```
6.6 - 1
A =
[[4-21]
[36-4]
[2 1 8]]
A^{-1} =
[[ 0.19771863  0.06463878  0.00760456]
[-0.121673  0.11406844  0.07224335]
[-0.03422053 -0.03041825 0.11406844]]
A*A-1= should be the identity matrix
[[ 1.00000000e+00 -2.08166817e-17 0.00000000e+00]
[-5.55111512e-17 1.00000000e+00 1.11022302e-16]
[ 0.00000000e+00 -2.77555756e-17 1.00000000e+00]]
A^{-1}calculated – A^{-1}exact =
[[ 0.00000000e+00 0.00000000e+00 6.07153217e-18]
[ 0.00000000e+00 0.0000000e+00 2.77555756e-17]
[ 0.00000000e+00 -3.46944695e-18 1.38777878e-17]]
```

The error in the inverse of the matrix is on the same order of magnitude as the error in the identity matrix; however, fewer terms are actually affected by the difference.

6.6-2

The vectors(going vertically) of the x solutions are:

[[1. 0.31178707 2.31939163]

[-2. -0.03802281 -2.96577947]

[4. 2.67680608 4.79087452]]

Can I just add that this is a dumb problem...

6.6-3

The eigen values for the matrix

Α	В
-B	Α

Is the same as for the matrix for

1	1
-1	1

And then multiplied by the vector [A,B]

Eigen values : [1.+1.j ; 1.-1.j]

[0.00000000+0.70710678; 0.00000000-0.70710678]]

Which is just scaled sqrt[2]/2 – which is a normalization factor for the eigenvalues.

11.2.1 - 1

For 2 arrays that are 1000 x 1000 : ABij = 12870.000000 for the regular summation, the time is t2-t1 = 0.004723 ABij through slicing is 12870.000000 for the sliced summation, the time is t2-t1 = 0.000041 Slicing is \sim 100x faster (115)

6.6.1,

I honestly don't understand what the question is – we are provided the answer. So I just played around with it, until I felt that I built up an intuition on how it works.

- 6.6.2 (extra credit)