

An Odyssey in Hamilton-Jacobi Equations

Hopf-Lax Formula, Numerical Algorithms, and Link to Deep Learning

Yixuan Wang

Peking University

December 27, 2017

- 1 Mathematical Background
 - Hamilton-Jacobi Equation
 - Control Theory and Dynamic System
- 2 Hopf-Lax Type Formula
 - Hopf-Lax Formula in its Simplest Form
 - Generalized Hopf-Lax Formula
- 3 Algorithms for Numerical Computation
 - Hopf-Lax Type Conjecture
 - Design of Algorithms
- 4 Connection with Deep Learning
- 5 Acknowledgements
 - Bibliography
 - Complimentary Close

Section 1

Mathematical Background

Definition

Hamilton-Jacobi Equation

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + H(x, p, t) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty) \quad (1)$$

$$\varphi(x, 0) = g(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \quad (2)$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ denotes the state coordinate and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ denotes the time coordinate; $H : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a prescribed function called the Hamiltonian; $\varphi := \varphi(x, t) : \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is our target solution for the Hamilton-Jacobi Equation; $p := \nabla_x \varphi$ denotes the gradient vector with respect to x ; $g(x)$ is given as the initial data.

Viscosity Solution

Motivation

We assume the Hamiltonian has the form of $H(p, x)$.

The original Hamilton-Jacobi equation can often be a fully nonlinear first-order PDE, so it is difficult to tackle. In the method of vanishing viscosity, we introduce a second-order term for regularization, converting it into a semilinear parabolic PDE as follows

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + H(p, x) - \varepsilon \Delta_x \varphi = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty) \quad (3)$$

where $\Delta_x \varphi$ denotes the Laplacian with respect to x , ε is a constant and we denote the solution by φ^ε . As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we hope φ^ε would converge to our weak solution φ , or at least in terms of a subsequence as Arzela-Ascoli theorem would imply.

Viscosity Solution

Formulation

Viscosity Solution

Assume H, g are continuous. A bounded function u , which is uniformly continuous for each $T > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, T]$, is a viscosity solution provided that: (1) $u(x, 0) = g(x)$ in \mathbb{R}^d ; (2) for all $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty))$, if $u - v$ has a local maximum at (x_0, t_0) , then $v_t(x_0, t_0) + H(\nabla_x v(x_0, t_0), x_0) \leq 0$; (3) $v_t(x_0, t_0) + H(\nabla_x v(x_0, t_0), x_0) \geq 0$ for a local minimum at (x_0, t_0) .

It can be verified that if u is constructed using the method of vanishing viscosity, it indeed satisfies the previous condition.

Viscosity Solution

Consistency

- 1 A classical solution is clearly a viscosity solution.

Viscosity Solution

Consistency

- 1 A classical solution is clearly a viscosity solution.
- 2 If a viscosity solution u is differentiable at (x_0, t_0) , then
$$u_t(x_0, t_0) + H(\nabla_x u(x_0, t_0), x_0) = 0.$$

Viscosity Solution

Uniqueness

Thm (Uniqueness)

Suppose H enjoys the Lipschitz continuity

$$\begin{cases} |H(p, x) - H(q, x)| \leq C|p - q|, \\ |H(p, x) - H(p, y)| \leq C|x - y|(1 + |p|). \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Then there is at most one viscosity solution for the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + H(p, x) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T], \\ \varphi(x, 0) = g(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Intro to Control Theory

We have the following optimal control problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), \alpha(t)) & t > 0, \\ x(0) = x^0. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where α ($\alpha(t) \in A$) denotes a control from an admissible set \mathcal{A} ; x denotes the response to the control according to our ODE. Now we wish to maximize the following payoff functional

$$P[\alpha(\cdot)] := \int_0^T r(x(t), \alpha(t)) dt + g(x(T)) \quad (7)$$

where r and g are given as the running payoff and the terminal payoff respectively; the terminal time $T > 0$ is given as well.

Dynamic Programming

Motivation

When evaluating the integral

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx$$

We define

$$I(\alpha) := \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\alpha x} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx$$

Now since we can compute

$$I'(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{\alpha^2 + 1}$$

We can get that our integral equals $\frac{\pi}{2}$ since $I(\infty)$ equals 0.

Dynamic Programming

Perspective

Embed the optimal control problem into a larger family of similar problems, namely we vary the initial state and time of the controlled dynamics

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), \alpha(t)) & s < t \leq T, \\ x(s) = x. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

with the target payoff functional

$$P_{x,s}[\alpha(\cdot)] := \int_s^T r(x(t), \alpha(t)) dt + g(x(T)) \quad (9)$$

Now we define the value function v to be the greatest payoff starting at a given state and time

$$v(x, t) := \sup_{\alpha(\cdot) \in \mathcal{A}} P_{x,t}[\alpha(\cdot)] \quad (10)$$

Note that $v(x, T) = g(x)$.

Dynamic Programming

Property of the Value function

Thm (Optimality Conditions)

For each $h > 0$ s.t $t + h \leq T$, we have

$$v(x, t) = \inf_{\alpha(\cdot) \in \mathcal{A}} \left\{ \int_t^{t+h} r(x(s), \alpha(s)) ds + v(x(t+h), t+h) \right\} \quad (11)$$

where $x(\cdot)$ solves the ODE for the control $\alpha(\cdot)$.

Dynamic Programming

Derivation of the Equation

Thm (Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equation)

Assume the value function v is C^1 . Then v solves the PDE

$$v_t(x, t) + \max_{a \in A} \{f(x, a) \cdot \nabla_x v(x, t) + r(x, a)\} = 0 \quad (12)$$

Now we can define the Hamiltonian

$$H(x, p) := \max_{a \in A} H(x, p, a) := \max_{a \in A} \{f(x, a) \cdot p + r(x, a)\} \quad (13)$$

Dynamic Programming

Application

Now we discuss how to solve the optimal control problem using the idea of dynamic programming.

- 1 Firstly we solve the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation to compute value function v .

Dynamic Programming

Application

Now we discuss how to solve the optimal control problem using the idea of dynamic programming.

- 1 Firstly we solve the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation to compute value function v .
- 2 Then we use the value function to design α at each point, according to $\alpha(x, t) = a$, for the maximum in Hamiltonian to be attained.

Dynamic Programming

Application

Now we discuss how to solve the optimal control problem using the idea of dynamic programming.

- 1 Firstly we solve the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation to compute value function v .
- 2 Then we use the value function to design α at each point, according to $\alpha(x, t) = a$, for the maximum in Hamiltonian to be attained.
- 3 Next we solve the control system of ODE, now that α can be expressed as a function of x and t .

Dynamic Programming

Application

Now we discuss how to solve the optimal control problem using the idea of dynamic programming.

- 1 Firstly we solve the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation to compute value function v .
- 2 Then we use the value function to design α at each point, according to $\alpha(x, t) = a$, for the maximum in Hamiltonian to be attained.
- 3 Next we solve the control system of ODE, now that α can be expressed as a function of x and t .
- 4 Finally we define the feed back control $\alpha(t) := \alpha(x(t), t)$.

Pontryagin Maximum Principle

Statement of the Theorem

Thm (Pontryagin Maximum Principle)

Assume $\alpha(\cdot)$ is optimal for our control problem, and $x(\cdot)$ is the corresponding trajectory. Then there exists a function $p : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (ODE) & \dot{x}(t) = \nabla_p H(x(t), p(t), \alpha(t)), \\ (I) & x(0) = x^0, \\ (ADJ) & \dot{p}(t) = -\nabla_x H(x(t), p(t), \alpha(t)), \\ (T) & p(T) = \nabla g(x(T)), \\ (C) & \text{the mapping } t \mapsto H(x(t), p(t), \alpha(t)) \text{ is constant,} \\ (M) & H(x(t), p(t), \alpha(t)) = \max_{a \in A} H(x(t), p(t), a). \end{array} \right. \quad (14)$$

Pontryagin Maximum Principle

Connection with Dynamic Programming

If the value function defined in the dynamic programming process is C^2 , then the costate $p(\cdot)$ in the Pontryagin Maximum Principle is given by

$$p(s) = \nabla_x v(x(s), s) \quad (t \leq s \leq T) \quad (15)$$

Pontryagin Maximum Principle

Application

Now we discuss how to solve the optimal control problem using the Pontryagin Maximum Principle.

- 1 We write out those PDE equations and solve $x(\cdot)$, $\alpha(\cdot)$, $p(\cdot)$ simultaneously.
- 2 We often utilize the maximum equation (M) to compute the control $\alpha(\cdot)$.

Miscellaneous Complements

- 1 We can utilize dynamic programming method to derive Hamilton-Jacobi equations for solving differential games.

Miscellaneous Complements

- 1 We can utilize dynamic programming method to derive Hamilton-Jacobi equations for solving differential games.
- 2 Without assuming value function $\in C^1$, we still have that v is the unique viscosity solution to our Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equation, provided that g, r, f are bounded and Lipschitz continuous. In this way, we can formally obtain our value function by solving the PDE, since viscosity solution is unique.

Section 2

Hopf-Lax Type Formula

Characteristic Equations

General Review

ColoredThm (Structure of Characteristic ODE)

For a nonlinear first-order PDE $F(Du, u, x) = 0$, where F is smooth, we have the following equations

$$\begin{cases} (a) \dot{p}(s) = -D_x F(p(s), z(s), x(s)) - D_z F(p(s), z(s), x(s))p(s), \\ (b) \dot{z}(s) = D_p F(p(s), z(s), x(s)) \cdot p(s), \\ (c) \dot{x}(s) = D_p F(p(s), z(s), x(s)). \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Assume a C^2 function u solves the original PDE, and $\dot{x}(\cdot)$ solves the ODE (c), where $p(\cdot) := Du(x(\cdot))$, $z(\cdot) := u(x(\cdot))$, then $p(\cdot)$ solves (a) and $z(\cdot)$ solves (b).

Characteristic Equations

For Hamilton-Jacobi Equation

For our Hamilton-Jacobi Equation $u_t + H(Du, x) = 0$, the characteristic equations become

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = D_p H(p, x), \\ \dot{p} = -D_x H(p, x), \\ \dot{z} = D_p H(p, x) \cdot p + H(p, x). \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Legendre Transform

Definition

Convex Conjugate

For a function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, we define its convex conjugate $f^* : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ as follows

$$f^*(p) := \sup\{\langle p, x \rangle - f(x) | x \in \mathbb{R}^d\} \quad (18)$$

f^* is also called the Legendre-Fenchel transformation of f .

If L is convex, and $\lim_{|v| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(v)}{|v|} = +\infty$, then for $H = L^*$, H is convex, and $\lim_{|p| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(p)}{|p|} = +\infty$. Moreover, $L = H^*$.

Legendre Transform

For Hamiltonian

Suppose now Hamiltonian $H = H(Du)$, the mapping H is convex, and $\lim_{|p| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(p)}{|p|} = +\infty$. $L = H^*$.

We notice that $L^*(p) = p \cdot v - L(v)$ implies the derivative of *r.h.s.* *w.r.t.* v equals 0, since it reaches a maximum at v . Hence $DL(v) = p$.

Now we have that the following equations are equivalent

$$\begin{cases} v = DH(p), \\ p = DL(v), \\ p \cdot v = L(v) + H(p). \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Hopf-Lax Formula

Formulation

Now let's return to our problem of Hamilton-Jacobi equation. The method of characteristics implies $\dot{x} = DH(p)$, and $\dot{z} = DH(p) \cdot p - H(p)$. As a result, $\dot{z} = L(\dot{x})$, which provides a clue for our following definition.

Hopf-Lax Formula

Assume additionally that the initial data $g(\cdot)$ is Lipschitz continuous, we define $u(x, t)$ as follows

$$u(x, t) := \inf \left\{ \int_0^t L(\dot{w}(s)) ds + g(w(0)) \mid w(t) = x \right\} \quad (20)$$

Hopf-Lax Formula

Properties

1 u is Lipschitz continuous, thus differentiable *a.e.*

Hopf-Lax Formula

Properties

- 1 u is Lipschitz continuous, thus differentiable *a.e.*
- 2 $u(x, 0) = g(x)$.

Hopf-Lax Formula

Properties

- 1 u is Lipschitz continuous, thus differentiable *a.e.*
- 2 $u(x, 0) = g(x)$.
- 3 $u(x, t) = \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{ tL(\frac{x-y}{t}) + g(y) \}.$

Hopf-Lax Formula

Properties

1 u is Lipschitz continuous, thus differentiable *a.e.*

2 $u(x, 0) = g(x)$.

3 $u(x, t) = \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{tL(\frac{x-y}{t}) + g(y)\}.$

4 $u(x, t) = \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{(t-s)L(\frac{x-y}{t-s}) + u(y, s)\} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s < t.$

Hopf-Lax Formula

Properties

- 1 u is Lipschitz continuous, thus differentiable *a.e.*
- 2 $u(x, 0) = g(x)$.
- 3 $u(x, t) = \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{tL(\frac{x-y}{t}) + g(y)\}.$
- 4 $u(x, t) = \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{(t-s)L(\frac{x-y}{t-s}) + u(y, s)\} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s < t.$
- 5 u satisfies the Hamilton-Jacobi equation at points where it is differentiable.

Hopf-Lax Formula

Uniqueness

The uniqueness of a weak solution can be guaranteed if we impose a semi-concavity restriction, which is indeed satisfied by our Hopf-Lax formula provided that g is semiconcave or H is uniformly convex.

Hopf-Lax Formula

Uniqueness

Thm (Semi-concavity)

*If there exists a constant C , s.t $g(x+z) - 2g(x) + g(x-z) \leq c|z|^2$,
then $u(x+z, t) - 2u(x, t) + u(x-z, t) \leq c|z|^2$,*

Or, if there exists a constant $\theta > 0$, s.t.

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n H_{p_i p_j}(p) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \theta |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for all } p, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

then $u(x+z, t) - 2u(x, t) + u(x-z, t) \leq \frac{1}{\theta t} |z|^2$.

Hopf-Lax Formula

Uniqueness

Thm (Semi-concavity)

If u solves the initial value problem a.e., and
$$u(x+z, t) - 2u(x, t) + u(x-z, t) \leq C(1 + \frac{1}{t})|z|^2 \text{ for some constant } C,$$
then u is unique.

Additional Comment

- 1 Hopf-Lax formula gives us a viscosity solution.

Additional Comment

- 1 Hopf-Lax formula gives us a viscosity solution.
- 2 We can view Hopf-Lax formula as a special case of dynamic programming.

Time-dependent Case

Formulation

Consider the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + H(p, t) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T) \quad (21)$$

$$\varphi(x, T) = g(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \quad (22)$$

where g is convex.

Note that we are considering Hamilton-Jacobi equation given the terminal state for the sake of consistency with reference materials, reversing it back in time would give us a Hamilton-Jacobi equation we originally considered.

Time-dependent Case

Assumption

Define $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R}^d : |s| = 1\}$,

$$B_+ = \{(s, r) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : |s|^2 + r^2 \leq 1, r > 0\}.$$

We have the following assumptions on Hamiltonian

- 1 H is continuous in $t \in (0, T)$ for every $s \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Time-dependent Case

Assumption

Define $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R}^d : |s| = 1\}$,

$$B_+ = \{(s, r) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : |s|^2 + r^2 \leq 1, r > 0\}.$$

We have the following assumptions on Hamiltonian

- 1 H is continuous in $t \in (0, T)$ for every $s \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- 2 H is summable on $(0, T)$ for every $s \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Time-dependent Case

Assumption

Define $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R}^d : |s| = 1\}$,

$$B_+ = \{(s, r) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : |s|^2 + r^2 \leq 1, r > 0\}.$$

We have the following assumptions on Hamiltonian

- 1 H is continuous in $t \in (0, T)$ for every $s \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- 2 H is summable on $(0, T)$ for every $s \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- 3 For all $(t, s) \in (0, T) \times S$, $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} rH(\frac{s}{r}, t) = H_0(s, t)$ exists and $H_0(s, \cdot)$ is continuous on $(0, T)$ for every $s \in S$.

Time-dependent Case

Assumption

Define $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R}^d : |s| = 1\}$,

$$B_+ = \{(s, r) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : |s|^2 + r^2 \leq 1, r > 0\}.$$

We have the following assumptions on Hamiltonian

- 1 H is continuous in $t \in (0, T)$ for every $s \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- 2 H is summable on $(0, T)$ for every $s \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- 3 For all $(t, s) \in (0, T) \times S$, $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} rH(\frac{s}{r}, t) = H_0(s, t)$ exists and $H_0(s, \cdot)$ is continuous on $(0, T)$ for every $s \in S$.
- 4 For all $t \in (0, T)$, $(s_1, r_1), (s_2, r_2) \in B_+$, and for some constant L , $|r_1 H(\frac{s_1}{r_1}, t) - r_2 H(\frac{s_2}{r_2}, t)| \leq L(|s_1 - s_2|^2 + (r_1 - r_2)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Time-dependent Case

Hopf-Lax Formula

We give the following theorem when the Hamiltonian is dependent on time t , without formally state the exact definition of minimax solutions.

Thm (Hopf-Lax Formula in Time-dependent Case)

For mild assumptions on terminal data g and Hamiltonian H , we have

$$v(x, t) = \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ \langle s, x \rangle + \int_t^T H(s, r) dr - g^*(s) \right\} \quad (23)$$

the formula above gives a minimax solution.

Namely, $v(x, t) = \left(g^*(s) - \int_t^T H(s, r) dr \right)^* (x)$.

Time-dependent Case

Further assumptions

The "mild assumptions" in the statement of the theorem could be

- 1 $H(\cdot, t)$ is convex (or concave) in s for every t .

Time-dependent Case

Further assumptions

The "mild assumptions" in the statement of the theorem could be

- 1 $H(\cdot, t)$ is convex (or concave) in s for every t .
- 2 The maximizer in our formula for v is unique for all (s, t) . As is a special case when g is an affine function.

Function-dependent Case

Formulation

Consider the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + H(t, V, p) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T) \quad (24)$$

$$V(T, x) = \varphi(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \quad (25)$$

We would not go into the details of the Hopf-Lax formula for this type of equation, see the reference material for more information.

Additional Comment

- 1 minimax solutions and viscosity solutions are in fact equivalent.

Additional Comment

- 1 minimax solutions and viscosity solutions are in fact equivalent.
- 2 The above Hopf-Lax Formulas are natural generalizations of the prototype.

Section 3

Algorithms for Numerical Computation

Comments in Advance

- 1 Let's look at the optimality condition. In this situation, the conjecture is a natural generalization of Hopf-Lax formula using the idea of dynamic programming.

Comments in Advance

- 1 Let's look at the optimality condition. In this situation, the conjecture is a natural generalization of Hopf-Lax formula using the idea of dynamic programming.
- 2 The formulas coincide with the time-dependent Hopf-Lax formula when the Hamiltonian is independent of the current state.

Lax Type Conjecture

Minimization principle (Lax Formula) when $H(x, p, t)$ is smooth and convex w.r.t. p and possibly under some further mild assumptions:

$$\varphi(x, t) = \min_{v \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ g(\gamma(v, 0)) + \int_0^t \{ \langle p(v, s), \partial_p H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s) \rangle - H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s) \} ds : \right. \\ \left. \begin{aligned} \dot{\gamma}(v, s) &= \partial_p H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s), \\ \dot{p}(v, s) &= -\partial_x H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s), \\ \gamma(v, t) &= x, p(v, t) = v \end{aligned} \right\}$$

and its discrete approximation given a small δ ,

$$\varphi(x, t) \approx \min_{v \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ g(x_0(v)) + \delta \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \{ \langle p_n(v), \partial_p H(x_n(v), p_n(v), t_n) \rangle - H(x_n(v), p_n(v), t_n) \} : \right. \\ \left. \begin{aligned} x_{n+1}(v) - x_n(v) &= \delta \partial_p H(x_n(v), p_n(v), t_n), \\ p_{n+1}(v) - p_n(v) &= \delta \partial_x H(x_n(v), p_n(v), t_n), \\ x_N &= x, p_N = v \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Lax Type Conjecture

Allowing a more general case when H is non-smooth w.r.t. p , we postulate the following minimization principle. In what follows, we denote $\partial_x^- f(x)$ as the (regularized) subdifferential of f for a given f .

Conjecture 3.1. *When $H(x, p, t)$ is smooth w.r.t. x and convex w.r.t. p (and perhaps under some other mild conditions on $H(x, p, t)$ and $g(p)$), the viscosity solution to (2.1)-(2.2) can be represented as*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, t) = \inf_{v \in \mathbb{R}^d} \inf_{\gamma \in C^\infty} & \left\{ g(\gamma(v, 0)) + \int_0^t \{ \langle p(v, s), \dot{\gamma}(v, s) \rangle - H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s) \} ds : \right. \\ & \left. \begin{aligned} \dot{\gamma}(v, s) &\in \partial_p^- H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s), \\ \dot{p}(v, s) &= -\partial_x H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s), \\ \gamma(v, t) &= x, p(v, t) = v \end{aligned} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for small time t . In here we always use the convention that the infimum of an empty set is minus infinity, $\inf \emptyset = -\infty$. If furthermore that ϕ is differentiable at a neighbourhood of (x, t) , the minimum argument in the above formula shall coincide with $\partial_x \varphi(x, t)$.

Hopf Type Conjecture

Maximization principle (Hopf Formula) when $H(x, p, t)$ is smooth and $g(p)$ is convex w.r.t. p and possibly under some further mild assumptions:

$$\varphi(x, t) = \sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ \langle x, v \rangle - g^*(p(v, 0)) - \int_0^t \left\{ H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s) - \langle \partial_x H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s), \gamma(v, s) \rangle \right\} ds : \right. \\ \left. \begin{aligned} \dot{\gamma}(v, s) &= \partial_p H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s), \\ \dot{p}(v, s) &= -\partial_x H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s), \\ \gamma(v, t) &= x, p(v, t) = v \end{aligned} \right\}$$

and its discrete approximation given a small δ

$$\varphi(x, t) \approx \max_{v \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ \langle x_N, v_N \rangle - g^*(p_0(v)) - \delta \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \{ H(x_n(v), p_n(v), t_n) - \langle x_n(v), \partial_x H(x_n(v), p_n(v), t_n) \rangle \} : \right. \\ \left. \begin{aligned} x_{n+1} - x_n &= \delta \partial_p H(x_n(v), p_n(v), t_n), \\ p_{n+1} - p_n &= \delta \partial_x H(x_n(v), p_n(v), t_n), \\ x_N &= x, p_N = v \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Hopf Type Conjecture

Conjecture 3.2. *When $H(x, p, t)$ is smooth w.r.t. x , and $g(p)$ is convex w.r.t. p (and perhaps under some other mild conditions on $H(x, p, t)$ and $g(p)$), the viscosity solution to (2.1)-(2.2) can be represented as*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, t) = & - \inf_{v \in \mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{\gamma \in C^\infty} \left\{ g^*(p(v, 0)) + \int_0^t \left\{ H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s) + \langle \dot{p}(v, s), \gamma(v, s) \rangle \right\} ds - \langle x, v \rangle : \right. \\ & \left. \begin{aligned} \dot{\gamma}(v, s) &\in \partial_p^+ H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s), \\ \dot{p}(v, s) &= -\partial_x H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s), \\ \gamma(v, t) &= x, p(v, t) = v \\ p(v, 0) &\in \partial_{\bar{y}} g(\gamma(v, 0)) \end{aligned} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

for small time t (at least) such that the differential $\partial_v p(0)$ is a non-singular matrix. Such a mild condition might be some convexity assumption of $H(x, p, t)$ w.r.t. the convex hull of the set of minimizers in the variable p . (see [30] for predicting this technical assumption). In here we again always use the convention that the infimum of an empty set is minus infinity $\inf \emptyset = -\infty$. If furthermore that ϕ is differentiable at a neighbourhood of (x, t) , the maximum argument in the above formula shall coincide with $\partial_x \varphi(x, t)$.

Objective function

We wish to minimize the following functions (Lax and Hopf type conjecture respectively)

$$\mathcal{F}_{x,t}^1(v) := g(\gamma(v, 0)) + \int_0^t \{ \langle p(v, s), \partial_p H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s) \rangle - H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s) \} ds$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{x,t}(v) := g^*(p(v, 0)) + \int_0^t \left\{ H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s) - \langle \partial_x H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s), \gamma(v, s) \rangle \right\} ds - \langle x, v \rangle$$

subject to the following restriction

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\gamma}(v, s) = \partial_p H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s), \\ \dot{p}(v, s) = -\partial_x H(\gamma(v, s), p(v, s), s), \\ \gamma(v, t) = x, \\ p(v, t) = v \end{cases}$$

Optimization method

We perform the following method of gradient descent

Algorithm 1. Take an initial guess of the Lipschitz constant L , and set $\text{count} := 0$. Initialize $j_1 := 1$ and a parameter $\alpha := 1/L$. For $k = 1, \dots, M$, do:

1:

$$\begin{cases} v_i^{k+1} = v_i^k - \alpha \partial_i \mathcal{G}_{x,t}(v^k) & \text{if } i = j_k, \\ v_i^{k+1} = v_i^k & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2:

$$j_{k+1} := j_k + 1.$$

If $j_{k+1} = d + 1$, then reset $j_{k+1} = 1$.

3: If $|v_i^{k+1} - v_i^k| > \varepsilon$, then set $\text{count} := 0$. If $k = M$, then reset $k := 0$ and set $\alpha := \alpha/2$, (i.e. let $L := 2L$.)

4: If $|v^{k+1} - v^k| < \varepsilon$, set $\text{count} := \text{count} + 1$.

5: If $\text{count} = d$, stop.

Return $v_{\text{final}} = v^{k+1}$.

where the gradient could be taken by numerical differentiation, and the ODE could be solved numerically.

Optimization method for ordinary Hopf-Lax Formula

For our Hopf-Lax formula

$$\varphi(x, t) = - \min_{v \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{ tH(v) + J^*(v) - \langle v, x \rangle \} \quad (26)$$

We can use the following ADMM algorithm for optimization

For $n = 1, 2, \dots$, do the following:

Step 1:

$$w^{k+1} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ tH(w) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\lambda^k - v^k + w\|^2 \right\},$$

Step 2:

$$v^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ J^*(v) - \langle x, v \rangle + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\lambda^k - v + w^{k+1}\|^2 \right\},$$

Step 3:

$$\lambda^{k+1} = \lambda^k - v^{k+1} + w^{k+1}.$$

Section 4

Connection with Deep Learning

Intuition

- Since the ultimate goal of machine learning is to create a class of functions that can represent the data with desired accuracy, our aim is to approximate a target function with minimum loss.
- In this perspective, we view deep learning and convolutional neural networks as discrete dynamic systems.
- We can use continuous dynamic systems to approximate the data label.

Formulation

The essential task of supervised learning is to approximate some function $F : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ which maps inputs (e.g images, time-series) to labels. We are given a collection of sample pairs (x_i, y_i) .

Consider the system of ODEs

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}_t^i = f(t, X_t^i, \theta_t) & 0 \leq t \leq T, \\ X_0^i = x^i. \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

where θ represents the control parameters and f is chosen as part of a machine learning model. Our output data is a deterministic transformation of the terminal state, namely $g(X_T^i)$ for some fixed g .

Formulation

We aim at minimizing the loss function. Assume a loss function $\phi : \mathbb{Y} \times \mathbb{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given (where we often choose the distance between two points in a certain norm). Define $\phi_i(\cdot) := \phi(g(\cdot), y^i)$. Then the supervised learning problem becomes

$$\min_{\theta} \left\{ \sum \phi_i(X_T^i) + \int_0^T L(\theta_t) dt \right\} \quad (28)$$

where L is a running cost, or the regularizer.

Optimization Algorithms

We utilize the Pontryagin Maximum Principle and thus devise the algorithm in the following way

Algorithm 1 Basic MSA

- 1: Initialize: $\theta^0 \in \mathcal{U}$
 - 2: **for** $k = 0$ to $\# \text{Iterations}$ **do**
 - 3: Solve $\dot{X}_t^{\theta^k} = f(t, X_t^{\theta^k}, \theta_t^k)$, $X_0^{\theta^k} = x$
 - 4: Solve $\dot{P}_t^{\theta^k} = -\nabla_x H(t, X_t^{\theta^k}, P_t^{\theta^k}, \theta_t^k)$, $P_T^{\theta^k} = -\nabla \Phi(X_T^{\theta^k})$
 - 5: Set $\theta_t^{k+1} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} H(t, X_t^{\theta^k}, P_t^{\theta^k}, \theta)$ for each $t \in [0, T]$
-

This algorithm is called the method of successive approximations.

Optimization Algorithms

Connection with Gradient Descent

The basic MSA above can not guarantee the convergence property (Step 5 may incur too much error in the Hamilton dynamics when replacing θ^k with θ^{k+1}), so we invoke an extended MSA algorithm, based on the extended version of Pontryagin Maximum Principle.

After discretization, we obtain an E-MSA formula for the discrete-time scenario (which is relevant to the optimization of deep residual network), and if we replace the maximization step with a gradient ascent step, this method is equivalent to gradient descent with back-propagation.

Optimization Algorithms

Advantages

- 1 Rigorous error estimates and convergence results can be established.
- 2 The algorithm enjoys fast initial descent of loss function and ease for parallelization.
- 3 When applying PMP method, the gradient *w.r.t* the trainable parameters is not needed, so we can apply it even when the parameters are not differentiable.
- 4 Optimization is performed at each layer separately, and propagation is independent of optimization.

Additional Comments

- 1 The renowned deep residual network could be viewed as a discretization of the dynamic system, because the outputs for adjacent layers have the following connection $z_{l+1} = z_l + \mathbb{F}(z_l, W_l)$, where W_i are weights to be trained of each layer.

Additional Comments

- 1 The renowned deep residual network could be viewed as a discretization of the dynamic system, because the outputs for adjacent layers have the following connection $z_{l+1} = z_l + \mathbb{F}(z_l, W_l)$, where W_i are weights to be trained of each layer.
- 2 Neural networks have the advantage of easy change of dimensionality at each layer. The dynamic system has to be split to accomplish so.

Additional Comments

- 1 The renowned deep residual network could be viewed as a discretization of the dynamic system, because the outputs for adjacent layers have the following connection $z_{l+1} = z_l + \mathbb{F}(z_l, W_l)$, where W_i are weights to be trained of each layer.
- 2 Neural networks have the advantage of easy change of dimensionality at each layer. The dynamic system has to be split to accomplish so.
- 3 In order to solve the control equations, it would be time efficient to accomplish this via solving back in time, since in this way we can solve for different times in a parallel fashion, which resembles the idea of back-propagation.

Section 5

Acknowledgements

Reference : Books and Techreports



Lawrence C Evans. *An introduction to mathematical optimal control theory*. Lecture Notes, University of California, Department of Mathematics, Berkeley, 2005.







Lawrence C. Evans. *Partial differential equations*. Providence, R.I.: American Mathematical Society, 2010.



Yat T Chow et al. *Algorithm for Overcoming the Curse of Dimensionality for Certain Non-convex Hamilton-Jacobi Equations, Projections and Differential Games*. Tech. rep. University of California, Los Angeles Los Angeles United States, 2016.

Reference : Articles

-  Yat Tin Chow et al. “Algorithm for Overcoming the Curse of Dimensionality for State-dependent Hamilton-Jacobi equations”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.02524* (2017).
-  Qianxiao Li et al. “Maximum Principle Based Algorithms for Deep Learning”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.09513* (2017).
-  IV Rublev. “Generalized Hopf formulas for the nonautonomous Hamilton–Jacobi equation”. In: *Computational Mathematics and Modeling* 11.4 (2000), pp. 391–400.
-  E Weinan. “A Proposal on Machine Learning via Dynamical Systems”. In: *Communications in Mathematics and Statistics* 5.1 (2017), pp. 1–11.

Thanks!