

[Skip to Main Content](#)

- [JSTOR Home](#)
- [Search](#)
- [Browse](#)
- [MyJSTOR](#)

[Skip to Main Content](#)



- [Login](#)
- [Help](#)
- [Contact Us](#)
- [About](#)



You are not currently logged in through a participating institution or individual account. See [access options](#) for more information.



Chair of Education: David Tyack, 1980-81; 1982-83; 1985-86; 1988-89; 1991-92; 1994-95; 1998-99; 2001-02; 2004-05; 2008-09; 2011-12
Chair of Education: David Tyack, 1980-81; 1982-83; 1985-86; 1988-89; 1991-92; 1994-95; 1998-99; 2001-02; 2004-05; 2008-09; 2011-12
Chair of Education: David Tyack, 1980-81; 1982-83; 1985-86; 1988-89; 1991-92; 1994-95; 1998-99; 2001-02; 2004-05; 2008-09; 2011-12
Chair of Education: David Tyack, 1980-81; 1982-83; 1985-86; 1988-89; 1991-92; 1994-95; 1998-99; 2001-02; 2004-05; 2008-09; 2011-12



Instructional Alignment under No Child Left Behind

Morgan S. Polikoff

American Journal of Education

Vol. 118, No. 3 (May 2012), pp. 341-368

Published by: [The University of Chicago Press](#)

Article Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/664773>

[« Previous Item](#) [Next Item »](#)

Rights and Permissions

- [Request Permissions](#)
- [JSTOR Terms And Conditions](#)



Instructional Alignment under No Child Left Behind

MORGAN S. POLIKOFF

University of Southern California

The alignment of instruction with the content of standards and assessments is the key mediating variable separating the policy of standards-based reform (SBR) from the outcome of improved student achievement. Few studies have investigated SBR's effects on instructional alignment, and most have serious methodological limitations. This research uses content analyses of state standards and assessments and survey data on more than 27,000 teachers' instruction in mathematics, science, and English/language arts (ELA) to investigate changes in instructional alignment between 2003 and 2009. Fixed-effects models indicate that alignment in grades K–12 mathematics increased by approximately 0.19–0.65 standard deviations, depending on the grade and target. Alignment also increased to grades K–12 standards in ELA and grades 3–8 standards in science, though the magnitudes were smaller. Multiple alternative specifications support the findings of increased alignment. Implications for research and SBR policy are discussed.

Throughout the last 25 years in American kindergarten through grade 12 education, standards-based reform (SBR) has been a guiding policy. The theory of change starts with coherence, beginning with the construction of content standards in core academic subjects to specify what students are to know and be able to do (Smith and O'Day 1991). Coherence is paired with explicit goals—most often student learning targets measured by aligned, standardized assessments. With appropriate supports and accountability measures, the theory proposes that teachers will align their instruction with the standards and assessments, and student learning will improve (*e.g.*, Clune 1993; Smith and

O'Day 1991). Thus, instructional alignment is the mediating variable between the policy of SBR and the outcome of improved student learning. The No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (NCLB; Public Law 107-110) supplements SBR with test-based accountability for schools and districts using the carrot of federal dollars to essentially mandate the basic tenets of standards and

Electronically published March 5, 2012

American Journal of Education 118 (May 2012)

© 2012 by The University of Chicago. All rights reserved.

0195-6744/2012/11803-0003\$10.00

MAY 2012 341



End of preview. [Get access](#) to this entire item.

American Journal of Education © 2012 [The University of Chicago Press](#)

Abstract:

The alignment of instruction with the content of standards and assessments is the key mediating variable separating the policy of standards-based reform (SBR) from the outcome of improved student achievement. Few studies have investigated SBR's effects on instructional alignment, and most have serious methodological limitations. This research uses content analyses of state standards and assessments and survey data on more than 27,000 teachers' instruction in mathematics, science, and English/language arts (ELA) to investigate changes in instructional alignment between 2003 and 2009. Fixed-effects models indicate that alignment in grades K–12 mathematics increased by approximately 0.19–0.65 standard deviations, depending on the grade and target. Alignment also increased to grades K–12 standards in ELA and grades 3–8 standards in science, though the magnitudes were smaller. Multiple alternative specifications support the findings of increased alignment. Implications for research and SBR policy are discussed.

- [JSTOR Home](#)
- [About](#)
- [Search](#)
- [Browse](#)
- [Terms and Conditions](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)
- [Accessibility](#)
- [Help](#)
- [Contact us](#)

JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization helping the academic community use digital technologies to preserve the scholarly record and to advance research and teaching in sustainable ways. ©2000-2011 ITHAKA. All Rights Reserved. JSTOR®, the JSTOR logo, and ITHAKA® are registered trademarks of ITHAKA



Think you might have access to this content via your library?

[Login](#)