probability, using the theory of plain Kolmogorov complexity.) [10]