

# CE204 Lab 7: Graph Algorithms

David Richerby

Week 23, 2020–21

The lab exercises are not assessed and you are not required to complete all of them, though I recommend that you attempt them all. Feel free to work with others and talk about your answers.

Solutions will be released on Moodle, on the Friday after the labs.

## 1 Kruskal’s algorithm

Write a method `Graph minimumSpanningTree (Graph g)` that implements Kruskal’s algorithm, as described in lecture 7. You can test it by downloading the class `GraphOfEssex` from Moodle.

## 2 The Floyd–Warshall algorithm

This is a more challenging exercise, relating to a question that came up in lecture 7. Note that material such as this, which is not covered in the lectures, is also **not** on the exam.

In Lecture 7, somebody asked about finding shortest paths between all pairs of vertices in a weighted graph. Using Dijkstra’s algorithm with each vertex in turn as the source takes time  $O(ne \log n)$  for a graph with  $n$  vertices and  $e$  edges. The following algorithm, due to Floyd and Warshall<sup>1</sup> solves the problem in time  $O(n^3)$ , which is faster for graphs with a lot of edges ( $e = \Omega(n^2 / \log n)$ ).

The Floyd–Warshall algorithm is an example of the technique of *dynamic programming*, which is a useful technique to be aware of. Recall that the vertices of our graphs are the integers  $0, \dots, n - 1$ . Define  $\text{dist}(x, y, k)$  to be the length of the shortest path from  $x$  to  $y$  that doesn’t pass through any vertex with number  $k$  or higher (except for  $x$  itself, if  $x \geq k$ , and  $y$  if  $y \geq k$ ), or  $\infty$  if no such path exists. Thus,  $\text{dist}(x, y, 0)$  is either

- zero if  $x = y$ ,

---

<sup>1</sup>And Roy – this is another case of people 60 years ago rediscovering things that had already been published because searching the literature was hard, in those days.

- the weight of the edge  $(x, y)$  if that edge exists, or
- $\infty$  if  $x \neq y$  and  $(x, y)$  is not an edge.

On the other hand,  $\text{dist}(x, y, n)$  is just the length of the shortest path from  $x$  to  $y$ , since we're allowed to go via any vertex we want. This is exactly what we want to compute.

The key to the technique is that we can compute  $\text{dist}(x, y, 0)$  easily from the definition of the graph, and we can compute  $\text{dist}(x, y, k + 1)$  easily from values of  $\text{dist}(i, j, k)$ . We do this using the observation that the shortest path from  $x$  to  $y$  via vertices  $0, \dots, k$  either goes through vertex  $k$  or it doesn't (well, duh, but there's a point to this tautology).

- If the shortest path via  $0, \dots, k$  doesn't go through vertex  $k$  then  $\text{dist}(x, y, k + 1) = \text{dist}(x, y, k)$ ;
- if it does go through  $k$ , then it must be composed of the shortest path from  $x$  to  $k$ , followed by the shortest path from  $k$  to  $y$ , so

$$\text{dist}(x, y, k + 1) = \text{dist}(x, k, k) + \text{dist}(k, y, k).$$

This means that

$$\text{dist}(x, y, k + 1) = \min (\text{dist}(x, y, k), \text{dist}(x, k, k) + \text{dist}(k, y, k)).$$

Dynamic programming is this technique of building up a solution by gradually allowing more and more of the space to be used in intermediate calculations.

Write a method `double[][] shortestPaths (Graph G)` that returns the  $n \times n$  array `D` such that `D[x][y] = dist(x, y, n)`. Start by computing the array for  $k = 0$  and then use a loop to calculate the array for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .