

Problem 1 American options

1. According to the definition, exercise time is the first time that $V(S_t, t) = (K - S_t)^+$. Since knowing that $V(S, t) \geq (K - S)^+ \forall t \in [0, T]$, It means, Only when S_t is decreasing to a certain price, the option holder will exercise the put option at time t .

Since it's a put option, holders believe the price will be low in the future, so at the very beginning, only if S_t is low enough then the holders may exercise the option. That's why $Ex(t)$ is low at the beginning. When time goes by, holder's expectation will be based on current price S_t rather than initial price. So, S_t is closer to maturity time T and thus the expectation range will be smaller, holders will not be that hopeful to believe price will change a lot in the future. Thus S_t is not that low but low enough and the holders may exercise the option. And when $t \rightarrow T$, as long as $S_t < K$, then $(K - S_t)^+ > 0$, the holders will gain money from this put option. That's why $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} Ex(t) = K$.

2. $u(x, t) := V(e^x, t)$.

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} \cdot e^x, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} e^{2x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} \cdot e^x$$

replace $\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}, \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}$ with $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$ in equation (1).

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - ru = 0 \quad \text{for all } (e^x, t) \text{ satisfying } u(e^x, t) > (K - e^x)^+$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{for } u(e^x, t) > (K - e^x)^+, \\ 0 = \frac{u(x, t) - u(x, t - \Delta t)}{\Delta t} + \frac{u(x + \Delta x, t) - u(x - \Delta x, t)}{2\Delta x} (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \cdot \frac{u(x + \Delta x, t) + u(x - \Delta x, t) - 2u(x, t)}{\Delta x^2} - ru(x, t).$$



$$\Rightarrow U(x, t - \Delta t) = (\alpha - r\Delta t) U(x, t) + \alpha^+ U(x + \Delta x, t) + \alpha^- U(x - \Delta x, t)$$

$$\text{where } \alpha = 1 - \sigma^2 \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2}.$$

$$\alpha^\pm = \sigma^2 \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x^2} \pm (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2) \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x}.$$

or else if $(K - e^x)^+ \geq U(e^x, t)$. We will choose

$$U(e^x, t) = (K - e^x)^+$$

In conclusion,

$$U(x, t - \Delta t) = \max \{ K - e^x, (\alpha - r\Delta t) U(x, t) + \alpha^+ U(x + \Delta x, t) + \alpha^- U(x - \Delta x, t) \}$$

where α, α^\pm is as above.

3.

$$U(x, t) = e^{-r(T-t)} E[(K - S_T)^+ | S_t = e^x]$$

$$U(a, t) = e^{-r(T-t)} E[(K - S_T)^+ | S_t = e^a]$$

$$U(b, t) = e^{-r(T-t)} E[(K - S_T)^+ | S_t = e^b]$$

if $a \rightarrow -\infty, S_t \rightarrow 0. P[S_T < K] \approx 1.$

$$U(a, t) \approx e^{-r(T-t)} E[(K - S_T) | S_t = e^a]$$

$$= e^{rt} \cdot \left(\frac{K}{e^{rt}} - \frac{S_t}{e^{rt}} \right)$$

$$= K \cdot e^{-r(T-t)} - e^a$$

We have $P[K < S_T | S_t = e^a] \approx 0$. In order to achieve this.

$$\text{BS model} \Rightarrow P[W_T - W_t > \frac{\log K - a - (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})(T-t)}{\sigma}] \approx 0.$$

$$\text{LHS} \leq P[\xi > \frac{\log K - a - (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}] \quad \text{where } \xi \sim N(0, 1).$$

Thus, if we choose $\frac{\log K - a - (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} = 3$ i.e.

$$a = \log K - 3\sigma\sqrt{T} - (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T, \text{ Then } P[\xi > 3] \approx 0.003 \rightarrow 0. \Rightarrow \text{LHS} \approx 0$$



2.

With the same reason,

$$\text{If } b \rightarrow +\infty, S_t \rightarrow +\infty \quad \mathbb{P}[K < S_T] \approx 1.$$

$$u(b, t) \approx e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}[0 | S_t = e^b] = 0$$

We have $\mathbb{P}[K > S_T | S_t = e^b] \approx 0$. In order to achieve this,

$$\xrightarrow{\text{BS model}} \mathbb{P}\left[W_T - W_t < \frac{\log K - b - (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})(T-t)}{\sigma}\right] \approx 0.$$

$$\text{LHS} \approx \mathbb{P}\left[\xi < \frac{\log K - b - (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})(T-t)}{\sigma \sqrt{T-t}}\right] \text{ where } \xi \sim N(0, 1).$$

We choose $b = \log K + 3\sigma\sqrt{T} + |r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}|T$ so that

$$\frac{\log K - b - (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})(T-t)}{\sigma \sqrt{T-t}} \leq -3.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{LHS} \leq \mathbb{P}[\xi < -3] = 0.003 \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \text{LHS} \approx 0.$$

In conclusion, $a = \log K - 3\sigma\sqrt{T} - (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T$

$$u(a, t) = Ke^{-r(T-t)} - e^a.$$

$$b = \log K + 3\sigma\sqrt{T} + |r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}|T$$

$$u(b, t) = 0.$$

4. & 5. (See the code ~~below~~ on the last page).

Problem 2. Barrier Option.

$$1. \quad a = \log L. \quad u(x, t) = P(e^x, t)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} e^x, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x^2} = e^{-2x} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - ru = 0.$$



Terminal & Boundary conditions:

$$U(a, t) = P(L, t) = 0.$$

$$U(x, T) = P(e^x, T) = (S_T - K)^+$$

$$2. \quad U(x, t) = e^{-r(T-t)} E[(S_T - K)^+ | S_t = e^x]$$

$$U(b, t) = e^{-r(T-t)} E[(S_T - K)^+ | S_t = e^b]$$

$$\text{if } b \rightarrow +\infty, S_t \rightarrow +\infty. \quad P[S_T > K] \approx 1.$$

$$U(b, t) \approx e^{-r(T-t)} E[S_T - K | S_t = e^b] \\ = e^b - K \cdot e^{-r(T-t)}$$

$$\text{In order to achieve } P[S_T < K | S_t = e^b] \approx 0.$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{BS model}} P[W_T - W_t < \frac{\log K - b - (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})(T-t)}{\sigma}] \approx 0.$$

$$\text{LHS} = P[\xi < \frac{\log K - b - (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})(T-t)}{\sigma \sqrt{T-t}}], \quad \xi \sim N(0, 1)$$

$$\text{We choose } b = \log K + 3\sigma\sqrt{T} + (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}) \cdot T \text{ so that}$$

$$\frac{\log K - b - (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})(T-t)}{\sigma \sqrt{T-t}} \leq -3$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{LHS} \leq P[\xi \leq -3] = 0.003 \rightarrow 0. \Rightarrow \text{LHS} \approx 0.$$

$$\text{In conclusion, } b = \log K + 3\sigma\sqrt{T} + (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T$$

$$U(b, t) = e^b - K \cdot e^{-r(T-t)}$$

3. & 4. (see the code on the last page).



3.

Problem 3.

$$1. e_0^m = u_0^m - u(x_0, t_m) = 0 - u(a, \frac{m}{M} T) = 0 - 0 = 0$$

$$e_N^m = u_N^m - u(x_N, t_m) = 0 - u(b, \frac{m}{M} T) = 0 - 0 = 0$$

$$e_n^m = u_n^m - u(x_n, t_m) = g(x_n) - u(x_n, T) = g(x_n) - g(x_n) = 0.$$

$$2. h = \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x^2} = \frac{u_n^{m-1} - u_n^m}{u_n^m + u_{n-1}^m - 2u_n^m}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sta}_n^{m-1} + \text{Con}_n^{m-1} &= h(u_{n-1}^m - u(x_{n-1}, t_m)) + h u(x_{n-1}, t_m) \\ &\quad + (1-2h)(u_n^m - u(x_n, t_m)) + (1-2h) u(x_n, t_m) \\ &\quad + h(u_{n+1}^m - u(x_{n+1}, t_m)) + h u(x_{n+1}, t_m) \\ &\quad - u(x_n, t_{m-1}). \\ &= u_n^m + h(u_{n-1}^m + u_{n+1}^m - 2u_n^m) - u(x_n, t_{m-1}). \\ &= u_n^{m-1} - u(x_n, t_{m-1}) = e_n^{m-1} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

3. Stability condition:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha > 0 \\ \alpha_{\pm} > 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 1 > \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \\ \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x^2} > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Compare } u(x, t-\Delta t) = \alpha u(x, t) + \alpha_+ u(x+\Delta x, t) + \alpha_- u(x-\Delta x, t)$$

$$\text{Where } \alpha = 1 - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2}$$

$$\alpha_{\pm} = \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x^2}$$

$$\text{Then stability condition: } \begin{cases} 1 > \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \\ \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x^2} > 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \underline{\Delta t \leq \Delta x^2}$$

4. According to Taylor's expansion.



4.

$$U(x_{n-1}, t_m) = U(x_n, t_{m-1}) + \Delta t \cdot \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} - \Delta x \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x^2 \cdot \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} \cdot \Delta t^2 \\ - \Delta x \Delta t \cdot \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x \partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 U}{\partial x^2 \partial t} (\Delta x)^2 \Delta t + O(\Delta x^2 \Delta t)$$

$$U(x_{n+1}, t_m) = U(x_n, t_{m-1}) + \Delta x \cdot \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \Delta t \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta x)^2 \cdot \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} \cdot \Delta t^2 \\ + \Delta x \Delta t \cdot \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x \partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 U}{\partial x^2 \partial t} (\Delta x)^2 \Delta t + O(\Delta x^2 \Delta t)$$

$$U(x_n, t_m) = U(x_n, t_{m-1}) + \Delta t \cdot \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta t)^2 \cdot \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} + O(\Delta t^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Con}_n^{m-1} = h (U(x_{n-1}, t_m) + U(x_{n+1}, t_m)) + (1-2h) (U(x_n, t_m) - U(x_n, t_{m-1})) \\ = h (2U(x_n, t_{m-1}) + 2\Delta t \cdot \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \Delta x^2 \cdot \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 U}{\partial x^2 \partial t} (\Delta x)^2 \cdot \Delta t + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} \cdot \Delta t^2 \\ + 2O(\Delta x^2 \Delta t)) + (1-2h) (U(x_n, t_m) - U(x_n, t_{m-1})) \\ = \Delta t \cdot \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + h \cdot \Delta x^2 \cdot \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} + h (\Delta x)^2 \cdot \Delta t \cdot \frac{\partial^3 U}{\partial x^2 \partial t} + 2h O(\Delta x^2 \Delta t) \\ + \frac{1}{2} (1-2h) \cdot \Delta t^2 \cdot \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} + (1-2h) O(\Delta t^2)$$

$$\text{Since } h = \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x^2} \cdot \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2}$$

$$\text{Con}_n^{m-1} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta t^2 \cdot \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2 \partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t^2 \cdot \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} + 2h O(\Delta x^2 \Delta t) + (1-2h) O(\Delta t^2) \\ = 2h O(\Delta x^2 \Delta t) + (1-2h) O(\Delta t^2)$$

According to 3. $h \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Thus $|\text{Con}_n^{m-1}| \leq A (\Delta t^2 + \Delta t \Delta x^2)$ for some constant A .

5. Since $0 \leq h \leq \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow 1-2h \geq 0$.

$$\|e_n^{m-1}\| = \|St_n^{m-1} + \text{Con}_n^{m-1}\| \\ \leq \|St_n^{m-1}\| + \|\text{Con}_n^{m-1}\| \\ \leq |h| \|e_{n-1}^m\| + |1-2h| \|e_n^m\| + |h| \|e_{n+1}^m\| + O(\Delta t^2 + \Delta t \Delta x^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \|e_n^{m-1}\| \leq \|e_n^m\| + O(\Delta t^2 + \Delta t \Delta x^2)$$

By induction,

$$\|e^{m-1}\| \leq \underbrace{\|e^m\|}_{=0} + (m+1-m) A (\Delta t^2 + \Delta t \Delta x^2) \\ \leq A (\Delta t^2 + \Delta t \Delta x^2) \quad (\because \Delta t = \frac{T}{m})$$

